STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 13,552

APPLICATION OF EDGE PETROLEUM EXPLORATION COMPANY FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: DAVID R. CATANACH, Hearing Examiner

EP 22 AM 10

September 8th, 2005

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, DAVID R. CATANACH,
Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, September 8th, 2005, at the
New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources
Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa
Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter
No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

ĨÑĎEX

September 8th, 2005 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 13,552

PAGE

APPEARANCES

3

APPLICANT'S WITNESS:

<u>JEFF A. SIKORA</u> (Landman)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce	4
Examination by Mr. Carr	18
Examination by Examiner Catanach	18

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

23

* * *

EXHIBITS

Applicant's	Identified	Admitted
Exhibit 1	5	17
Exhibit 2	8	17
Exhibit 3	. 12	17
Exhibit 4	15	17
Exhibit 5	16	17

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE APPLICANT:

JAMES G. BRUCE Attorney at Law P.O. Box 1056 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

FOR OCCIDENTAL PERMIAN:

HOLLAND & HART, L.L.P., and CAMPBELL & CARR 110 N. Guadalupe, Suite 1 P.O. Box 2208
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208
By: WILLIAM F. CARR

* * *

1	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
2	9:05 a.m.:
3	EXAMINER CATANACH: Call Case 13,552, the
4	Application of Edge Petroleum Exploration Company for
5	compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico.
6	Call for appearances in this case.
7	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of Santa Fe,
8	representing the Applicant. I have one witness.
9	MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my name is
10	William F. Carr with Holland and Hart, L.L.P. We represent
11	Occidental Permian, and I have no witnesses.
12	EXAMINER CATANACH: Will the witness please stand
13	to be sworn in?
14	(Thereupon, the witness was sworn.)
15	JEFF A. SIKORA,
16	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
17	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. BRUCE:
20	Q. Will you please state your name for the record?
21	A. It's Jeff Sikora, with Edge Petroleum Exploration
22	Company in Houston, Texas.
23	Q. What is your position with Edge Petroleum?
24	A. I am the senior staff landman.
25	Q. Have you previously testified before the

12 Page 1 Mark # 1 C

Division?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. Yes, I have.
- Q. And were your credentials as an expert landman accepted as a matter of record?
 - A. Yes, they were.
- Q. And are you familiar with the land matters involved in this Application?
 - A. Yes.
- MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I tender Mr. Sikora as an expert petroleum landman.
- 11 EXAMINER CATANACH: He is so qualified.
- Q. (By Mr. Bruce) Mr. Sikora, if you could identify
 Exhibit 1 and briefly tell the Examiner what you seek in
 this case.
 - A. Exhibit 1 is a Midland map. It outlines the north half of Section 29 in Township 20 South, Range 30 East. We're seeking a north-half -- we're seeking to force pool some interests in the north half of this -- in this unit, the north half of Section 29.
 - Q. Mr. Sikora, you're not seeking -- you're seeking to force pool only well units spaced on 320 acres; is that --
- 23 A. That's correct, yes.
 - Q. What do the colors indicate on this plat?
- 25 A. The yellow is Edge's lease. It was acquired at a

state lease sale like last April a year, a year and a half

ago. So that's the Edge lease that we own a hundred

percent -
Q. No, on this plat it says Harvey Yates. That's an

1 7 June 1 7 1

- old -- that's a prior lease, is it not?

 A. That is an old lease that expired by its own
- terms. I don't know when it expired, but it went back up for sale at the state sale and Edge bought this lease.

 It's in yellow

The green represents -- those are some leases that are HBP. The west half of the northwest quarter is a lease. The record title is owned by Occidental. The south half is a federal lease that's owned by a number of people, but the lease -- the acreage is the HBP from a well in the south half of 29 called the Hudson Federal well.

- Q. Okay. And although we'll get into it in a minute in more detail, is all of the acreage colored in green subject to an operating agreement?
- A. All the acreage, yes, colored in green is subject to an old JOA.
- Q. Okay. And as a result, people who own interest, for the most part, in the south half also own interest in Occidental's acreage; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. Okay. What well does Edge plan to drill?

