STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

CASE NO. 13,453 AWAITING FINAL COMMISSION ACTION NO EVIDENCE OR TESTIMONY TAKEN

DISCUSSION OF PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO RULES 1201, 1203 THROUGH 1209, 1211, 1212 and 1220

APPLICATION OF EDGE PETROLEUM EXPLORATION COMPANY
FOR RE-HEARING OF CASE NUMBER 13,351

CASE NO. 13,402 de novo (Continued)

A

19

9

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

ORIGINAL

BEFORE: MARK E. FESMIRE, CHAIRMAN

JAMI BAILEY, COMMISSIONER

FRANK T. CHAVEZ, COMMISSIONER

May 12th, 2005 Santa Fe, New Mexico

These matters came on for hearing before the Oil Conservation Commission, MARK E. FESMIRE, Chairman, on Thursday, May 12th, 2005, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

INDEX

May 12th, 2005 Commission Hearing

	PAGE
APPEARANCES	3
ADOPTION OF MINUTES OF THE COMMISSION MEETING HELD ON APRIL 14th, 2005	5
CASE NO. 13,453, APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER OF THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION INSTITUTING RULEMAKING, PROPOSING AMENDMENTS TO OCD RULES 104, WELL SPACING AND LOCATION, AND 701. (AWAITING FINAL COMMISSION ACTION, NO EVIDENCE OR TESTIMONY TAKEN.)	5
DISCUSSION OF PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO RULES 1201, 1203 THROUGH 1209, 1211, 1212 and 1220	8
APPLICATION OF EDGE PETROLEUM EXPLORATION COMPANY FOR A RE-HEARING OF CASE NUMBER 13,351, APPLICATION OF EDGE PETROLEUM EXPLORATION COMPANY TO RESTRICT THE EFFECT OF SPECIAL RULES AND REGULATIONS OF THE DOS HERMANOS GAS POOL IN EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO	11
CASE NO. 13,402 (CONTINUED TO JUNE 9th, 2005)	16
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	18

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE COMMISSION:

DAVID K. BROOKS, JR.
Assistant General Counsel
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

CHERYL BADA
Assistant General Counsel
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

* * *

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 9:00 a.m.: 2 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Good morning, at this time I'd 3 like to call the May 12th, 2005, meeting of the New Mexico 4 Oil Conservation Commission to order. Please note for the 5 record that the time is 9:00 a.m., Thursday, May 12th, 6 The location of this meeting is Porter Hall in the 7 New Mexico Oil Conservation Division Office in Santa Fe, 8 New Mexico. 9 My name is Mark Fesmire, I'm the Chairman of the 10 Commission. 11 To my right is Commissioner Jami Bailey. 12 Commissioner Bailey is the designee to the Commission of 13 State Land Commissioner Mr. Patrick Lyons. 14 To my left is Commissioner Frank Chavez. 15 Chavez is the former OCD supervisor in Aztec and the 16 17 appointee of the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department Secretary Joanna Prukop. 18 To Mr. Chavez's left is Cheryl Bada. 19 Cheryl is going to be the acting Commission counsel today. 20 21 To Commissioner Bailey's right is Florene 22 Davidson. Florene is the Commission Secretary. 23 The court reporter, as always -- as almost 24 always, is -- today is Mr. Steve Brenner. 25 Let the record reflect that a quorum of the

1	Commissioners or their designees are present today.
2	* * *
3	
4	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: The first item on the agenda
5	is the minutes of the April 14th, 2005, Commission meeting.
6	Commissioners, have you had the opportunity to
7	review the minutes?
8	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Yes, I have, and I move
9	that we accept them.
10	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Senator Commissioner
11	Chavez?
12	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I was not present at that
13	meeting.
14	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay. I was present, I will
15	second Commissioner Bailey's motion to adopt the minutes.
16	All those in favor?
17	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Aye.
18	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Opposed?
19	Let the record reflect that the minutes were
20	adopted as drafted, and returned to the Commission
21	Secretary.
22	* * *
23	
24	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: The second case on the docket
25	is Case Number 13,453, an Application for an order of the

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission instituting rulemaking, proposing amendments to OCD Rules 104, well spacing and location, and 701.

