BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING: APPLICATION OF MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY FOR A NONSTANDARD OIL SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT, AN UNORTHODOX OIL WELL LOCATION, AND COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS PREPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS Santa Fe, New Mexico BEFORE: DAVID K. BROOKS, CHIEF EXAMINER PHILLIP GOETZE, TECHNICAL EXAMINER PHILLIP GOETZE, TECHNICAL EXAMINER CONSERVATION DIVISION, David K. Brooks, Chief Examiner, and Phillip Goetze, Technical Examiner, on Thuraday, September 5, 2013, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico. REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico 2014 Street, Northwest, Suite 105 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102	3	IN THE MATTER	OF THE HEARING CALLED	Page 1	
COMPANY FOR A NONSTANDARD OIL SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT, AN UNORTHODOX OIL WELL LOCATION, AND COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. 8 9 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 10 EXAMINER HEARING 11 September 5, 2013 12 Santa Fe, New Mexico 13 BEFORE: DAVID K. BROOKS, CHIEF EXAMINER PHILLIP GOETZE, TECHNICAL EXAMINER PHILLIP GOETZE, TECHNICAL EXAMINER 16 17 18 This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, David K. Brooks, 19 Chief Examiner, and Phillip Goetze, Technical Examiner, on Thursday, September 5, 2013, at the New Mexico 20 Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, 21 Santa Fe, New Mexico. 22 23 REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR #20 24 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105	4	BY THE OIL CO	NSERVATION DIVISION FOR		
 SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT, AN UNORTHODOX OIL WELL LOCATION, AND COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS EXAMINER HEARING Santa Fe, New Mexico Santa Fe, New Mexico Santa Fe, New Mexico September 5, 2013 Santa Fe, New Mexico Santa Fe, New Mexico September 5, 2013 Santa Fe, New Mexico September 5, 2013 September 5, 2013, at the New Mexico 	5		NONSTANDARD OIL RORATION UNIT, AN L WELL LOCATION, Y POOLING, EDDY	CASE NO. 15037	
COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS September 5, 2013 Santa Fe, New Mexico Santa Fe, New Mexico September 5, 2013 BEFORE: DAVID K. BROOKS, CHIEF EXAMINER PHILLIP GOETZE, TECHNICAL EXAMINER PHILLIP GOETZE, TECHNICAL EXAMINER PHILLIP GOETZE, TECHNICAL EXAMINER CO September 5, 2013 CO September 5, 2013 CO September 5, 2013 CO September 5, 2013 CO September 5, 2013 CO September 5, 2013 September 5, 2013 September 5, 2013 CO South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico September 5, 2013, at the New Mexico South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico. September 5, 2013, at the New Mexico September 5, 2013, at the New Mexico South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico. September 5, 2013, at the New Mexico South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico. September 5, 2013, at the New Mexico South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico. September 5, 2013, at the New Mexico South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico CCR #20 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters SOU 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105	6	SPACING AND P		ORIGINAL	
 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS EXAMINER HEARING September 5, 2013 Santa Fe, New Mexico Santa Fe, New Mexico BEFORE: DAVID K. BROOKS, CHIEF EXAMINER PHILLIP GOETZE, TECHNICAL EXAMINER PHILLIP GOETZE, TECHNICAL EXAMINER PHILLIP GOETZE, TECHNICAL EXAMINER CO This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, David K. Brooks, Chief Examiner, and Phillip Goetze, Technical Examiner, on Thursday, September 5, 2013, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico. REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR #20 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105 	7	AND COMPULSOR			
10 EXAMINER HEARING 11 September 5, 2013 12 Santa Fe, New Mexico 13 FOULT 14 BEFORE: DAVID K. BROOKS, CHIEF EXAMINER PHILLIP GOETZE, TECHNICAL EXAMINER PHILLIP GOETZE, TECHNICAL EXAMINER 00 15 00 16 00 17 00 18 This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, David K. Brooks, 19 19 Chief Examiner, and Phillip Goetze, Technical Examiner, on Thursday, September 5, 2013, at the New Mexico 20 Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, 12 21 REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR #20 24 23 REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR #20 24 24 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105	8				
 September 5, 2013 Santa Fe, New Mexico Santa Fe, New Mexico BEFORE: DAVID K. BROOKS, CHIEF EXAMINER PHILLIP GOETZE, TECHNICAL EXAMINER PHILLIP GOETZE, TECHNICAL EXAMINER Chief Examiner, and Phillip Goetze, Technical Examiner, on Thursday, September 5, 2013, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico. REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR #20 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105 	.9	RE	PORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCI	EEDINGS	
 16 17 18 This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, David K. Brooks, 19 Chief Examiner, and Phillip Goetze, Technical Examiner, on Thursday, September 5, 2013, at the New Mexico 20 Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, 21 Santa Fe, New Mexico. 22 23 REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR #20 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105 	10		EXAMINER HEARING		
 16 17 18 This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, David K. Brooks, 19 Chief Examiner, and Phillip Goetze, Technical Examiner, on Thursday, September 5, 2013, at the New Mexico 20 Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, 21 Santa Fe, New Mexico. 22 23 REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR #20 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105 	11		September 5, 2013		
 16 17 18 This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, David K. Brooks, 19 Chief Examiner, and Phillip Goetze, Technical Examiner, on Thursday, September 5, 2013, at the New Mexico 20 Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, 21 Santa Fe, New Mexico. 22 23 REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR #20 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105 	12		Santa Fe, New Mexico	OEI Sep	
 16 17 18 This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, David K. Brooks, 19 Chief Examiner, and Phillip Goetze, Technical Examiner, on Thursday, September 5, 2013, at the New Mexico 20 Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, 21 Santa Fe, New Mexico. 22 23 REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR #20 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105 	13			I 9 VEC	
 16 17 18 This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, David K. Brooks, 19 Chief Examiner, and Phillip Goetze, Technical Examiner, on Thursday, September 5, 2013, at the New Mexico 20 Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, 21 Santa Fe, New Mexico. 22 23 REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR #20 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105 	14				
 17 18 This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, David K. Brooks, 19 Chief Examiner, and Phillip Goetze, Technical Examiner, on Thursday, September 5, 2013, at the New Mexico 20 Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, 21 Santa Fe, New Mexico. 22 23 REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR #20 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105 	15			0 Č	
 18 This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, David K. Brooks, 19 Chief Examiner, and Phillip Goetze, Technical Examiner, on Thursday, September 5, 2013, at the New Mexico 20 Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, 21 Santa Fe, New Mexico. 22 23 REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR #20 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105 	16				
 New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, David K. Brooks, Chief Examiner, and Phillip Goetze, Technical Examiner, on Thursday, September 5, 2013, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico. REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR #20 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105 	17				
 19 Chief Examiner, and Phillip Goetze, Technical Examiner, on Thursday, September 5, 2013, at the New Mexico 20 Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, 21 Santa Fe, New Mexico. 22 23 REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR #20 24 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105 	18				
 20 Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, 21 Santa Fe, New Mexico. 22 23 REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR #20 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105 	19	Chief Examine	r, and Phillip Goetze, Techn	chnical Examiner,	
21 Santa Fe, New Mexico. 22 23 REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR #20 24 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105	20	Energy, Miner	als and Natural Resources Department, 1220		
 23 REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR #20 24 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105 	21			,	
New Mexico CCR #20 24 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105	22				
24 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105	23	REPORTED BY:	-		
	24		Paul Baca Professional Cour		
	25	· · ·	· · ·		