1	A. It's the Golden Lane 29 State Com Number 1 well.
2	This well is it's actually the well is actually
3	drilling right now, and we'll get more into that, but the
4	location is, it's in the potash. And the surface location
5	is in the northwest quarter of Section 28, and it's a
6	deviated hole that's bottomholing in the north half of
7	Section 29.
8	Q. What is the proposed approximate bottomhole
9	location?
10	A. The bottomhole location is 710 feet from the
11	south line of Section 20.
12	Q. From the

Or from the north line of 29, and 1700 feet from Α. the east line.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- And again, what depths does Edge seek to pool? Q.
- From the Bone Springs to the base of the Morrow. A.
- And besides the Morrow, is there another zone Q. that is of some interest in this area?
 - The Strawn zone is also of interest. Α.

MR. BRUCE: Okay. Mr. Examiner, for your information, if you will recall, this well was involved in a hearing on the Dos Hermanos-Morrow Gas Pool rules, which I believe you heard a year ago. And it is orthodox in the Morrow. It's more than 1650 feet from the east line of the section, which is what is required by Division regulations

at this point. The Strawn is on statewide rules.

- Q. (By Mr. Bruce) And Mr. Sikora, will the Strawn well be at least 660 feet from the north and east lines of Section 29?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Let's move on to Exhibit 2, and could you describe the working interest ownership in the well?
- A. Exhibit 2 is the working interest ownership in the north half of Section 29, taking into account Edge's lease and also taking into account the contractual working interest owners in the west half of the northwest of Section 29.
 - Q. Now, is Edge subject to this JOA?
 - A. Edge is not subject to the old JOA.
- Q. Okay. Of the parties listed on Exhibit 2, other than Edge, who do you seek to force pool at this time?
- A. At this time we're seeking to force pool all these parties, but I do need to point out that we're in the process of securing voluntary agreements from the majority of these people. There's verbal commitments from virtually all of these. There's -- I think there's one percent out of this group that I haven't been able to get in touch with, so we're in various states of getting everyone committed, either through participation or to farm in to us.

- Q. Okay. Now, when you say you haven't been able to get in touch with them, you have provided them with well data or --
- A. Well, yeah, let me back up on that. On the one percent that I have, I haven't talked to recently. I have talked to everyone on this list, and I have provided AFEs, well proposals, alternative participation proposals, copies of title opinions and joint operating agreements to all of them.
- Q. Have you provided Edge's geologic data to them also?
 - A. Some limited geologic data.
- Q. Now, under Occidental Permian, Yates Petroleum is listed in parentheses. What is the reason for that?
- A. Well, Yates may claim an interest to this 3.125 percent, that interest being derived through an old assignment in 1988 from Amoco, who was Occidental's predecessor in title, to Yates. It was part of a big package of properties, and it described the south half of Section 28. It didn't describe the lease in the north half of 28 --
 - Q. Twenty-nine.

A. I mean 29, excuse me, I mean Section 29. And it mentions the old 1973 JOA that was governing the well in the south half of 29 at the time. So I've talked to Yates

and the landman several times on this now, and they're not sure if they're going to make a claim on this or not, but they are doing some research. And because there is contractual working interests that are still in effect by virtue of this old joint operating agreement, it's possible that Yates can make a claim to this interest. And because of that, we want to have them on this list.

- Q. So in addition to pooling Occidental, you also need to force pool Yates at this point?
 - A. We would request that.

- Q. Let's discuss your efforts to obtain the voluntary joinder of the interest owners in the wells.

 First, did Edge believe that Occidental was the only working interest owner in the west half, northwest quarter of the section?
- A. Yes, going back all the way to last November, we've been trying to get this well drilled since at least then, and of course we were proposing to drill this on the north half of Section 29 spacing unit with -- under the belief that Occidental owned the entire interest in the west half of the northwest. At the time we were not aware that an old joint operating agreement was in effect.

