STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING: APPLICATION OF BASS ENTERPRISES CASE NOS. 13,367 PRODUCTION COMPANY FOR AN ORDER AUTHORIZING THE DRILLING OF A WELL IN THE POTASH AREA, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO **B**,368 APPLICATION OF DEVON ENERGY PRODUCTION COMPANY, L.P., FOR AN ORDER AUTHORIZING THE DRILLING OF A WELL IN THE POTASH AREA, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO ത APPLICATION OF DEVON ENERGY PRODUCTION and 13,372 3 COMPANY, L.P., FOR APPROVAL OF AN

COMPANY, L.P., FOR APPROVAL OF AN UNORTHODOX WELL LOCATION AND AUTHORIZATION TO DRILL A WELL IN THE POTASH AREA, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS EXAMINER HEARING

ORIGINAL

(Consolidated)

2

ဓ

BEFORE: MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner

December 2nd, 2004 Santa Fe, New Mexico

These matters came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, December 2nd, 2004, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317 1

ÎNDEX

December 2nd, 2004 Examiner Hearing

CASE NOS. 13,367, 13,368 and 13,372 (Consolidated)

EXHIBITS 4 **APPEARANCES** 6 **OPENING STATEMENTS:** By Mr. Carr 10 13 By Mr. Bruce 13 By Mr. High **BASS WITNESSES:** JERRY WAYNE BAILEY (Landman) Direct Examination by Mr. Carr 15 Cross-Examination by Mr. High 30 42 Cross-Examination by Mr. Bruce Examination by Examiner Stogner 43 Further Examination by Mr. High 51 Further Examination by Mr. Carr 52 WILLIAM R. DANNELS (Engineer) 54 Direct Examination by Mr. Carr **STACEY C. MILLS** (Mineral Owner) Direct Examination by Mr. Carr 60 Cross-Examination by Mr. High 64 Examination by Examiner Stogner 66

(Continued...)

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317 2

PAGE

DEVON WITNESSES:

DEVON WITNESSES:	
<u>KENNETH H. GRAY</u> (Landman)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Carr	68
Cross-Examination by Mr. High	88
Cross-Examination by Mr. Bruce	99
Redirect Examination by Mr. Carr	99
Recross-Examination by Mr. High	100
Examination by Examiner Stogner	101
<u>JAMES BLOUNT</u> (Engineer)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Carr	102
LANDOWNER WITNESS:	
KENNETH M. SMITH (Mineral Owner)	100
Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce	108
Examination by Mr. High	112
MOSAIC WITNESS:	
DANIEL J. MOREHOUSE (Mining Engineer)	
Direct Examination by Mr. High	115
Cross-Examination by Mr. Carr	128
Examination by Examiner Stogner	137
OPENING STATEMENTS:	
By Mr. High	143
By Mr. Carr	144
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	150
* * *	

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317 3

EXHIBITS

Bass		Identified	Admitted
Exhibit	1	17	29
Exhibit	2	19	29
Exhibit	3	19	29
Exhibit		22	29
Exhibit	5	22	29
Exhibit	6	23	29
Exhibit	7	28	29
Exhibit	8	29	29
Exhibit	9	27	29
Exhibit	10	27, 28	29
Exhibit	11	57	59
		* * *	
Devon		Identified	Admitted
Exhibit	1	71	87
Exhibit	2	76	87
Exhibit	3	77	87
Exhibit	4	78	87
Exhibit	5	83	87
Exhibit		86	87

Exhibit 7

Exhibit 8

Exhibit 9

(Continued...)

* * *

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

86

104

104

87

107

107

4

Ε	Х	H	Í	B	Ì	T	S	(Continued)
			_	_	_	-	-	(*********

		•
MA	~ >	
PIO	30	

tted

Exhibit	Ml	121	137
Exhibit	M2	123	137
Exhibit	M3	123	137
Exhibit	M 4	122	137
Exhibit		118	137
****** T M T C			

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

GAIL MacQUESTEN Deputy General Counsel Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR BASS ENTERPRISES PRODUCTION COMPANY and DEVON ENERGY PRODUCTION COMPANY, L.P.:

HOLLAND & HART, L.L.P., and CAMPBELL & CARR 110 N. Guadalupe, Suite 1 P.O. Box 2208 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208 By: WILLIAM F. CARR

FOR MOSAIC POTASH:

KEMP SMITH, P.C. 221 North Kansas, Suite 1700 El Paso, TX 79901-1441 By: CHARLES C. HIGH, JR. and WALKER F. CROWSON

FOR KENNETH M. SMITH:

JAMES G. BRUCE Attorney at Law P.O. Box 1056 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

* * *

1	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
2	9:49 a.m.:
3	EXAMINER STOGNER: This hearing will come to
4	order. At this time I'll call Case Number 13,367, which is
5	the Application of Bass Enterprises Production Company for
6	an order authorizing the drilling of a well in the potash
7	area, Order R-111, Eddy County, New Mexico.
8	At this time I'll call for appearances.
9	MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my name is
10	William F. Carr with the Santa Fe office of Holland and
11	Hart, L.L.P. We represent Bass Enterprises Production
12	Company in this matter, and I have three witnesses.
13	Mr. Stogner, at this time I would request that
14	for the purpose of hearing, that this case be consolidated
15	with Case 13,368, which is an Application of Devon Energy
16	Production Company, also for a well in the potash area, and
17	Case 13,372, which is again an Application of Devon for a
18	well in the potash area.
19	I would also request at this time that the
20	Application of Devon in Case 13,369 be dismissed.
21	EXAMINER STOGNER: Are there any objections or
22	comments with the consolidation of the two cases, 13,368
23	and 13,372 with 13,367 at this time, or comments about the
24	dismissal of 13,369?
25	MR. HIGH: Charles C. High, Jr., of Kemp Smith,

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317 7

1	and Walker Crowson, for Mosaic Potash. We have no
2	objection to the dismissal of Case 13,369 nor to the
3	consolidation of the other cases for purposes of this
4	hearing only.
5	EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. With that, let me
6	Mr. Jim Bruce?
7	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I'm entering an
8	appearance today on behalf of Mr. Kenneth Smith, who's a
9	mineral owner in the two Devon Energy cases. I will have
10	one witness.
11	EXAMINER STOGNER: Any other appearances, before
12	I make a statement on the consolidation and dismissal?
13	Okay, with that, at this time Case 13,369, which
14	is the Application of Devon Energy Production Company,
15	L.P., for approval of an unorthodox well location,
16	directional drilling and authorization to drill a well in
17	the potash area, Eddy County, New Mexico, is hereby
18	dismissed.
19	At this time I'm going to call and consolidate
20	Cases 13,368 and 13,372. 13,368 is the Application of
21	Devon Energy Production Company, L.P., for an order
22	authorizing the drilling of a well in the potash area, Eddy
23	County, New Mexico; and Case 13,372 is the Application of
24	Devon Energy Production Company, L.P., for approval of an
25	unorthodox well location and authorization to drill a

1

1	well assume the same well in the potash area, Lea
2	[sic] County, New Mexico.
3	Cases 13,367, Bass Enterprises, 13,368 and
4	13,372, both of which are Devon's, are going to be
5	consolidated at this time for purposes of testimony.
6	At this time, other than Mr. Bill Carr, Mr.
7	Charles High and Jim Bruce, are there any other
8	appearances?
9	Okay, let's see now, let's go back here.
10	Mr. Carr, you have three witnesses?
11	MR. CARR: I have three witnesses in the Bass
12	case and two witnesses in the Devon cases.
13	EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, and Mr. Bruce, you have
14	Ken Smith?
15	MR. BRUCE: Yes.
16	EXAMINER STOGNER: And so that's one witness.
17	Mr. High, do you have any witnesses?
18	MR. HIGH: We'll have one witness for all the
19	cases.
20	EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. At this time let's have
21	all five witnesses please stand to be sworn at this time.
22	(Thereupon, the witnesses were sworn.)
23	EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, my math was a little
24	different here. I had seven witnesses stand here. So, Mr.
25	Carr, how many witnesses do you have?

	10
1	MR. CARR: I have three in Bass and two in Devon.
2	EXAMINER STOGNER: Oh, I'm sorry, so that's a
3	total of five.
4	MR. CARR: Total of five.
5	EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, I'm sorry, I'm sorry.
6	It was my misunderstanding, not my math. Okay. Let the
7	record show that all seven witnesses have been sworn for
8	these consolidated cases.
9	Okay, is there any need for remarks at this time?
10	MR. CARR: I have an opening.
11	EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Carr?
12	MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, as we have
13	already discussed, these three cases involve applications
14	by oil and gas operators to drill wells within the
15	oil/potash area as defined by Order R-111-P.
16	Bass owns the oil and gas lease on a 40-acre
17	tract in the northeast northeast, in Section 7, Township 23
18	South, Range 31 East, and on this tract they propose to
19	drill a well to test the Morrow formation.
20	Devon owns the oil and gas lease on a 40-acre
21	tract-in-the-southwest-quarter of the northwest-quarter-of-
22	Section-24, Township 22 South, Range 30 East, and Devon
23	proposes to drill two wells on this 40-acre tract, one to-
24	the-Delaware_and_one_to-the-Devonian_formation
25	While both of these tracts are within the

1	oil/potash area, both are on fee lands, and the potash
2	rights are not leased to a potash company. We appear here
3	today with mineral owners in these tracts. These are the
4	people who own the potash reserves under these 40-acre
5	tracts, and these people reached an agreement with the
6	respective oil and gas operators for the development of
7	their minerals, and we're here today to ask you to
8	authorize us to proceed.
9	The evidence is going to show that the potash
10	mineral owners, the land owners, desire to have their oil
11	and gas minerals developed first, in preference to any
12	potash reserves underlying their fee property.
13	Our land evidence is going to show that both Bass
14	and Devon followed the provisions of Order R-111-P. They
15	first reached agreement with the owners of the potash
16	rights on these 40-acre tracts. They filed their
17	applications for permits to drill, and each of these
18	applications, we believe, were well, two of them were
19	approved by the OCD, one was never acted on and then
20	rejected.
21	As required by Order R-111-P, all potash lessees
22	within a mile were notified, that being IMC and now Mosaic
23	Potash. And IMC objected to Bass's Application, citing
24	R-111-P, noting that any application to drill in the LMR
25	area, including buffer zones, may be approved only by
1	

ł

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

.

mutual agreement of the lessor and lessee of both potash 1 2 and oil and gas interests. On receipt of this objection, the OCD rescinded Bass's APD. 3 IMC objected to the Devon Applications, 4 referencing their five-year plan and noting that they may 5 be mining within a guarter of a mile of these well 6 locations, perhaps in 2007. 7 However, the evidence we will present shows that 8 IMC/Mosaic owns no potash rights in either of these fee 9 tracts, that they are here today asking you to deny their 10 right to drill, to prevent them as owners of the potash in 11 the area, as well as the owners of oil and gas rights, from 12 13 developing their minerals. 14 We believe the facts of this case are very close to the facts that were presented to you in Case 10,409. 15 That's what we call the Noranda case. And at the end of 16 the presentation we're going to tell you that we believe it 17 is good precedent and that the facts of this case, when you 18 19 analyze those, are so close that the same result -- there should be the same result from this hearing. 20 We're also going to present a brief engineering 21 presentation, but our evidence is going to be limited to 22 showing that the proposed casing and cementing programs for 23 each of these wells meets the criteria of Order R-111-P. 24 And finally, we will call land owners and mineral 25

1	owners to confirm that they own the mineral estate,
2	including the potash rights, to confirm that they have
3	leased these rights, the oil and gas rights, to Bass and
4	Devon, that they have reached mutual agreements for the
5	development of their resources, and that they would like to
6	have their oil and gas developed now, whereas we will show
7	in the Bass case it has been leased to oil companies for
8	over 20 years, and yet to date no minerals have been
9	extracted, either oil or gas or potash, from the 40-acre
10	tract.
11	We will then seek an order asking you to
12	reinstate the APDs for each of the three wells at issue,
13	because we submit on the facts of this case and the
14	precedent established in the Noranda case, these wells are
15	outside an LMR, and we're in a situation where the potash
16	owner does not object but, in fact, is supporting our
17	Application.
18	EXAMINER STOGNER: Thank you, Mr. Carr.
19	Mr. Bruce, do you have any follow-up, since
20	you
21	MR. BRUCE: I have no comment, other than to
22	state that my witness is here in support of the Devon
23	Applications.
24	EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. High?
25	MR. HIGH: Our presence here, Mr. Examiner, is
-	

,

1 quite simple. The Oil Conservation Division has a 2 statutory obligation to regulate not only the drilling of oil and gas wells but also to protect potash deposits in 3 the State of New Mexico. That regulatory authority extends 4 to fee land as well as other lands, and I'm assuming that 5 won't be disputed, otherwise we wouldn't even be here. 6 So the fact that this is fee land does not give this 7 Commission or Division the authority just to say they can 8 willy-nilly waste any natural resource. 9

10 The proposed wells in these consolidated cases 11 are in areas of commercial-grade potash that will be mined. 12 We have no objection to the owners of the mineral rights to 13 develop their resources, whether they be oil and gas and/or 14 potash deposits.

We are concerned with the manner in which those resources are developed, and the manner being proposed in the APDs at issue here will result in an undue waste of potash while developing oil and gas resources.

19 It will be a very simple matter, at least in our 20 judgment, to change locations and develop these resources 21 by directional drilling from other locations in the area, 22 and that way the potash resources can be protected, the oil 23 and gas resources can be developed, and we will not waste 24 potash resources that would otherwise be wasted. And we 25 submit that this Division has the authority to do that,

> STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

14

ſ	
1	even though Mr. Carr refers to the fact that this is fee
2	land.
3	So we would ask that the APDs in their present
4	form be denied.
5	EXAMINER STOGNER: Thank you. If there's nothing
6	further, then we should continue at this point.
7	MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, at this
8	time we call Wayne Bailey.
9	EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Carr?
10	JERRY WAYNE BAILEY,
11	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
12	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. CARR:
15	Q. Would you state your name for the record, please?
16	A. Jerry Wayne Bailey.
17	Q. Mr. Bailey, where do you reside?
18	A. Forth Worth, Texas.
19	Q. By whom are you employed?
20	A. Bass Enterprises Production Company.
21	Q. And what is your current position with Bass
22	Enterprises Production Company?
23	A. Division land manager.
24	Q. Have you previously testified before the New
25	Mexico Oil Conservation Division?
ļ	

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. At the time of that testimony, were your
3	credentials as an expert in petroleum land matters accepted
4	and made a matter of record?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Are you familiar with the Application filed in
7	this case on behalf of Bass Enterprises Production Company?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Are you familiar with the status of the lands
10	involved in this case?
11	A. Yes.
12	MR. CARR: We tender Mr. Bailey as an expert in
13	petroleum land matters.
14	EXAMINER STOGNER: Any objections?
15	MR. HIGH: No objection.
16	EXAMINER STOGNER: So qualified.
17	Q. (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Bailey, would you briefly
18	state what it is that Bass seeks in this case?
19	A. We seek approval for the permit to drill the
20	James Ranch Number 93 to the Morrow formation in the
21	northeast quarter of the northeast quarter of Section 7.
22	Q. And this well is within the area known as the
23	oil/potash area as defined by Order R-111-P?
24	A. Correct.
25	Q. Let's go to what has been marked for

identification as Bass Exhibit Number 1, and I would ask 1 you to identify this and review it for Mr. Stogner. 2 Okay, this is a map that shows many items in this 3 Α. area that's basically off the southwest corner of the WIPP 4 site. It shows Bass leases in yellow. All the Bass leases 5 are part of the James Ranch Federal Unit. Most of the 6 leases are federal leases. There's very few state, very 7 few fee leases. One of the fee leases is shown to be 8 9 cross-hached in red in the northeast quarter of the 10 northeast guarter of Section 7. It also shows the -- outlined in blue where IMC 11 has potash leases, and outlined in green is where there are 12 13 no potash leases, and then there's also some outlined in 14 brown where Western Aq Minerals have leases, but they've been succeeded by IMC. 15 It shows barren areas for potash that are 16 17 outlined in red and blue, and those barren areas are a duplication of the Bureau of Land Management map that was 18 19 publicized several years ago. Mr. Bailey, the 40-acre tract that is cross-20 Q. hached in red in the northeast northeast of 7, that is the 21 22 tract from which you propose to drill your Morrow well; is 23 that correct? 24 Correct, and there's a location that says 93. Α. 25 It's James Ranch Number -- proposed location for James

1 Ranch Number 93. There is a red line that -- or I'm sorry, a green 0. 2 line that extends around that acreage and then off to a 3 number of tracts north of it. What does that indicate? 4 That's the area that's -- where there are no 5 Α. potash leases. One thing that's not on the map is the 6 7 existing potash mine. And do you know how close the subject acreage is 8 0. to IMC's active mining area? 9 There's about a mile and a half between --10 Α. And where did you get --11 0. -- between the location for the James Ranch 93 12 Α. and the existing potash mine. The potash mine is to the 13 east -- or, pardon me, to the west of the well location 14 about a mile and a half, and it's mostly in Section 11 to 15 the west and Section 14. And I know that from discussions 16 with IMC, from earlier this year. 17 Review for Mr. Stogner the current development 18 Q. status of Section 7. 19 20 Well, the north half of Section 7, as it's Α. 21 labeled on this map, is a 320-acre proration unit for the 22 James Ranch 14, which was drilled in the early 1980s by 23 Belco Petroleum, which was the operator of most of the 24 wells in this area at that time. Belco was acquired by 25 Enron, and Bass bought Enron's interest in this area in

1	1998.
2	And so the James Ranch 93 is scheduled to be
3	drilled to the Morrow. The James Ranch 14 is also
4	producing from the Morrow. So the proposed well will be
5	the second well in the 320-acre proration unit for the
6	Morrow.
7	Q. And you intend to communitize this 40-acre tract
8	with 280 acres of federal lease that is also held by Bass
9	in the north half of this section?
10	A. Right.
11	Q. Let's go to what has been marked for
12	identification as Bass Enterprises Production Company
13	Exhibit Number 2. Would you identify that, please?
14	A. These are the five leases that are owned that
15	were granted, originally granted from the fee owners to
16	Belco Petroleum in the northeast quarter, northeast quarter
17	of Section 7, and they all cover undivided mineral
18	interest. If you add up the mineral interests that are
19	covered by these five leases, they total 100 percent, and
20	Bass is the only oil and gas lessee of those five leases.
21	Q. Let's move now to what has been marked for
22	identification as Bass Exhibit Number 3, various it
23	consists of correspondence and an APD for the James Ranch
24	Well Number 93. Referring to this, would you review for
25	the Examiner what Bass is proposing to do in regard to this

Γ

1	
1	well?
2	A. We propose to drill a vertical well to the Morrow
3	formation. Its proposed total depth is 14,800 feet. The
4	location is 660-660 out of the northeast line or
5	northeast corner, and it's a regular location. There are
6	prospective zones in this well. The Delaware is
7	prospective, and everything between the Delaware and the
8	Morrow is prospective, beginning with the Delaware, the
9	Bone Spring, the Wolfcamp, Strawn, Atoka, Morrow, are all
10	prospective in this wellbore.
11	And the permit package that's Exhibit Number 3
12	contains information on how the well and the casing and the
13	cement program will comply with R-111-P, and the details of
14	that will be reviewed by our engineering witness.
15	Q. You're proposing to drill this well to the Morrow
16	formation?
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. What is the Morrow pool that will be applicable?
19	A. Los Medanos-Morrow Gas Pool. There are no
20	special field rules, so it's on 320-acre spacing.
21	Q. And the location requirements would be 660 back
22	from the outer boundary of the quarter section?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. And so the well is at a standard location?
25	A. Yes.

1	Q. Does IMC/Mosaic own any mineral rights, to your
2	knowledge, under this 40-acre tract?
3	A. No.
4	Q. You may want to continue to refer to Exhibit 3,
5	but I'd like for you to review for Mr. Stogner the history
6	of the approval process before the Oil Conservation
7	Division once this APD was filed. It was approved, was
8	it not?
9	A. Yes, it was Bass sent the APD package
10	simultaneously to the OCD, to the Artesia office, and also
11	to the potash lessee that was in the area, which is IMC,
12	and that's all according to R-111-P and the requirements of
13	R-111-P.
14	Bass had no response from IMC, we had no response
15	from the OCD, so on September well, let's see, we sent
16	the letter out August 16th to IMC. It was delivered on
17	August 19th.
18	On September 15th we called the OCD office, Bryan
19	Arrant, to ask about the status of the permit, and Mr.
20	Arrant said that they had done it was basically on his
21	desk, they had received no comment from the potash lessee.
22	And I asked him if they would approve the APD if
23	they had received no protest from the potash company at
24	that time.
25	He said that if I would write him a letter

ļ

-	
1	stating that there had been no protest or no response from
2	IMC, that they would approve the permit.
3	So I wrote the letter on September 15th to
4	confirm that, and IMC still had no reply. And on that same
5	day, on September 15th, the Artesia office of the OCD
6	approved the permit.
7	Q. Is Bass Exhibit Number 4 a copy of the APD
8	showing that, in fact, it was approved by Tim W. Gum on
9	September the 15th?
10	A. Correct.
11	Q. What response did Bass ultimately receive from
12	IMC?
13	A. We never received any response from IMC.
14	Q. Did IMC object to the Oil Conservation Division?
15	A. Yes, IMC wrote the OCD on September 17th,
16	objecting to the permit.
17	Q. And is a copy of that letter of objection what is
18	marked as Bass Exhibit Number 5?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And what is the reason stated for the objection?
21	A. It says any application to drill in an LMR or
22	buffer zones must be approved by mutual agreement of the
23	lessor and lessee of both potash and oil and gas interests.
24	Q. Mr. Bailey, when the OCD received this letter,
25	how did it respond?