:

ł

Page 2 1 APPEARANCES 2 FOR APPLICANT MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY: 3 JAMES G. BRUCE, ESQ. Post Office Box 1056 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504 4 (505) 982-2043 5 jamesbruc@aol.com 6 ALSO PRESENT: Mr. William Ervin Gregory Mr. Tom Gregory 7 INDEX 8 PAGE 9 Case Number 15037 Called 3 Mewbourne Oil Company's Case-in-Chief: 10 11 Witnesses: 12 Corey Mitchell: Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce 13 5 Cross-Examination by Examiner Brooks 12 14 Nate Cless: 15 Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce 17 Cross-Examination by Examiner Goetze 16 25 Statement by Mr. William Ervin Gregory 15,26 17 Proceedings Conclude 18 28 Certificate of Court Reporter 19 29 20 21 EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED 22 Mewbourne Exhibit Numbers 1 through 9 12 23 Mewbourne Exhibit Numbers 10 through 14 24 24 25

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 3 (9:19 a.m.) 1 EXAMINER BROOKS: Call Case Number 15037, 2 3 application of Mewbourne Oil Company for a nonstandard oil spacing and proration unit and unorthodox oil well 4 location and compulsory pooling Eddy County, New Mexico. 5 6 Appearances? 7 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce representing the Applicant. I have two witnesses. 8 9 EXAMINER BROOKS: Will the witnesses please stand, identify yourselves for the court reporter? 10 Oh, I'm sorry. Do you -- are you --11 12 MR. WILLIAM GREGORY: We're not with the 13 oil company. We're just leaseholders. 14 EXAMINER BROOKS: Do you want to enter an 15 appearance in this case, or are you just here to 16 observe? 17 MR. WILLIAM GREGORY: We ask for a brief 18 appearance. EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. Then let's go back 19 to where we entered the appearances and if you'll state 20 21 your appearances on the record. 22 MR. WILLIAM GREGORY: I'm Bill Gregory, 23 Ervin Gregory, William Ervin Gregory. And I'm here as an owner of an interest that may be affected by 24 25 compulsory pooling.

