

3 IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED  
4 BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR  
5 THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

6 APPLICATION OF CIMAREX ENERGY  
7 COMPANY FOR A NONSTANDARD OIL  
8 SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT AND  
9 COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY,  
10 NEW MEXICO.

CASE NO. 14922

R-13676 2/1/13

ORIGINAL

11 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

12 EXAMINER HEARING

13 BEFORE: WILLIAM V. JONES, Chief Examiner  
14 DAVID K. BROOKS, Legal Examiner

15 January 24, 2013

16 Santa Fe, New Mexico

17  
18 This matter came on for hearing before the  
19 New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, William V. Jones,  
20 Chief Examiner, and David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, on  
21 Thursday, January 24, 2012, at the New Mexico Energy,  
22 Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South  
23 St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102,  
24 Santa Fe, New Mexico.

25 REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR  
New Mexico CCR #20  
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters  
500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105  
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

RECEIVED OCT 20 11 A 8 34

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

APPEARANCES

FOR APPLICANT CIMAREX ENERGY COMPANY:

JAMES G. BRUCE, ESQ.  
Post Office Box 1056  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504  
(505) 982-2043  
jamesbruc@aol.com

INDEX

PAGE  
3

Proceedings

Certificate of Court Reporter

5

EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED

Cimarex Energy Exhibit Number 10

4

1 (10:12 a.m.)

2 EXAMINER JONES: Call Case 14922, which was  
3 heard in December and continued to be readvertised.  
4 It's the application of Cimarex Energy Company for a  
5 nonstandard oil spacing and proration unit and  
6 compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico.

7 Call for appearances.

8 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce  
9 representing the Applicant.

10 The case was heard. Between the initial  
11 filing and when the case was heard, the composition of  
12 the very nonstandard unit changed, so it was simply  
13 continued to give notice to the holding party being  
14 pooled of the difference in the nonstandard unit.

15 And so I'm submitting, as Exhibit 10, the  
16 notice to Dow Chemical Company, the only nonconsenting  
17 mineral owner, and I'd ask that Exhibit 10 be admitted.  
18 And with that, I'd ask that the case be taken under  
19 advisement.

20 MR. BROOKS: Well, this is the one for  
21 which we do not have the transcript, but if you can't  
22 find it, we'll get the court reporter to provide us  
23 another copy.

24 Case Number 14922 will be taken under  
25 advisement.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

(Cimarex Energy Exhibit Number 10 was  
offered and admitted into evidence.)  
(Case 14922 concludes, 10:14 a.m.)

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is  
a complete record of the proceedings in  
the Examiner hearing of Case No. \_\_\_\_\_  
heard by me on \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Oil Conservation Division, Examiner

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO  
3

4 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, New Mexico Certified  
6 Court Reporter No. 20, and Registered Professional  
7 Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported the  
8 foregoing proceedings in stenographic shorthand and that  
9 the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript of  
10 those proceedings that were reduced to printed form by  
11 me to the best of my ability.

12 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's  
13 Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects  
14 the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.

15 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither  
16 employed by nor related to any of the parties or  
17 attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in  
18 the final disposition of this case.

19   
20

21 MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR  
22 Paul Baca Court Reporters  
New Mexico CCR No. 20  
Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2013

23  
24  
25