#### STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF LATIGO PETROLEUM, INC., FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO CASE NO. 13,464

# ORIGINAL

S

SUN

N

Р

## REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

## EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: WILLIAM V. JONES, JR., Hearing Examiner

May 19th, 2005

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, WILLIAM V. JONES, JR., Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, May 19th, 2005, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

\* \* \*

## INDEX

May 19th, 2005 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 13,464

PAGE

3

4

13

17

APPEARANCES

**APPLICANT'S WITNESS:** 

<u>WILLIAM F. CHATHAM</u> (Landman) Direct Examination by Mr. Hall Examination by Examiner Jones

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

\* \* \*

## EXHIBITS

Applicant's Identified Admitted Exhibit 1 6 13 Exhibit 2 7 13 Exhibit 3 7 13 Exhibit 4 9 13 Exhibit 5 9 13 Exhibit 6 10 13 Exhibit 7 10 13 Exhibit 8 10 13 Exhibit 9 15 15 \* \*

## APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

GAIL MacQUESTEN Deputy General Counsel Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR THE APPLICANT:

MILLER, STRATVERT P.A. 150 Washington Suite 300 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 By: J. SCOTT HALL

\* \* \* \*

-	
1	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
2	10:05 a.m.:
3	EXAMINER JONES: And we'll Case 13,464,
4	Application of Latigo Petroleum, Incorporated, for
5	compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico.
6	Call for appearances.
7	MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, Scott Hall, Miller
8	Stratvert, P.A., Santa Fe, appearing on behalf of the
9	Applicant, Latigo Petroleum, Inc., and we have one witness
10	this morning.
11	EXAMINER JONES: No other appearances, will the
12	witness please stand to be sworn?
13	(Thereupon, the witness was sworn.)
14	WILLIAM F. CHATHAM,
15	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
16	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. HALL:
19	Q. For the record, please state your name, sir.
20	A. I'm William F. Chatham.
21	Q. And Mr. Chatham, where do you live and by whom
22	are you employed?
23	A. I live in Midland, Texas, I'm employed as a
24	senior staff landman with Latigo Petroleum.
25	Q. All right. Mr. Chatham, are you familiar with
20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. I'm William F. Chatham.</li> <li>Q. And Mr. Chatham, where do you live and by whom are you employed?</li> <li>A. I live in Midland, Texas, I'm employed as a</li> </ul>

4

	5
1	the Application that's been filed in this case and the
2	lands that are the subject of the Application?
3	A. I am, sir.
4	Q. Have you previously testified before the Division
5	or one of its Examiners?
6	A. No, I have not.
7	Q. Okay, if you would please give the Hearing
8	Examiner a brief summary of your educational background and
9	work experience.
10	A. Okay. I have a bachelor's degree from the
11	University of Mississippi, and I did graduate at Texas
12	Christian University. I've been a landman for 22 years,
13	have been a I've worked as an independent with Meridian
14	Oil, Burlington Resources, Chesapeake and now Latigo.
15	I am a certified professional landman and have
16	been for 12 years.
17	Q. And does your experience include southeastern New
18	Mexico?
19	A. Yes, it does, sir, I've worked for several
20	companies.
21	MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, we would tender Mr.
22	Chatham as a qualified professional landman.
23	EXAMINER JONES: Mr. Chatham is qualified as an
24	expert professional landman.
25	Q. (By Mr. Hall) If you would, briefly, Mr.