Objection, no foundation. He can't MR. HIGH: 1 answer for the OCD. 2 EXAMINER STOGNER: Do you want to restate your 3 4 question, Mr. Carr? (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Bailey, would you refer to 5 Q. what has been marked for identification as Exhibit Number 6 7 6? 8 Α. Exhibit 6 is a letter from the OCD rescinding the 9 permit. Is this letter addressed to you? 10 Q. 11 Yes. Α. And is this a letter that is kept in the files of 12 Q. Bass Enterprises Production Company? 13 14 Α. Yes. 15 And is it customary for Bass Enterprises 0. Production Company to keep documents of this nature in 16 their file? 17 18 Α. Yes. 19 And this is a true and correct copy of that 0. 20 document? Α. 21 Yes. Would you tell us what this document is? 22 Q. 23 It's a letter from Bryan Arrant that just states Α. 24 that they rescind immediately the APD according to R-111-P 25 and asks Bass to review R-111-P, and it quotes the same

> STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

23

	24
1	language from R-111-P that the IMC letter quoted.
2	Q. Did the OCD contact you to determine whether or
3	not there were any potash leases covering the acreage that
4	you were proposing to drill on?
5	A. No.
6	Q. Did the OCD ask you if you had reached an
7	agreement with any potash owner for the development of
8	these minerals?
9	A. No.
10	Q. In its prehearing statement and this morning in
11	his opening statement, Mr. High indicated that they believe
12	that the problem here was how we were proposing to develop
13	these minerals, and suggested that this well could be
14	drilled from another location. Are you aware of that?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Have you had experience with trying to drill
17	directionally drill other wells in this area?
18	A. We've had experience with directional drilling,
19	and we've had experience with vertical drilling in this
20	area.
21	Q. Has it fallen to you as the landman to attempt to
22	reach agreements for the drilling of vertical wells in the
23	area?
24	A. Yes, vertical and directional
25	Q. And have you been able

-

	25
1	A is my responsibility.
2	Q on occasion to reach agreements with the
3	potash operator for drilling vertical wells from certain
4	locations on federal lands?
5	A. Yes, we have.
6	Q. What has been your experience in that regard?
7	A. Well, there's been instances in the past where
8	Bass and IMC agreed to drilling locations on federal land,
9	and we were rejected by the BLM.
10	Q. So even
11	A. Well, the BLM really didn't care whether the
12	potash company and the oil company were in agreement. They
13	rejected the permit anyway. And the all the land
14	surrounding this 40-acre tract is federal land.
15	Q. Are you involved in discussions with your company
16	as to when trying to determine how to develop your oil
17	and gas rights in the R-111 area?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. What are the concerns that you face when dealing
20	with a horizontal or a directional well?
21	A. Well, the concerns about directional drilling are
22	fairly simple. A directional well, number one, or a
23	horizontal well, will not test all the formations that are
24	prospective under a given tract.
25	MR. HIGH: Excuse me, may I object? I don't know

1 the full extent of Mr. Bailey's expert qualifications, but as a landman I would object to, without further testimony, 2 any expert testimony from him with respect to the 3 technological and economic feasibility of either horizontal 4 or directional drilling. He may have it, but I just don't 5 know about it. 6 MR. CARR: Mr. Examiner, we're not tendering Mr. 7 Bailey as an expert on what those costs would be. 8 9 I've asked him if he's involved in those discussions and what the issues are that are raised in 10 those meetings at Bass, and that's the extent of what I'm 11 asking him to address. 12 EXAMINER STOGNER: I will allow this questioning. 13 THE WITNESS: Okay, besides not being able to 14 15 test all the zones under a particular tract, a directional 16 or horizontal well, it has a -- it is substantially more 17 expensive to drill, it's more high-risk, and the Morrow formation drilling is already high-risk, even with a 18 vertical well, and there are complications with drilling a 19 vertical or -- excuse me, complications with drilling 20 21 directional and horizontal wells, both with the drilling and completions and producing the wells. 22 23 0. (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Bailey, in terms of the well that's the subject of this hearing, the James Ranch Unit 24 Well Number 93, Bass has proposed this as a vertical well, 25

1	
1	have you not?
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. And you've proposed it as a vertical well for
4	what reason?
5	A. Well, a vertical well, there's two main
6	reasons is one reason is our ability to get a well
7	drilled at all, because if we tried to get a permit on
8	federal acreage on here, we would be immediately denied by
9	the Bureau of Land Management.
10	And a vertical well is the only way to drill in
11	an efficient manner to encounter all the zones that are
12	productive, or possibly productive, under that 40-acre
13	tract.
14	Q. Mr. Bailey, as the Bass landman responsible for
15	this project, did you contact the mineral owners under this
16	acreage concerning Bass's plan to drill the well?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. I'd like to go out of order and ask you if you
19	could identify what has been marked as Bass Exhibits 9 and
20	10?
21	A. Let's see, Exhibit 9. Okay, Exhibit 9 is an
22	affidavit that's been signed by a trust officer of Wells
23	Fargo Bank, who is responsible for the management of
24	mineral interests under the 40-acre tract that's our drill
25	site.

And Exhibit 10 is the same thing. So we have 1 those two affidavits to present --2 Did you also --Q. 3 -- as evidence in -- also, there's another land Α. 4 owner that owns a mineral interest, that's Stacey Mills, 5 who is here to testify today. 6 If we look at the interests that are covered by 7 0. the affidavits, Exhibits 9 and 10, and the interests that 8 Mr. Mills represents, what percent of the owners of the 9 potash rights under this 40-acre tract have formally 10 indicated their support for Bass? 11 That group would own approximately 53 percent of 12 Α. 13 the mineral interest, and the remaining owners have told Bass that they would also sign affidavits if it's necessary 14 for permitting purposes. 15 Could you identify Bass Exhibit Number 7, please? 16 0. Yes, it's a map that shows a one-mile radius 17 Α. around the proposed location and the IMC potash leases that 18 are located within that radius. 19 Was IMC the only potash operator within that 20 ο. area? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Is Bass Exhibit Number 10 an affidavit confirming 23 Q. that notice of this Application has been provided to Bass 24 25 as -- I mean to IMC/Mosaic as the potash lessee?

> STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

28

	23
1	A. Which exhibit was it?
2	Q. Is Exhibit Number 8 an affidavit confirming that
3	we've provided notice to IMC/Mosaic?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And is attached to that affidavit a copy of the
6	legal advertisement that was run for this case?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Did we also provide notice to the State Land
9	Office and the BLM?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Will Bass call a drilling engineer to review that
12	portion of the drilling portion of this case?
13	A. That's correct.
14	Q. Were Bass Exhibits 1 through 10 either prepared
15	by you or compiled under your direction and supervision?
16	A. Yes.
17	MR. CARR: We move the admission into evidence of
18	Bass Exhibits 1 through 10.
19	EXAMINER STOGNER: Any objections?
20	MR. HIGH: No objection.
21	EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibits 1 through 10 will be
22	admitted into evidence.
23	MR. CARR: And that concludes my direct
24	examination of Mr. Bailey.
25	EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. High, your witness.
25	EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. High, your witness.

	30
1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. HIGH:
3	Q. Good morning, Mr. Bailey.
4	A. Good morning.
5	Q. Did you file an APD with the BLM at any time to
6	drill this proposed well at any location other than where
7	it's currently proposed?
8	A. No, we filed other locations in the area, not for
9	this particular well at this particular location, and we
10	haven't filed one in an adjacent 40-acre tract, if that's a
11	more direct answer to your question, but we had numerous
12	attempts to permit wells in this area and on this map
13	through the BLM.
14	Q. But my question is limited to this well.
15	A. This well was not required to be provided to the
16	BLM.
17	Q. My question is, did you at any time file an APD
18	to drill this well from any location other than its current
19	location?
20	A. This well at its current location is the only
21	location it's ever been. If you'd like to I don't know
22	what you're trying to ask me
23	Q. Did you ever file an APD with the BLM to drill
24	this well from a location, for example, in Section 6?
25	A. No, that's what I just that's part of what I

1	just said. We have not filed an APD with the BLM on an
2	immediately adjacent tract to this
3	Q. Did you ever ask them
4	A if that's what you're trying to ask me.
5	Q. Did you ever ask the BLM to create a drilling
6	island from which this well could be developed through
7	directional drilling?
8	A. It was not necessary.
9	Q. My question is, did you?
10	A. No.
11	Q. Did you at any time look to see whether or not
12	the proposed location of this well would be in an area of
13	potash considered by the Bureau of Land Management to be
14	measured reserves?
15	A. We did not conduct a technical potash
16	investigation.
17	Q. Do you care whether or not in drilling a well you
18	may destroy other natural resources?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And yet you're in the potash area and you didn't
21	look to see whether or not this proposed location would be
22	an area considered to be measured ore?
23	A. Well, in my experience it's been what Bass has
24	been told and what other oil and gas operators have been
25	told about measured potash and potential potash mining has

31

	52
1	been has changed from day to day, it's been a hit or a
2	miss, and it's not something that we felt like we needed to
3	do before we proposed this well.
4	Q. You didn't care if it was in measured ore or not,
5	did you, Mr. Bailey?
6	A. We always care.
7	Q. Well, doesn't your Exhibit Number 1 I believe
8	this map is Number 1, it doesn't have a sticker on it
9	whatever number this map is, doesn't that show this
10	proposed well to be in measured ore?
11	A. According to this map, it's in measured ore. We
12	have drilled wells in measured ore before.
13	EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, let me make sure that we
14	get this on the record. The map that you're referring to,
15	Mr. High
16	MR. HIGH: Yes.
17	EXAMINER STOGNER: and I am looking at Exhibit
18	Number 1, and yours must not have a sticker on it, but it
19	is entitled James Ranch Unit, Eddy County, New Mexico, in
20	the lower right-hand corner, and it has several color
21	codes; is that correct?
22	MR. HIGH: Yes, I'm sorry, I did find a sticker.
23	It is Exhibit Number 1.
24	EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, so we are talking about
25	Exhibit Number 1?
•	

	33
1	MR. HIGH: Yes.
2	EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, I'm sorry, please
3	continue.
4	Q. (By Mr. High) So you do know, Mr. Bailey, that
5	this proposed well is in ore considered by the BLM to be
6	measured ore?
7	A. According to the BLM map from 1994, it shows to
8	be in measured ore.
9	Q. And that is even shown on your Exhibit Number 1,
10	isn't it?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Okay. Now, are you familiar with the 1986
13	Secretarial Order issued by the BLM regulating the drilling
14	of oil and gas wells on federal lands in the potash area?
15	A. I have looked at it in the past. I can't recite
16	I'm not sure I can recite any parts of it, but I'm
17	familiar with it, yes.
18	Q. Do you have responsibility for the drilling of
19	oil and gas wells in the potash area on federal land?
20	A. Do I personally?
21	Q. Yes.
22	A. I'm involved in it with my company, yes. I'm
23	not
24	Q. Well, don't you think it would be
25	A a primary authority, but yes.

1	Q. Do you understand that the 1986 Secretarial Order
2	is the governing authority for the drilling of oil and gas
3	wells on federal lands in the potash area?
4	A. Sure.
5	Q. Don't you think that in carrying out your duty
6	you ought to be familiar with that?
7	A. I am familiar with it.
8	Q. Do you know what it provides with respect to
9	proposed APDs in areas considered by the BLM to be measured
10	ore?
11	A. Yes, and we
12	Q. What does it say?
13	A. Well, in what respect You have to ask me a
14	specific question about the 1986 Order.
15	Q. What does the 1986 Secretarial Order say with
16	respect to drilling of wells in areas considered to be
17	enclave by the BLM?
18	A. That the BLM is to establish drilling islands,
19	which they have never done. That's a short answer. You
20	may be I mean, there's a it says a lot about what is
21	supposed to happen with drilling in the potash area. It's
22	impossible for me to tell you everything the 1986 Order
23	says. That's one thing it says. It says It's page
24	after page.
25	Q. And I take it you never investigated what that

1	1986 Secretarial Order said for the possibility of drilling
2	outside of Section 7 to this bottomhole location, did you?
3	A. Yes, and I know that we have never been granted a
4	drilling island by the Bureau of Land Management in this
5	area or any other area where Bass has federal leases.
6	Q. Has Bass ever drilled any directional wells in
7	the potash area?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Does it have any directional wells in the area of
10	Section 7?
11	A. Yes, there's some on the map.
12	Q. And are the shown on your map?
13	A. There's two of them on the map that have a
14	bottomhole location in Section 7.
15	Q. All right, and if we look at your Exhibit Number
16	1, I can't read that well number, but the well that appears
17	to be in the south end of Section 6, is that a directional
18	well into Section 7?
19	A. Correct.
20	Q. Is that a Bass well?
21	A. It was drilled by Belco in 1984
22	Q. Is that what
23	A and Bass was not involved in the drilling of
24	it, but we bought the well in 1998.
25	Q. All right, I don't see any indication here, but

1	is that what we would know as the James Ranch Number 14?
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. Okay. So the James Ranch 14 is drilled in the
4	south end of Section 6 with a bottomhole location in
5	Section 7?
6	A. Correct.
7	Q. And what is the bottomhole location? What
8	formation is that producing from?
9	A. In the Morrow.
10	Q. The same formation you want to test here, right?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. Did you look at the possibility of using that
13	surface location in Section 6 as a drilling island from
14	which to develop the oil and gas resources under the
15	proposed location of this APD?
16	A. It would not be possible to do what you just
17	said.
18	Q. Why?
19	A. Well, for the reasons I stated in my in the
20	direct questioning, is because it's impossible to reach all
21	the formations under that 40-acre tract with a well from
22	the James Ranch 14 surface location. That's the primary
23	reason.
24	The other reason would be that we would have to
25	get a BLM permit. Assuming they gave us a permit, which is

36

1	a bold assumption in itself, we would have to spend a
2	substantial additional investment, we'd have a risk in the
3	drilling technique, a risk in the completion technique and
4	a risk in the production technique.
5	Q. So you would rather not spend your money and
6	waste potash; you'd like to shift that loss of potash to
7	the potash company so you can save money?
8	A. We've attempted to work around potash issues,
9	federal issues, state issues, every chance we get.
10	Q. Well, do you agree with me that James Ranch
11	Number 14 was apparently approved by the BLM?
12	A. I don't know.
13	Q. Well, it's on federal land, isn't it?
14	A. I assume that it was, I've never
15	Q. It's in measured ore on federal land, isn't it,
16	Mr. Bailey?
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. As shown by your own map?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. So if the BLM approved that directional well in
21	measured ore on federal land, is there any reason for you
22	to believe they wouldn't do the same thing for a
23	directional well to this proposed location?
24	A. I have every reason to believe that they would
25	not approve of another well on BLM leases, even if the

I

1	potash company was in cooperation with it.
2	Q. And have you asked them that question with
3	respect to this well?
4	A. No.
5	Q. You're guessing, aren't you?
6	A. I have a very educated guess, yes.
7	Q. Do you know of other directional wells the BLM
8	has approved in the potash area?
9	A. There's no recent ones, but a long time ago the
10	BLM would approve wells of that nature. They don't
11	anymore.
12	Q. They don't anymore do what?
13	A. They don't approve of any wells in federal land
14	on the potash enclave.
15	Q. And upon what do you make that bold statement,
16	Mr. Bailey?
17	A. I can't recite from memory, but we have made many
18	attempts to acquire permits on BLM acreage in the potash
19	enclave, in this area and in several thousand other acres
20	that Bass owns in the potash enclave
21	Q. When's the last time you
22	A there are many there are many attempts that
23	we have made, and they have all been rejected by the BLM
24	Q. And when's the last
25	A we have had no approvals I can't even

I

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

	39
1	remember the last well in the potash enclave that was
2	approved by the BLM. And like other operators, we have had
3	absolutely no cooperation from the BLM in drilling in the
4	potash enclave.
5	Q. Mr. Bailey, are you guessing at a lot of stuff?
6	A. Absolutely not.
7	Q. Do you know how many wells there are in measured
8	ore in the potash area?
9	A. That's impossible for me to recite that number.
10	Q. Well, how can you sit here and say with that
11	under oath, that the BLM won't allow wells in measured ore
12	if you don't know one way or the other.
13	MR. CARR: I object am going to object to the
14	form of the question. We're becoming argumentative here.
15	If he would like to ask Mr. Bailey what he knows and what
16	he understands, he can do that. But to sit here and argue
17	with him is inappropriate.
18	Q. (By Mr. High) Do you know, Mr. Bailey, how many
19	wells
20	MR. CARR: Make a ruling on that, Mr. Stogner.
21	EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. High
22	MR. HIGH: Yes.
23	EXAMINER STOGNER: I do agree with Mr. Carr
24	that this seems to be somewhat argumentative, and this is
25	more of an informal type of a deal. Perhaps if you would

 $\mathbf{x}_{1} = \mathbf{x}_{1}^{T} + \mathbf{x}_{2}^{T} + \mathbf{x}_{3}^{T} + \mathbf{x}_{4}^{T} + \mathbf{x}_{5}^{T} + \mathbf{x}_{5}$

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

just limit your questioning to questions and be a little 1 less argumentative, I think we could probably get away with 2 3 this today. I will do that. 4 MR. HIGH: Thank you, Mr. High. EXAMINER STOGNER: 5 (By Mr. High) One more time, Mr. Bailey, you 6 Q. don't know how many wells the BLM has allowed in measured 7 ore in the potash area? 8 9 Ά. No. When is the last time you asked them to let you 10 Q. 11 drill in the measured ore? I don't recall. Α. 12 In the last five years? 13 Q. Definitely. 14 Α. If -- Would you be surprised to know that there's 15 Q. over a thousand wells that's been allowed by the BLM in the 16 17 measured ore in the potash area? Would that surprise you? 18 Α. Since when? 19 If I held up a map, which I won't offer into Q. 20 evidence, which is the BLM map that shows the red dots 21 being wells in the measured ore, does that number of wells surprise you, sir? 22 I don't know, I can't see the map, I don't know 23 Α. 24 the information that you're asking me about. I only know 25 that whenever we ask the BLM to drill on federal leases in

1	the potash enclave, we are denied. We appeal to the IBLA,
2	we appeal to the highest authority possible, and we
3	continue to be denied.
4	There are locations on my map that Bass proposed
5	to the potash company before we went to the BLM. The
6	potash company said okay, and the BLM denied the
7	applications.
8	Q. Do you know when the James Ranch 14 well was
9	drilled?
10	A. 1984
11	Q. Do you know what
12	A I think.
13	Q the price of oil was at the time James Ranch
14	14 was drilled?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Would you agree with me it was substantially less
17	than what it is today?
18	A. I don't recall.
19	Q. Do you recall in the 1980s oil ever being
20	anywhere near \$45 to \$50 a barrel?
21	A. I have no idea what the price of oil was in the
22	early 1980s.
23	Q. Do you think that the price of oil today is
24	higher than it was when James Ranch 14 was drilled?
25	A. I don't know.

. .

1	Q. How long have you been in the oil and gas
2	business?
3	A. Since 1981.
4	Q. And yet you don't remember what the price of oil
5	was back in the 1980s?
6	A. I can only guess.
7	MR. HIGH: That's all I have.
8	EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, Mr. Bruce?
9	MR. BRUCE: I have a couple of questions, Mr.
10	Examiner.
11	CROSS-EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. BRUCE:
13	Q. I just want to clarify something, Mr. Bailey.
14	Mr. High asked you about waste of potash on your drill
15	site. Is that quarter-quarter section leased to a potash
16	company?
17	A. No.
18	Q. So the potash company has no right to mine that
19	acreage?
20	A. That's correct.
21	Q. Then how can drilling of this well impair the
22	potash company's right to mine in that quarter-quarter
23	section?
24	A. No reason that I know of.
25	Q. So there won't be any waste of potash because

-

-

1	it's not going to be mined anyway?
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. Now, you said you sat in on discussions with
4	other Bass representatives regarding difficulties involved
5	in drilling directional wells, and there's what, either
6	inability or limited ability to test or produce all zones
7	adequately; is that one of the reasons?
8	A. Correct.
9	Q. And when you have directional wells, has Bass
10	also experienced some difficulty in getting unorthodox
11	location approval if you complete uphole and a wellbore,
12	say, in the Delaware zone is crossing a quarter-quarter
13	section line?
14	A. Yes, there is that difficulty.
15	MR. BRUCE: Thank you.
16	EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Carr, redirect, please?
17	MR. CARR: No redirect.
18	EXAMINER STOGNER: No redirect.
19	EXAMINATION
20	BY EXAMINER STOGNER:
21	Q. Mr. Bailey, I'm going to refer to Exhibit Number
22	1
23	A. Okay.
24	Q and first of all, let's talk about the green
25	area. And you have it designated as open. Is that

-

-

-	
1	designated open potash or oil and gas? What does that
2	denote?
3	A. That's just potash.
4	Q. That's open, unleased potash, is what you're
5	saying?
6	A. Correct. And that's from a review of the county
7	records and federal records and based on our conversations
8	with the mineral owners under the drill site tract. So
9	it's open of record it's unleased potash of record and
10	according to conversations that would seek to find a potash
11	lease that was not recorded. So we did everything we could
12	to find any existing potash leases, whether they were
13	recorded or not.
14	Q. Okay. And now, still on Exhibit Number 1, please
15	you clarify to me what this means, and I look at Section
16	7
17	A. Uh-huh.
18	Q and then on the western boundary, in that blue
19	area, there's something called the JRU boundary. What is
20	that?
21	A. Well, the boundary of the James Ranch Federal
22	Unit is the black stippled line that goes down the west
23	line of Section 7 to the middle of Section 18, and then
24	goes east and back up to the north.
25	Q. Okay, so that's essentially the James Ranch Unit
-	

ſ	
1	boundary lines, is that stippled area, because that's not
2	marked on here, but that's what you're showing?
3	A. Correct.
4	Q. Correct? Okay, let's take ourself out of the
5	potash area. I'm going to use your knowledge on filing an
6	APD on federal land into a fee acreage. What would that
7	entail, or what difference would that entail, to get
8	something like that approved through the BLM? Like I said,
9	this is out of the potash area, and this is just looking at
10	a directionally drilled well
11	A. Uh-huh.
12	Q applied on federal land for fee acreage or
13	fee minerals, I should say what would that entail?
14	A. Are you talking about a surface location on
15	federal and a bottomhole location
16	Q. Yes, I'm talking about a surface location on
17	federal land
18	A. Uh-huh.
19	Q directionally drilled
20	A. Uh-huh.
21	Q into a piece of fee minerals, and fee surface
22	owner for that matter.
23	A. Uh-huh.
24	Q. What would that entail?
25	A. It would take permits from both agencies to cover

-

ł

1	the entire wellbore, to permit the entire wellbore.
2	Q. What would the payout how When I say
3	payout, who would get the royalty interest in this
4	particular instance, that that well was drilled and was
5	producing?
6	A. It depends on what zone was being produced.
7	Q. Okay, I'm being hypothetical, it's all a hundred
8	percent
9	A. I understand.
10	Q fee minerals.
11	A. Well, the gas zones would be spaced on 320 acres,
12	so everybody in the 320-acre unit would get their
13	proportionate share on an acreage basis on the royalty from
14	the gas zones.
15	Q. Okay, let me rephrase it. Would the surface
16	location, regardless and in this instance it's not
17	within a lease would the surface location be obligated
18	to share any of the mineral rights?
19	A. Well, let me say one thing, and maybe that will
20	answer your question.
21	Q. Okay.
22	A. If the wellbore intersects the Delaware or
23	another zone that's spaced on 40 acres, then the owner of
24	that 40 acres, wherever the wellbore intersects it, will
25	receive all the royalty. So the oil zones would be paid

- - -

- - -

1	royalty on a 40-acre basis, depending on the 40-acre tract
2	that's encountered in that portion of the wellbore.
3	So if the Delaware zone was encountered in the 40
4	acres to the west of the 93 location, then the BLM would
5	get all the royalty from the Delaware. Is that
6	Q. Okay, like I said, I took myself out of the
7	potash area here. I know you're bringing it back to this.
8	A. Yeah.
9	Q. Would the BLM require a commercial lease for use
10	of their surface to obtain fee minerals?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Okay. Have you ever been under an obligation
13	where you had to do that before, with either state lands or
14	federal lands, to get fee acreage and taking a commercial
15	lease out from either of those two agencies, State Land
16	Office or the BLM?
17	A. In my experience we've always had existing leases
18	for that purpose. I mean, we had the leases before the
19	well was drilled, so we didn't have to propose the well and
20	then go buy the leases for the purpose of that well. The
21	leases were in place.
22	Q. And in our growing day of no surface occupancy,
23	even on fee acreage we're seeing more and more of this
24	A. Uh-huh.
25	Q would you agree?

