1	Page 1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
3	ORIGINAL
4 :	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
10	The state of the s
11	PRE-HEARING TRANSCRIPT
12	BEFORE: RICHARD EZEANYIM, Presiding Examiner DAVID K. BROOKS, Legal Examiner
13	
14	
15	November 29, 2012
16	Santa Fe, New Mexico
17	
18	This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, RICHARD EZEANYIM,
	Presiding Examiner, DAVID K. BROOKS, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, November 29, 2012, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South
19	
20	St. Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.
21	
22	
23	REPORTED BY: Jacqueline R. Lujan, CCR #91
24	Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 Fourth Street, N.W., Suite 105
25	Albuquerque, NM 87103 505-843-9241

- 1 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: This hearing will come
- 2 to order. Today is November 29th. Maybe I should wait
- 3 until everybody is settled.
- As I was saying, this hearing will come to
- 5 order at this time. Today we're going to call Docket
- 6 Number 37-12, November 29th, at approximately 8:15 in the
- 7 morning.
- 8 However, before we go to that docket, I have a
- 9 couple of announcements to make. The first -- I think
- 10 you guys will benefit from this -- is on January 10th, we
- 11 have no hearing on January 10th because of the PIT rule
- 12 hearing. So all the continued cases for January 10th are
- 13 moved to January 24th. And so we're not having any
- 14 continuances on January 10th. They're going to be moved
- 15 to January 24th.
- 16 I noticed when I read this docket that -- you
- 17 know, about three or four years ago, we changed the way
- 18 we named our rules. I'm not an attorney. It's 19.11, I
- 19 don't know what that means. And that's what we're
- 20 dealing with. I'm more comfortable with the old rules,
- 21 but I don't know the ones that we changed three years
- 22 ago.
- 23 However, I still see in the docket that most
- 24 people still try to do the old nomenclature. I'm trying
- 25 to learn the new ones. They're confusing me. So please,

- if you want to do, it, I think you can download it on
- 2 line. It's all on line. Download it, and then cite the
- 3 correct rule which are you are addressing at that point
- 4, so that I can go straight to it. Because I don't have
- 5 the old nomenclature. I don't have it. All I have now
- 6 is the new rule, and they told us to abide by those new
- 7 conventions.
- 8 So please don't cite 5 rules -- 5 something or
- 9 3 something. Just tell me 19. something, something,
- 10 something, so I can go to that directly. It's just a
- 11 minor something, but I think it helps me. Because
- 12 otherwise, I have to go and fish out the old ones to
- 13 cross-check what you are talking about.
- 14 And now the top one is overproduction. I
- don't know how many people are overproducing. For me,
- 16 there is nothing like overproduction. As long as
- 17 correlative rights is not impaired, as long as we don't
- 18 damage the reservoir, as long as we extract all the
- 19 primary hydrocarbons, for me, that's not overproduction.
- 20 We want to produce the reservoir at the most efficient
- 21 and effective manner.
- Like I said, if we are not impairing
- 23 correlative rights and we're not damaging the reservoir
- 24 and we are not doing some production skims that we leave
- 25 certain oil underground, there's nothing like

- 1 overproduction.
- The point I'm making here is you have one
- 3 month test allowable during that one month when you are
- 4 testing your well. When the well comes on, you should
- 5 know what your well should do. If you think you are
- 6 going to exceed the depth bracket allowable, at that
- 7 point you apply for increasing allowable.
- 8 That one month is not for you to determine
- 9 whether your allowable is going to be exceeded and the
- 10 well declines. Depending on the decline scenario you are
- 11 having, you should be able to determine that within that
- 12 one-month allowable.
- We have that in the rule, that you could drill
- 14 your test allowable to determine what your well is going
- 15 to do. If you think you're going to produce more than
- the depth bracket allowable, please apply for increased
- 17 depth bracket allowable. Don't just continue to produce.
- 18 Because if I were you, I would. Why would I not produce?
- But however, if you look at it from my own
- 20 side, I want to make sure there that is no correlative
- 21 rights issue. I want to make sure that the ultimate
- 22 recovery is obtained. I want to make sure your
- 23 production doesn't damage the reservoir. Once that is
- 24 done and you can prove it, we can increase your
- 25 allowable, even make it productive. Because we want to