1	Chatham, summarize to the Examiner what it is that Latigo
2	is seeking by this Application.
3	A. Latigo is seeking to force pool the interest of
4	Chesapeake in the northwest quarter, Section 19, 25 South,
5	27 East, in Eddy County.
6	We have proposed a well at 1130 from the west
7	line, 1480 from the north line. We proposed it to our
8	internal partners. We hold a lease on the north
9	actually, it's the we hold a lease on the east half, and
10	Chesapeake holds the lease on the west half, both federal
11	lands.
12	We have secured permission from the Bureau of
13	Land Management to consolidate the leases, and we have an
14	approved APD. And we have also proposed the well to
15	Chesapeake. Chesapeake has signed an AFE. We have
16	proposed a joint operating agreement and been negotiating
17	that operating agreement for a period of about a month and
18	a half to two months. We're getting close, but we haven't
19	gotten there, and we have a well I mean a rig, coming,
20	we think, July the 1st. That's what we are projecting
21	right now. And so we want to move forward with the
22	Application.
23	Q. Let's refer to Exhibit Number 1, Mr. Chatham.
24	Would you identify that, please, sir?
25	A. That's the C-102, the surveyor's plat that was

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317 6

1	filed with the BLM for and the OCD with approval for
2	their drill location.
3	Q. And the well name on there is the Marine 19
4	Federal Well Number 1; is that correct?
5	A. That is correct.
6	Q. And Latigo is establishing a north-half spacing
7	unit for the well?
8	A. That is correct, sir.
9	Q. And what formations are you asking to be pooled?
10	A. From the surface to the base of the Morrow.
11	Q. Okay, so everything on 320-acre spacing?
12	A. Everything on 320 acres, yes, sir.
13	Q. And the Morrow is your primary objective for the
14	well?
15	A. That is correct.
16	Q. Let's look at Exhibit Number 2. Is that a copy
17	of your approved APD from the BLM?
18	A. That is, that is a copy with the that is a
19	copy of the APD.
20	Q. And Exhibit Number 3, identify that, please, sir.
21	A. Exhibit Number 3 is a letter in response to a
22	meeting that Chesa I mean that Latigo had with the
23	Bureau of Land Management in their Roswell field office,
24	and also a letter requesting communitization, and the
25	Bureau has agreed to communitize these two leases.

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317 7

1	Q. Consisting of a north-half unit?
2	A. Consisting of a north-half unit.
3	Q. All right. And that approval was issued on
4	January 7, 2005; is that correct?
5	A. That is correct.
6	Q. What percentage of the acreage in the north-half
7	unit is now committed to the well?
8	A. Fifty percent is committed, 50 percent, which is
9	Chesapeake's, is not committed.
10	Q. And by the way, do you know if Chesapeake's
11	ownership is in the name of Chesapeake Operating, or is it
12	another Chesapeake entity?
13	A. I believe it is Chesapeake Exploration, L.P., I
14	believe. I can check that real quick. Chesapeake
15	Operating, Inc., is No, it's Chesapeake Exploration
16	Limited Partnership, an Oklahoma limited partnership.
17	Q. Okay. If you would, Mr. Chatham, would you
18	summarize your efforts to obtain the voluntary
19	participation of Chesapeake in the well?
20	A. Certainly. We proposed I proposed the well on
21	behalf of Latigo to RJV Partners as well as Chesapeake on
22	2-3-05, on February 3rd of '05.
23	On March 3rd I received a fax from Chesapeake
24	signing the AFE, signed by Aubrey McClendon, chief
25	executive officer for Chesapeake.

_	9
1	On 3-18 I returned March 18th, I returned to
2	Latigo I mean, to Chesapeake, as well as the other
3	partners, a proposed operating agreement. We have gone
4	through a number of e-mails and iterations for the
5	operating agreement, most minor in nature, I will say. But
6	the JOA was I've been told that we're almost there but
7	not quite. We've got a few little issues to iron out, as
8	of last Monday. And actually, I've got an e-mail this
9	morning that I checked, and we're just getting a memorandum
10	together and a few other things.
11	Q. Well, let's go through your exhibits. If you'd
12	look at Exhibit 4, is that the transmittal for your initial
13	well proposal to Chesapeake dated February 3, 2005?
14	A. That's correct, sir.
15	Q. And that transmitted the AFE?
16	A. That's right, sure did.
17	Q. And if you look at Exhibit Number 5, is that a
18	transmittal letter?
19	A. That's a letter back from Lynn McGuire who's a
20	land tech at Chesapeake, transmitting the original
21	agreement back to me, or the original AFE back to me.
22	Q. And that's dated March 3, 2005. And it appears
23	that the AFE was signed on March 2, 2005, by Chesapeake
24	Operating, Inc., general partner; is that right?
25	A. That's correct.
	STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317
17	Q. And as of today, negotiations for the final terms
18	of a JOA are not complete?
10	