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Okay. Now, let's refer back to Exhibit Number 1
3	and this particular location of your well that you have
4	chosen, 660-660. Would that be the maximum distance that
5	you could be from a leased potash boundary?
6	A. Well, if we're on this, at the current at the
7	location that's proposed, is the optimal location.
8	Q. Optimal for what?
9	A. Optimal for recovery of oil and gas resources
10	under that 40-acre tract. There may be another location on
11	that 40-acre lease. I'm not prepared to designate any
12	other alternate location on that lease, but on the 40-acre
13	lease, at a legal location, would not go far. I can assume
14	that it would be acceptable for the recovery of the oil and
15	gas resources.
16	Q. Okay. Well, I'm work with me here.
17	A. Okay.
18	Q. This location, is that the furtherest distance
19	you can be from any of the IMC potash Carlsbad lease with
20	that well? No matter where you put it on that particular
21	40 acres, you can only be 660 from any of the blue
22	designated area; is that correct?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. Even if you move straight north, you still can
25	only be 660 from that blue line; is that correct?

1	A. We still would be 660 from the blue line.
2	Q. That's what I was getting at, okay.
3	Okay, let's go back to your APD, and this was
4	filed in April; is that correct?
5	A. August
6	Q. Okay, refer to Exhibit Number 3, second page.
7	A. Well, the I see that there is an April date.
8	Is that the bottom of page 1 of the permit?
9	Q. Yes.
10	A. It wasn't sent to the OCD until August.
11	Q. Until August, okay. And who else got notice of
12	this proposed APD? You sent copies to the potash; is that
13	correct, as I understand it?
14	A. Yes, it's required under R-111-P.
15	Q. And they have under that R-111-P, do you
16	recollect what the time period that a potash company had to
17	object, or anybody had to object?
18	A. I was told by the OCD office in Artesia, Mr.
19	Arrant, that they had that R-111-P has a time period of,
20	I believe, 21 days.
21	Q. But regardless, it was not approved until
22	September 15th, I believe?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. Yes, September 15th, referring to Exhibit Number
25	4.
I	

- - -

-

49

And on September 17th was when -- the objection 1 from the potash company. But regardless, the APD, as I 2 understand, was dismissed. What did the Artesia office do 3 then? 4 Well, they sent me a signed APD. And then after 5 Α. they received the potash company's letter, the OCD wrote me 6 a letter that said they rescinded the permit. 7 So that's why we're here today; is that correct? 8 Q. 9 Α. Correct. Mr. Bailey, when did Bass Enterprises obtain the 10 Q. James Ranch Unit? 11 12 Α. I don't know the exact date, but it was -- the unit was probably formed -- I don't know when the leases 13 14 were acquired, exactly. 15 I don't know the exact date of the unit, the effective date of the unit. I believe it was in the late 16 17 1950s. 18 Q. Okay, but when did Bass Enterprises become 19 operator of the James Ranch --20 Α. At that -- when it was made effective. 21 Q. When it was made effective. 22 Uh-huh. Α. 23 So Bass Enterprises had control in the early Q. 1980s when Belco drilled the Number 14 well; is that 24 25 correct?

-	
1	A. Right. Well, Belco operated several wells in
2	this area under a farmout agreement from Bass.
3	EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. I have no other
4	questions.
5	Any other redirect, Mr. Carr?
6	MR. CARR: No, sir, Mr. Stogner.
7	EXAMINER STOGNER: Any other questions of Mr.
8	Bailey?
9	MR. HIGH: Just a couple.
10	FURTHER EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. HIGH:
12	Q. Mr. Bailey, referring back to your map, Number 1,
13	Bass does own the oil and gas leases covering the entire
14	Section 6, does it not?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And with respect to the correspondence that you
17	testified about with the OCD, did you ever see any
18	correspondence where the OCD had asked the State Land
19	Office whether or not this proposed location was in any
20	LMR? Did you see any of that correspondence?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Do you know whether any correspondence like that
23	even exists?
24	A. I don't know.
25	Q. Did you see any correspondence between the OCD

-

-	
1	and the BLM inquiring as to whether or not, according to
2	the BLM records, this proposed location was in any potash-
3	operated LMR?
4	A. Not that I know of.
5	Q. And if those documents show that this proposed
6	location is, in fact, in an LMR, do you know what R-111-P
7	says?
8	A. I would have to see the exact to refer back to
9	it, to get the exact language.
10	Q. Okay, you don't know that R-111-P says that no
11	oil and gas well can be drilled in any potash LMR without
12	its approval?
13	You don't know that it says that?
14	A. It says several things. I can't recite
15	everything that it says. It says many things.
16	MR. HIGH: All right, that's all I have.
17	MR. CARR: Well, maybe one follow-up.
18	EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Carr?
19	FURTHER EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. CARR:
21	Q. If we look at this correspondence, the
22	correspondence from IMC, the objection letter, which was
23	Bass Exhibit Number 5, do you have that, Mr. Bailey?
24	A. The OCD letter
25	Q. Yes no, I'm sorry

1	A or the IMC letter?
2	Q the IMC letter.
3	A. Yes, I have that.
4	Q. If we look at that letter, IMC objected because
5	it said the APD was within an LMR.
6	Do you see that?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. It also then goes on and quotes R-111-P, which
9	states, "Any application to drill in the LMR area,
10	including buffer zones, may be approved only by mutual
11	agreement of the lessor and lessees of both potash and oil
12	and gas interests."
13	Do you see that?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. In this case, do you have a mutual agreement
16	between the owner of both the oil and gas and the potash?
17	A. Yes.
18	MR. CARR: Thank you.
19	EXAMINER STOGNER: Any other questions of Mr.
20	Bailey?
21	MR. HIGH: No, we have nothing.
22	EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Bailey, you may be excused
23	at this time.
24	MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, at this
25	time I call William Dannels.

.

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

1	WILLIAM R. DANNELS,
2	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
3	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. CARR:
6	Q. Would you state your full name for the record,
7	please?
8	A. William Ray Dannels.
9	Q. Mr. Dannels, spell your last name.
10	A. D-a-n-n-e-l-s.
11	Q. By whom are you employed?
12	A. Bass Enterprises Production Company.
13	Q. And what is your current position with Bass
14	Enterprises Production Company?
15	A. I'm the division drilling superintendent for
16	Bass's west Texas division, located in Midland, Texas.
17	Q. Does your area of responsibility also include the
18	drilling of wells within the James Ranch unit area?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Have you previously testified before the New
21	Mexico Oil Conservation Division?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Could you summarize your educational background
24	for Mr. Stogner?
25	A. I have a natural gas engineering degree from
I	

Texas A&I University, now called Texas A&M, at Kingsville, 1 Texas. 2 Following graduation from --0. 3 I graduated in May 1973. Α. 4 And for whom have you worked? 5 ο. I worked for -- out of school, I went to work in 6 Α. June of 1973 for Texaco. I worked in New Iberia, 7 Louisiana, for six and a half years before I moved on and 8 began my work with Bass. 9 Would you review the nature of the work you've 0. 10 been called on to do --11 With Texaco I worked with the -- as an engineer 12 Α. 13 in the production, the drilling and the reservoir 14 department those six and a half years. 15 While on the -- with Bass, I worked from 1979 until 1993 in Bass's Gulf Coast division out of New 16 Orleans, working the Gulf Coast areas, and then I have --17 got transferred in 1993 to Midland, in which they 18 19 transferred me as the west Texas drilling superintendent. 20 In this role are you responsible for the design Q. and development of drilling programs on an individual well 21 22 basis? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Q. Have you designed drilling programs for other 25 wells that Bass has drilled in the oil/potash area?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Are you familiar with the Application filed on
3	behalf of Bass in this case?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And are you familiar with Bass's plans for the
6	drilling of the James Ranch Unit Well Number 93?
7	A. Yes.
8	MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, I tender
9	Mr. Dannels as an expert drilling engineer.
10	EXAMINER STOGNER: Any objection?
11	MR. HIGH: I didn't hear any experience with
12	respect to directional drilling. May I ask some questions
13	about that
14	MR. CARR: Sure, go ahead.
15	MR. HIGH: please? I assume that's the
16	purpose of the offer, isn't it?
17	MR. CARR: Well, we're going to have him review
18	the drilling program as proposed for this well, but he has
19	experience
20	MR. HIGH: Ask him about directional drilling.
21	Q. (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Dannels, do you have
22	experience with directional drilling?
23	A. Yes, both in the Gulf Coast and in west Texas.
24	MR. HIGH: All right, if it's only for this
25	the well as proposed, I have no questions.

MR. CARR: I would like him also qualified if
there are any questions concerning the issues that relate
to directional drilling, because he
EXAMINER STOGNER: I'll tell you what, ask him if
he has any experience with directional drilling since he's
been in Midland and after 1993.
Q. (By Mr. Carr) Do you have any experience with
directional drilling since being in Midland in 1993?
A. Yes, sir.
MR. CARR: I tender him as an expert, Mr.
Stogner, as an expert drilling engineer, both for vertical
wells and directional drilling, if anybody has a question
on that.
EXAMINER STOGNER: With that, since 1993
(Laughter)
MR. CARR: With that, since 1993
EXAMINER STOGNER: $$ so qualified.
Q. (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Dannels, are you familiar with
the cementing and casing provisions of Order Number
R-111-P?
A. Yes.
Q. Let's go to what has been marked for
identification as Bass Exhibit Number 11. Identify this,
please.
A. This is the drilling schematic that was not

B

prepared by me, but I -- since I've been on vacation, but 1 anyway --2 Have you reviewed this? 3 Q. I have reviewed it. 4 Α. And --5 Q. -- and it is correct --6 Α. 7 All right. Q. -- essentially correct. 8 A. Would you review the proposed -- Bass's proposal 9 Q. for drilling the James Ranch Unit Well Number 93 as a 10 vertical well? 11 We'll start off drilling a surface hole, being Α. 12 17 1/2 inches, to 600 feet where we'll set 13-3/8 casing. 13 At 600 feet we'll be into the Rustler, and we'll protect 14 all water-bearing zones, surface water-bearing zones, and 15 we will cement to surface. 16 From then we will drill a 12-1/4 hole, which is 17 18 called our salt-protection string, to 4040 feet, which is 19 below the salt section and below the potash section. We'll 20 set 9-5/8 and cement to surface. 21 From that point we'll drill down with an 8-3/4 22 hole where we propose to set our 7-inch protection 23 production string at 12,000 feet, and we need this for 24 pressure purposes. And we will also cement it to surface 25 using a DV tool at 8000 feet.

1	A DV tool is used for two-stage cementing. I
2	don't know if I need to go into that.
3	And then to get to 14,900 feet we'll drill a
4	6-1/8-inch hole and set a 4-1/2-inch production liner, and
5	that will be cemented with a 300-foot overlap into our
6	7-inch.
7	Q. Mr. Dannels, does this well, as proposed, in your
8	opinion, comply with the cementing and casing provisions of
9	Order Number R-111-P?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Will Bass provide notice of any work on this well
12	so it can be witnessed by IMC or Mosaic representatives to
13	assure that it is drilled and completed in accordance with
14	the provisions of this order?
15	A. Yes, we can do that. Normally, the NMOCD is our
16	contact, but if that's necessary we can call in to
17	Q. Do you have anything further to add to your
18	testimony?
19	A. No.
20	MR. CARR: At this time, Mr. Stogner, we move the
21	admission into evidence of Bass Exhibit Number 11.
22	EXAMINER STOGNER: Any objection?
23	MR. HIGH: No objection.
24	EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibit Number 11 is admitted
25	into evidence at this time.

. •. x - 4

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

MR. CARR: And that concludes my direct 1 examination of this witness. 2 EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. High, your witness. 3 MR. HIGH: No cross. 4 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, Mr. Bruce? 5 MR. BRUCE: No questions, Mr. Examiner. 6 7 EXAMINER STOGNER: I don't have any questions of Mr. Dannels at this time. Any questions? You may be 8 9 excused. MR. CARR: Mr. Examiner, at this time we'd call 10 Mr. Stacey Mills. 11 EXAMINER STOGNER: Are we going into the Devon --12 MR. CARR: No, this is a mineral owner under the 13 40 acres on which Bass proposes to drill. 14 STACEY C. MILLS, 15 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 16 17 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CARR: 19 20 0. Would you state your full name for the record, 21 please? 22 Α. Stacey Carroll Mills. Mr. Mills, where do you reside? 23 Q. Southeast of Carlsbad, Eddy County, New Mexico. 24 Α. 25 Q. Do you own a mineral interest in the 40 acres on

60

1	which Bass	is proposing to drill?
2	Α.	Yes, sir.
3	Q.	Is your mineral interest confined to that 40
4	acres, bei	ng the northeast quarter of the northeast quarter
5	of Section	7?
6	Α.	No.
7	Q.	Do you own other mineral interests under the
8	north half	of the section?
9	Α.	No.
10	Q.	So we're talking about your interest under this
11	40-acre tr	ract; is that correct?
12	Α.	Yes, sir.
13	Q.	Tell us how you acquired that interest.
14	Α.	How I acquired the interest, well, the surface.
15	I own the	ranch. It's leased to Bass Enterprises. It was
16	leased to	Belco in 1981.
17	Q.	And who actually leased the property?
18	Α.	My grandfather.
19	Q.	And how do you hold it now? As a partnership in
20	your famil	·Υ?
21	Α.	Family partnership.
22	Q.	You own the surface.
23		Do you also own the mineral rights under that
24	tract?	
25	A.	Yes, sir.

l

ι

Would you be the owner, then, of potash rights Q. 1 under that acreage? 2 Yes, sir. 3 Α. Are you here speaking for not only yourself but 4 Q. other members of your family? 5 That's correct. Α. 6 It was leased in 1981 to Belco, now Bass. Since 7 **Q**. that time, have any of the minerals on this acreage been 8 9 developed? Α. No, sir. 10 No gas wells have been drilled? 11 Q. No. 12 Α. Has IMC attempted to lease your potash interests? 13 Q. No, sir. 14 Α. You're aware that Bass is proposing to drill a 15 Q. Morrow well on this acreage, or well to the Morrow and 16 17 other formations on your acreage? 18 Α. Yes. Have you agreed or reached an agreement with Bass 19 Q. 20 concerning how your minerals on this acreage should be developed? 21 22 Yes, sir. Α. And what is that agreement? 23 Q. 24 They're going to drill a well, vertical well, off Α. 25 that 40 down to the Morrow.

> STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

1	Q. And do you prefer for them to do this as opposed
2	to developing the potash under the acreage?
3	A. Yes, sir.
4	Q. What has been your experience with the potash
5	development under this land?
6	A. No experience at all.
7	Q. Has anyone ever proposed that they lease your
8	property?
9	A. No.
10	Q. That they go in and mine this potash?
11	A. No, sir.
12	Q. If you're not if this well isn't drilled on
13	this acreage, are you going to be able to recover any of
14	the minerals under this 40-acre tract?
15	A. I don't expect so.
16	Q. Is it your desire that as the owner of potash
17	rights and the lessor of the oil and gas leases under this
18	tract, that the oil and gas minerals be developed first and
19	in preference to the potash reserves?
20	A. Yes, sir.
21	Q. Do you support Bass in its Application to drill
22	this well?
23	A. Yes, I do.
24	MR. CARR: That's all I have.
25	EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. High?

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

i

		64
1		CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. HIG	GH:
3	Q.	Mr. Mills, how large is your ranch?
4	Α.	About 75,000 acres
5	Q.	And
6	Α.	mostly federal land.
7	Q.	a lot of that's BLM grazing rights?
8	А.	Yes, sir.
9	Q.	Okay. And how much of that 75,000 acres is fee
10	land?	
11	Α.	Three percent.
12	Q.	Three percent?
13	А.	Yes, sir.
14	Q.	And is that is it all contiguous, the three
15	percent?	
16	Α.	No, sir.
17	Q.	There's other parts, other than this 40 acres
18	we're tal	king about in this case?
19	Α.	That's correct.
20	Q.	The piece that we're talking about here, is it
21	just a	one 40-acre section, or piece, I mean?
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	And how long have you owned that one, that piece
24	of land?	
25	Α.	Since 1976.

λ. ż

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

_	
1	Q. Do you know how far away from your ranch it is to
2	where potash mining is taking place now?
3	A. I've recently been told, yes.
4	Q. Before that time
5	A. Before that time, I had no idea.
6	Q. You never looked around to see
7	A. I know there's mine shafts, yes. The nearest
8	shaft to this piece of property is five or six miles.
9	Q. Can you see it from your property?
10	A. No.
11	Q. So there's mining taking place now, how far from
12	your ranch?
13	A. That's the extent of my knowledge, is where the
14	shaft is. I don't know how far the tunnels extend.
15	Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not there's any
16	potash on your ranch?
17	A. No, sir, no idea.
18	Q. To your knowledge, has there been any core holes
19	drilled on your ranch to see if there's any potash down
20	there?
21	A. I know there have been core holes drilled a long
22	time ago, yes.
23	Q. All right, but you've never
24	A. You can see the stakes.
25	Q. You never looked at the results of those to see

Ţ

_	
1	if there's any potash ore down there?
2	A. No, sir.
3	Q. Do you know what the value of that potash might
4	be under your ranch?
5	A. No, sir.
6	Q. Would you like to know?
7	A. Sure.
8	MR. HIGH: Okay, thank you very much. That's
9	all.
10	EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Bruce?
11	MR. BRUCE: No questions.
12	MR. CARR: No questions.
13	EXAMINATION
14	BY EXAMINER STOGNER:
15	Q. Okay, you said that this little 40-acre portion
16	became part of your ranch in 1976; is that correct?
17	A. Yes, sir.
18	Q. Okay. Has any of the potash companies or anybody
19	approached you on leasing the potash since 1976?
20	A. Not to my knowledge, never, no.
21	Q. Okay. Now, have you always had an oil and gas
22	lease since you've owned it?
23	A. The first oil and gas lease that I'm aware of was
24	to Belco in 1981.
25	Q. 1981.

. . .

Α. Yes, sir. 1 And -- that you were aware of? Q. 2 Yes, sir. 3 Α. Okay. Has any company like Belco or Bass 4 Q. approached you -- Let me rephrase this. 5 Is this the first time that you have been 6 approached by an oil and gas company to actually drill on 7 this 40-acre tract? 8 Α. Yes. 9 So nobody has ever addressed or approached you to ο. 10 form a -- or having this little 40-acre tract be a drilling 11 12 island? No, sir. 13 Α. EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, I have no other 14 questions of Mr. Mills. 15 Do you have any questions? 16 MS. MacQUESTEN: No questions, thank you. 17 EXAMINER STOGNER: You may be excused. 18 MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, this 19 concludes the Bass portion of the case, and I'm prepared to 20 move into Devon's presentation. 21 22 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. Mr. High, is this 23 efficient to you, to go on to the Devon, or would you like to call a witness with respect to just the Bass 24 25 Enterprises?

MR. HIGH: We can do all at one time, can't we? 1 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. 2 MR. HIGH: I think it would be probably easier, 3 4 we'll just --5 MR. CARR: Okay. MR. HIGH: -- them go on to the next one, and 6 we'll put all ours on at one time. 7 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, I'd like to take a 10-8 minute recess at this time, before we get on to Devon. 9 10 (Thereupon, a recess was taken at 11:10 a.m.) 11 (The following proceedings had at 11:25 a.m.) 12 EXAMINER STOGNER: This hearing will come to order. 13 Mr. Carr? 14 MR. CARR: Mr. Stogner, at this time we would 15 call Ken Gray. 16 17 KENNETH H. GRAY, the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 18 19 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CARR: 21 22 0. Would you state your full name for the record? 23 Yes, my name is Ken Gray. Α. Spell your last name, please. 24 Q. 25 Α. G-r-a-y.