The Commission counsel in this case is acting in a different capacity today, but I'm going to ask Mr. Brooks to explain where we are on that rule.

MR. BROOKS: Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, I believe that you have before you a proposed order adopting that rule. I believe that the Commission voted to adopt the rule at the conclusion of the hearing on April the 14th, and the order was drafted by me with the assistance of Cheryl Bada, and we recommend it for the Commission's adoption pursuant to their resolution adopted at the previous meeting.

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Commissioner Chavez, do you have something to say about this order?

and -- the case file, and I would be in favor of signing it. I would vote, however, to discuss a precedent where, since I was not present for the testimony, that apparently there is a practice in the OCD where if a Commissioner was not present they would not sign the order. But I have no qualms at all about the order itself, for the record.

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Counsel Bada, do you have any recommendation to the Commission based on Commissioner

1	Chavez's concerns?
2	MS. BADA: Given what appears to be Commission
3	practice, not to having him sign, I would recommend that.
4	Otherwise, if it was a practice, I'd say as long as he
5	reviewed the record and the transcripts it wouldn't be a
6	problem.
7	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay, so your recommendation
8	is that
9	MS. BADA: that he doesn't, given that's your
10	past practice.
11	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Commissioner Bailey, have you
12	had a chance to review the order?
13	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Yes, I have, and I intend
14	to sign it.
15	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay, and I too believe that
16	we can sign it. So at this time I'm going to ask if
17	there's a motion to adopt the order as drafted.
18	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: I move we adopt the order
19	as drafted.
20	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: And I will second. And let
21	the record reflect that while he agrees with the order,
22	Commissioner Chavez has decided not to sign it at this
23	time.
24	Let the record reflect that the order has been
25	signed by two of the Commissioners and transmitted to the

1	Commission Secretary.
2	* * *
3	•
4	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: The next item on the agenda is
5	for the Commission to discuss the proposed amendments to
6	Rule 1201, 1203 through 1209, 1211, 1212 and 1220.
7	At this time I'll ask Counsel Bada to bring the
8	Commission up to speed on where these proposed amendments
9	stand.
10	MS. BADA: Changes have been made, mainly in
11	format, and it looks like probably the best way to approach
12	that will be through a repeal and replacement rather than
13	having extensive strike-through and underline. So we're
14	going to delay hearing that until, I believe, probably July
15	and re-notice it.
16	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay. And the revised
17	proposed Rules will be posted on the website?
18	MS. BADA: Right.
19	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: And do you know when that will
20	be?
21	MS. BADA: I don't.
22	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay. But we intend to do
23	that within the next week?
24	MS. BADA: Hopefully.
25	MR. BROOKS: Mr. Chairman

I don't know that we'll have had a MS. BADA: 1 chance to review the changes before we do that, so --2 MR. BROOKS: I suspect it probably will not occur 3 until the week after next, because I believe it's important 4 to -- number one, that -- well, number one, I've been asked 5 to review some of the changes, and I do have some comments 6 7 on them. And number two, I believe it's very important 8 9 that you have a chance to thoroughly review the draft 10 before it is placed in the public domain. And of course, 11 you know that you and I have some other commitments next 12 week, so it will probably not occur till the week of the 23rd. 13 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay, but we intend to post it 14 15 on the week of the 23rd, then? MR. BROOKS: Hopefully, yes, and then -- to give 16 17 the public plenty of time to look at it and figure out what we've done different from what we did -- what we proposed 18 19 before, before they have to come in. 20 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay. But it will be done in plenty of time to have proper notice and everything at the 21 July meeting? 22 23 That is correct, yes. MR. BROOKS: 24 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay. If I hear no objection

from the Commission, that's what we intend to do.