19 That's correct. A.

> Q. You haven't received a signed JOA from

Chesapeake; is that right? 21

20

25

22 A. No, I have not.

And Exhibit 8, is that a copy of the JOA that was 23 Q. initially transmitted to Chesapeake? 24

> Α. No, sir, this is actually the last iteration of

1	the JOA, and pretty much the one that will wind up
2	standing, we think.
3	Q. All right.
4	Q. And of course, under your JOA and pursuant to
5	your Application, Latigo seeks to be designated operator of
6	the well?
7	A. Absolutely, yes, sir.
8	Q. In your opinion, has Latigo made a good-faith
9	effort to obtain Chesapeake's voluntary participation in
10	the well?
11	A. Yes, sir, we have.
12	Q. If you would, Mr. Chatham, refer back to your
13	AFE. I think you can refer to Exhibit Number 5. Would you
14	review the well costs reflected in the AFE for the
15	Examiner?
16	A. Yes, sir, we're predicting or the estimate is
17	that the well will be dryhole cost on the well will be
18	\$2,002,500, completion cost of \$592,500, for a total cost
19	of \$2,580,000.
20	The AFE was written in December 14th. It might
21	have gone up a little bit, based on rig costs that we have,
22	but it's certainly within the tolerances that you would
23	expect.
24	Q. And are the costs reflected on the AFE in line
25	with what other operators in the area are charging for

1	Q. Then Exhibit 6, why don't you identify that?
2	A. Exhibit is a letter I sent to Kathy Blick who's a
3	landman with Chesapeake, offering her or presenting to her
4	our operating agreement, and that was the first transmittal
5	letter.
6	Do you want me to just continue on?
7	Exhibit 17 [ <i>sic</i> ] goes through a series of e-mails
8	in which we exchanged various copies of the joint operating
9	agreement, trying to pull it into place. This is pretty
10	typical of how we proceed through this matter.
11	Q. Now, Exhibit 7 consists of a compilation of three
12	e-mails, beginning April 15th, 2005, including April 20th,
13	2005, and going all the way to May 9, 2005, and do they
14	indicate your efforts to negotiate final terms for a JOA
15	with Chesapeake?
16	A. Yes, sir, they do.
17	Q. And as of today, negotiations for the final terms
18	of a JOA are not complete?
19	A. That's correct.
20	Q. You haven't received a signed JOA from
21	Chesapeake; is that right?
22	A. No, I have not.
23	Q. And Exhibit 8, is that a copy of the JOA that was
24	initially transmitted to Chesapeake?
25	A. No, sir, this is actually the last iteration of

Morrow completion? 1 Α. Yes, sir, they certainly are. 2 And has Latigo made an estimate of the overhead 3 0. and administrative costs while drilling and producing the 4 well? 5 Yes, sir, on page 4 the COPAS is attached to the 6 Α. joint operating agreement, and this is an item that we have 7 negotiated with Chesapeake. 8 The drilling well rate is \$6000 per month, 9 producing well rate is \$650 a month. 10 And as well, are those rates in line with what's 11 0. being charged in the area currently? 12 They are in line with what's being charged. If 13 Α. not, they are below COPAS recommendations. But they are --14 15 well, within line of what's customary and usual in this 16 area. And are you recommending that these drilling and 17 **Q**. producing overhead rates be incorporated in any order that 18 issues from the Division? 19 20 Α. Yes, I am. And does Latigo request the 200-percent risk 21 Q. 22 penalty assessment in this case? 23 Α. Yes, we do. 24 And does Latigo seek an order that provides for Q. 25 an adjustment of the drilling and producing overhead rates