- 1 produce the reservoir.
- I don't want people hiding behind something or
- 3 somebody reports you. Oh, so it's overproducing. So if
- 4 that happens, I begin to wonder how many operators are
- 5 overproducing. Of course, I told you I don't believe in
- 6 overproduction. You should not allow it to happen.
- All you are going to do is when you test and
- 8 you think are you going to exceed the allowable, apply
- 9 for an increased allowable. We do it routinely at all
- 10 the hearings. We are going to put something up so
- 11 everybody will know, instead of, "Oh, I'm overproducing."
- 12 You're not overproducing. Just come in here and get
- 13 your allowable increased. Demonstrate that you're not
- 14 damaging the reservoir or demonstrate that there's no
- 15 correlative rights issues, and then we can increase that
- 16 allowable.
- So I hope I made it clear now. Because from
- 18 what we have been seeing, it appears that people produce
- 19 what I call illegal oil. I don't want any illegal oil.
- 20 We want to produce legal oil, and we want to produce that
- 21 legal oil most effectively and most efficiently. I think
- 22 that's the most beneficial for you and for me and for
- 23 everybody. I want you to produce it. Don't leave it
- 24 there.
- Don't say, "I'm going to curtail." No. Apply

- 1 for increased allowable. Don't curtail that thing
- 2 because you might kill the well completely. Of course,
- you don't want to do that.
- Now, before I open for any comments, I would
- 5 like my legal advisor to say something on confidential
- 6 information.
- 7 EXAMINER BROOKS: We have been struggling
- 8 with how to handle confidential information because we've
- 9 had an increasing number of requests to hold material
- 10 that was presented to us in confidence, not so much in
- 11 hearings, because I think everybody is pretty well
- 12 attuned to the fact that we have to be very formal and be
- on the record and have everything worked out in the
- 14 hearing context. But in other contexts, we've had a lot
- 15 of issues about confidential information.
- We have a new policy that we are going to be
- 17 working under. It's posted, I believe, on our website.
- 18 Is it not?
- 19 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Yes.
- 20 EXAMINER BROOKS: If you or your clients
- 21 are going to be handling or presenting to the OCD
- 22 information that you want us to hold confidential, we
- 23 urge you to look at that policy on our website and work
- 24 with us within it.
- 25 If we accept something -- once we accept

- 1 something as confidential, we want to be sure it's
- 2 properly handled and that confidence is maintained.
- But we also are taking the position that in
- 4 most cases, we are not going to accept something as
- 5 confidential. The reason being that we have Inspection
- 6 of Public Records Act requests from time to time. And if
- 7 we are going to keep that confidential information and
- 8 not produce it in response to Inspection of Public
- 9 Records Act requests, then of course we have to be able
- 10 to defend that that is, in fact, information that is
- 11 exempt from the requirements of that act.
- So if you are going to be dealing with
- 13 confidential information with the OCD, please be sure and
- look at that policy and talk to us about what it is, why
- 15 it's confidential, and get us to make a ruling on it.
- 16 And then we can look at the policy to make a firm
- 17 determination that hopefully will protect both us and
- 18 you.
- 19 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: That's a very good
- 20 point. Because we realize that when you apply for these
- 21 applications, we can see some -- you know, the whole
- 22 package is not marked "confidential." Of course you
- 23 can't make the application confidential. It's public
- 24 information.
- But if something is stamped "confidential," lo

- and behold, this is Form C=105 production data, and this
- 2 is extended over 90 days. After 90 days, production data
- 3 is longer confidential.
- 4 EXAMINER BROOKS: I think everyone is
- 5 aware of how the C-105 and log thing works, and it's not
- 6 really been a problem. It's been in other contexts that
- 7 we've had issues where it gets a little woozy.
- 8 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: When you stamp that
- 9 Form C-105 "confidential," I wonder, what am I supposed
- 10 to do with it? Do I just neglect it and just go ahead?
- 11 And then you come back and say, "Well, I put
- 'confidential' here, and it's confidential." That's what
- 13 this policy is about that I just told you.
- So if you need confidential information, go
- 15 the proper route. It's now on line for you to read it
- 16 and see how you can apply it. Sure, we will keep it from
- 17 you, but it has to go through the proper channels.
- Now, does anyone have any questions or any
- 19 comments?
- Okay. Now we go back to the docket. Today is
- 21 going to be a long docket. I have arranged it the way I
- 22 think it might be smoother. But after I tell you what I
- 23 have done, let me know if you have any advisement on
- 24 this.
- Docket Number 37-12 on November 29th, first of