آ ۔	Commissioner Pailoy do you have anything to say
1	Commissioner Bailey, do you have anything to say
2	about that?
3	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Yes, I'll take time to
4	review them very carefully and have my input to you before
5	it goes out on the website.
6	MR. BROOKS: Thank you. Commissioner Bailey,
7	have you received the latest draft from Counsel Bada?
8	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: I believe so
9	MR. BROOKS: Okay.
10	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: if it was from the last
11	e-mail a couple of days ago?
12	MS. BADA: I think I sent it out yesterday,
13	and
14	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Then I haven't.
15	MS. BADA: I was waiting on some answers
16	because I still have some blanks in there. So I haven't
17	circulated it
18	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Would you go ahead and send
19	it to me, please
20	MS. BADA: I certainly will.
21	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: because I have the same
22	issues next week.
23	MS. BADA: Certainly.
24	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Commissioner Chavez, is that
25	acceptable to you?

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yeah, that schedule is 1 I haven't received any draft copies or anything. fine. 2 MS. BADA: I'll go ahead and --3 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Thank you. 4 5 6 The next item on the agenda is 7 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Case Number 13,351. It's an Application filed by Edge 8 9 Petroleum Exploration Company for a re-hearing of that 10 case, the Application of Edge Petroleum Exploration Company to restrict the effect of special rules and regulations of 11 12 the Dos Hermanos Gas Pool in Eddy County, New Mexico. 13 Are the attorneys present for that? Mr. Brooks, would you --14 15 MR. BROOKS: I don't see them. 16 Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, the 17 Commission issued an order in that case at its April 14th 18 meeting. 19 On May the 3rd, which I believe is within the 20 20 days, the Applicant filed a motion for re-hearing, 21 challenging the portion of the Commission's Order which 22 provided that in sections adjacent to the Dos Hermanos 23 field wells would have to be set back from the boundaries

of the Dos Hermanos field by a distance which I believe was

1250 feet or 1650 feet. I don't remember the figure --

24

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: I believe it was 16.

MR. BROOKS: -- I don't have my notes in front of me.

But the Applicant in the motion for re-hearing raised principally the point that prior to the adoption of Rule 104's infill provision permitting infill wells in 320-acre Morrow spacing units, the Commission had in effect a rule which provided for one well per 320-acre spacing unit in the Morrow and did provide for a 1650-foot setback.

When the Commission adopted the infill rule, the Commission changed the setback for the Morrow to the 660 feet and did not at that time provide that the -- well, the 660-foot setback applied, regardless of whether or not the adjacent section had been developed under the previous rules with the 1650-foot setback. And the Applicant urged on that basis that Commission precedent suggested that we should not have that special setback requirement.

Now, of course this is a decision -- if the Commission wants to change its previous decision based on that or not, then that's totally up to the Commission. As counsel -- having acted as counsel in this case, I would merely point out that the motion for re-hearing was filed on May the 3rd.

If the Commission wishes to reconsider the matter, it has several options. It can reconsider the

matter at this hearing and instruct counsel to prepare an order in whatever way it wants to do, it can grant the motion for re-hearing and set the matter for another hearing at a later date, or it can grant the motion and set the matter for reconsideration by the Commission at another date. Or, of course, it can overrule the motion.

If the Commission takes no action, then the motion which was filed on May the 3rd will be overruled by operation of law on May the 17th, one week from Tuesday, from last Tuesday, and the previous order of the Commission will then become final.

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay. Mr. Brooks, the question, to me -- is there any legal effect of our adopting the new Rule 104 that would truly affect this case, that would essentially mandate that we reopen this case and re-evaluate the evidence?

MR. BROOKS: Well, I wouldn't say mandate. It's just whether the Commission thinks that that is a persuasive argument or not. In my view, Rule 104 applies, once we -- at present, Rule 104 does not apply to the sections adjacent to the Dos Hermanos field, because the Dos Hermanos field rules apply and they pre-empt the application of Rule 104.

Under the Commission's order adopted on April
14th, the Commission's order will be the effective rules

for the sections adjacent to the Dos Hermanos field, and Rule 104 will apply to those sections only to the extent 2 that it is not -- only to the extent that it is not 3 inconsistent with the Commission's April 14th, 2005, order. 5 So there's actually no conflict or uncertainty about what the rules will be, in my opinion. It's simply a 6 question of whether the Commission feels that this argument 7 based on the Commission's action in adopting Rule 104 and 8 how it then treated adjacent sections is a persuasive 9 precedent that would cause the Commission to reconsider its 10 treatment of this case. 11 12 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: But under the oft-quoted Rule 13 11.B, the field rules that were in place that essentially 14 -- again, I try to use the word mandate, but essentially 15 quided our decision, have not been changed by the Rule 104, have they? 16 17 MR. BROOKS: No. No, the field rules continue to 18 control over the statewide rules. 19 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Commissioner Bailey, do you 20 see any reason to reconsider this decision? 21 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Yes, I do. 22 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Commissioner Chavez? 23 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Initially, I don't see a reason to reconsider it, because the pool rules that were 24