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 4 1 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. And do you want to 2 enter an appearance? 3 MR. TOM GREGORY: Yes. I'm Tom Gregory. Ι probably won't say very much, but I'm here to enter an 4 5 appearance. 6 EXAMINER BROOKS: If you want to make a 7 statement, then I believe you should be sworn, since this is an adjudicatory case. It's not required for 8 rulemaking. 9 Would all the witnesses, including those 10 who wish to make statements, please stand, identify 11 yourselves? 12 13 I believe you already have. 14 And the court reporter will swear the 15 witnesses. MR. CLESS: Nate Cless, Midland, Texas. 16 17 MR. MITCHELL: Corey Mitchell, Midland, 18 Texas. 19 MR. WILLIAM GREGORY: William Gregory, Albuquerque, New Mexico. 20 21 MR. TOM GREGORY: Tom Gregory, Albuquerque, 22 New Mexico. 23 (Mr. Mitchell, Mr. Cless, Mr. William Ervin 24 Gregory and Mr. Tom Gregory sworn.) 25

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 5 COREY MITCHELL, 1 after having been first duly sworn under oath, was 2 questioned and testified as follows: 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 5 BY MR. BRUCE: Mr. Mitchell, where do you reside? 6 Q. Midland, Texas. 7 Α. Q. Who do you work for and in what capacity? 8 Α. Mewbourne Oil Company as a landman. 9 Have you previously testified before the 10 Q. Division? 11 Yes, sir. 12 Α. 13 Q. And were your credentials as an expert 14 petroleum landman accepted as a matter of record? Yes, sir. Α. 15 Are you familiar with the land matters involved 16 Q. 17 in this case? 18 Α. Yes, sir. MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I tender 19 Mr. Mitchell as an expert petroleum landman. 20 21 EXAMINER BROOKS: So qualified. 22 Ο. (BY MR. BRUCE) Mr. Mitchell, could you identify 23 Exhibit 1 for the Examiner, and identify the name of the 24 well that we're here about today? 25 Α. Exhibit 1 is a Midland Map Company land plat of

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 6 Township 23 South, Range 28 East, with the east 1 half-east half of Section 35 highlighted, which is the 2 proration unit for our Layla 35 PA #1H well. 3 Q. And what formation is being tested by this 4 well? 5 The Bone Spring Formation. 6 Α. 7 Ο. And does Mewbourne have a geologist to discuss the geology of the Bone Spring? 8 Yes, sir. 9 Α. In which -- just looking at the guarter-guarter 10 Ο. sections, where is the surface and where is the 11 12 bottom-hole locations? 13 Α. The surface is the southeast of the southeast, and the bottom hole is the northeast of the northeast. 14 Now, I believe this well is located in the 15 Ο. 16 South Culebra Bone Spring pool. 17 Α. That's correct. MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, under Order 18 19 RC-139, it's spaced on 80 acres with wells to be within 150 feet of the center of the guarter-guarter section. 20 21 EXAMINER BROOKS: Right. And we have a 22 case under advisement on that. 23 MR. BRUCE: Correct. But at this point, it's still unorthodox. 24 25 (BY MR. BRUCE) And I believe, Mr. Mitchell, the Q.

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 7 reason for the unorthodox location is simply to lengthen 1 the productive portion of the wellbore? 2 3 Α. That is correct. Could you identify Exhibit 2 for the Examiner? 4 0. Exhibit 2 is our tract ownership for this well, 5 Α. 6 and it's split out into the different tracts that make 7 up the 160-acre proration unit. And you list certain unleased interest owners, 8 Q. and we'll get into that, who is being pooled, correct? 9 Yes, sir. 10 Α. Let's skip an exhibit and go to Exhibit 4, 11 0. Mr. Mitchell. What is Exhibit 4? 12 Exhibit 4 is a copy of portions of our title 13 Α. 14 opinion, dated May 16th, 2011, which sets out certain 15 aspects of the issues with the ownership on this particular section. 16 And back in -- I think it's an exception to 17 Ο. Title 15. It goes into great deal about the ownership 18 of -- the possible ownership of these various interests? 19 20 Α. Yes, sir. 21 Q. And that is what the Gregorys own, correct? 22 Α. Correct. 23 And does the title opinion itself identify --Q. this is only a portion of the title opinion, correct? 24 25 Α. Yes, sir.