And we became aware of that through our drilling title opinion and some curative measures that were done pursuant to that. There's some old documents in the chain

of title covering the north half of 29 that referenced an old JOA. So I started doing the research and calling the owners of the well in the south half of 29 and asking them if anybody had a copy of this.

Well, it took me a while, but I finally ended up getting a copy of the JOA, and that's when we started having to link together the fact that there were some other interest owners besides OXY in this acreage.

- Q. Now, again you've mentioned that the well has already been commenced; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, it has.

- Q. Besides the title problems that slowed things down, are there other issues that required Edge to commence this well?
- A. Well, basically we had committed to a rig prior to finding out about this old JOA, and we really didn't have anywhere else to take the rig. I tried to move it to another location, but that location wasn't ready either. We were waiting on an order from the OCD in that particular instance as well, and if we were to let this rig go we weren't going to be able to get it back for quite some time. So we just made the decision to proceed ahead and try to either secure voluntary agreements from these folks or get this pooling order that we're seeking.
 - Q. Now, if you had not commenced this well and kept

the rig, would that have affected farmouts and term assignments on other acreage that you --

A. Well, yeah, I --

- Q. -- that you need to drill subsequent to this well?
- A. It could have affected other agreements we have in place that would terminate if we didn't drill this well, and there was a lot of moving parts involved with making the decision.
- Q. Okay. Now, because the well is drilled -- you have been keeping the parties informed of the status of the well, have you not?
 - A. Yes, I have, almost on a daily basis.
- Q. And so they are aware that the well has spudded and they're all aware of the force pooling hearing?
 - A. Yes. Yes, everyone's aware of it.
- Q. Well, let's then move on to your correspondence, Exhibit 3. Without going into detail on this, can you just kind of, again, outline when you first contacted OXY and then when you sent proposals out to the JOA parties once you got that data?
- A. These exhibits date back to November when we first proposed this well to OXY, at that time believing OXY owned the entire interest. So this is just my effort to -- you go through and -- We proposed it to OXY in November,

proposed it to them again in May, at which time we still weren't aware of the old JOA. And then in July, just this last July, that's when we had the title opinion rendered and became aware of the old operating agreement and immediately sent out well proposals and AFEs and other information to the other owners on the working interest ownership list.

- Q. Okay. And actually, I believe the title opinion was done in June, and that's when the first issue first arose?
- A. Well, yes, I guess I should back up. The title opinion was done in June. It took me probably three weeks to find a copy of this old operating agreement, just to verify whether or not it was even in effect.

The operator of the well in the south half of Section 28 you would presume would have a copy of it. He's the operator. Well, he apparently just doesn't even operate under -- didn't believe -- he didn't believe that the operating agreement covered the entire Section 29, believing that it only covered the south half of 29. So I kind of took his word for that, and as it turned out when we eventually got a copy of the operating agreement, it covered the entire Section 29.

The reason for that is that when the well drilled and the south half of 29 was drilled in the 1970s, it was a

Morrow well. At that time the Morrow was pooled on 640 acres from the Dos Hermanos Pool. When the man that operates that well now -- it's M. Brad Bennett -- when he bought that well from the first operator, it was recompleted into the Strawn, which is spaced on 320 acres. So at that point in time all he had was a 320-acre spacing unit comprised of the south half of 29. But that didn't change the effect of the contract, which covered all of 29. Mr. Bennett was not aware of that.

MR. BRUCE: And Mr. Examiner, just for a point of clarification, the oil and gas lease that Edge owns -there was a prior oil and gas lease subject to that JOA and that expired. Actually, there's probably been two or three leases since then that have expired, but because -- the newer leases were not subject to that JOA.

- Q. (By Mr. Bruce) Now, in addition to the Exhibit 3 letters, have you also called or e-mailed the various interest owners?
 - A. Yes, yes.