68

Where do you reside? Q. 1 I reside in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. 2 Α. By whom are you employed? 3 0. I'm employed as a landman by Devon Energy Α. 4 Production Company. 5 Mr. Gray, have you previously testified before Q. 6 this Division? 7 Yes, I have. Α. 8 At the time of that testimony, were your Q. 9 credentials as an expert in petroleum land matters accepted 10 and made a matter of record? 11 12 Α. Yes, they were. Are you familiar with the Applications filed in 13 Q. each of these cases on behalf of Devon Energy Production 14 Company? 15 Yes, I am. 16 Α. Are you familiar with the status of the lands 17 Q. involved in this matter? 18 Yes, I am. 19 Α. 20 And are you knowledgeable and have participated Q. in Devon's discussions and the development of plans for the 21 22 drilling of the two wells that are the subject of these cases? 23 Yes, I am. 24 Α. 25 MR. CARR: Are the witness's qualifications

> STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

Г	
1	acceptable?
2	EXAMINER STOGNER: Any objection?
3	MR. HIGH: No objection.
4	EXAMINER STOGNER: So qualified.
5	Q. (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Gray, would you briefly state
6	what Devon seeks in these cases?
7	A. We're seeking authorization to drill two wells in
8	the potash area, as defined by the Division Order R-111-P,
9	and we are also seeking approval of an well, it's not
10	unorthodox anymore.
11	MR. CARR: Actually, Mr. Stogner, we ought to
12	probably dismiss a portion of Case 13,372 as it relates to
13	an unorthodox well location. This is only for a deep gas
14	well, and that location is standard for a Devonian gas
15	well, so that portion of the case could also be dismissed.
16	EXAMINER STOGNER: I'll take notice of that and
17	issue an order accordingly.
18	Q. (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Gray, both of the wells you're
19	talking about, you're proposing to drill from locations in
20	the southwest quarter of the northwest quarter of Section
21	24, 22 South, 30 East; is that correct?
22	A. That's correct.
23	Q. One is to the Delaware?
24	A. Correct.
25	Q. And one is to the Devonian?

Yes. 1 Α. Would you identify what has been marked as Devon 2 0. Exhibit Number 1? 3 Yeah, Devon Exhibit Number 1 is basically a Α. 4 snapshot of the 1993 or 1994 BLM map identifying the 5 distribution of potash resources in the potash area. Also 6 shown on that plat are Devon's leases in yellow, the WIPP 7 site is outlined in green, and then the various potash 8 mines also indicated first and second mined areas in 9 different shades of yellow. 10 And the 40-acre tract on which you propose to Q. 11 drill these wells is in Section 24, immediately -- the 12 section immediately west of the WIPP site; is that correct? 13 Yes, it is. Α. 14 This acreage is kind of shaded yellow and striped 15 0. yellow and blue. What does that mean? It's not shown in 16 17 the key. The cross-hached on the Devon acreage, it was an 18 Α. 19 internal thing that we did at one time, and my recollection 20 is that we were trying to identify certain leases in the potash area that did not contain potash stipulations. 21 Ι 22 think that the cross-hach on Devon's acreage really doesn't 23 have any bearing to these cases, but --24 And on this map you've shown areas where there's Q. 25 inferred potash, indicated potash, barren potash,

information of that nature. What is the source of that? 1 The source of this is from the BLM, the latest Α. 2 version of the BLM map that I had, which was 1993 or -4. 3 All right, Mr. Gray, let's go to Exhibit 2. Q. 4 EXAMINER STOGNER: I'll tell you what, before we 5 do, I want to go back -- I want to stay on Exhibit Number 1 6 here. 7 MR. CARR: Okay. 8 EXAMINER STOGNER: See, with today's imaging, and 9 even with my eyeballs, I want to make sure that we get 10 11 things straight here. I'm looking in 22 South, 30 East. 12 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. 13 EXAMINER STOGNER: In particular, Sections 13, 24 14 and 26, the cross-hached area, as he was talking. That is 15 a deep yellow hachured mark; is that correct? Is that what 16 17 you're showing? 18 THE WITNESS: Well, what we're intending to show 19 in Sections 13, 24 and 25 were Devon leases in yellow. 20 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. 21 THE WITNESS: The cross-hached on those leases, as I said before, was a process that we went through a year 22 or so ago trying to identify --23 24 EXAMINER STOGNER: Well, you're making too much 25 of a complicated -- Let's talk about colors.

2010 N. 1

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

They really don't have any bearing, 1 THE WITNESS: the cross-hach --2 EXAMINER STOGNER: Well, yeah, they do, and 3 you'll see where I'm getting. 4 5 THE WITNESS: Okay. EXAMINER STOGNER: I'm talking about Sections 13, 6 7 24 and 26. That yellow coloring is leases; is that 8 correct? THE WITNESS: Those are Devon leases, right. 9 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. Also, now, if I go down 10 to Section 33 and 35, which appear also to be yellow --11 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 12 EXAMINER STOGNER: -- those are actual mine? 13 THE WITNESS: Those are -- That's right. 14 15 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, so these -- You see 16 where the confusion could come into play, especially where 17 we have imaging. 18 THE WITNESS: Right. 19 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, Sections thirteen, 20 twenty-four and twenty- --21 THE WITNESS: Twenty-five. 22 EXAMINER STOGNER: -- you're not depicting as 23 any --24 THE WITNESS: NO --25 EXAMINER STOGNER: -- mined area?

THE WITNESS: -- those are Devon leases, and I 1 think that will be a little bit more clear on our next 2 exhibit. 3 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, I'm -- You see where I 4 5 just want to get things clear. THE WITNESS: Right. 6 (By Mr. Carr) The cross-hached area, that shows 7 Q. Devon leases, correct? 8 9 Α. Well, and some --And they're shown in the key? 10 Q. Right. Α. 11 I didn't see that before. MR. CARR: 12 EXAMINER STOGNER: In the key. 13 THE WITNESS: Right. 14 (By Mr. Carr) Second one down shows the diagonal 15 Q. lines, and that indicates Devon leases as depicted on this 16 map; is that right, Mr. Gray? 17 18 Α. Yes. And then if you go down in the index, down in the 19 **Q**. 20 lower left of the exhibit, it shows first and second mined areas also in yellow, but those are solid yellow? 21 22 Α. Right. 23 MR. CARR: Okay. 24 EXAMINER STOGNER: And even a different shade of 25 yellow, it looks like too.

So if any- -- for future reference, if anybody 1 refers to this map or looks at it, make sure you look at 2 the key, because it can get a little confusing with the 3 pastel colors being similar to what you might be looking 4 at. 5 MR. HIGH: Well, Mr. Examiner, I think it's more 6 than confusing, it's just dead wrong. This is not a 7 representation of the BLM map unless Devon's willing to 8 stipulate that the hached mark here that they're saying is, 9 in fact, shown as blue on the BLM map --10 EXAMINER STOGNER: I didn't mean to make this as 11 complicated as it was. I understood this would be a 12 photographic inventation of the 1986 --13 MR. CARR: Correct, and it's being offered only 14 to give general orientation as to the Devon leases in 13, 15 16 24 and 25, in regard to the WIPP site --17 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. 18 MR. CARR: -- and it shows mining in the area as we understand it to be. 19 20 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, so understanding that 21 it's a generalized map for references only, just pay attention to the coloring codes, is what I was getting at. 22 23 MR. HIGH: Only if they're willing to stipulate that with respect to Sections 13 and 24 shown on their 24 Exhibit Number 1, that the areas of the hach marks, if they 25

1	will stipulate that is shown as blue measured ore on the
2	BLM map, then I'll
3	MR. CARR: Mr. Stogner, if you look at the code
4	at the bottom, the last block is blue? It says "Measured
5	Potash Reserves". We agree with that.
6	MR. HIGH: Okay.
7	MR. CARR: We just put our leases over that with
8	the yellow lines.
9	MR. HIGH: With that stipulation, I don't have
10	any problem with it.
11	EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, so stipulated, and let's
12	move on.
13	Q. (By Mr. Carr) Let's go to Exhibit Number 2.
14	Would you identify and review this, please?
15	A. Yeah, Exhibit Number 2 is basically a nine-
16	section plat indicating Devon's acreage in yellow. The
17	yellow acreage are basically covered by three federal
18	leases owned 100 percent by Devon. There's a red tract,
19	pink, I guess you'd call it, in the southwest of the
20	northwest, which is a Devon-owned oil and gas lease, 100-
21	percent, on private land.
22	The WIPP site is it's obvious the WIPP site
23	begins right there where it says "W.I.P.P. SITE." It's to
24	the east. The James Ranch Unit outline is in green dashes.
25	There's the location of all the wells that have been

1	drilled to date on the federal leases, the location of the
2	two wells that's the subject of this hearing today, on the
3	fee lease.
4	And then last but not least, the blue cross-
5	hached lines represent potash leases as far as the federal
6	abstract records are concerned, and the red cross-haching
7	are lands that are not leased for potash per the federal
8	abstract records.
9	Q. Mr. Gray, do you have any information on where
10	IMC is mining at this time in regard to this acreage?
11	A. I don't have specific, but it's about a mile and
12	a half or two miles to the south and west, I believe.
13	Q. Would you identify what is marked as Devon
14	Exhibit 3?
15	A. Yeah, Exhibit Number 3 are the four fee oil and
16	gas leases that Devon owns, has acquired, covering the
17	southwest quarter of the northwest quarter of Section 24,
18	22 South, 30 East.
19	Q. The lessee was originally Verne Dwyer on each of
20	these leases?
21	A. He bought those on our behalf, and we've since
22	been assigned those leases.
23	Q. Do these four leases together represent 100
24	percent of the oil and gas rights on this 40-acre tract?
25	A. Yes, they do.

1Q. And what Devon is proposing to do here today is2use this 40-acre tract and drill at least two wells from3this acreage?4A. That's correct.5Q. I'd like to take a minute and ask you to review6with us the status of each of the two wells, and I'd like7you to first identify what is contained in Devon Exhibit8Number 4.9A. Yeah, Exhibit Number 4 is the cover page for the10State application for permit to drill, identifying the11lease type being a private lease, the proposed total depth,12the formation, surface location, which is a regular13location, and then some casing- and cementing-type14Q. The first page is the application for permit to15drill the Number 6 well?16A. Correct.17Q. And that's the Delaware well that you propose to18drill as a straight well?19A. That's correct.20Q. Attached to this page from the APD are there21other documents from Devon's file concerning this well?22A. Yes, there are.23Q. Forms and correspondence?24A. Yes.25Q. Are these files that are kept by you?		
 this acreage? A. That's correct. Q. I'd like to take a minute and ask you to review with us the status of each of the two wells, and I'd like you to first identify what is contained in Devon Exhibit Number 4. A. Yeah, Exhibit Number 4 is the cover page for the State application for permit to drill, identifying the lease type being a private lease, the proposed total depth, the formation, surface location, which is a regular location, and then some casing- and cementing-type Q. The first page is the application for permit to drill the Number 6 well? A. Correct. Q. And that's the Delaware well that you propose to drill as a straight well? A. That's correct. Q. Attached to this page from the AFD are there other documents from Devon's file concerning this well? A. Yes, there are. Q. Forms and correspondence? A. Yes. 	1	Q. And what Devon is proposing to do here today is
 A. That's correct. Q. I'd like to take a minute and ask you to review with us the status of each of the two wells, and I'd like you to first identify what is contained in Devon Exhibit Number 4. A. Yeah, Exhibit Number 4 is the cover page for the State application for permit to drill, identifying the lease type being a private lease, the proposed total depth, the formation, surface location, which is a regular location, and then some casing- and cementing-type Q. The first page is the application for permit to drill the Number 6 well? A. Correct. Q. And that's the Delaware well that you propose to drill as a straight well? A. That's correct. Q. Attached to this page from the APD are there other documents from Devon's file concerning this well? A. Yes, there are. Q. Forms and correspondence? A. Yes. 	2	use this 40-acre tract and drill at least two wells from
5Q. I'd like to take a minute and ask you to review6with us the status of each of the two wells, and I'd like7you to first identify what is contained in Devon Exhibit8Number 4.9A. Yeah, Exhibit Number 4 is the cover page for the10state application for permit to drill, identifying the11lease type being a private lease, the proposed total depth,12the formation, surface location, which is a regular13location, and then some casing- and cementing-type14Q. The first page is the application for permit to15drill the Number 6 well?16A. Correct.17Q. And that's the Delaware well that you propose to18drill as a straight well?19A. That's correct.20Q. Attached to this page from the APD are there21other documents from Devon's file concerning this well?22A. Yes, there are.23Q. Forms and correspondence?24A. Yes.	3	this acreage?
 with us the status of each of the two wells, and I'd like you to first identify what is contained in Devon Exhibit Number 4. A. Yeah, Exhibit Number 4 is the cover page for the state application for permit to drill, identifying the lease type being a private lease, the proposed total depth, the formation, surface location, which is a regular location, and then some casing- and cementing-type Q. The first page is the application for permit to drill the Number 6 well? A. Correct. Q. And that's the Delaware well that you propose to drill as a straight well? A. That's correct. Q. Attached to this page from the APD are there other documents from Devon's file concerning this well? A. Yes, there are. Q. Forms and correspondence? A. Yes. 	4	A. That's correct.
 you to first identify what is contained in Devon Exhibit Number 4. A. Yeah, Exhibit Number 4 is the cover page for the State application for permit to drill, identifying the lease type being a private lease, the proposed total depth, the formation, surface location, which is a regular location, and then some casing- and cementing-type Q. The first page is the application for permit to drill the Number 6 well? A. Correct. Q. And that's the Delaware well that you propose to drill as a straight well? A. That's correct. Q. Attached to this page from the APD are there other documents from Devon's file concerning this well? A. Yes, there are. Q. Forms and correspondence? A. Yes. 	5	Q. I'd like to take a minute and ask you to review
 Number 4. A. Yeah, Exhibit Number 4 is the cover page for the State application for permit to drill, identifying the lease type being a private lease, the proposed total depth, the formation, surface location, which is a regular location, and then some casing- and cementing-type Q. The first page is the application for permit to drill the Number 6 well? A. Correct. Q. And that's the Delaware well that you propose to drill as a straight well? A. That's correct. Q. Attached to this page from the APD are there other documents from Devon's file concerning this well? A. Yes, there are. Q. Forms and correspondence? A. Yes. 	6	with us the status of each of the two wells, and I'd like
 A. Yeah, Exhibit Number 4 is the cover page for the State application for permit to drill, identifying the lease type being a private lease, the proposed total depth, the formation, surface location, which is a regular location, and then some casing- and cementing-type Q. The first page is the application for permit to drill the Number 6 well? A. Correct. Q. And that's the Delaware well that you propose to drill as a straight well? A. That's correct. Q. Attached to this page from the APD are there other documents from Devon's file concerning this well? A. Yes, there are. Q. Forms and correspondence? A. Yes. 	7	you to first identify what is contained in Devon Exhibit
 State application for permit to drill, identifying the lease type being a private lease, the proposed total depth, the formation, surface location, which is a regular location, and then some casing- and cementing-type Q. The first page is the application for permit to drill the Number 6 well? A. Correct. Q. And that's the Delaware well that you propose to drill as a straight well? A. That's correct. Q. Attached to this page from the APD are there other documents from Devon's file concerning this well? A. Yes. 	8	Number 4.
11 lease type being a private lease, the proposed total depth, 12 the formation, surface location, which is a regular 13 location, and then some casing- and cementing-type 14 Q. The first page is the application for permit to 15 drill the Number 6 well? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. And that's the Delaware well that you propose to 18 drill as a straight well? 19 A. That's correct. 20 Q. Attached to this page from the APD are there 21 other documents from Devon's file concerning this well? 22 A. Yes, there are. 23 Q. Forms and correspondence? 24 A. Yes.	9	A. Yeah, Exhibit Number 4 is the cover page for the
the formation, surface location, which is a regular location, and then some casing- and cementing-type Q. The first page is the application for permit to drill the Number 6 well? A. Correct. Q. And that's the Delaware well that you propose to drill as a straight well? A. That's correct. Q. Attached to this page from the APD are there other documents from Devon's file concerning this well? A. Yes, there are. Q. Forms and correspondence? A. Yes.	10	State application for permit to drill, identifying the
 location, and then some casing- and cementing-type Q. The first page is the application for permit to drill the Number 6 well? A. Correct. Q. And that's the Delaware well that you propose to drill as a straight well? A. That's correct. Q. Attached to this page from the APD are there other documents from Devon's file concerning this well? A. Yes, there are. Q. Forms and correspondence? A. Yes. 	11	lease type being a private lease, the proposed total depth,
 Q. The first page is the application for permit to drill the Number 6 well? A. Correct. Q. And that's the Delaware well that you propose to drill as a straight well? A. That's correct. Q. Attached to this page from the APD are there other documents from Devon's file concerning this well? A. Yes, there are. Q. Forms and correspondence? A. Yes. 	12	the formation, surface location, which is a regular
drill the Number 6 well? A. Correct. Q. And that's the Delaware well that you propose to drill as a straight well? A. That's correct. Q. Attached to this page from the APD are there other documents from Devon's file concerning this well? A. Yes, there are. Q. Forms and correspondence? A. Yes.	13	location, and then some casing- and cementing-type
 A. Correct. Q. And that's the Delaware well that you propose to drill as a straight well? A. That's correct. Q. Attached to this page from the APD are there other documents from Devon's file concerning this well? A. Yes, there are. Q. Forms and correspondence? A. Yes. 	14	Q. The first page is the application for permit to
 Q. And that's the Delaware well that you propose to drill as a straight well? A. That's correct. Q. Attached to this page from the APD are there other documents from Devon's file concerning this well? A. Yes, there are. Q. Forms and correspondence? A. Yes. 	15	drill the Number 6 well?
 drill as a straight well? A. That's correct. Q. Attached to this page from the APD are there other documents from Devon's file concerning this well? A. Yes, there are. Q. Forms and correspondence? A. Yes. 	16	A. Correct.
 19 A. That's correct. 20 Q. Attached to this page from the APD are there 21 other documents from Devon's file concerning this well? 22 A. Yes, there are. 23 Q. Forms and correspondence? 24 A. Yes. 	17	Q. And that's the Delaware well that you propose to
 Q. Attached to this page from the APD are there other documents from Devon's file concerning this well? A. Yes, there are. Q. Forms and correspondence? A. Yes. 	18	drill as a straight well?
 21 other documents from Devon's file concerning this well? 22 A. Yes, there are. 23 Q. Forms and correspondence? 24 A. Yes. 	19	A. That's correct.
 A. Yes, there are. Q. Forms and correspondence? A. Yes. 	20	Q. Attached to this page from the APD are there
 23 Q. Forms and correspondence? 24 A. Yes. 	21	other documents from Devon's file concerning this well?
24 A. Yes.	22	A. Yes, there are.
	23	Q. Forms and correspondence?
25 Q. Are these files that are kept by you?	24	A. Yes.
	25	Q. Are these files that are kept by you?

	13
Α.	Yes.
Q.	Do you keep these for Devon?
Α.	Well, either in my files or the engineer's or
Q.	But are these records that are ordinarily kept in
the files	at Devon?
Α.	Yes.
Q.	And it's Devon's ordinary course of business to
keep thes	e documents?
Α.	Yes, it is.
Q.	And you're going to refer to these and simply
review the	e status of the APD and the events that ensued; is
that corr	ect?
Α.	That's correct.
Q.	All right, the well is drilled at what location?
А.	The well has been
Q.	Proposed?
Α.	Yeah, 1980 from the south or, excuse me, from
the north	line of Section 24 and 660 from the west line.
Q.	This is the center of the 40-acre tract?
Α.	Correct.
Q.	How deep do you propose to drill this well?
Α.	It would be approximately 7900 feet.
Q.	And you are proposing to test what formation?
Α.	The Delaware formation.
Q.	What is the spacing in the Delaware formation?
	Q. A. Q. the files A. Q. keep thes A. Q. review the that corre A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. A. Q. A.

1	Α.	It's on statewide 40-acre spacing.
2	Q.	And what are the well-location requirements on
3	the 40-ac	re spacing unit?
4	Α.	Not closer than 330 feet from the outer boundary
5	of the sp	acing unit.
6	Q.	So this is a standard location?
7	Α.	Yes, it is.
8	Q.	To your knowledge, does IMC or Mosaic Potash own
9	any miner	al rights under this 40-acre tract?
10	Α.	Not to my knowledge.
11	Q.	Did the Oil Conservation Division approve this
12	Applicati	.on?
13	Α.	Yes, they did.
14	Q.	If you refer to the second page of the
15	Applicati	on, at the top does it show that the Application
16	was, in f	act, approved?
17	Α.	It was approved on February the 19th of this
18	year.	
19	Q.	In terms of your getting this Application
20	approved,	I'd like you to turn to the next page go back
21	a couple	of pages. There is a letter in this file from the
22	Oil Conse	ervation Division dated January 21st, 2004. Do you
23	see that?	
24	Α.	Yes, I do.
25	Q.	Is that a document from the files of Devon?