in effect for spacing are just basically still in place for

the adjacent acreage, only as it abuts the existing pool. 1 Had the operator wanted to change those rules for 2 the entire pool, I could see the -- if that had been part 3 of their Application, I could see why they'd want a re-4 5 hearing. But I don't know that it would be helpful now to re-hear it. 6 I think, based on the 7 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: arguments in the motion for re-hearing, I think I agree 8 with Commissioner Chavez, you know, that the rules were in 9 place when the original V-F well was drilled, and I don't 10 feel inclined to re-hear at this level. 11 Are they able to appeal to a district court or to 12 the Secretary if we rule on this today, if we deny their 13 application today? 14 MR. BROOKS: Yes, Commissioner, they would be --15 I would believe that their time for appeal would begin to 16 17 run -- if we deny it by written order, their time for appeal would begin to run from today. If the Commission 18 19 takes no action, the time for appeal would begin to run 20 from May 17th. CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: 21 Okay. Is there a motion for the disposition of this motion for re-hearing? 22 23 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: 24 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: No.

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: No? At this time there is no

motion, so the Commission is not going to rule on the 1 2 motion for re-hearing today. 3 MR. BROOKS: Thank you. (Off the record at 9:16 a.m.) 4 * * * 5 (The following proceedings had at 5:20 p.m.:) 6 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: With that, is there any 7 further business before the Commission? 8 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Yes, the last case. 9 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Oh, the last case. I'm glad 10 you're awake. 11 The next cause on the docket is Cause Number 12 It's the consolidated Application of Loco Hills 13 13,402. Gas Storage Facility for an exemption to the liner and 14 leak-detection requirements of 19.15.2.50.C NMAC and 15 approval of Stage 1 and 2 Abatement Plans with provisional 16 alternate abatement standards, in Eddy County, New Mexico. 17 At the request of counsel, this case has been 18 19 continued to the June 9th, 2005, Commission hearing. 20 Now, is there any -- ? With that, the Chair would entertain a motion to 21 adjourn, probably from Counselor Bailey [sic], who's got 22 23 her purse in her hand ready to go. 24 (Laughter) 25 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: I move we adjourn.

1	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: All those opposed?
3	The motion has been moved, seconded, and passed.
4	The Oil Conservation Commission meeting is adjourned at
5	5:22.
6	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
7	5:22 p.m.)
8	* * *
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	·
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

motion, so the Commission is not going to rule on the 1 motion for re-hearing today. 2 3 MR. BROOKS: Thank you. 4 (Off the record at 9:16 a.m.) 5 6 7 (The following proceedings had at 5:20 p.m.:) 8 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: With that, is there any 9 further business before the Commission? COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Yes, the last case. 10 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Oh, the last case. I'm glad 11 you're awake. 12 The next cause on the docket is Cause Number 13 13,402. It's the consolidated Application of Loco Hills 14 Gas Storage Facility for an exemption to the liner and 15 leak-detection requirements of 19.15.2.50.C NMAC and 16 approval of Stage 1 and 2 Abatement Plans with provisional 17 alternate abatement standards, in Eddy County, New Mexico. 18 At the request of counsel, this case has been 19 continued to the June 9th, 2005, Commission hearing. 20 Now, is there any -- ? 21 22 With that, the Chair would entertain a motion to adjourn, probably from Counselor Bailey [sic], who's got 23 her purse in her hand ready to go. 24 25 (Laughter)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: I move we adjourn.
2	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Second.
3	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: All those opposed?
4	The motion has been moved, seconded, and passed.
5	The Oil Conservation Commission meeting is adjourned at
6	5:22.
7	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
8	5:22 p.m.)
9	* * *
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2 E	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL May 16th, 2005.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2006