Page 8 And the last two pages that are a part of this 1 0. exhibit, does this title opinion identify the people who 2 do or may own an interest in this? I think the total 3 interest is 12.6, correct? 4 Yes, sir. There is approximately 30 or so 5 Α. individuals or entities that could make a claim to this 6 7 interest. 8 Q. And a number of these people are unlocatable, correct? 9 Yes, sir. 10 Α. Now, Mewbourne has been out here -- the title 11 Ο. 12 opinion was over two years ago. Has Mewbourne been 13 attempting to identify the locations of all the interest 14 owners identified in the title opinion? Α. Yes, sir. 15 And there have been, what, three other hearings 16 Q. involving this same property here, Section 35, correct? 17 Yes, sir. 18 Α. Has Mewbourne made a good-faith effort to 19 Ο. locate all of these potential interest owners? 20 21 Α. Yes, sir. 22 Q. As to the interest owners you could locate, were well proposals sent to the parties? 23 24 Α. Yes, sir. 25 Q. Not only on this well, but on several other

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 9 wells, correct? 1 Α. 2 Yes, sir. A couple of other wells, anyway. 3 0. Does Exhibit 3 contain copies of the well 4 5 proposals, as well as a summary of the contacts with the various interest owners? 6 Α. Yes, sir. 7 8 Q. Now, in the last hearing, which involved this 9 property, some members of the Panagopoulos family showed up, correct? 10 11 Α. Correct. And what is Mewbourne doing with respect to the 12 Q. 13 Panagopoulos family? We are keeping them updated with the amount of 14 Α. money in suspense on the previous-drilled wells, I 15 believe on a monthly basis, so they can figure out at 16 which time it is beneficial for them to conduct a quiet 17 18 title suit. Would you be willing to send the same Ο. 19 20 information to the Gregory family? 21 Α. Yes, sir. 22 Thank you. MR. WILLIAM GREGORY: (BY MR. BRUCE) In your opinion, has Mewbourne 23 Q. Oil Company made a good-faith effort to locate the 24 parties or to obtain their voluntary joinder in the 25

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

1 well?

2 A. Yes, sir.

Q. Could you identify Exhibit 5 and discuss the4 cost of the proposed well?

5 A. Exhibit 5 is our AFE which sets out the 6 estimated costs for drilling this well. We have an 7 estimated dry-hole cost of \$1,760,300 and a completed 8 well cost of \$4,394,300.

9 Q. And in your opinion, is this cost fair and 10 reasonable and equivalent to the cost of other wells 11 drilled in this -- other horizontal wells drilled to 12 this depth in this area of New Mexico?

13 A. Yes, sir.

Q. Do you have a recommendation as to the amounts which should be granted to Mewbourne for overhead and administrative expenses?

A. Yes, sir. We are requesting 7,000 a month for drilling and 700 a month for producing, which are the same rates in our JOA with the other partners in the wells.

Q. In your opinion, are those rates fair and reasonable?

23 A. Yes, sir.

Q. Do you request that a cost plus 200-percent penalty be assessed against nonconsenting interest

Page 10

Page 11 1 owners? 2 Α. Yes, sir. Looking at Exhibit 6, my Affidavit of Notice, 3 Q. Mr. Mitchell, does the exhibit attached to my notice 4 5 letter contain the current addresses of all the people for whom you could locate addresses? 6 7 Α. Yes, sir. 8 MR. BRUCE: And, Mr. Examiner, most of the 9 people did receive notice. There are a couple at the 10 back where notice was left, but the certified mail hadn't been picked up. However, in the other three 11 12 hearings, we have given -- couple of hearings, anyway, 13 we have given notice to these same people, and I can get 14 you the case numbers. But the people we mailed to did receive notice. So I believe those are valid addresses. 15 16 And, Mr. Examiner, Exhibit 7 is simply the Affidavit of Publication to the parties who we may not 17 18 have had valid addresses for. 19 I just noticed that I put William Gregory's 20 name in there, but obviously that's not necessary 21 anymore. 22 Ο. (BY MR. BRUCE) And what is Exhibit 8, Mr. Mitchell? 23 24 Α. Exhibit 8 lists the offset ownership. 25 Have any of the offsets objected to the well Q.