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

vise 1 a
1
a
a
work
n
ır
•
n
10w?
ent

1	Q. And then it was, as we indicated, correct?
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. What is the next letter in this file?
4	A. The next letter is dated April the 12th, 2004,
5	from Dan Morehouse, objecting to the location of the Apache
6	24 Fee Number 6, which had already been approved by the
7	State.
8	Q. In that letter, Mr. Morehouse indicated that it
9	was in an area designated as measured ore; is that right?
10	A. Correct.
11	Q. And also indicated that their five-year mine plan
12	showed they might be mining within a quarter of a mile in
13	the year 2007?
14	A. That's right.
15	Q. What response did Devon actually receive to its
16	application for permit to drill, from IMC? Is this letter
17	it?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Did you respond to the letter from Mr. Morehouse?
20	A. Yes, I did.
21	Q. And is that letter also contained in this exhibit
22	packet?
23	A. Yes, it's a letter dated April the 20th, 2004.
24	We acknowledged his objection letter of April the 12 and
25	advised him that it was our determination that the 40 acres

1	in question and the location regarding the permit was under
2	private lands, is not currently leased for potash to IMC
3	and therefore not subject to their LMR designation, any
4	designation by the BLM or any five-year mine plan.
5	Q. And that was your understanding?
6	A. Yes, it was.
7	Q. Did you receive a letter from the Oil
8	Conservation Division in response to the IMC objection?
9	A. Not until September the 20th.
10	Q. And is that letter also in this information
11	packet?
12	A. Yes, it is.
13	Q. And what did the Oil Conservation Division do to
14	the APD?
15	A. It basically rescinded the Application per the
16	letter of objection from IMC, which Devon Energy received
17	on April the 12th, 2004. And he apologized.
18	Q. Mr. Gray, let's now go to what has been marked as
19	Devon Exhibit Number 5. This is again forms and
20	correspondence from the files of Devon, is it not?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Would you refer to the first page of this exhibit
23	and tell us what it is and what it shows?
24	A. This is the first page of yet another application
25	for permit to drill. In this case, it was for the Apache

1	24 Fee 7A	, to be located 1460 from the north line and 1150
2	from the v	west line of Section 24, proposed depth of 15,500
3	feet to te	est the Devonian formation.
4	Q.	Can you tell us what rules govern the development
5	of the Dev	vonian formation in this area?
6	А.	What rules?
7	Q.	Yes.
8	А.	Statewide rules.
9	Q.	Yes.
10	А.	Uh-huh.
11	Q.	And what do they provide?
12	А.	They provide for 320-acre spacing.
13	Q.	And wells are to be located
14	А.	no closer than 660 feet to the outer boundary
15	of the spa	acing unit.
16	Q.	So this location is, in fact, a standard location
17	under the	se rules for a Devonian well?
18	Α.	That's correct.
19	Q.	To your knowledge, does Mosaic or IMC own
20	anything u	under this the north half of Section 24?
21	Α.	Not to my knowledge.
22	Q.	Your application for permit to drill was filed on
23	what date?	?
24	Α.	Let's see, it looks like September 16th.
25	Q.	And what response to this application did Devon

•

receive from IMC? 1 We didn't receive anything from IMC. We received 2 Α. an e-mail from the State, the Artesia office, that they had 3 rejected our permit per some objection from IMC. 4 The e-mail to Linda Guthrie from the OCD dated 5 0. September the 20th, is included in this packet, is it not? 6 7 Right. Α. And it states that the OCD has rejected your 8 Q. permit? 9 That's correct. Α. 10 It also below that says you can review the 11 Q. comments for this permit at the OCD Online; is that right? 12 13 Α. Yes, sir. The next letter in the packet of exhibits is a 14 Q. 15 letter dated August the 30th from IMC. Do you see that? Α. No. Okay. 16 17 Do you have a copy of that letter before you? Q. Yes, I do. 18 Α. This is an objection letter from IMC to which 19 Q. well? 20 21 This is an objection to the Apache 24 Fee Number Α. 22 7, which was the well that was the subject of the case 23 which we've already dismissed previously. Just to be sure we don't create some confusion 24 Q. here, Devon was proposing two wells on this 40-acre tract, 25

the 7 and the 7A, correct? 1 Α. Yes. 2 They were originally proposed at the same 3 0. location; isn't that correct? 4 That's correct. 5 Α. And that the objection letter you received from 6 0. 7 IMC addressed only the Number 7 well? 8 Α. That's correct. If you then go to the online comments from the 9 0. OCD for the 7A well, it says the permit was denied because 10 of an objection from IMC? 11 Correct. 12 Α. MR. CARR: We are assuming, because that's all we 13 have in our files, that there was one objection since the 14 15 wells were at the same location, and we're talking about this objection letter that's included in this packet; it's 16 the best we can do with the records we have. Just want to 17 18 be sure there's not confusion there as to the objections. 19 MR. HIGH: We have no argument. 20 (By Mr. Carr) Okay. Are Devon Exhibits 6 and 7 Q. 21 notice affidavits confirming that notice of this Application has been provided in accordance with Division 22 23 Rules? Yes, they are. 24 Α. 25 Was notice provided to the Land Office and the Q.

1	BLM, as well as to IMC/Mosaic?
2	A. Yes, they were.
3	Q. Were Devon Exhibits 1 through 7 either prepared
4	by you or compiled under your direction and supervision?
5	A. Yes, they were.
6	Q. And have you reviewed them?
7	A. Yes, I have.
8	Q. And are they accurate copies of documents from
9	the files of Devon?
10	A. Yes, they are.
11	MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, at this
12	time we'd move the admission into evidence of Devon
13	Exhibits 1 through 7.
14	EXAMINER STOGNER: Any objection?
15	MR. HIGH: I have no objection on 2 through 7.
16	I have the same objection on Number 1, but
17	subject to the stipulation that those sections as indicated
18	are shown as measured ore on the map, we have no objection
19	to that.
20	EXAMINER STOGNER: So noted. Exhibits 1 through
21	7 will be admitted into evidence.
22	MR. CARR: And that concludes my direct
23	examination of Mr. Gray.
24	EXAMINER STOGNER: Thank you.
25	Mr. High, your witness.

i nay wi

.....

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

, I	
1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. HIGH:
3	Q. Mr. Gray, you will agree with me that the area of
4	this proposed well, at least as far as the BLM is
5	concerned, is in measured ore?
6	A. As far as the latest BLM map is concerned, yes.
7	Q. And you understand or do you have an
8	understanding of what that means, what measured ore is?
9	A. That means that either the BLM or the potash
10	industry seems to think that it's economic, there's some
11	economic potash there, yeah.
12	Q. Do you know what LMR is?
13	A. Yeah.
14	Q. Do you know whether or not there's an LMR in or
15	around the area of this proposed location?
16	A. Mr. Morehouse has shown us some of his maps
17	before in the area, yeah.
18	Q. In fact, you went by IMC mine and met with Mr.
19	Morehouse, didn't you?
20	A. We sure did.
21	Q. And you talked about a well I don't know if it
22	was 7 or 7A or ever how you guys designate them you
23	talked about a well at this particular location, though,
24	didn't you?
25	A. We probably did. I mean, we were talking to him

-

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

1	about some other things too, and I yeah, we probably
2	did. I don't know if we talked about that specific
3	location, but we probably we may have, I just don't
4	remember. We talked about a lot of other locations on the
5	federal lands right in here too.
6	Q. Okay.
7	A. So it's possible we could have talked about this
8	specific one, yeah.
9	Q. And do you recall any discussion about where
10	IMC's LMR was with respect to this Section 24?
11	A. I only can remember what he showed me on this
12	map, yeah.
13	Q. All right, do you know whether or not IMC has a
14	lease in the area close to Section 24?
15	A. Yeah, I think Exhibit 2 indicates that they do.
16	Q. Okay, and IMC and by IMC, they're currently
17	known as Mosaic Potash; you understand that, don't you?
18	A. Right.
19	Q. So at the time you were talking to him they were
20	known as IMC, right?
21	A. Right.
22	Q. As long as you and I understand it refers to the
23	same company, we're okay.
24	Looking at your Exhibit Number 2, would you agree
25	with me that that shows that there is a potash lease

immediately adjacent to the west of this proposed location? 1 The BLM records show that there's a potash lease Α. 2 issued to Western Ag. I presume that is IMC. 3 All right. And you understand IMC owns Western Q. 4 AG? 5 (Nods) 6 Α. So do you know whether or not the area going up 7 0. to the edge of this proposed 40-acre spacing is also 8 9 designated by IMC as its LMR? 10 Α. I'm sorry, up to -- right up to the --11 Q. Yes. Again, he showed me his map months ago, if not a 12 Α. year ago or more, but my recollection was that there was a 13 quarter-mile line coming somewhere in here close, yeah. 14 How far from the edge of the potash lease shown 15 0. on Exhibit Number 2 is your proposed Apache Number 6? 16 From the --17 Α. -- edge of the potash lease? 18 0. 19 Α. -- the closest of the potash --20 Q. Yes. -- edge of -- 660 feet. 21 Α. 22 That's less than a quarter of a mile, isn't it? Q. Yeah. 23 Α. 24 And the proposed deep gas well, which I think you Q. 25 call that Apache 7A --

	91
1	A. Uh-huh.
2	Q how many feet from the edge of the IMC potash
3	lease is that proposed location?
4	A. Well, I don't have it memorized. Let me go back
5	here and look.
6	Q. Well, let me ask you like this: Is it less than
7	a half of a mile?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Okay. So both of these proposed locations would
10	be within either a quarter of a mile for the Delaware well
11	or within a half mile of the deep gas well to IMC's potash
12	lease?
13	A. Correct.
14	Q. And you understand or you have some
15	understanding of R-111-P, don't you, Mr. Gray?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And do you understand that under R-111-P an APD
18	will not be approved by the OCD if it's within an LMR or a
19	buffer zone of an LMR without the potash lessee's consent?
20	A. Well
21	Q. Do you understand that, sir?
22	A. What I believe and what I understand is that the
23	unleased tract in question is not part of an LMR.
24	Q. IMC's potash lease goes up to the edge of this
25	40-acre part, doesn't it?

	92
1	A. Uh-huh.
2	Q. Is that correct?
3	A. Uh-huh.
4	Q. Is that a yes?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. The court reporter has to take it down, I'm
7	sorry.
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Okay. And there's a buffer zone assuming
10	that's LMR, there's a buffer zone through that, isn't
11	there?
12	A. Yes, it is.
13	Q. And both of these wells are within those buffer
14	zones, are they not?
15	A. If they are subject to if this lease is
16	subject to an LMR, that's correct. But it is my belief
17	that it is not subject to an LMR.
18	Q. Do you know where the LMR is in this area?
19	A. I only know what Mr. Morehouse showed me.
20	Q. Let's assume for a minute that the potash lease
21	to the immediate west part of this 40-acre tract is not
22	only leased by IMC but is also designated LMR.
23	A. Okay.
24	Q. Fair enough?
25	A. Fair enough.

92

_	
1	Q. Do you understand under R-111-P that there's
2	buffer zones to those LMRs, right?
3	A. That's correct.
4	Q. And those buffer zones are a quarter mile for
5	shallow wells to the Delaware, right?
6	A. Correct.
7	Q. And half a mile for deep gas wells like your
8	Apache 7A?
9	A. Correct.
10	Q. Would you agree with me that these two proposed
11	wells are within the buffer zone to IMC's designated LMR on
12	lands it has leased for potash?
13	A. I would agree that they're in what IMC has
14	designated as their buffer zones, quarter mile, half mile,
15	whatever. My belief is that the 40-acre tract in question
16	is not subject to the LMR.
17	Q. Would you agree with me that R-111-P says, quote,
18	any Application to drill in the LMR area, including buffer
19	zone, may be approved only by mutual agreement of lessor
20	and lessees of both potash and oil and gas interests,
21	period, close quote. Do you agree with that?
22	A. I agree with that.
23	Q. And will you agree with me that the 20-day issue
24	you referred to a moment ago applies to wells outside of
25	LMRs? Or do you want me to read that portion to you?

P

,	94
1	A. Well, you can read it to me.
2	We were following the instructions of the OCD and
3	their interpretation of what R-111-P said when we noticed
4	IMC.
5	Q. R-111-P goes on to say, quote, Applications to
6	drill outside the LMR will be approved as indicated below,
7	provided there is no protest from potash lessees within 20
8	days of his receipt of a copy of the notice, then it goes
9	to the quarter mile for the Delaware and a half mile.
10	Have you looked at whether or not these proposed
11	wells can be drilled from any locations other than those
12	being proposed?
13	A. No.
14	Q. Do you know whether or not there are any
15	directional wells drilled from any location in Section 24?
16	A. There's a horizontal well drilled in the south
17	half of Section 24 is on our Exhibit Number 2.
18	Q. And do you know if that is a production unit or a
19	pooling arrangement of some sort? Do you have any idea?
20	A. I'm not sure what you mean.
21	Q. Well, doesn't
22	A. We own the lease 100 percent
23	Q. Okay, and how many That's a horizontal well,
24	is it not?
25	A. It is.

and the second second

-	
1	Q. I guess in all fairness, we would call it both a
2	directional well and a horizontal well, right, because it's
3	offset what, some 600 feet, and then horizontal from the
4	east to the west side of Section 24?
5	A. I don't know about the displacements. You're
6	welcome to ask our operations witness when he comes up
7	here, but it is basically shown on our map almost the
8	length of the south half of Section 24.
9	Q. And is that well to develop how many units in
10	Section 24?
11	A. Spacing units?
12	Q. Yes.
13	A. It would be four.
14	Q. So the one Do you know the well designation
15	number?
16	A. The name of the well?
17	Q. Yeah.
18	A. The Apache 24 Number 9.
19	Q. Okay. So that is shown on your Exhibit Number 2,
20	is it not?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Is that the little black hached-looking mark
23	across that Section 24?
24	A. Yes, sir.
25	Q. Okay. So that's the horizontal well that's

95

1	drilled from the east side of Section 24, and it does it
2	have production from all four spacing units?
3	A. Presumably. I mean, the well was treated and
4	frac'd a various intervals. The presumption is, it's
5	producing from all four.
6	Q. Do you know when that well was drilled?
7	A. October
8	Q. Of
9	A this year.
10	Q '03 or '04?
11	A. Just two months ago.
12	Q. Okay. As far as you know, is it an economic
13	well?
14	A. I don't know that we know that yet. Today it is,
15	but we don't know, you know, what's going to happen in the
16	future.
17	Q. All right. Do you know if there was any
18	technological problems in drilling that well?
19	A. Not that I know of, but I think that's probably
20	better asked of our engineering witness.
21	Q. All right. Why did you drill that well
22	horizontally?
23	A. Because that's the only way we could develop
24	those reserves on those federal leases?
25	Q. And I take it you filed an APD for that well, did

and the second second

	97
1	you not?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And you filed that with the BLM?
4	A. Uh-huh.
5	Q. Is that a yes?
6	A. Yes, sir.
7	Q. Okay. And the BLM obviously told you that that
8	APD is in measured ore, correct?
9	A. I don't know what they told us.
10	Q. You weren't involved in that?
11	A. Not in the APD process, no.
12	Q. All right. Do you know whether or not there's
13	any drilling islands established by the BLM in Section 24?
14	A. I do know that they have allowed Devon and the
15	previous owner of these leases to drill along the east side
16	here, up through well, in 24 and 13, yeah.
17	Q. Do you know whether or not the BLM treats as a
18	drilling island the entire eastern I'm sorry, the entire
19	western side of the WIPP site?
20	A. No, I don't know that.
21	Q. And you don't know whether or not all those dots
22	shown on your Exhibit Number 2 are drilled in what the BLM
23	called the drilling island?
24	A. Your question was into the WIPP site. I don't
25	know about the WIPP site. I do know they've referred to

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

1	this as a drilling island along the east side of Sections
2	13 and
3	Q. Okay.
4	A 24.
5	Q. So this Apache 24 Number 9, I think you called
6	it, is drilled from a drilling island into Section 24?
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. Now, why couldn't this 40-acre piece be developed
9	the same way?
10	A. Well, we only drilled horizontally for one
11	Delaware zone, and there are multiple Delaware zones to be
12	developed.
13	Q. Could this 40-acre tract, Delaware, be developed
14	the same way as Apache 24 Number 9?
15	A. Are you asking me if it's physically possible?
16	Q. Yes.
17	A. Yes, it's physically possible.
18	Q. And do you have any reason to believe the BLM
19	would deny that, given the fact that it approved a similar
20	development process on Apache 24 Number 9?
21	A. I don't have any reason to think they would.
22	MR. HIGH: Okay, that's all I have, Mr. Gray.
23	Thank you very much.
24	THE WITNESS: You bet.
25	EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Bruce, your witness.

1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. BRUCE:
3	Q. Mr. Gray, with respect to that 40-acre fee tract,
4	did Devon have a landman, Mr. Dwyer, go out and check
5	mineral title to this property?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And that was done under your request?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. With respect to the minerals, the mineral owners
10	who granted Mr. Dwyer the oil and gas leases, to the best
11	of your knowledge, do they also own the potash under
12	that
13	A. Yes, they do.
14	MR. BRUCE: Thank you.
15	EXAMINER STOGNER: Redirect?
16	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. CARR:
18	Q. Mr. Gray, in response to some questions from Mr.
19	High you testified it would be physically impossible to
20	drill and produce the Delaware reserves at the proposed
21	Federal or Fee 6 well location with a horizontal well,
22	correct?
23	A. Well, you can develop that portion under the fee
24	land, but you'd also develop the federal along with it, and
25	that

99

1	Q. Let me ask you this. Would it also be possible
2	to horizontally drill and complete a well in the Devonian
3	at the location proposed for the Number 7A well?
4	A. From the, quote, unquote, drilling island?
5	Q. Yes, sir.
6	A. It's possible.
7	Q. If you drill this well to the Devonian, is the
8	Devonian the only possible target in that wellbore?
9	A. No.
10	Q. If you directionally or horizontally drill to
11	reach the Devonian under that property, could you access
12	the shallower horizons under that 40 with that wellbore?
13	A. You might be able to access some, but not all.
14	MR. CARR: That's all I have.
15	EXAMINER STOGNER: Any other questions?
16	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. HIGH:
18	Q. Mr. Gray, do you know how many formations are
19	being developed currently in Section 24? How many
20	formations are in actual production now?
21	A. I don't know We've got several different
22	Delaware formations, and I couldn't tell you from well to
23	well which ones are open and are commingled and so on, so
24	forth, no.
25	MR. HIGH: That's all I have.

	101
1	EXAMINATION
2	BY EXAMINER STOGNER:
3	Q. With regards to the deep gas well, is this the
4	first is this the initial well within that north-half,
5	proposed dedicated tract?
6	A. As far as a deep well?
7	Q. Yes.
8	A. Yes, sir.
9	Q. Is there anything preventing the drilling of that
10	well over in that over to the edge, toward the WIPP
11	site, to develop the north half?
12	A. With a bottomhole location under the where we
13	would prefer to drill it; is that what you're
14	Q. I'm still talking about the Apache 24 Fee Number
15	7A. That's a
16	A. That's the deep one.
17	Q vertical well, right?
18	A. Uh-huh.
19	Q. Okay. Why can't that well be drilled over on the
20	eastern side of the spacing unit, up against the WIPP site?
21	A. Directionally?
22	Q. No, vertically.
23	A. Oh, I'm sorry. Well, we had seismic data that
24	indicates that optimum location is within the 40 acres
25	where we the southwest of the northwest.

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. I have no other 1 questions of this witness. 2 MR. CARR: No further questions. 3 MR. HIGH: We have nothing else. 4 MR. CARR: Mr. Examiner, it's slightly after 5 I do have an operations engineer. It will not take 12:00. 6 very long. We can either break for lunch now, or whatever 7 8 your pleasure --9 EXAMINER STOGNER: I would prefer to go ahead and finish with your side, and then we will break for lunch and 10 then come back with --11 MR. CARR: That would be fine. 12 13 EXAMINER STOGNER: -- IMC's, Mosaic's interest at that point, so let's go ahead. 14 15 MR. CARR: Mr. Examiner, at this time we call our operations engineer, Jim Blount, B-1-o-u-n-t. 16 17 JAMES BLOUNT, the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 18 19 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CARR: 21 22 Would you state your full name for the record? Q. 23 Α. James Blount, B-1-o-u-n-t. 24 Q. And where do you reside? 25 In Edmond, Oklahoma. Α.

> STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

1	Q. By whom are you employed?
2	A. Devon Energy.
3	Q. And what is your current position with Devon?
4	A. I'm a senior operations engineer/advisor.
5	Q. Have you previously testified before the New
6	Mexico Oil Conservation Division?
7	A. Yes, I have.
8	Q. At the time of that testimony, were your
9	credentials as an expert in operational engineering
10	accepted and made a matter of record before this Division?
11	A. Yes, they were.
12	Q. Are you familiar with the Applications filed in
13	each of the Devon cases that are the subject of this
14	hearing?
15	A. Yes, I am.
16	Q. Are you familiar with Devon's plans for the
17	drilling of the Apache 24 Wells 6 and 7A?
18	A. Yes, I am.
19	Q. Have you been involved in the decisions by Devon
20	to and the drilling programs developed by Devon for each
21	of these wells?
22	A. Yes, I have.
23	MR. CARR: We tender Mr. Blount as an expert in
24	operational engineering.
25	EXAMINER STOGNER: Any objection?