in accordance with the current COPAS bulletin? 1 Yes, sir, we do that too. Α. 2 In your opinion, Mr. Chatham, would the granting 3 0. of Latigo's Application be in the best interest of 4 conservation, the prevention of waste and protection of 5 correlative rights? 6 Yes, sir, it certainly would. 7 Α. Now, were Exhibits 1 through 8 prepared by you or 8 0. at your direction? 9 Yes, sir, they were. 10 Α. MR. HALL: At this time, Mr. Examiner, we would 11 tender into evidence Exhibits 1 through 8. 12 That concludes our direct of this witness. 13 14 EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 1 through 8 will be admitted to evidence. 15 16 EXAMINATION 17 BY EXAMINER JONES: 18 Q. Mr. Chatham, can you say one more time the drilling rate? 19 20 Α. The drilling rates, the one that we're providing 21 for, a drilling rate of \$6000 per month --\$6000. 22 0. 23 Α. -- and producing rate at \$650 per month. \$650, okay. And Chesapeake is the only party to 24 Q. 25 be pooled, you say?

1	A. They're the only party to be pooled, yes, sir.
2	Q. Okay. And the well, does it have an API number
3	or I can try to find that if it's It's already been
4	approved by the feds, right?
5	A. Yes, it has. It's probably get my eyes on it
6	here. Well, I'm not honing in on it, but it
7	Q. I can find it. I don't think they assign one
8	until the feds have approved it.
9	A. I'm not I don't have that in my It's not on
10	the APD. Probably would have been, but
11	Q. Okay, so what we're talking about here is 320
12	gas, Strawn and Morrow, and that's it, right? No 160s or
13	no 40s?
14	A. No, we're looking at the 320-acre
15	Q only.
16	A production unit.
17	Q. And those are both undesignated. They're right
18	on the edge of the Cottonwood Draw, the pool, this well
19	will be?
20	A. I'm sorry, I didn't understand your question.
21	Q. It's not inside the pool yet, it's right outside
22	the pool?
23	A. I believe that's correct.
24	Q. Okay. How many acres is in this is it really
25	320 or is it

I believe this one, sir, is -- even though it 1 Α. shows lines through here, I believe it is 320 acres. 2 Okay. Yeah, I see a lot 1 and 2. I could say 3 0. more or less. 4 More or less, yes, sir. I don't show anything 5 Α. that really -- With that being lots, I would suggest we use 6 the language, "more or less". 7 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. I'm running low on 8 questions here. 9 Gail, do you have a question? 10 MS. MacQUESTEN: Mr. Hall, do we have proof of 11 notice for Chesapeake of a hearing date? 12 MR. HALL: We do. I'll present Mr. Brenner with 13 the original and a copy of the notice that has been marked 14 as Exhibit 9. 15 We ask that it be admitted into evidence. 16 EXAMINER JONES: We'll admit number -- Exhibit 9 17 into evidence. 18 19 I guess that takes care of everything. Thank you very much, Mr. Chatham --20 21 THE WITNESS: I appreciate it, sir. 22 EXAMINER JONES: -- for coming today. And Mr. 23 Hall, thank you very much. 24 MR. HALL: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. That 25 concludes our case.

1	EXAMINER JONES: Okay, with that, we'll take Case
2	13,464 under advisement.
3	And we'll adjourn this docket until Monday
4	morning.
5	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
6	10:25 a.m.)
7	* * *
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	a complete record of the progoting to
13	a complete record of the proceedings to the Examiner hearing of Case No.
14	
	Third out a set
15	OII Conservation Division
15 16	Oll Conservation Division
	Oll Conservation Division
16 17 18	Oll Conservation Division
16 17	Oll Conservation Division
16 17 18 19 20	Oll Conservation Division
16 17 18 19 20 21	Oll Conservation Division
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Oil Conservation Division
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Oll Conservation Division
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Oll Conservation Division

B

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ) ) ss. COUNTY OF SANTA FE )

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL May 21st, 2005.

STEVEN T. BRENNER CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2006

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317 17