## STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF CIMAREX ENERGY COMPANY FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO CASE NO. 13,496

)

## ORIGINAL

## **REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS**

## EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: WILLIAM V. JONES, JR., Hearing Examiner

August 11th, 2005

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, WILLIAM V. JONES, JR., Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, August 11th, 2005, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

\* \* \*

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317 2005 1236 2.5 FIT TO

			2
	INDEX		
August 11th, 2005 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 13,496			
			PAGE
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE			6
	* * *		
E	хнівітз		
Applicant's	Identified	Admitted	
Exhibit 1	4	5	
Exhibit 2 Exhibit 3	4 4	5 5	
	* * *		
АРР	EARANCES	5	
FOR THE DIVISION:			
GAIL MacQUESTEN Deputy General Counsel Energy, Minerals and Nat 1220 South St. Francis D Santa Fe, New Mexico 87	rive	Department	
FOR THE APPLICANT:			
JAMES G. BRUCE Attorney at Law P.O. Box 1056			

\* \* \*

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

1	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
2	8:22 a.m.:
3	EXAMINER JONES: Okay, with that let's call the
4	first case this morning, Case Number 13,496, Application of
5	Cimarex Energy Company for compulsory pooling, Lea County,
6	New Mexico.
· <b>7</b>	Call for appearances.
8	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of Santa Fe,
9	representing the Applicant. I just have a brief statement
10	and a few exhibits.
11	EXAMINER JONES: Any other appearances?
12	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, this case was heard a
13	couple of months ago, and an order was issued. The order
14	number is R-12,365.
15	The Application itself and the proposed
16	advertisement for that case was for a well located at an
17	orthodox oil well location in the southeast quarter,
18	northwest quarter of Section 21 of 15 South, 36 East. The
19	order itself specified a location, which was the location
20	at that point, of 1830 feet from the north line and 1980
21	feet from the west line of the section.
22	After the hearing, the surface owner informed
23	Cimarex that he was going to install an irrigation system,
24	so the well location was moved to $(1830)$ feet from the north
25	and west lines, to accommodate the surface owner.

1 E 1

l

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317 3

But as a result of the terms of the order, the 1 Applicant sent out a notice to the people who had not 2 joined in the well as of this date and -- with the correct 3 And the purpose of this Application is simply to 4 location. 5 correct that well location. Submitted as Exhibit 1 is a statement of the 6 landman who did appear at the original hearing, and 7 attached to that letter are the letters sent out to the 8 9 uncommitted working interest owners giving correct notice of the new well location and other data as required by 10 Division Rule 1207.A.(1), together with a new AFE for the 11 well. 12 13 Exhibit 2 is the affidavit of notice that was -or, excuse me, is my affidavit of notice regarding the 14 15 certified mailing sent to the eight uncommitted working interest owners. 16 17 And finally, submitted as Exhibit 3 is a legal 18 notice published in the Hobbs newspaper regarding the 19 unlocatable people. There is testimony in the record from 20 the original case regarding the efforts made to locate 21 these people. And I think it covers all of the people who were -- did not receive notice the first go-around, or did 22 23 not sign the green card on the first go-around. 24 I meant to give you my original affidavit and 25 notice. With that, I would move the admission of Exhibits

> STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

4

1	1, 2 and 3 and ask that the matter be taken under
2	advisement.
3	EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 1, 2 and 3 will be
4	admitted to evidence.
5	Is this the same entities before as after
6	MR. BRUCE: Yeah, the same people before as
7	after. I think in the first hearing a number of additional
8	people were notified, but in the interim they had signed
9	leases, but the same people who were force pooled in the
10	same order, the first order, are still being pooled today.
11	EXAMINER JONES: And it's still an orthodox
12	location, southeast
13	MR. BRUCE: It is still an orthodox location, and
14	it's a standard 40-acre oil pool.
15	EXAMINER JONES: Okay.
16	Gail, do you have any questions?
17	MS. MacQUESTEN: I have no questions, thank you.
18	EXAMINER JONES: Thank you, Mr. Bruce. Case
19	13,496 will be taken under advisement.
20	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
21	8:26 a.m.)
22	* <b>et</b> hereby cartify that the foregoing is <b>* c</b> omplete record of the sur-
23	the Examiner boardon - co
24	neard by me on
25	Oil Conservation Division
	CIVILSION

5

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317