Page 12 unit or the well's location? 1 Α. No, sir. 2 MR. BRUCE: And, Mr. Examiner, 9 is simply 3 4 my Affidavit of Notice to the offsets, and they all did 5 receive notice. 6 Ο. (BY MR. BRUCE) Mr. Mitchell, in your opinion, 7 is the granting of this application in the interest of conservation and the prevention of waste? 8 9 Α. Yes, sir. And were Exhibits 1 through 9 either prepared 10 Ο. by you or under your supervision or compiled from 11 company business records? 12 Α. Yes, sir. 13 14 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I move the admission of Exhibits 1 through 9. 15 EXAMINER BROOKS: 1 through 9? Okay. 16 17 1 through 9 are admitted. 1.8 (Mewbourne Exhibit Numbers 1 through 9 were offered and admitted into evidence.) 19 20 MR. BRUCE: And I have no further questions of the witness. 21 22 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION 24 BY EXAMINER BROOKS: 25 You have this tract ownership on Exhibit 2, and Q.

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 13 you list, in Tract 3, "Unleased Uncertain Owners." 1 Those are the people with title opinions? 2 Yes, sir, Tract 3 and Tract 4. 3 Α. Ο. Tract 3 and Tract 4. Okay. 4 5 There are no outstanding interests in 6 Tracts 1 and 2, then? 7 Α. No, sir. These Penroc, Chevron and Seguro, are they all 8 Ο. 9 committed? Α. Yes, sir. 10 Okay. So these unleased owners -- well, they 11 Ο. are unleased. You said they're unleased. So they own 12 3.6 percent in Tracts 3 and 4, right? 13 Α. Yes, sir. And it comes out to 2.25 percent 14 throughout the unit. 15 16 Q. Do you have an API number for this well? 17 Yes, sir. It is 3001540967. Α. 18 0. 40967? Yes, sir. 19 Α. And Mr. Bruce said that the NSL was being 20 0. considered because of the 80-acre unit in the center of 21 22 the quarter-quarter section restriction. You're 23 starting out from 150 from the south. How far north of 24 that are you going to have your first perf? Do you have 25 an idea?

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 14 I believe we'll be legal on our first perf. but 1 Α. 2 I believe the geologist can better answer that. Ο. Okay. I'll ask the geologist on that. 3 Now, did you testify to overhead --4 administrative overhead rates? 5 Yes, sir. 6 Α. 7 Ο. And what were they? 7,000 a month for drilling and 700 a month for 8 Α. producing. 9 And what, again, is the formation in this well? 10 0. 11 What's the target formation? 12 Α. The Bone Spring Formation. 13 Ο. And you said it was South Culebra Bluffs? Yes, sir. I believe that's Code 15011. 14 Α. 15 That's good. Ο. And you're requesting -- you're requesting 16 compulsory pooling only in the Bone Spring Formation? 17 18 Α. Yes, sir. I should have a list of the things I need to 19 Ο. 20 ask you, but I don't. I think that's basically all of 21 them. 22 EXAMINER BROOKS: So I will pass the 23 witness and let our geologist ask you questions if he 24 has any. 25 EXAMINER GOETZE: I have no questions for

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 15 1 this witness. You've done a thorough job. 2 MR. BRUCE: I don't know if the Gregorys 3 have any questions. 4 EXAMINER BROOKS: Do you want to guestion 5 the witness? 6 MR. WILLIAM GREGORY: I'd like to -- if I could, I'd like to compliment Mewbourne for keeping us 7 8 in the loop. I mean, they've done an exemplary job. I've looked up their credentials, and even the School of 9 Petroleum over at Oklahoma State is named after 10 Mewbourne. 11 12 THE WITNESS: With Oklahoma University. 13 MR. WILLIAM GREGORY: Right. THE WITNESS: You don't want to confuse 14 15 that with them. They'll get --16 (Laughter.) 17 MR. WILLIAM GREGORY: But I wanted to tell you -- I mean, I appreciate this. We've really learned 18 a lot. We've been trying to get up with the education 19 20 that we needed in order to understand, as leaseholders 21 here, the difference between the forced pooling and the voluntary, and we want a good working relationship with 22 23 you gentlemen. We didn't necessarily have one with some 24 of the other oil companies that were in there, but we 25 want you to know that.