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

1MR. HIGH: No objection.2EXAMINER STOGNER: So qualified.3Q. (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Blount, since you went to work4for Devon have you also been involved in horizontal5drilling of wells in southeastern New Mexico?6A. I was involved with the 24 Federal Number 9.7Q. Which is the well in the southern portion of this8section?9A. That's correct.10Q. Are you familiar with the cementing and casing11provisions of Order Number R-111-P?12A. Yes, I am.13Q. I'd like you to refer to what's been marked Devon14Exhibit 8, the schematic for the Apache 24 Fee Well Number156, and review the information on that exhibit for the18Examiner.17A. Okay, we propose to drill a hole down to 600 feet18and set 13-3/8 at that point, cementing it to the surface,19and we'll drill through the salt section and down to 380020feet, set 8-5/8 at that point and cement it, also to the21surface. And then we'll drill to TD and cement in a two-22stage the long string to the surface and complete in the23Delaware.24Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 9. What is this?25A. That's the proposal for the deep well, for the		
 Q. (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Blount, since you went to work for Devon have you also been involved in horizontal drilling of wells in southeastern New Mexico? A. I was involved with the 24 Federal Number 9. Q. Which is the well in the southern portion of this section? A. That's correct. Q. Are you familiar with the cementing and casing provisions of Order Number R-111-P? A. Yes, I am. Q. I'd like you to refer to what's been marked Devon Exhibit 8, the schematic for the Apache 24 Fee Well Number 6, and review the information on that exhibit for the Examiner. A. Okay, we propose to drill a hole down to 600 feet and set 13-3/8 at that point, cementing it to the surface, and we'll drill through the salt section and down to 3800 feet, set 8-5/8 at that point and cement it, also to the surface. And then we'll drill to TD and cement in a two- stage the long string to the surface and complete in the Delaware. Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 9. What is this? 	1	MR. HIGH: No objection.
 for Devon have you also been involved in horizontal drilling of wells in southeastern New Mexico? A. I was involved with the 24 Federal Number 9. Q. Which is the well in the southern portion of this section? A. That's correct. Q. Are you familiar with the cementing and casing provisions of Order Number R-111-P? A. Yes, I am. Q. I'd like you to refer to what's been marked Devon Exhibit 8, the schematic for the Apache 24 Fee Well Number 6, and review the information on that exhibit for the Examiner. A. Okay, we propose to drill a hole down to 600 feet and set 13-3/8 at that point, cementing it to the surface, and we'll drill through the salt section and down to 3800 feet, set 8-5/8 at that point and cement it, also to the surface. And then we'll drill to TD and cement in a two- stage the long string to the surface and complete in the Delaware. Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 9. What is this? 	2	EXAMINER STOGNER: So qualified.
 drilling of wells in southeastern New Mexico? A. I was involved with the 24 Federal Number 9. Q. Which is the well in the southern portion of this section? A. That's correct. Q. Are you familiar with the cementing and casing provisions of Order Number R-111-P? A. Yes, I am. Q. I'd like you to refer to what's been marked Devon Exhibit 8, the schematic for the Apache 24 Fee Well Number 6, and review the information on that exhibit for the Examiner. A. Okay, we propose to drill a hole down to 600 feet and set 13-3/8 at that point, cementing it to the surface, and we'll drill through the salt section and down to 3800 feet, set 8-5/8 at that point and cement it, also to the surface. And then we'll drill to TD and cement in a two- stage the long string to the surface and complete in the Delaware. Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 9. What is this? 	3	Q. (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Blount, since you went to work
 A. I was involved with the 24 Federal Number 9. Q. Which is the well in the southern portion of this section? A. That's correct. Q. Are you familiar with the cementing and casing provisions of Order Number R-111-P? A. Yes, I am. Q. I'd like you to refer to what's been marked Devon Exhibit 8, the schematic for the Apache 24 Fee Well Number 6, and review the information on that exhibit for the Examiner. A. Okay, we propose to drill a hole down to 600 feet and set 13-3/8 at that point, cementing it to the surface, and we'll drill through the salt section and down to 3800 feet, set 8-5/8 at that point and cement it, also to the surface. And then we'll drill to TD and cement in a two- stage the long string to the surface and complete in the Delaware. Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 9. What is this? 	4	for Devon have you also been involved in horizontal
 Q. Which is the well in the southern portion of this section? A. That's correct. Q. Are you familiar with the cementing and casing provisions of Order Number R-111-P? A. Yes, I am. Q. I'd like you to refer to what's been marked Devon Exhibit 8, the schematic for the Apache 24 Fee Well Number 6, and review the information on that exhibit for the Examiner. A. Okay, we propose to drill a hole down to 600 feet and set 13-3/8 at that point, cementing it to the surface, and we'll drill through the salt section and down to 3800 feet, set 8-5/8 at that point and cement it, also to the surface. And then we'll drill to TD and cement in a two- stage the long string to the surface and complete in the Delaware. Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 9. What is this? 	5	drilling of wells in southeastern New Mexico?
section? A. That's correct. Q. Are you familiar with the cementing and casing provisions of Order Number R-111-P? A. Yes, I am. Q. I'd like you to refer to what's been marked Devon Exhibit 8, the schematic for the Apache 24 Fee Well Number 6, and review the information on that exhibit for the Examiner. A. Okay, we propose to drill a hole down to 600 feet and set 13-3/8 at that point, cementing it to the surface, and we'll drill through the salt section and down to 3800 feet, set 8-5/8 at that point and cement it, also to the surface. And then we'll drill to TD and cement in a two- stage the long string to the surface and complete in the Delaware. Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 9. What is this?	6	A. I was involved with the 24 Federal Number 9.
 A. That's correct. Q. Are you familiar with the cementing and casing provisions of Order Number R-111-P? A. Yes, I am. Q. I'd like you to refer to what's been marked Devon Exhibit 8, the schematic for the Apache 24 Fee Well Number 6, and review the information on that exhibit for the Examiner. A. Okay, we propose to drill a hole down to 600 feet and set 13-3/8 at that point, cementing it to the surface, and we'll drill through the salt section and down to 3800 feet, set 8-5/8 at that point and cement it, also to the surface. And then we'll drill to TD and cement in a two- stage the long string to the surface and complete in the Delaware. Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 9. What is this? 	7	Q. Which is the well in the southern portion of this
 Q. Are you familiar with the cementing and casing provisions of Order Number R-111-P? A. Yes, I am. Q. I'd like you to refer to what's been marked Devon Exhibit 8, the schematic for the Apache 24 Fee Well Number 6, and review the information on that exhibit for the Examiner. A. Okay, we propose to drill a hole down to 600 feet and set 13-3/8 at that point, cementing it to the surface, and we'll drill through the salt section and down to 3800 feet, set 8-5/8 at that point and cement it, also to the surface. And then we'll drill to TD and cement in a two- stage the long string to the surface and complete in the Delaware. Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 9. What is this? 	8	section?
provisions of Order Number R-111-P? A. Yes, I am. Q. I'd like you to refer to what's been marked Devon Exhibit 8, the schematic for the Apache 24 Fee Well Number 6, and review the information on that exhibit for the Examiner. A. Okay, we propose to drill a hole down to 600 feet and set 13-3/8 at that point, cementing it to the surface, and we'll drill through the salt section and down to 3800 feet, set 8-5/8 at that point and cement it, also to the surface. And then we'll drill to TD and cement in a two- stage the long string to the surface and complete in the Delaware. Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 9. What is this?	9	A. That's correct.
12A. Yes, I am.13Q. I'd like you to refer to what's been marked Devon14Exhibit 8, the schematic for the Apache 24 Fee Well Number156, and review the information on that exhibit for the16Examiner.17A. Okay, we propose to drill a hole down to 600 feet18and set 13-3/8 at that point, cementing it to the surface,19and we'll drill through the salt section and down to 380020feet, set 8-5/8 at that point and cement it, also to the21surface. And then we'll drill to TD and cement in a two-22stage the long string to the surface and complete in the23Delaware.24Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 9. What is this?	10	Q. Are you familiar with the cementing and casing
 Q. I'd like you to refer to what's been marked Devon Exhibit 8, the schematic for the Apache 24 Fee Well Number 6, and review the information on that exhibit for the Examiner. A. Okay, we propose to drill a hole down to 600 feet and set 13-3/8 at that point, cementing it to the surface, and we'll drill through the salt section and down to 3800 feet, set 8-5/8 at that point and cement it, also to the surface. And then we'll drill to TD and cement in a two- stage the long string to the surface and complete in the Delaware. Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 9. What is this? 	11	provisions of Order Number R-111-P?
 Exhibit 8, the schematic for the Apache 24 Fee Well Number 6, and review the information on that exhibit for the Examiner. A. Okay, we propose to drill a hole down to 600 feet and set 13-3/8 at that point, cementing it to the surface, and we'll drill through the salt section and down to 3800 feet, set 8-5/8 at that point and cement it, also to the surface. And then we'll drill to TD and cement in a two- stage the long string to the surface and complete in the Delaware. Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 9. What is this? 	12	A. Yes, I am.
 6, and review the information on that exhibit for the Examiner. A. Okay, we propose to drill a hole down to 600 feet and set 13-3/8 at that point, cementing it to the surface, and we'll drill through the salt section and down to 3800 feet, set 8-5/8 at that point and cement it, also to the surface. And then we'll drill to TD and cement in a two- stage the long string to the surface and complete in the Delaware. Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 9. What is this? 	13	Q. I'd like you to refer to what's been marked Devon
 Examiner. A. Okay, we propose to drill a hole down to 600 feet and set 13-3/8 at that point, cementing it to the surface, and we'll drill through the salt section and down to 3800 feet, set 8-5/8 at that point and cement it, also to the surface. And then we'll drill to TD and cement in a two- stage the long string to the surface and complete in the Delaware. Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 9. What is this? 	14	Exhibit 8, the schematic for the Apache 24 Fee Well Number
 A. Okay, we propose to drill a hole down to 600 feet and set 13-3/8 at that point, cementing it to the surface, and we'll drill through the salt section and down to 3800 feet, set 8-5/8 at that point and cement it, also to the surface. And then we'll drill to TD and cement in a two- stage the long string to the surface and complete in the Delaware. Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 9. What is this? 	15	6, and review the information on that exhibit for the
18 and set 13-3/8 at that point, cementing it to the surface, 19 and we'll drill through the salt section and down to 3800 20 feet, set 8-5/8 at that point and cement it, also to the 21 surface. And then we'll drill to TD and cement in a two- 22 stage the long string to the surface and complete in the 23 Delaware. 24 Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 9. What is this?	16	Examiner.
19 and we'll drill through the salt section and down to 3800 20 feet, set 8-5/8 at that point and cement it, also to the 21 surface. And then we'll drill to TD and cement in a two- 22 stage the long string to the surface and complete in the 23 Delaware. 24 Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 9. What is this?	17	A. Okay, we propose to drill a hole down to 600 feet
20 feet, set 8-5/8 at that point and cement it, also to the 21 surface. And then we'll drill to TD and cement in a two- 22 stage the long string to the surface and complete in the 23 Delaware. 24 Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 9. What is this?	18	and set $13-3/8$ at that point, cementing it to the surface,
21 surface. And then we'll drill to TD and cement in a two- 22 stage the long string to the surface and complete in the 23 Delaware. 24 Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 9. What is this?	19	and we'll drill through the salt section and down to 3800
22 stage the long string to the surface and complete in the 23 Delaware. 24 Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 9. What is this?	20	feet, set 8-5/8 at that point and cement it, also to the
23 Delaware. 24 Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 9. What is this?	21	surface. And then we'll drill to TD and cement in a two-
Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 9. What is this?	22	stage the long string to the surface and complete in the
	23	Delaware.
A. That's the proposal for the deep well, for the	24	Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 9. What is this?
	25	A. That's the proposal for the deep well, for the

1	Devonian well. There we're looking at drilling 13 or
2	setting 13-3/8 at 600 feet, once again to protect the
3	freshwater, drilling $10-3/4$ at 3800 feet through the salt
4	section, cementing it to the surface, setting a long
5	intermediate string of 7-5/8 at 12,050 and cementing it,
6	two-stage, to the surface, and then setting a liner,
7	completion liner, down to right above the Devonian at
8	approximately 15,300, and then open-hole finishing it into
9	the Devonian.
10	Q. In your opinion, do these drilling programs
11	comply with the provisions of Oil Conservation Division
12	Order Number R-111-P?
13	A. Yes, they do.
14	Q. Will Devon provide notice to Mosaic Potash when
15	you go out to actually drill these wells, so they can
16	witness the work and assure themselves that you're
17	complying with all provisions of this order?
18	A. We can.
19	Q. Were Exhibits 8 and 9 prepared by you?
20	A. Yes, they were.
21	MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, I'd move
22	the admission into evidence of Devon Exhibits 8 and 9.
23	EXAMINER STOGNER: Well, let's start reviewing
24	here. I've got two Exhibit 8's.
25	MR. CARR: Okay.

	106
1	EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, take a look at your
2	affidavit. I've got two affidavits, one marked Exhibit 7
3	that's for 13,372 and another Exhibit 8 for affidavit
4	on 13,369. You got that?
5	MR. CARR: No, sir, you've got it incorrect.
6	You've got an earlier set of exhibits. I have got an
7	affidavit marked Exhibit Number 6 for 13,368, and Exhibit
8	Number 7 is the affidavit for 13,372.
9	EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay.
10	MR. CARR: And then the two schematics, Exhibit
11	Number 8 is for the Fee Number 6, and Exhibit Number 9 is
12	for the 7A, and I can provide copies
13	EXAMINER STOGNER: Well, I'll tell you what, I
14	think what would be simpler is, since 13,369 was
15	dismissed
16	MR. CARR: Yeah.
17	EXAMINER STOGNER: this probably is not needed
18	anymore.
19	MR. CARR: These are correct from our copies, and
20	so we've just renumbered them, we dismissed
21	EXAMINER STOGNER: Actually, the ones I have are
22	correct, so let me give you these back. It was just
23	that was what was confusing.
24	MR. CARR: Well, what we did was, we renumbered
25	exhibits quickly when we decided to dismiss the second of

the three cases. 1 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, well, we've got that 2 straightened up. 3 Exhibits 1 through 7, which was admitted earlier, 4 as for your land testimony, and Exhibits 8 and 9 that has 5 been presented by you, are there any objections? 6 MR. HIGH: No objection. 7 EXAMINER STOGNER: Those will be admitted at this 8 9 time. Thank you, Mr. Carr. 10 Mr. High? 11 MR. HIGH: I have no questions, thank you. 12 EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Bruce? 13 MR. BRUCE: No questions. 14 15 EXAMINER STOGNER: I have no questions of this 16 witness. 17 You may be excused. 18 MR. CARR: Thank you. That concludes Devon's 19 presentation in this case. We have a landowner in the Devon properties who 20 21 is here to testify, if you'd like to take him now or after 22 lunch. 23 EXAMINER STOGNER: Let's go ahead and bring him in here now, so he can enjoy his lunch without worrying 24 25 about coming back and testifying.

	108
1	KENNETH M. SMITH,
2	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
3	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. BRUCE:
6	Q. Would you please state your name for the record?
7	A. Kenneth Mark Smith.
8	Q. Where do you live?
9	A. I live in Lea County, which is right off the
10	Hobbs-Carlsbad highway, about 30 miles from Hobbs, two
11	miles north.
12	Q. In the middle of nowhere?
13	A. Yes, sir, where nobody else has lived.
14	(Laughter)
15	Q. (By Mr. Bruce) The two Devon cases involve a
16	tract of land, 40-acre tract, the southwest quarter,
17	northwest quarter of Section 24. Do you personally own a
18	mineral interest in that tract?
19	A. Yes, I do.
20	Q. Okay, are you the full owner of the surface
21	estate in that tract?
22	A. Yes, I am.
23	Q. And you engage in ranching in this area?
24	A. That's right.
25	Q. Now, when Mr. Gray was testifying he submitted

1	some four oil and gas leases, and one of them is signed
2	by you and Patsy Lou Smith. I presume that's your wife?
3	A. That's right.
4	Q. One of them was signed by William C. Smith and
5	his wife. Who is that?
6	A. That's my brother.
7	Q. And then another one signed by I'm not sure of
8	the names. Was one of them signed by your sister?
9	A. Right, Lornell Blehm.
10	Q. Okay, Lornell Blehm. And together, what amount
11	of the minerals do you and your brother and sister own in
12	this
13	A. Three-fourths.
14	Q. Three fourths. There's another lease, Georgia L.
15	Birdston. She is unrelated to your family?
16	A. That's right.
17	Q. Okay. And are you here today authorized to speak
18	on behalf of your brother and sister besides yourself?
19	A. That's right.
20	Q. Have any wells ever been drilled on your 40-acre
21	tract?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Has this 40 acres ever been leased for potash?
24	A. Not since we've been there.
25	Q. And how long have you and your family been there?

1

_	
1	A. 1958.
2	Q. Has IMC or Mosaic Potash ever tried to lease your
3	mineral interest for potash?
4	A. No, not really. After this came up, well, they
5	tried to lease it, and I told them I'd already leased it to
6	Devon.
7	Q. Okay. So after this arose, they did contact you
8	by phone or verbally?
9	A. By phone.
10	Q. By phone, okay. And did they also talk about
11	when in the future your area could possibly be mined?
12	A. Five years.
13	Q. Five years.
14	A. For a projection.
15	Q. Okay, they didn't guarantee it, they said it was
16	a projection?
17	A. Right.
18	Q. And are you aware of Devon's plan to drill two
19	wells on your property?
20	A. Yes, I am.
21	Q. And you have no objection to that?
22	A. No, I don't.
23	Q. And you have reached through your lease you've
24	reached agreement with Devon for the development of the oil
25	and gas under this acreage?

1	A. That's right.
2	Q. And is it your understanding that Devon is ready
3	to drill in the near future, if it gets approval?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And is it your desire as the owner of the potash
6	rights and as the lessor of an oil and gas interest to have
7	the oil and gas developed in this tract?
8	A. That's right.
9	Q. One final thing. Mr. Smith, you're here today
10	basically supporting Devon's case, but you don't have any
11	animosity toward the potash company, do you?
12	A. I've lived neighbors to the IMC for 39 years, and
13	I still own the rights there, and I've never had any better
14	neighbor than IMC.
15	Q. And you've had good relationships with them?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And IMC has been a good neighbor to you?
18	A. Very good.
19	Q. I think you told me last night that they have
20	assisted in supplies and water and stuff like that for your
21	ranch?
22	A. That's right.
23	Q. So you're not here with the intent to harm IMC?
24	A. No, I'm here to represent my family.
25	Q. And if wells are drilled and they're successful,

it will be a benefit to your family? 1 Yes, sir. 2 Α. MR. BRUCE: Thank you. I have no further 3 questions. 4 EXAMINER STOGNER: Any questions? 5 MR. HIGH: Yes. 6 7 EXAMINATION BY MR. HIGH: 8 Mr. Smith, do you actually live on the ranch? 9 Q. Not anymore. I moved off of it. I've got a 10 Α. 11 daughter that lives on the ranch. And is it an active ranch? 12 Q. 13 Α. Yes, it is. And how many acres -- or how big is the ranch? 14 Q. 15 Approximately 82 sections. Α. 16 And how many of those sections are actually fee Q. 17 land, as opposed to lease land? Α. I believe there's 900 acres. 18 19 Okay, so 900 acres. Is that owned fee-simple by Q. 20 you or your family? 21 Α. By me. 22 By you, okay. Does that 900 acres include this Q. 23 40-acre tract we're talking about here? 24 Α. Yes, it does. 25 Is it all contiguous, the 900 acres? Q.

No, it isn't, it's scattered around. Α. 1 Scattered around, all right. Does your ranch 2 Q. extend all the way up to the edge of the WIPP site? 3 It goes -- I'm on the east side of it and the 4 Α. north side of it and the west side of it. 5 If we were looking -- well, let me just --0. 6 I mean, not to the sides, but to the withdrawal Α. 7 there, the 16 sections. 8 Okay, if I'm -- I don't know if you have this in 9 Q. front of you or not, but I'm looking now at -- I believe 10 this is Devon Exhibit Number 1. If you look in that block 11 that says 22S-30 East, do you see that? 12 13 Α. Yes, I do. Do you understand that in the box marked 24, that 14 Q. little bitty square there to be the 40-acre section we're 15 16 talking about in this case? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. Okay. Does your ranch include all of Section 24? 19 Α. Yes, it does. 20 Q. So your ranch would go all the way up to this dark line on the east side of Section 24? 21 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. Okay. Are there any oil and gas wells now 24 existing on your property, on your ranch? 25 Oh, yes, there's lots of oil wells on them. Α.

1	Q. Okay, how many wells would you estimate is on
2	your ranch?
3	A. It wouldn't be fair if I told you what it was,
4	because I don't know.
5	Q. A bunch of them, though, huh?
6	A. Oh, there's lots of them.
7	MR. HIGH: Okay. I believe that's all I have,
8	sir. Thank you very much.
9	EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Carr?
10	MR. CARR: Nothing.
11	EXAMINER STOGNER: I have no questions of Mr.
12	Smith.
13	At this time we're going to take a lunch break.
14	I've got 12:15 now. 1:30, would that be acceptable?
15	We will recess until 1:30.
16	Before we go off the record, I don't know if Mr.
17	Gray and Mr. Smith will be here, I'd like to just take this
18	opportunity
19	MR. CARR: Mr. Mills.
20	EXAMINER STOGNER: to thank them for showing
21	enough interest in the topic. It's a complicated issue,
22	and I wanted to go on the record and just say thank you for
23	coming out and taking an interest in what is a complicated
24	and has been a historically conflicting viewpoints in a
25	very complicated issue.

Thank you again. 1 We'll break until 1:30. 2 (Thereupon, a recess was taken at 12:16 a.m.) 3 (The following proceedings had at 1:45 p.m.) 4 EXAMINER STOGNER: Back on the hearing. 5 Mr. Carr, I believe we've finished up. 6 7 MR. CARR: Yes, sir. EXAMINER STOGNER: Anything --8 MR. CARR: No, sir. 9 EXAMINER STOGNER: -- further? 10 Okay. 11 Mr. High? MR. HIGH: Mosaic will call Dan Morehouse. 12 13 DANIEL J. MOREHOUSE, the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 14 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. HIGH: 17 Mr. Morehouse, would you state your full name, 1.8 Q. please, sir? 19 20 Α. Daniel Jerome Morehouse. 21 And where are you employed, Mr. Morehouse? Q. 22 Α. I'm employed with Mosaic in Carlsbad, New Mexico. And in what position? 23 Q. I'm a mine engineering superintendent. 24 Α. 25 How long have you been employed with Mosaic? Q.

	116
1	A. Twenty-six years.
2	Q. And tell us, if you will, your educational
3	background, please, sir.
4	A. I graduated in 1978 with a bachelor of science
5	degree in mine engineering and a master's of science degree
6	of industrial engineering in 1987.
7	Q. And how long have you been in the mining
8	industry?
9	A. Twenty well, I worked summers, so I guess
10	since 1975.
11	Q. And what are some of the job responsibilities you
12	have at Mosaic?
13	A. Currently have?
14	Q. Yes. In fact, just tell us over the years the
15	type jobs you've had in mining.
16	A. I came in as a junior mine engineer for about two
17	and a half years, went underground as a face boss, became a
18	general foreman, underground superintendent. I think
19	there's another stint where I went back into engineering
20	when we sank a shaft. I did that from an engineering point
21	of view, then went back into production. I became a mine
22	manager, and during recent takeovers went back to being the
23	superintendent of mine engineering.
24	MR. HIGH: We would offer Mr. Morehouse as an
25	expert in mine engineering.