- 1 all, the first order of business is to read you all of
- 2 the continuances and dismissals. There are not many of
- 3 them.
- We go to page 2. Case Number 14907 is
- 5 continued to December the 13th. On page 3, Case Number
- 6 14913 is dismissed. Page 4; all of those cases, Numbers
- 7 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 -- of course, for the record, I'm
- 8 going to read the case numbers. Case Number 14934, Case
- 9 Number 14935, Case Number 14921, Case Number 14922 and
- 10 Case Number (14923), all these are continued to December
- 11 the 13th.
- And then we come to page 5. Case Number 14888
- is continued to January 24th. This was continued to
- 14 January 10th, but I have to move it to January 24th. As
- 15 well as Case Number 14883 is continued to January 24th.
- 16 Are there any other continuances or
- 17 dismissals?
- 18 Okay. Now this is what I think we are going
- 19 to do. We have about almost 14, 15 cases here. First of
- 20 all, we're going to go to Case Number 14911. This is
- 21 just a case we have already heard. We are going to
- 22 collect some information.
- And then we are going to Case Number 14926,
- 24 proceed with 14929, 14919, 14930 and 14932, and then Case
- 25 Number 14933. And then Case Numbers 14914 and 14915, I

- think they are together. One of them should be heard.
- 2 The other one was already heard. And then Case Number
- 3 14936, Case Number 14917, 14931, 14927, 14928.
- 4 That is the order I thought I would like to
- 5 proceed. However, if there is any advice, depending on
- 6 what I just said, we might just rearrange it to
- 7 accommodate you.
- 8 MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, Michael
- 9 Feldewert. I know you want to call Case 14911 for
- 10 purposes of collecting some information. My secretary,
- 11 at this point, is putting together a couple of exhibits.
- 12 She needs some additional time. I had expected that the
- 13 case was going to be later in the day. So if we could
- 14 just delay that one until my secretary arrives with the
- 15 additional exhibits, I'd appreciate it.
- 16 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: So we can proceed in
- 17 our line. Now, what I just read, does anybody have any
- 18 problems with that?
- 19 MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, Tom Kellahin.
- 20 I have the first three cases for Burlington. While
- 21 there's lots of boxes here, we can present the entire
- 22 three cases within less than an hour. The first one is
- 23 by affidavit, and the other two are by Mr. Creekmore.
- 24 And while they have large exhibit books, they're very
- 25 effectively presented, so we can do those three within an

- 1 hour.
- EXAMINER EZEANYIM: I moved you back.
- 3 MR. KELLAHIN: I know you did.
- 4 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: So what are you
- 5 saying?
- 6 MR. KELLAHIN: I would like to be where I
- 7 am on the docket.
- 8 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: How long is it going
- 9 to take? It's a reference case. I was thinking we get
- 10 the easier ones first, and then --
- MR. KELLAHIN: These are easy. The
- 12 reference portions of these cases have already been
- 13 approved for the Dakota and the Mesaverde and the Mancos.
- 14 All we're doing is addressing the notice component for
- 15 that process.
- So the underlying science is already approved
- 17 by you as being utilized by the company to do their
- 18 commingling. At this point, all we have left is the
- 19 administrative obligation to provide notice to 1,700
- 20 people. So that's what we're trying to avoid doing.
- 21 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. I think you
- 22 have a point there. But you know, I thought you were
- 23 going to go through all the criteria.
- MR. KELLAHIN: No, sir.
- 25 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. So in that

case -- where did I put you? I put you at the last? 1 If you have to go through all the 10 or 11 criteria plus 3. notice, I mean that may -- I don't know what you are trying to ask for here. 5 Now, maybe what we might do is just go according with the docket and follow the docket through 6 7 and forget about what I have just said. I mean we are 8 going to hear all of them today. I think that's why most 9 people are coming late, because they thought theirs was at the back. And they come in and say, "Well, it's not 10 going to be at 8:15." 11 With that said, I think I have to dump this 12 and go with the docket. Is that okay with everybody? 13 14 Does anybody have any comment on that? Because what it is now, if you were first, you are now last. Okay. 15 16 being said, then I have to rearrange this. 17 18 19 20 I wo has any constitutions the faregoing in w mample e record . This proceedings in 2.1 the Example, tracting of Case Asc. hward by me on____ 22 23 Oil Conservation Division

24

25