Page 16 THE WITNESS: Yeah. We appreciate that, 1 and it's just a unique situation on this one. 2 MR. WILLIAM GREGORY: We understand the 3 trust -- we understand the trust and the problems that 4 5 you've got with all the different owners, and once the -- if there is a quiet title action, we want you to 6 believe -- we want to work with you, you know. 7 We've 8 had problems with the past oil companies, but it seems like you're a different kind of an animal. 9 THE WITNESS: We'll do everything we can to 10 help out and try to get this resolved. 11 12 MR. WILLIAM GREGORY: Okay. I appreciate 13 you sending us the documentation on the production for the trust. 14 15 EXAMINER BROOKS: I'm sorry, I don't 16 remember your name. 17 MR. WILLIAM GREGORY: Ervin Gregory. EXAMINER BROOKS: Do you have any questions 18 of the witness? 19 20 MR. WILLIAM GREGORY: I don't. 21 EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good. 22 Anything further, Mr. Bruce? 23 MR. BRUCE: No, sir. 24 EXAMINER BROOKS: The witness may step 25 down, and call your next witness.

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 17 MR. BRUCE: Call Mr. Cless to the stand. 1 NATE CLESS, 2 after having been previously sworn under oath, was 3 questioned and testified as follows: 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. BRUCE: 6 Mr. Cless, who do you work for and in what 7 Q. capacity? 8 9 Α. I work for Mewbourne Oil Company as a 10 geologist. Have you previously testified before the 11 Ο. Division? 12 Α. Yes, I have. 13 And were your credentials as an expert 14 Q. 15 petroleum geologist accepted as a matter of record? 16 Α. Yes, they were. 17 Q. Are you familiar with the geology involved in this case? 18 19 Α. Yes, sir. MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I tender 20 Mr. Cless as an expert petroleum geologist. 21 22 EXAMINER BROOKS: He is so qualified. 23 (BY MR. BRUCE) Mr. Cless, can you identify Ο. Exhibit 10 for the Examiner? 24 25 Α. Yeah. Exhibit 10 is a structure map on what

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 18 I'm calling the 2nd Bone Spring Sand middle marker, and 1 I'll get into detail on exactly what that marker is 2 3 later. Also on this map I've identified all the 4 wells that produce out of the Bone Spring Formation. 5 The Bone Spring Formation is -- there are probably many 6 different subformations in there. The target interval 7 that we're looking at is the 2nd Bone Spring Sand. 8 Τf you look on this map, all the wells -- there is really 9 only one well that produces out of the 2nd Bone Spring 10 Sand, and it's a horizontal well that we've drilled in 11 the east half-west half -- or the west half-east half of 12 Section 35. 13 The well that we're proposing to drill is 14 in the east half-éast half of Section 35. 15 As far as the structure goes that's 16 concerned through here, basically, it's trending 17 north-south. We don't believe there are any major 18 structural components to the -- to the production of 19 this well. 20 You mention the different Bone Spring zones. 21 0. It looks like almost all of them are productive at least 22 somewhere in this area. 23 Yes, sir. 24 Α. Now, so there are multiple zones that could be 25 Q.

1 tested within the Bone Spring?

A. Yes, sir. We believe there is quite a bit of opportunity for future wells in the Bone Spring in this interval.

5 Ο. Could you identify Exhibit 11 for the Examiner? 6 Α. Exhibit 11 is a net isopach map of the 2nd Bone Spring Sand. It's really the lower part of the 2nd Bone 7 8 Spring Sand. To the bottom left of each wellbore, it goes deep enough. There is -- there is a number that's 9 10 the net porosity greater than ten percent of the Lower 2nd Bone Spring Sand. So through this interval --11 through the east half-east half of Section 35, we 12 13 anticipate that the net thickness will be roughly 40 to 50 feet thick across this -- across this 160 acres. 14 15 I've also identified the location of my next exhibit, which is a cross section, A to A prime, 16 and it's a three-well cross section that covers these 17

18 three wells -- the three closest wells to this area.

19 Q. So the offsetting well is the 2nd Bone Spring, 20 correct?

21 A. Yes, sir, it is.

Q. In that well, did each quarter-quarter sectioncontribute to production?

A. Yes, sir, we believe it did.

25 Q. Move to Exhibit 12, then.

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

f4b2834a-acbe-44f1-95b3-474c85ed4e3e

Page 19

Page 20 So Exhibit 12 is a three-well cross section, 1 Α. again going from the well in 35C down to the well in 2 3 35I, and then over to the well in 36E. And then to the right of each -- to the 4 5 right, I've identified basically the top of the 2nd Bone Spring Sand and then what we're calling a horizontal 6 7 target, which is the 2nd Bone Spring, what we call the C 8 Sand. That's just an internal designation we give to that interval. 9 10 But you can see the total thickness -- the 11 gross thickness of the 2nd Bone Spring Sand is pretty uniform across this entire area. We have density and 1213 porosity logs on the well in 35C and the well in 36C, 14 and you can see that the average porosity in there is 15 about 10 to 12 percent throughout the 2nd Bone Spring Sand. 16 17 This cross section is hung on the base of the 2nd Bone Spring Sand. And the marker that I used to 18 19 make my structure map is that 2nd Bone Spring middle marker, and it's that dashed black line through there. 20 21 And that's a pretty good marker, a pretty consistent marker throughout this area. 22 23 In your opinion, will each quarter-quarter Q. section in the proposed well unit contribute to 24 25 production?