- Q. In your opinion, has Edge made a good-faith effort to obtain voluntary joinder of the interest owners in the well?
 - A. We have and we're continuing to do so.
- Q. And as you make agreements with -- written agreements with various parties, will you notify the

Division of who signed a JOA or farmed out their interest? 1 Yes, yes, we will. Α. 2 Would you identify Exhibit 4 and discuss the cost 3 Q. of the proposed well? 4 Exhibit 4 is an AFE for this well, current AFE. 5 Α. It's dated September 6th, and the dryhole cost for this 6 well is at present \$2.9 million, a little north of \$2.9 7 Completed well cost is about \$3.9 million. 8 Now, with respect to the costs, were there some 9 0. additional costs incurred because of some initial problems 10 with the well? 11 When we first spud the well on August 14th while 12 drilling out of the surface hole, the well deviated for 13 14 some reason. It deviated 12 1/2 percent at 450 feet, which 15 was unacceptable. So we had to pull out of the hole and skid the rig 20 feet to the north and basically restart, 16 and that's what we did, and the well is currently drilling 17 18 on its -- on the designated path. 19 Q. Considering these issues, is this cost in line with the cost of other wells drilled to this depth in this 20 21 area of the state? 22 Α. Considering the issue, I think it would be. 23 Q. Do you request that -- Who do you request be 24 appointed operator of the well? 25 Edge Petroleum Operating Company. Α.

1	Q. And that's a sister corporation to Edge Petroleum
2	Exploration?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Do you have a recommendation for the amounts
5	which the operator should be paid for supervision and
6	administrative expenses?
7	A. We request \$8000 per month for drilling and \$800
8	per month for producing.
9	Q. And are these amounts equivalent to those
LO	normally charged by operators in this area for wells of
11	this depth?
12	A. I believe so.
13	Q. Do you request that the overhead rates be
14	adjusted periodically as provided by the COPAS accounting
15	procedure?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And were all of the interest owners notified of
18	this hearing?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And is the affidavit of notice marked Exhibit 5?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. In your opinion is the granting of this
23	Application in the interests of conservation and the
24	prevention of waste?
25	A. Yes.

And because the well is drilling, do you request 1 Q. an expedited order in this matter? 2 Α. Yes, sir, we do. 3 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I'd move the admission 4 5 of Exhibits 1 through 5. EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibits 1 through 5 will be 6 admitted. 7 MR. BRUCE: And Mr. Examiner, before -- if Mr. 8 Carr has any questions, before that, one thing I want to 9 point out -- and I would -- is that the original 10 application stated that the surface location was 2520 feet 11 from the north line and 1130 feet from the west line of 12 adjoining Section 28. As Mr. Sikora just testified, they 13 14 had to move that slightly so that the actual surface location is 2499 feet from the north line. They moved it 15 16 21 feet. Everything else remains the same, the bottomhole location, et cetera. 17 18 I don't think the surface location was all that 19 material to this Application, and I'd ask that the matter 20 not be readvertised. As Mr. Sikora testified, the people 21 have been notified of the change in location and an amended 22 Application was sent out to these parties. 23 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, I would have to agree

that the surface location doesn't mean a heck of a lot

24

25

here.

1	Mr. Carr, do you have any questions?
2	MR. CARR: Just a couple.
3	EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. CARR:
5	Q. Mr. Sikora, what is the current status of your
6	negotiations with OXY?
7	A. We have a verbal agreement supported by e-mails
8	with OXY. I feel like we have an agreement that needs to
9	be reduced to contract at this point.
10	Q. If Yates has a claim, it only springs from that
11	old operating agreement that you discovered; isn't that
12	correct?
13	A. It actually will spring from the assignment that
14	I mentioned.
15	MR. CARR: That's all I have, thank you.
16	EXAMINATION
17	BY EXAMINER CATANACH:
18	Q. Mr. Sikora, at this point who is actually signed
19	up?
20	A. As we sit here right now, I don't have any I
21	don't have you know, in my hands, copies of any of
22	these. I've talked to if you go through the list
23	there's
24	MR. BRUCE: Start with Occidental at the bottom
25	and just say whether they're going to farm out or