I

1	EXAMINER STOGNER: Any objection?
2	MR. CARR: No objection.
3	EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Morehouse is so qualified.
4	Q. (By Mr. High) Mr. Morehouse, are you involved in
5	keeping up with the potash leases that Mosaic has?
6	A. Yes, sir, I am.
7	Q. And are you familiar with the locations in which
8	Mosaic currently has potash leases?
9	A. Yes, sir.
10	Q. Would you look at what I've placed in front of
11	you I believe one of them is Bass Exhibit Number 1
12	A. One.
13	Q and Devon Exhibit Number 2. Do you see those?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Do those, from your knowledge, accurately reflect
16	where Mosaic has potash leases? And let me limit that to
17	the areas of the APDs in question here.
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay.
20	A. The Bass one is absolutely right. The Devon one,
21	we don't hold that southwest corner of Section 24. I'm not
22	sure that's leased for potash.
23	Q. Now, I think there's some other exceptions we'd
24	probably take on the fringes, but let's limit ourselves to
25	the areas of these APDs.

1	A. Right.
2	Q. With respect to the APDs at issue here, does
3	Mosaic have potash leases that abut the 40-acre units that
4	are proposed here?
5	A. Yes, for the Devon location we're on one side,
6	abutted against it. For the Bass well, we're on three
7	sides, against it.
8	Q. And within the Potash leases that Mosaic has that
9	abut these proposed units, are any portions of those leases
10	included in Mosaic's LMR?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Let me show you what I've marked as or will
13	mark, or propose be marked as Exhibit M5. Can you explain
14	what that exhibit is, please, sir?
15	A. It's a map showing this general area. You can
16	see the two fee land areas of concern, one in Section 7
17	near the lower right-hand corner, and one in Section 24 at
18	the top.
19	Also on this map, shown in black outline, is the
20	open mine workings as of last December, and the rest of it
21	is the colored areas on this thing are the mine plan
22	that was turned in to the State Land Office and the BLM in
23	January of 2004.
24	Q. And indicate to us, Mr. Morehouse, which of these
25	lines would indicate the outer portion of Mosaic's LMR.

1A.Okay, the LMR lines, if you go look in Section26 there, next to the vast fee land, the one that runs right3onto the corner of that piece of fee land is the LMR line,4LMR being to the south of that line.5Q.So we went to the top of the exhibit I've got6the larger exhibit. Is it the same for you?7A.8Q.9Okay, if we go to the top of the exhibit, the9inside line, which is10A.11Q.9 red or orange in color, I'm not sure what12or magenta.13A.14Q.9Okay, it comes right down by Corehole P-12?15A.16Q.9Okay, and what's the middle line, which on mine17is blue?18A.19Q.19Q.10And the outside right-hand line would be the10What?12A.13That would be the half-mile buffer for deep gas.14Q.15So according to this exhibit, then, the proposed16Jo according to this exhibit, then, the proposed17A.18That would be within what Mosaic has designated as19So according to this exhibit, thes, the groupsed10Jo at Line Rest11Jo at Line Rest12So according to this exhibit, then Rest13Jo at Line Rest <t< th=""><th></th><th></th></t<>		
3onto the corner of that piece of fee land is the LMR line,4LMR being to the south of that line.5Q. So we went to the top of the exhibit I've got6the larger exhibit. Is it the same for you?7A. Yeah, it's the same.8Q. Okay, if we go to the top of the exhibit, the9inside line, which is10A. The left-hand line.11Q red or orange in color, I'm not sure what12or magenta. What would you call that?13A. Red.14Q. Okay, it comes right down by Corehole P-12?15A. Yeah, the left-hand line is the LMR line.16Q. Okay, and what's the middle line, which on mine17is blue?18A. Blue is a quarter mile, roughly.19Q. And the outside right-hand line would be the20what?21A. That would be the half-mile buffer for deep gas.22Q. So according to this exhibit, then, the proposed23location of both the Bass-proposed APD and the Devon-24proposed APD would be within what Mosaic has designated as	1	A. Okay, the LMR lines, if you go look in Section
4LMR being to the south of that line.5Q. So we went to the top of the exhibit I've got6the larger exhibit. Is it the same for you?7A. Yeah, it's the same.8Q. Okay, if we go to the top of the exhibit, the9inside line, which is10A. The left-hand line.11Q red or orange in color, I'm not sure what12or magenta. What would you call that?13A. Red.14Q. Okay, it comes right down by Corehole P-12?15A. Yeah, the left-hand line is the LMR line.16Q. Okay, and what's the middle line, which on mine17is blue?18A. Blue is a quarter mile, roughly.19Q. And the outside right-hand line would be the20what?21A. That would be the half-mile buffer for deep gas.22Q. So according to this exhibit, then, the proposed23location of both the Bass-proposed APD and the Devon-24proposed APD would be within what Mosaic has designated as	2	6 there, next to the vast fee land, the one that runs right
 Q. So we went to the top of the exhibit I've got the larger exhibit. Is it the same for you? A. Yeah, it's the same. Q. Okay, if we go to the top of the exhibit, the inside line, which is A. The left-hand line. Q red or orange in color, I'm not sure what or magenta. What would you call that? A. Red. Q. Okay, it comes right down by Corehole P-12? A. Yeah, the left-hand line is the LMR line. Q. Okay, and what's the middle line, which on mine is blue? A. Blue is a quarter mile, roughly. Q. And the outside right-hand line would be the what? A. That would be the half-mile buffer for deep gas. Q. So according to this exhibit, then, the proposed location of both the Bass-proposed APD and the Devon- proposed APD would be within what Mosaic has designated as 	3	onto the corner of that piece of fee land is the LMR line,
 the larger exhibit. Is it the same for you? A. Yeah, it's the same. Q. Okay, if we go to the top of the exhibit, the inside line, which is A. The left-hand line. Q red or orange in color, I'm not sure what or magenta. What would you call that? A. Red. Q. Okay, it comes right down by Corehole P-12? A. Yeah, the left-hand line is the LMR line. Q. Okay, and what's the middle line, which on mine is blue? A. Blue is a quarter mile, roughly. Q. And the outside right-hand line would be the what? A. That would be the half-mile buffer for deep gas. Q. So according to this exhibit, then, the proposed location of both the Bass-proposed APD and the Devon- proposed APD would be within what Mosaic has designated as 	4	LMR being to the south of that line.
 A. Yeah, it's the same. Q. Okay, if we go to the top of the exhibit, the inside line, which is A. The left-hand line. Q red or orange in color, I'm not sure what or magenta. What would you call that? A. Red. Q. Okay, it comes right down by Corehole P-12? A. Yeah, the left-hand line is the LMR line. Q. Okay, and what's the middle line, which on mine is blue? A. Blue is a quarter mile, roughly. Q. And the outside right-hand line would be the what? A. That would be the half-mile buffer for deep gas. Q. So according to this exhibit, then, the proposed location of both the Bass-proposed APD and the Devon- proposed APD would be within what Mosaic has designated as 	5	Q. So we went to the top of the exhibit I've got
 Q. Okay, if we go to the top of the exhibit, the inside line, which is A. The left-hand line. Q red or orange in color, I'm not sure what or magenta. What would you call that? A. Red. Q. Okay, it comes right down by Corehole P-12? A. Yeah, the left-hand line is the LMR line. Q. Okay, and what's the middle line, which on mine is blue? A. Blue is a quarter mile, roughly. Q. And the outside right-hand line would be the what? A. That would be the half-mile buffer for deep gas. Q. So according to this exhibit, then, the proposed location of both the Bass-proposed APD and the Devon- proposed APD would be within what Mosaic has designated as 	6	the larger exhibit. Is it the same for you?
 9 inside line, which is A. The left-hand line. Q red or orange in color, I'm not sure what or magenta. What would you call that? 13 A. Red. 14 Q. Okay, it comes right down by Corehole P-12? A. Yeah, the left-hand line is the LMR line. Q. Okay, and what's the middle line, which on mine is blue? A. Blue is a quarter mile, roughly. Q. And the outside right-hand line would be the what? 21 A. That would be the half-mile buffer for deep gas. Q. So according to this exhibit, then, the proposed location of both the Bass-proposed APD and the Devon- proposed APD would be within what Mosaic has designated as 	7	A. Yeah, it's the same.
 A. The left-hand line. Q red or orange in color, I'm not sure what or magenta. What would you call that? A. Red. Q. Okay, it comes right down by Corehole P-12? A. Yeah, the left-hand line is the LMR line. Q. Okay, and what's the middle line, which on mine is blue? A. Blue is a quarter mile, roughly. Q. And the outside right-hand line would be the what? A. That would be the half-mile buffer for deep gas. Q. So according to this exhibit, then, the proposed location of both the Bass-proposed APD and the Devon- proposed APD would be within what Mosaic has designated as 	8	Q. Okay, if we go to the top of the exhibit, the
 Q red or orange in color, I'm not sure what or magenta. What would you call that? A. Red. Q. Okay, it comes right down by Corehole P-12? A. Yeah, the left-hand line is the LMR line. Q. Okay, and what's the middle line, which on mine is blue? A. Blue is a quarter mile, roughly. Q. And the outside right-hand line would be the what? A. That would be the half-mile buffer for deep gas. Q. So according to this exhibit, then, the proposed location of both the Bass-proposed APD and the Devon- proposed APD would be within what Mosaic has designated as 	9	inside line, which is
 or magenta. What would you call that? A. Red. Q. Okay, it comes right down by Corehole P-12? A. Yeah, the left-hand line is the LMR line. Q. Okay, and what's the middle line, which on mine is blue? A. Blue is a quarter mile, roughly. Q. And the outside right-hand line would be the what? A. That would be the half-mile buffer for deep gas. Q. So according to this exhibit, then, the proposed location of both the Bass-proposed APD and the Devon- proposed APD would be within what Mosaic has designated as 	10	A. The left-hand line.
 A. Red. Q. Okay, it comes right down by Corehole P-12? A. Yeah, the left-hand line is the LMR line. Q. Okay, and what's the middle line, which on mine is blue? A. Blue is a quarter mile, roughly. Q. And the outside right-hand line would be the what? A. That would be the half-mile buffer for deep gas. Q. So according to this exhibit, then, the proposed location of both the Bass-proposed APD and the Devon- proposed APD would be within what Mosaic has designated as 	11	Q red or orange in color, I'm not sure what
 Q. Okay, it comes right down by Corehole P-12? A. Yeah, the left-hand line is the LMR line. Q. Okay, and what's the middle line, which on mine is blue? A. Blue is a quarter mile, roughly. Q. And the outside right-hand line would be the what? A. That would be the half-mile buffer for deep gas. Q. So according to this exhibit, then, the proposed location of both the Bass-proposed APD and the Devon- proposed APD would be within what Mosaic has designated as 	12	or magenta. What would you call that?
 A. Yeah, the left-hand line is the LMR line. Q. Okay, and what's the middle line, which on mine is blue? A. Blue is a quarter mile, roughly. Q. And the outside right-hand line would be the what? A. That would be the half-mile buffer for deep gas. Q. So according to this exhibit, then, the proposed location of both the Bass-proposed APD and the Devon- proposed APD would be within what Mosaic has designated as 	13	A. Red.
 Q. Okay, and what's the middle line, which on mine is blue? A. Blue is a quarter mile, roughly. Q. And the outside right-hand line would be the what? A. That would be the half-mile buffer for deep gas. Q. So according to this exhibit, then, the proposed location of both the Bass-proposed APD and the Devon- proposed APD would be within what Mosaic has designated as 	14	Q. Okay, it comes right down by Corehole P-12?
 17 is blue? 18 A. Blue is a quarter mile, roughly. 19 Q. And the outside right-hand line would be the 20 what? 21 A. That would be the half-mile buffer for deep gas. 22 Q. So according to this exhibit, then, the proposed 23 location of both the Bass-proposed APD and the Devon- 24 proposed APD would be within what Mosaic has designated as 	15	A. Yeah, the left-hand line is the LMR line.
 A. Blue is a quarter mile, roughly. Q. And the outside right-hand line would be the what? A. That would be the half-mile buffer for deep gas. Q. So according to this exhibit, then, the proposed location of both the Bass-proposed APD and the Devon- proposed APD would be within what Mosaic has designated as 	16	Q. Okay, and what's the middle line, which on mine
 19 Q. And the outside right-hand line would be the 20 what? 21 A. That would be the half-mile buffer for deep gas. 22 Q. So according to this exhibit, then, the proposed 23 location of both the Bass-proposed APD and the Devon- 24 proposed APD would be within what Mosaic has designated as 	17	is blue?
20 what? 21 A. That would be the half-mile buffer for deep gas. 22 Q. So according to this exhibit, then, the proposed 23 location of both the Bass-proposed APD and the Devon- 24 proposed APD would be within what Mosaic has designated as	18	A. Blue is a quarter mile, roughly.
 A. That would be the half-mile buffer for deep gas. Q. So according to this exhibit, then, the proposed location of both the Bass-proposed APD and the Devon- proposed APD would be within what Mosaic has designated as 	19	Q. And the outside right-hand line would be the
 Q. So according to this exhibit, then, the proposed location of both the Bass-proposed APD and the Devon- proposed APD would be within what Mosaic has designated as 	20	what?
23 location of both the Bass-proposed APD and the Devon- 24 proposed APD would be within what Mosaic has designated as	21	A. That would be the half-mile buffer for deep gas.
24 proposed APD would be within what Mosaic has designated as	22	Q. So according to this exhibit, then, the proposed
	23	location of both the Bass-proposed APD and the Devon-
25 its LMR?	24	proposed APD would be within what Mosaic has designated as
	25	its LMR?

	120
1	A. That's correct.
2	Q. Now, the colored square portion, you said, was
3	the mine plan?
4	A. Yes, sir.
5	Q. And are those required to be filed with anyone?
6	A. Yes, by both the State Land Office and the BLM.
7	Q. And looking at the proposed well in Section 24,
8	the Devon well, Mosaic is projecting it will be mining in
9	the area shown in Exhibit 23 by when?
10	A. By the end of 2007 it will be at its closest
11	point.
12	Q. And that's within what distance to the proposed
13	40-acre spacing unit?
14	A. Going by the scale of the map, it looks like
15	about 500 feet from the edge of their fee land, and there's
16	I remember our measurements was somewhere in the
17	neighborhood of 1000, 1100 feet from their proposed wells.
18	Q. And looking down at the proposed Bass well in
19	Section Number 7, there's considerably greater distance
20	between Mosaic's proposed mining plan and the location of
21	that well?
22	A. That's correct.
23	Q. Now, is Mosaic required to designate its LMRs on
24	a periodic basis?
25	A. Every year.

i

-		-
1	Q. And is that reduced to a map?	
2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. And who is that filed with?	
4	A. The State Land Office and the BLM.	
5	Q. So both the BLM and the State Land Office New	
6	Mexico Land Office, correct?	
7	A. Yes.	
8	Q would have on file Mosaic's current LMR?	
9	A. Yes.	
10	Q. Look at what I have placed in front of you as	
11	and marked as Exhibit M1. Can you tell me what that is,	
12	please, sir?	
13	A. It's a letter that I understand that the OCD sent	
14	to the BLM to receive from them a determination whether	
15	this location would be within an LMR.	
16	Q. Do you know whose signature appears at the bottom	
17	of Exhibit M1?	
18	A. Yes, that's Craig Cranston.	
19	Q. And with who is he employed?	
20	A. The BLM, Carlsbad.	
21	Q. And down at the bottom there's a reference, it	
22	says "In LMR, In Buffer Zone", and this Exhibit M1 is	
23	marked as being where?	
24	A. Craig indicated that this location is in the LMR.	
25	Q. All right, and this location is in reference to	
		-

which APD? 1 This is the Devon Energy Production Company well, Α. 2 Apache 24 Fee Number 6. 3 Do you know to whom this letter was sent, or 0. 4 received? 5 No, not really, I don't. 6 Α. Do you know who Bryan Arrant is? 7 0. Oh, there he is, yeah, I couldn't find it. Yeah, 8 Α. I know -- I've talked to him on the phone, I know who he 9 10 is. Okay, and with whom is he employed? 11 Q. He's with the OCD in Artesia. 12 Α. Would that suggest to you that the New Mexico OCD 13 0. sent this letter to the BLM --14 15 Α. Yes. -- asked them whether or not this proposed 16 Q. 17 location was within an LMR? Α. Yes. 18 And according to this letter, the BLM informed 19 Q. 20 the OCD yesterday it is in an LMR? Α. That's correct. 21 Look at Exhibit M4 that you have in front of you. 22 Q. 23 Α. Okay. Can you tell me whose signature is at the bottom 24 Q. 25 of that, please?

_	
1	A. Yes, that's, I believe, Jami Bailey.
2	Q. And with whom is she employed?
3	A. With the State Land Office.
4	Q. Can you tell from looking at this document who
5	sent it and to whom they sent it?
6	A. Bryan Arrant sent this one also, just as he did
7	the other one, and sent it to Joe Mraz. It says just Dear
8	Joe No, it says up at the top Joe Mraz, okay.
9	Q. And you've already indicated the State Land
10	Office is one place where Mosaic files its LMR?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And looking at this Exhibit M4, would you tell us
13	what it appears that Jami Bailey informed the OCD with
14	respect to the location of the proposed Apache 24 Number 6?
15	A. This is also marked that that same well is in the
16	LMR.
17	Q. Now, look at Exhibit M2 in front of you, please,
18	sir. In fact, look at M2 and M3 both, and would you look
19	and tell me if those two letters are essentially the same
20	that we just got through talking about, except they're
21	referencing the Bass well in Section 7, instead of the
22	Devon well in Section 24?
23	A. Yes, they appear to be identical, other than the
24	dates.
25	Q. Okay, and they're both with respect to James

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317 123

1 Ranch Unit Number 93, both the State Land Office an	d the
	iu che
2 BLM indicate that those APDs are in a potash operat	cor's
3 LMR?	
4 A. That's correct.	
5 Q. Now, if these proposed wells are allowed,	Mr.
6 Morehouse and let's start with the Devon well in	n Section
7 24. If that well is allowed and by "that one",	let's
8 start with the deep gas well what impact, if any	, would
9 that have on the potash that Mosaic has under lease	e in
10 Section 23?	
A. The impact is that it poses a hazard to m	aining in
12 the area, and through agreement and discussions wit	ch the
13 oil and gas industry and then the development of th	ne R-111-
14 P, determined that no mining should not no minin	ng should
15 occur, but no well should be drilled in a place that	at would
16 within a half of where you expect to mine.	
Q. A deep gas well, right?	
18 A. So a deep gas well would pose a hazard to	o mining
19 in that area, to an area that's one mile in diamete	er, a
20 circle that's one mile in diameter.	
21 Q. And if Mosaic if this well, if this de	ep gas
22 well is allowed at the proposed location, Section 2	24, and
23 Mosaic was required to observe that half-mile spaci	ing,
24 would that preclude the mining of a large portion o	of
25 Section 23?	

_	
1	A. Yes, it would.
2	Q. Has anyone offered to pay Mosaic for that potash?
3	A. No, sir.
4	Q. With respect to Section 7
5	A. Same deep gas well, same one-mile-diameter
6	circle, probably a little more valuable ore in that it's
7	langbeinite instead of sylvite.
8	Q. In Section 7?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. That's langbeinite?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Okay, and what is the difference in langbeinite
13	and sylvite, Mr. Morehouse, in a general sense?
14	A. They're just
15	Q. I don't mean chemically; in a general sense.
16	A. They're just two different minerals. The
17	langbeinite has more is easier to refine and produce.
18	The margin on it is better than the margin on sylvite; it's
19	less of a commodity, it's a specialty item.
20	Q. Is there any other location in the northern
21	hemisphere or the western hemisphere known to you that has
22	a commercially minable deposit of langbeinite, other than
23	in the potash basin here in New Mexico?
24	A. There are none in the world.
25	Q. So the only known resource on the whole planet of

1	langbeinite is here in Eddy and Lea County, New Mexico?
2	A. That's correct.
3	Q. Do you know if there's any artificial substitute
4	for langbeinite?
5	A. Yes, it can be you can accomplish the same
6	things by using other fertilizers. Sulfate of potash is
7	one, mag chloride is another. They can make other
8	combinations to get the same effect.
9	Q. But once this langbeinite is either mined and
10	used or wasted, as far as you know, there is no more?
11	A. None that's of minable quality anymore, no.
12	Q. Now, during the course of the processing of these
13	APDs, did you ever have occasion to talk with either a
14	representative of Bass or Devon with respect to these
15	proposed locations?
16	A. Yes, I did have discussions with Ken Gray of
17	Devon.
18	Q. Okay, and with whom is he employed?
19	A. He's with Devon.
20	Q. And did you talk to him about the proposed
21	location in Section 24?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And tell us about those discussions.
24	A. I believe it was about December of 2003, he
25	called up and said they were interested in drilling this

area and he wanted to come by and discuss what would be 1 acceptable to us and just kind of get a feel for what they 2 could get done out in that area. 3 We tried to have meetings, they were scheduled 4 and rescheduled. They put it off for a couple months and 5 we put it off for a month. I think it wasn't until -- I 6 wish he was still here, but I think it was somewhere around 7 March, maybe April, when we had our meeting. 8 We talked about a lot of areas along this edge of 9 WIPP over here, we did talk about the fee land, told him, 10 you know, we really don't like the thought of that well 11 12 being there. You know, I mean, we would object to it. It's not someplace we'd like to have a well located. 13 0. And you told him during these discussions you 14 were having with him that we would object to that well? 15 Yeah. Yes, I did. 16 Α. Have you dealt with the BLM in connection with 17 Q. the filing and processing of APDs? 18 Α. 19 Yes. Do you know what the BLM does with respect to 20 Q. 21 wells that are proposed along the west side of the WIPP site? 22 Yeah, they have designated a narrow corridor 23 Α. along there -- I think it's 330 feet wide -- starting 330 24 25 feet off the lease line, as a drilling island.