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

A. Yes, sir.

1

25

2 Q. What is Exhibit 13?

So Exhibit 13 is a just a production table of 3 Α. all the wells in this nine-section area that produce out 4 5 of the Bone Spring interval. I've identified the name 6 of the well, the operator, its API, where it's located, 7 whether it's a vertical well or a horizontal well, when it was completed in the Bone Spring, what its production 8 was, and then the last column is what interval the Bone 9 Spring is completed. 10

So just looking at that last column, you 11 12 can see that most of these wells in this area are completed in the Avalon Shale, and most of them are all 13 vertical wells. The bottom four wells on here are all 14 horizontal wells, and three of those wells have also 15 been drilled in the Avalon Shale. And then the very 16 bottom well, which is highlighted in yellow, is our well 17 that we recently completed in the 2nd Bone Spring Sand. 18 And that well, it was completed in May of this year, and 19 it's made 15,000 barrels of oil, and that's just one 20 21 month of production. So we believe that it is an economic well; it's going to be a good well, and I think 22 that helps us to believe that the east half-east half of 23 24 Section 35 will also be productive.

Q. In looking at the vertical wells, a third to

Page 21

Page 22 half of them were fairly decent Bone Spring wells --1 2 Α. Yes, sir, they were. 3 Ο. -- for their era? Α. Uh-huh. 4 5 Ο. Could you identify Exhibit 14 and discuss a 6 little bit about the drilling and completion of the 7 proposed well? 8 Α. Yeah. Exhibit 14 is just the horizontal survey that we received from The Directional Drilling Company, 9 and that's the company that we're using to drill the 10 lateral part of our horizontal. You can flip through 11 that, and it's just got the exact details of where we 12 plan to put our horizontal. 13 14 The last few pages -- I guess the 15 second-to-the-last page is just a map view of our proposed location. It also shows the location of the 16 other -- of the three other horizontals that have been 17 18 drilled in this section. The well in the west half-west half of 19 Section 35 is a Delaware well that we drilled. 20 And the 21 well in the east half of the -- east half of the west 22 half of 35 is an Avalon Shale well that we drilled, and then the well on the west half-east half of 35 is the 23 other 2nd Bone Spring Sand well that we just recently 24 25 drilled, and then our proposed location is the east

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 23 half-east half of Section 35. 1 I quess to answer a previous question that 2 you asked our landman, our surface location is 150 from 3 the south, 330 from the east. But then once we land, we 4 5 will be at 626 from the south and 362 from the east. So 6 we will be at a legal location, and that will be the location of our first perforation. 7 8 EXAMINER BROOKS: What were those numbers again? 9 THE WITNESS: 626 from the south and 362 10 from the east. 11 EXAMINER BROOKS: That would be -- would 12 13 that be legal even if the present spacing remains in effect, or is that --14 THE WITNESS: I don't believe so because 15 it's too far east. 16 EXAMINER BROOKS: I didn't think so either, 17 but it would be legal if the application is granted. 18 19 MR. BRUCE: Is granted, yeah. 20 EXAMINER BROOKS: Which I see no reason to believe it won't be, but it might be an indefinite time. 21 You know, it will be indefinite. 22 23 MR. BRUCE: But I think both the beginning of production, and then, of course, obviously, the 24 25 terminus will be unorthodox.

Page 24 EXAMINER BROOKS: Well, we would hope that 1 we could get the order out before you get the well 2 drilled (laughter), but maybe that's a bit optimistic. 3 (Laughter.) 4 5 MR. WILLIAM GREGORY: There will be blood (laughter). 6 7 EXAMINER BROOKS: Are you through? MR. BRUCE: I've got a couple follow-up 8 questions. 9 10 Q. (BY MR. BRUCE) Were Exhibits 10 through 13 11 prepared by you? Α. Yes, they were. 12 13 Ο. And was Exhibit 14 compiled from Mewbourne's business records? 14 Yes, it was. 15 Α. And in your opinion, is the granting of this 16 Q. application in the interest of conservation and the 17 18 prevention of waste? Α. Yes, sir. 19 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I'd move the 20 admission of Exhibits 10 through 14. 21 22 EXAMINER BROOKS: 10 through 14 are admitted. 23 24 (Mewbourne Exhibit Numbers 10 through 14 25 were offered and admitted into evidence.)