1	THE WITNESS: Okay, well, Occidental and Edge
2	have come to an agreement for Occidental to farm out to
3	Edge.
4	Providence Oil and Gas Corporation has agreed to
5	farm out to Edge.
6	M. Brad Bennett, Inc., has agreed to farm out to
7	Edge.
8	Ard Energy and Ard Oil, I have no agreement with.
9	William Hudson, II, is going to participate in
10	the well.
11	Edward R. Hudson, Jr., is going to participate in
12	the well.
13	The Trustees of the Edward R. Hudson Trusts 1
14	through 3 are going to participate in the well.
15	The Delmar Lewis Living Trust will farm out to
16	Edge.
17	Lindy's Living Trust will farm out to Edge.
18	Moore and Shelton Company, I have no agreement
19	with.
20	So that's really only about one percent that we
21	don't have something with.
22	Q. (By Examiner Catanach) But you've been able to
23	contact these parties, the Moore and Shelton Company
24	Limited Trust?
25	A. I've talked to them a couple times, and they've

said they would get an answer back to us, but they just haven't. I think these are people that travel a lot and maybe don't pick up their messages all the time.

- Q. How deep is the well at this point?
- A. It's about 1700 feet. We expect to TD the well in 30 days, assuming there's no problems, mechanical problems.
 - Q. And that's down to 16,000, 16,250?
- A. The depth of the well? It's a measured depth of thirteen thousand --
 - Q. 13,350.

A. 13,350, right.

examiner catanach: Those are about the highest overhead rates I've seen. Are those supported -- is that -- Do you have any idea -- do you have any data on --

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, all I can say is that apparently under the new Ernst and Young survey, those are apparently the rates for wells, I think, below 10,000 feet. I know at the -- you weren't involved, but the Chesapeake-Samson, et al., hearing a couple of weeks ago, those are the rates that Chesapeake requested, roughly equivalent to the rates -- I believe there was testimony in the record that those were the current overhead rates.

EXAMINER CATANACH: Do you by any chance have a copy of that newest -- I don't think I do.

I may. Let me see if I can get that. MR. BRUCE: 1 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. 2 MR. BRUCE: I thought I -- I may have it on my 3 computer. 4 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. If you find it, if you 5 could provide that to me, I'd appreciate it, Jim. 6 (By Examiner Catanach) Oh, the pooling interval, 7 Q. you want to include the Bone Springs in the pool? 8 Below it. A. 9 Base of the Bone Springs? 10 Q. 11 Α. Right. 12 MR. BRUCE: And Mr. Examiner, from -- I don't 13 know exactly, but the Strawn on down will be orthodox. 14 There's a chance, I suppose, that the Wolfcamp could be unorthodox. We're not requesting approval for that. 15 that was unorthodox, we'd have to come in and get 16 subsequent approval to produce. 17 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. I don't remember what 18 19 we did in the Dos Hermanos --It was, well -- (points at Mr. Carr). 20 MR. BRUCE: 21 Outside of the four sections, outside of the four sections. It's 320-acre spacing, but you -- for wells immediately 22 23 adjacent to those four sections, you have to be 1650 feet off those four section lines. 24 25 EXAMINER CATANACH: Ah, it's coming back to me.

```
It was a slight battle, as I recall.
                                                 Okay.
 1
                 All right, anything further, Mr. Bruce?
 2
                 MR. BRUCE:
                              No, sir.
 3
                 EXAMINER CATANACH: There being nothing further,
 4
 5
     this case, Case 13,552, will be taken under advisement.
 6
                 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
 7
     9:30 a.m.)
 8
 9
10
11
                                  I de hereby certify that the foregoing to
12
                                  e complete record of the proceedings by
                                 the Examiner hearthy of Case No. 13554.
13
                                 heard by me on C
14
                                   Oli Conservation Division
                                                         ., Examiner
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL September 9th, 2005.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2006