_	
1	Q. And if we look at I believe it's Devon Exhibit
2	Number 2
3	A. Okay.
4	Q does that show that horizontal well?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Okay. Is that one of the wells that you
7	understand the BLM has allowed to be drilled from that
8	drilling island?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the BLM
11	would not allow a similar well to be drilled to reach the
12	or develop the resources that are proposed in connection
13	with this Devon well, or these wells?
14	A. No, I believe they'd be all for it.
15	MR. HIGH: Okay, we'll pass the witness.
16	EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Carr?
17	CROSS-EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. CARR:
19	Q. Mr. Morehouse, you would agree with me that Devon
20	and Bass have a right to develop the oil and gas under
21	those fee tracts?
22	A. I agree.
23	Q. The issue here is with how we do it?
24	A. When and how.
25	Q. And this is sort of a follow-up to some of the

1	questions Mr. high had this morning for our people, but
2	when you're developing potash, do you really consider the
3	impact on the oil and gas industry as you go forward with
4	these plans?
5	A. No, the extent of the ore is so much smaller than
6	the oil and gas area, you know, we don't consider the
7	effect.
8	Q. You're aware that as you step out and expand an
9	LMR, that it can have an impact on the individuals that
10	offset your mining operations, that hold oil and gas
11	leases?
12	A. It can have an effect and it could delay their
13	drilling, yes.
14	Q. And you testified about your experience in the
15	mining industry. Do you have experience in developing oil
16	and gas properties?
17	A. No, I don't.
18	Q. Today we talked about or there was some
19	testimony about maybe being able to access these reserves
20	with directional wells. Have you ever been involved with
21	the drilling of one of those wells?
22	A. Not with the drilling, no.
23	Q. Do you understand that if you drill a vertical
24	well you can access all the formations that that wellbore
25	penetrates?

1	A. Yes, I do.
2	Q. And if you're horizontally drilling, it may not
3	be economic to try and evaluate and produce all of the
4	zones that are stacked one above the other?
5	A. I believe that's correct, it may not be.
6	Q. And I mean, your industry is highly cost-
7	sensitive; isn't that fair to say?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. When costs of mining go up, it can jeopardize
10	your ability to actually access certain quality ores; is
11	that a fair statement?
12	A. The cost of mining goes up, the ability to access
13	lower grade ores
14	Q goes away, perhaps?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And you understand that in the oil and gas
17	industry costs are also a factor?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And that if you have to incur the costs of a
20	directional well, it could even mean that certain reserves
21	might not be developed for some period of time?
22	A. For some time, yes.
23	Q. And that even in certain circumstances, even
24	though the reserves will be there, there are times when you
25	can economically drill and develop properties, and if you

. . .

- - -

- - - -

-	
1	that those economic conditions just may not last
2	forever?
3	A. I would think that would not be true. I would
4	think if that oil stays there, and as history shows us, the
5	value of oil continues to rise, the distant future would be
6	distantly valuable.
7	Q. Wouldn't it be fair to say that the value of the
8	potash would always be there, and that it would always be
9	economic for somebody to go back and
10	A. That's really the basic difference between the
11	two industries, in that they're both extractive
12	industries where that's the commonality. The difference
13	is, in oil and gas you can go to a location and do it and
14	move away, and it's not a huge investment. I mean, oil is
15	expensive, but it's not a huge investment to move that one
16	well.
17	To do any one mine is a huge investment, and it's
18	got to be based on more than a 40-, 160-, 320-acre
19	allotment.
20	Q. When you go out and you mine through this area,
21	this morning Mr. High, I think, indicated there were maybe
22	hundreds or thousands of wells drilled in the potash/oil
23	area. There are a number of wells drilled there?
24	A. Yeah, there's a couple thousand wells drilled in
25	the area.
•	

And a second second

1	Q. And when you're out there mining, have you ever
2	mined within, say, a quarter of a mile of any wellbore?
3	A. Not since I Well, yes.
4	Q. And you feel like in those cases you've been able
5	to do that safely?
6	A. The one that I was involved with was a dry and
7	abandoned well drilled to the base of the salt. I couldn't
8	tell you what the strata is. It produced only water. It
9	was drilled in the 1920s. When we mined there, it had
10	already been mined up to before, and we went back in that
11	general area and kind of went around it, but we didn't get
12	any closer than it was before, but
13	Q. This morning Mr. High was talking about when an
14	oil and gas company goes out and drills a well, that in
15	effect what we're trying to do is shift the costs, or the
16	burdens, perhaps, to the potash operator. Do you agree
17	with that?
18	A. Yes, in a sense, it's if the same person if
19	the land owner of the general area, not talking about the
20	40-acre spot, owned both the potash and the oil and gas, he
21	would be trying to develop some way to figure out, where
22	can I do this, where can I do that?
23	Q. When you go out and mine the potash in an area,
24	and if we're to develop what we have to go with horizontal
25	wells or delay our plans for long periods of time, doesn't

-

1	that in effect also mean that what your activity is doing
2	is in a sense trying to shift the cost to us?
3	A. It's either delaying it or yeah, I realize it
4	could be more expensive to drill horizontally.
5	Q. Yes.
6	A. I also realize that horizontal wells can produce
7	much more than a vertical well would have produced too.
8	Q. When we look at the exhibits M1 through -4 , these
9	were all determinations by the OCD that the acreage that
10	was involved with each of the wells we were proposing, was
11	actually in an LMR; isn't that right?
12	A. M1 through -11 was all
13	Q. I'm sorry, M1 through -4, the letters that
14	A. Oh, okay.
15	Q. Those were they were offered to show that, in
16	fact, the wells that were being proposed were within an
17	LMR?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. If you look at each of the 40-acre tracts we've
20	been talking about here today, both of those tracts are
21	actually within what we classified, or you classify, or the
22	BLM or someone does, as measured potash?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And you're concerned that the drilling as we've
25	proposed is going to unduly reduce the commercial potash

1

that can be recovered; is that fair?
A. That and pose a significant hazard to mining in
the area.
Q. And if we and the potash that you're concerned
about, if we look at M5 and we look at your mining
operations up around Section 24, the Devon tract
A. Yes.
Q I mean, you're going to be mining right up to
that 40 acres; isn't that right?
A. And as we turn in a new plan this year we may
even get closer, yes.
Q. And if we look at this and we look at where it
lies in regard to measured potash, is it fair to assume
that there's measured potash under that fee tract as well?
A. Yes.
Q. And is it also true that even though there's
measured potash and you're moving right up to it, you
haven't offered to lease that potash until this year?
A. We're still five years out. We have in the past,
as we got nearer a big piece of fee land, contact the
owners and have negotiated rights to mine on fee land.
Q. There's acreage north of both of these fee tracts
that is also open at this time; isn't that right? Federal
tracts?
A. Yes.

	100
1	Q. Are you trying to lease that?
2	A. Yes, we're trying to lease 24, the one just above
3	it 23? No, that would be I don't know my numbers
4	13, and the top of 14 and 15. So yeah, we're trying to
5	lease a slug of that land.
6	Q. At this point in time, there's no real practical
7	way for Mr. Mills or Mr. Smith, either one of them, to
8	develop their potash on their own? I mean, it's
9	economically unrealistic?
10	A. No, we would be mining it and paying them the
11	royalties, just as if They can't go out and drill a well
12	either.
13	Q. And so at this point in time they're really
14	locked out on that 40 acres? You can't drill a well and
15	you can't produce the potash alone; is that fair?
16	A. You can't produce the potash until you mine to
17	it, that's correct.
18	Q. And in each of these 40s at this time you have no
19	ownership?
20	A. That's correct.
21	Q. And you go out and talk to, say, Mr. Smith,
22	Kenneth Smith
23	A. Yeah.
24	Q say we're five years out. I mean, is that a
25	realistic number? Do you generally get into these areas on

1	time?
2	A. With any mine plan, you hit and miss with it.
3	Q. Uh-huh.
4	A. And yeah, it's realistic to get there. It might
5	be we went five years in a different direction than what we
6	showed on the map.
7	Q. Yeah.
8	A. But yeah, that much country is going to be
9	covered in some direction.
10	Q. Okay. And you understand that Bass and Devon are
11	proposing to drill these wells immediately?
12	A. Yeah.
13	Q. As it stands right now, do you have any plans to
14	mine either of those tracts?
15	A. Actually expected we would mine the Smith one
16	Q. And
17	A pretty quick, I mean the next few years.
18	Q. But at this time you have no right to do that?
19	A. That's correct.
20	Q. And until you get that right, you can't really
21	mine one square foot of ore under that tract?
22	A. That's right.
23	Q. Now, when we look at these fee tracts, is it your
24	understanding under R-111-P that these fee tracts are
25	administered by the BLM or by the State of New Mexico?

_	
1	A. State of New Mexico.
2	Q. And that's why we're here?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And you understand that when we're here, Mr.
5	Stogner has to balance both our interests?
6	A. I know he tries.
7	MR. CARR: That's all I have. Thank you.
8	MR. HIGH: We would offer Exhibits M1 through M5.
9	EXAMINER STOGNER: Any objections?
10	MR. CARR: No objection.
11	EXAMINER STOGNER: M1 through M5 will be admitted
12	into evidence at this time.
13	Mr. Carr, I also note that Mr. Kellahin is no
14	longer in the audience, so Mr. Carr, I would offer at that
15	point, any redirect, Mr. High?
16	MR. HIGH: Mr. Morehouse, is there anything else
17	you want to add that perhaps I've missed? I don't think I
18	have any further questions, but if Mr. Morehouse thinks I
19	have, I'll let him
20	THE WITNESS: I can't think on my feet that well,
21	I'm concentrating right now.
22	MR. HIGH: Then we have no further questions.
23	EXAMINATION
24	BY EXAMINER STOGNER:
25	Q. Okay, Mr. Morehouse, I'm going to refer to

1	Exhibit Number M5, and let's take a look at the Bass
2	well
3	A. Okay.
4	Q the bass acreage down there, that little 40-
5	acre tract. Now, your scale - and I'm going to just look
6	at what is that exhibit? I mean, Section 6 and 7, does
7	that LMR actually run along that line, the section line
8	A. Yes.
9	Q or is it off like it's indicated?
10	A. It's off a little bit like indicated. It's based
11	on those coreholes, I-384 and -449
12	Q. Uh-huh.
13	A just south of there, so they run more or less
14	parallel with those wells, those coreholes.
15	Q. So there is a little bit of an area in that
16	quarter quarter section, that northeast quarter, northeast
17	quarter, that would be outside of the LMR
18	A. Yeah.
19	Q in that fee acreage?
20	A. Yeah, 2000 or 3000 square feet, looks like.
21	Q. But it would still be within the buffer zone; is
22	that correct?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Would that make a difference?
25	A. Not for a deep gas well, no, or it really

_	
1	wouldn't make any difference for an oil well either.
2	Q. Okay. You're going to be looking at the LMR and
3	the buffer zone as the same in this instance?
4	A. Well, not as the same, but as we really do try
5	to keep oil and gas drilling more than a quarter say a
6	shallow well, more than a quarter mile away. Yeah, that
7	would be what we try to keep clear.
8	However, in this case I'm looking at the wrong
9	map there is drilling in the south end of 6 that Bass
10	has already drilled. That's that there's a JRU 87 and
11	JRU 14. I'd say that the BLM has already considered
12	almost drill out, and they're putting two wells on the same
13	location.
14	And so yeah, that type of drilling where we've
15	already got something set up would be a prime candidate for
16	us to say, Yeah, go ahead and drill there.
17	Q. Do you know how many wells are actually in
18	Section 6, currently, oil and gas, or
19	A. Well, I'm going by the Bass Enterprises map. It
20	looks like there's in the whole section there's one,
21	two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine, eleven,
22	twelve, thirteen, fourteen, if I counted well.
23	Q. Okay, I'm going to come right out and I'll say
24	it. Is that a negotiable item, if a well was moved outside
25	of that LMR but on that quarter quarter section, would that

1	be something that IM I'm sorry, Mosaic would consider
2	as a viable option, even though it's deep gas?
3	A. We've always talked to anybody that came by and
4	talked about it. There's a possibility that with
5	discussions, with my supervision that I have, that
6	something could be worked out. I can't say it's
7	impossible. Yeah, I'll stop there.
8	EXAMINER STOGNER: Subsequent to whatever happens
9	today, Mr. Carr and Mr. High, I'd like to suggest that Bass
10	and Mr. Morehouse and everybody concerned here take a look
11	and see if there's another location within the quarter
12	quarter section that would be viable and could be agreed
13	upon.
14	Now, I understand that's contingent on a
15	nonstandard location request, and I don't know what the
16	ownership in Section 6 is, other than it being federal, or
17	it could be state, I assume, too. I don't know if I have
18	any
19	MR. DANNELS: It's all federal.
20	THE WITNESS: It's all federal.
21	EXAMINER STOGNER: It is federal, that Section 6
22	is
23	THE WITNESS: And it's all
24	EXAMINER STOGNER: that's unusual.
25	But if it's a 100-percent working interest

1	ownership, Bass, or if In other words, would there be a
2	location within that quarter quarter section for this well,
3	would that be a viable option?
4	I'd like for everybody to explore that issue,
5	after whatever happens today, perhaps if there's something
6	that could be worked out. It wouldn't necessarily have to
7	be directional drilling. I'm not proposing that or
8	suggesting that, but a very, very unorthodox location that
9	would be acceptable. Something to explore and look at in
10	that situation.
11	If there's something that could be negotiable, I
12	always would like to see a dismissal for me and something
13	that both parties could agree upon or at least look at.
14	I'm going to take administrative notice of Order
15	Number R-111-P. I was talking to Ms. MacQuesten earlier
16	today. There's a lot of things that could be covered in
17	this Application, and that's the reason I'm taking this
18	under notice, R-111-P, because the safety issues in the
19	mining has been brought up and is of historical record
20	within OCD.
21	Those people that are new may not necessarily
22	could look at this and see the safety issues involved, or a
23	lot of the other issues about subsidence and what, the
24	45-degree area of influence of that subsidence on a
25	wellbore.

ľ

There's a lot of science that has not been 1 presented here today that could be, but a lot of new people 2 will take a look at this and not really actually understand 3 many of the complex issues involved. I'll take 4 administrative notice of that. 5 With that in mind, are there any other questions 6 7 of Mr. Morehouse? 8 Is there anything further at this time then? 9 MR. HIGH: We have nothing further, Mr. Examiner. 10 MR. CARR: I have a closing statement I would like to make. 11 12 EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Morehouse, you may be excused at this time. 13 14 Do you by chance have another copy of these -- a 15 clean copy of these exhibits, M1 through M5 that we can give to the reporter? 16 17 MR. HIGH: Yes. 18 MR. MOREHOUSE: I have this set right here, if 19 you want. 20 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. Mr. Morehouse, you may 21 be excused. 22 Let's see, since this was the Application of Bass and Devon I will allow them to have the last word. 23 24 With that, Mr. High, we'll start with the closing 25 statement by you.

MR. HIGH: Mr. Examiner, we would ask that these 1 APDs for the locations at least involved here be denied. 2 We are not opposed, as Mr. Morehouse said, to the 3 development of the resources under these particular leases. 4 We believe that the State OCD can serve its statutory 5 purpose of protecting potash and also developing oil and 6 gas resources by developing these resources at alternative 7 locations. 8

9 Either one of these proposed locations can be 10 developed from other locations, one by directional 11 drilling, one perhaps, even in Section 24, perhaps, even 12 from another location by a vertical well. Since that's a 13 deep gas well, that 320-acre could even be developed from 14 the east side of Section 24 without a directional well.

But given the fact that these can be developed in 15 a way to where the resources, the oil and gas resources can 16 17 be recovered, and the loss of potash minimized, it's our 18 position that the OCD has a statutory obligation to balance 19 those two interests and allow the recovery of their oil and gas resources in a way that least wastes potash, and in 20 21 this particular case it will be through denying these locations, with the instruction that they file a new APD 22 for a directional well that will result in less of a waste 23 24 of potash resources.

25

And for those reasons, we would ask that they be

1	denied.
2	EXAMINER STOGNER: Thank you, Mr. High.
3	Mr. Carr?
4	MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, closing
5	argument is my chance to argue to you both the facts and
6	the law.
7	I think if we look back over the last 20 or 30
8	years, there have been a number of hearings before the
9	Division and the Commission where the issues concerning the
10	waste of potash and mine safety have been discussed.
11	But when I start trying to look at what the law
12	is, I go to the orders of the Oil Conservation Division and
13	Commission, and there's one that I think is precedent and
14	in this case is the law, and that's the case, the order
15	entered in the Noranda case, the one I cited in my motion,
16	Order Number R-9990. And I believe it's applicable here
17	because the facts are very, very similar.
18	There, as here, the proposed well was in the
19	buffer zone. There, as here, there was agreement between
20	the people who held the potash rights and the oil and gas
21	rights on a tract. There the well was proposed 330 feet
22	from the potash lease, here we're farther away by twice
23	that with these locations.
24	I think it's important when you look at that
25	order to see that what you determine was that under Order

1 R-111-P there's no provision for an LMR determination when 2 the proposed well is located on fee lands. That makes some 3 sense when you look at the order. It was an agreement not 4 between fee owners but between potash owners and the 5 holders of oil and gas leases.

You also found in that order that Order R-111-P
does not authorize a potash lessee to designate an LMR on
lands unless it leases those lands. And we think those
facts would stand today.

But the important thing in that case, and the 10 ultimate finding in that case, was, and it reads, Many of 11 the same technical issues, such as waste, safety and the 12 methodology of determining LMRs brought out in this case, 13 14 parallel those of said Commission Cases 10,446 and 10,447. 15 And then this is the important statement: The fundamental difference still remains, however, that all parties owning 16 17 potash and oil and gas interests underlying a particular lease reached an agreement on the extraction of their 18 19 minerals. And you approved that location.

It seems to me that when you take this order and you compare it to the wording of Order R-111-P, one thing becomes quickly very clear. Order R-111-P was not something casually drafted or prepared. The words were very carefully developed. And in some places they talk about federal and state lands and who has authority to do

what, other places they talk about federal lands and state 1 and patented lands. 2 But when you take the words of Order R-111-P and 3 apply them to the Noranda order, I think you will see that 4 the Noranda order is correct. And when we come before you 5 on facts such as we have today, this is the law, and I 6 would urge you to follow it. 7 And the key facts in this case are very simple, 8 and we've talked about them at length. IMC/Mosaic owns 9 nothing under either of these 40-acre tracts. And like in 10 the Noranda case, whether or not it's in a buffer zone or 11 not, the fundamental issue is that under Order R-111-P 12 these locations can be approved, because you have mutual 13 agreement between the owners of the potash and the oil and 14 15 gas. And we had people come in here today, the mineral 16 17 owners, some who've been sitting for over 20 years with no 18 well being drilled on their property and no one even 19 offering to lease their potash, and they've been locked out all that time. And now somebody comes along and is willing 20 to develop their lands, and they're supporting the oil and 21 22 gas companies who are prepared to go out and drill these 23 wells. 24 Mr. High correctly points out, you've got a job,

25 you have to balance these interests. But when you do it,

you still operate under your general statutory directives 1 to protect correlative rights, and that means that the 2 owners of these mineral rights, oil and gas and potash, are 3 to be afforded an opportunity to produce their just and 4 5 fair share. And it's not just Bass's correlative rights or 6 Devon's, it's also Mr. Mills', and it's also Kenneth 7 Smith's. And they stand before you, having agreed with 8 these oil and gas companies that the time has come to drill 9 wells on their property, we're prepared to do it, and we 10 would ask you to reinstate both -- all three of the 11 permits, or issued permits in the case of the 7A well, 12 because we've complied with Order R-111-P, we are in 13 14 compliance and consistent with the orders entered by this 15 Division interpreting Order R-111-P, and under the law we believe we're entitled to those permits. 16 17 EXAMINER STOGNER: Thank you, Mr. Carr. 18 Does anybody else have anything further in these three consolidated cases? 19 20 If not --21 MR. MOREHOUSE: Can I say -- I just thought of 22 something while you was talking. 23 EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. High, do you want to talk to Mr. Morehouse? 24 25 MR. HIGH: The only comment Mr. Morehouse would

have added is in the Snyder Ranch case, the case referred 1 to by Mr. Carr, there was no alternate location from which 2 that particular oil and gas resource could have been 3 4 developed, and that distinguishes these two. EXAMINER STOGNER: Thank you, Mr. -- Yeah, I've 5 got to give Mr. Carr a chance for rebuttal. I shouldn't 6 7 have probably done that, but --MR. CARR: That's all right, I would just note --8 9 EXAMINER STOGNER: -- it is somewhat --MR. CARR: -- I would just note that a 10 11 directional proposal to a Devonian zone with secondary Delaware objectives may not be an alternative location to 12 develop the reserves as proposed by Devon. 13 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, with that, Mr. High, Mr. 14 Carr, please study that possibility that I have brought 15 up --16 17 MR. CARR: I will. 18 EXAMINER STOGNER: -- and mutually keep me posted 19 on it --20 MR. CARR: Yes, sir. 21 EXAMINER STOGNER: -- if that's acceptable, and 22 if it is, I will personally help get an administrative NSL 23 through --24 MR. CARR: Yes, sir. 25 EXAMINER STOGNER: -- provided it is a do-able.

With that, I'm going to take this matter under advisement. And with that, I believe the hearing today is closed. Thank you. MR. HIGH: Thank you very much. (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at 2:30 p.m.) * * I do harkey coulty that the foregoing a « complete record of the proceedings of the Examiner hearing of CosesNo. 13,367, 13368, 3372 heard by my on 2 December 2004. Oil Conservation Division ., Exeminer

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) ss. COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL December 10th, 2004.

pue

STEVEN T. BRENNER CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2006