Page 25 EXAMINER BROOKS: And I have no questions 1 I'll let the geologist handle that. 2 for this witness. 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY EXAMINER GOETZE: 4 5 Q. Just a couple of questions. One, the north-south seems like a good orientation for 6 7 development here? 8 Α. Yeah, we believe so. As far as in this immediate area, there have been no east-west tests. 9 But we've had good luck in the Bone Spring drilling 10 north-south, as well as in other formations, in the 11 Avalon Shale and the Delaware, so we have no reason to 12 13 believe we need to go east-west. And there are going to be no other targets 14 ο. except for the 2nd C Sand? 15 16 Α. For this particular well. 17 Q. No other questions. Thank you. MR. BRUCE: Mr. Gregory may have questions. 18 19 MR. WILLIAM GREGORY: Not of this witness. 20 I have a general statement. 21 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. I'm sorry, your 22 name, the other gentlemen here? 23 MR. TOM GREGORY: Yeah. Tom Gregory. 24 MR. WILLIAM GREGORY: And I'm Ervin 25 Gregory.

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 26 1 EXAMINER BROOKS: So you're both 2 Mr. Gregory? 3 (Laughter.) MR. WILLIAM GREGORY: Yes. 4 5 MR. TOM GREGORY: Yes. 6 EXAMINER BROOKS: Does either one of you have any questions -- does either Mr. Gregory have any 7 8 questions of this witness? MR. WILLIAM GREGORY: 9 No. EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good. The witness 10 11 may stand down. And if you would like to -- whichever one 12 of you wants to go first, come over and sit on the 13 witness stand and make your statement where the court 14 reporter can hear you real well. 15 16 MR. WILLIAM GREGORY: Mr. Brooks, thank you 17 for giving me this time. My name is Ervin Gregory, William Ervin Gregory. 18 19 As an owner of an interest that may be 20 affected by the application, I was asked to appear and present testimony so that the Gregorys and Ervins would 21 22 become a party of record and not to be precluded from 23 contesting the matter at a later date. 24 In March 1986, my father and Clarence Ervin 25 purchased the mineral estate and surface estate from

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 27 Mr. Beeman, a single man, and although there are many 1 producing wells connected to the land, they've never 2 3 received a fair portion of their royalties. The oil company's landman always claimed to my father and his 4 5 friend that there was a comma missing or the title's muddled, which I certainly don't doubt. 6 But they were good ol' boys from Carlsbad. 7 8 They lived there all their lives. They worked in the potash ash mines. They were best friends. I was named 9 after Clarence Ervin. 10 So to make -- this is almost done. 11 So we have all this correspondence from different landmen from 12 13 oil companies stating that there was something wrong, 14 and they would occasionally send us token checks, \$1.00, you know, \$2.00. And so I believe the oil companies 15 haven't acted in our best interest in the past. 16 I think 17 that we are owed more, and we just want what's rightfully ours. That's it. 18 19 EXAMINER BROOKS : Okay. Did you wish to 20 ask Mr. Gregory any questions, Mr. Bruce? 21 No, sir. MR. BRUCE: 22 EXAMINER BROOKS: Nor do I. 23 EXAMINER GOETZE: I have no questions. 24 EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good. 25 Do you also wish to make a statement?

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

1		Page 28 MR. TOM GREGORY: I've already made my
	statement.	MR. TOM GREGORI. I ve alleady made my
2	statement.	
3		EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. Very good.
4		Anything further, Mr. Bruce?
5		MR. BRUCE: Not in this case.
6		EXAMINER BROOKS: In that case, Case Number
7	15037 will be	taken under advisement.
8		(Case Number 15037 concludes, 9:48 a.m.)
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceeding is the Examiner by
17		a complete record of the proceeding is the Examiner hearing of Case No.
18		the Examiner hearing of Case No. 15037 heard by me on $9-5-2013$
1.9		
		Oil Conservation Division
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		

.

Page 29 1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO 2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO 3 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER 4 5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20, and Registered Professional 6 7 Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported the foregoing proceedings in stenographic shorthand and that 8 the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript of 9 those proceedings that were reduced to printed form by 10 11 me to the best of my ability. 12 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's 13 Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties. 14 15 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither 16 employed by nor related to any of the parties or attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in 17 the final disposition of this case. 18 A N. Hankins 19 20 HANKINS, CCR, RPR MARY C. 21 Paul Baca Court Reporters, Inc. New Mexico CCR No. 20 22 Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2013 23 24 25

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS