

3 IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED  
4 BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR  
5 THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

6 APPLICATION OF SM ENERGY COMPANY  
7 FOR DESIGNATION OF A NONSTANDARD  
8 OIL SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT  
9 AND FOR COMPULSORY POOLING,  
10 EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

CASE NO. 15018

ORIGINAL

11 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

12 EXAMINER HEARING

13 July 11, 2013

14 Santa Fe, New Mexico

15 BEFORE: RICHARD EZEANYIM, CHIEF EXAMINER  
16 DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER  
17 PHILLIP GOETZE, TECHNICAL EXAMINER

2013 AUG - 1 A 7:52  
RECEIVED OCD

18 This matter came on for hearing before the  
19 New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Richard Ezeanyim,  
20 Chief Examiner, David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, and  
21 Phillip Goetze, Technical Examiner, on Thursday, July  
22 11, 2013, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural  
23 Resources Department, 1220 South St. Francis Drive,  
24 Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

25 REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR  
New Mexico CCR #20  
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters  
500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105  
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

## 1 APPEARANCES

2 FOR APPLICANT SM ENERGY COMPANY:

3 J. SCOTT HALL, ESQ.  
 4 MONTGOMERY & ANDREWS  
 325 Paseo de Peralta  
 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501  
 5 (505) 670-7362  
 shall@montand.com  
 6  
 7

## 8 INDEX

PAGE

9	Case Number 15018 Called	
10	SM Energy Company's Case-in-Chief:	
11	Witnesses:	
12	Wilson Cash:	
13	Direct Examination by Mr. Hall	3
14	Cross-Examination by Examiner Ezeanyim	13
15	Ben Davis:	
16	Direct Examination by Mr. Hall	16
17	Proceedings Conclude	22
18	Certificate of Court Reporter	23

19

20

## 21 EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED

22	SM Energy Exhibit Numbers 1 through 6	13
23	SM Energy Exhibit Numbers 7 through 12	22

24

25

26

1 (4:09 p.m.)

2 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: At this point, I call  
3 Case Number 15018, application of SM Energy Company for  
4 designation of a nonstandard oil spacing and proration  
5 unit and for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New  
6 Mexico.

7 Call for appearances.

8 MR. HALL: Mr. Examiners, Scott Hall,  
9 Montgomery & Andrews law firm here in Santa Fe,  
10 appearing on behalf of SM Energy Company, and we have  
11 two witnesses this afternoon.

12 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Any other appearances?

13 Okay. Will the two witnesses stand up to  
14 be sworn, and state your names.

15 MR. CASH: Wilson Cash, SM Energy Company.

16 MR. DAVIS: Ben Davis, SM Energy Company.

17 (Mr. Cash and Mr. Davis sworn.)

18 MR. HALL: We call Wilson Cash to the  
19 stand.

20 WILSON CASH,

21 after having been previously sworn under oath, was  
22 questioned and testified as follows:

23 DIRECT EXAMINATION

24 BY MR. HALL:

25 Q. And for the record, please state your name.

1           A.     Wilson Cash.

2           Q.     Mr. Cash, where do you live, and by whom are  
3     you employed?

4           A.     Midland, Texas; SM Energy Company.

5           Q.     In what capacity?

6                     EXAMINER EZEANYIM:   How do you spell Cash?  
7     Like money?

8                     THE WITNESS:   C-A-S-H.   Yes, like money,  
9     C-A-S-H.

10                    EXAMINER EZEANYIM:   A lot of cash there.

11                    THE WITNESS:   Yes (laughter).   Okay.

12                    EXAMINER EZEANYIM:   Okay.   Good.

13           Q.     (BY MR. HALL) Mr. Cash, you've not testified  
14     before the Division before.   Will you give the Examiner  
15     a brief summary of your educational background and work  
16     experience?

17           A.     I graduated from Texas Tech University in 2012.  
18     I got my bachelor's in business administration, with a  
19     double major in energy and commerce and finance.   I've  
20     been working for SM Energy for a little over a year, and  
21     I've been working their acreage in southeast New Mexico  
22     for that entire year.

23                    MR. HALL:   We'd offer Mr. Cash as a  
24     qualified expert in petroleum landman.

25                    EXAMINER EZEANYIM:   Accepted.

1 Q. (BY MR. HALL) Mr. Cash, would you briefly tell  
2 the Examiner what we're seeking by this application  
3 today?

4 A. We seek an order to consolidate the 40-acre  
5 spacing units within the north half of the north half of  
6 Section 34, Township 19 South, Range 29 East in Eddy  
7 County, New Mexico, and to designate the consolidated  
8 units as 160-acre nonstandard spacing and proration unit  
9 for a well location in the Bone Spring Formation.

10 The interest will be dedicated to Osage 34  
11 Federal #1H, to be drilled horizontally from a surface  
12 location of the northeast-northeast quarter to a  
13 bottom-hole location in the northwest-northwest quarter  
14 to a depth to sufficiently test the Bone Spring  
15 Formation in the Parkway Bone Spring pool.

16 SM Energy also seeks to recover the cost of  
17 drilling and completing said well, to allocate to  
18 recover those costs, as well as the actual operating  
19 costs and charges for supervision. SM Energy seeks to  
20 be designated as the operator and also seeks a charge  
21 for the risk involved in drilling the well.

22 Q. Let's look at Exhibit 1. Is that a compilation  
23 of your C-102 and C-101 drilling permits for the well?

24 A. Yes. The first page is the well location  
25 acreage dedication plat. It shows our proposed

1 nonstandard spacing unit. It shows the bottom-hole  
2 location, the surface location of the Osage 34 Federal  
3 #1H, with the surface location being 450 feet from the  
4 north line and 330 feet from the east line, and the  
5 bottom-hole location being 330 feet from the north line  
6 and 330 feet from the west line.

7 Q. And does the acreage plat also show the setback  
8 for the producing area?

9 A. Yes, it does.

10 Q. What's the primary objective for the well?

11 A. It is the 2nd Bone Spring Sand of the Bone  
12 Spring Formation.

13 Q. To be clear, you're seeking to force pool the  
14 entire vertical extent of the Parkway Bone Spring pool;  
15 is that right?

16 A. Yes, that's correct.

17 Q. And, again, if we look back at the acreage  
18 dedication, your surface and bottom-hole locations are  
19 at standard locations, correct?

20 A. Yes, that is correct.

21 Q. And is the APD for the well approved?

22 A. Yes. It has been approved. It was approved on  
23 June 24th of this year.

24 Q. Let's turn to Exhibit 2, if you could explain  
25 what that shows, please.

1           A.   Exhibit 2, there are two different  
2   spreadsheets. The first spreadsheet is showing the  
3   working interest owners in the north half-north half of  
4   Section 34, and it shows their acreage, their percentage  
5   in that 160-acre working interest in the unit.

6                   And the second spreadsheet shows -- again,  
7   it shows the same working interest owners, and it shows  
8   their working interest in each 40-acre tract making up  
9   the north half of the north half.

10          Q.   Let me defer back to the first page of Exhibit  
11   2. Would you identify for the Examiner which interests  
12   you're seeking to pool?

13          A.   The two parties we are seeking to pool is  
14   DeVargas Street, LLC and Dean Kinsolving.

15          Q.   And today what percentage of the well is  
16   committed?

17          A.   98.8 percent. The two parties we have not been  
18   able to reach voluntary agreements with make up 1.2  
19   percent.

20          Q.   And is SM Energy seeking the position of a  
21   200-percent risk penalty for the interest owners?

22          A.   Yes, we are.

23          Q.   Let's turn to Exhibit 3. Is Exhibit 3 a  
24   compilation of your communications with those interest  
25   owners that you're seeking to force pool?

1           A.    Yes.  The first four pages are the well  
2   proposal letters that we mailed out on May 13th, 2013 to  
3   Dean Kinsolving and DeVargas Street.  We also included  
4   with these well proposals copies of the AFE, a copy of  
5   the operating agreement and a copy of the memorandum of  
6   operating agreement, along with extra signatory pages to  
7   be returned.

8           Q.    Go ahead.

9           A.    I was just going to say that we also -- there  
10   is a copy of the JOA, the cover page of the JOA and  
11   Exhibit A of the JOA, that we sent out with the well  
12   proposals.

13          Q.    Let's first talk about the Kinsolving interest.  
14   Just briefly summarize your efforts to obtain --

15          A.    Well, I delivered this well proposal, along  
16   with the AFE and operating agreement, the memo,  
17   hand-delivered it to them, and we sat down and had a  
18   30-minute conversation about the well.  We've dealt with  
19   Mr. Kinsolving in the past on previous wells, and he  
20   made it known that he just wanted to reach some sort of  
21   farm-out agreement or something along those lines,  
22   assigning us his interest, with an option to participate  
23   later on after payout.

24                   And so then later on, when we started  
25   getting close to this hearing, I sent him a couple of



1 other e-mails just attaching the -- I called him first,  
2 and he was like, Well, can you send me copies of this  
3 again; I seemed to have misplaced them; I want to take a  
4 look.

5 I sent him a couple of e-mails, because he  
6 wasn't receiving my e-mails. So I sent him two separate  
7 e-mails. They were all the same; I attached the AFE,  
8 the operating agreement and the memo.

9 And then he made it known that he wasn't  
10 sure if he wanted to do that or not, and he kind of was  
11 having some issues with the farm-outs we had done with  
12 him in the past. And so he's wanting to examine it  
13 further, and he made it known that he did want to reach  
14 an agreement with us prior to the compulsory pooling  
15 hearing.

16 Q. And if we look at Exhibit 3, the last two  
17 pages, there are some e-mail communications with Carroll  
18 Follingstad. Who is that?

19 A. Carroll Follingstad, she's the one who signs all  
20 the documents for DeVargas Street, LLC. She's in charge  
21 of DeVargas Street, LLC.

22 Q. Did you also have some communications with her  
23 counsel, John Catron, of the Catron law firm?

24 A. I didn't specifically talk to John, or  
25 Mr. Catron. She kept asking me to forward documents to

1 him, but -- I talked to her at least a couple times a  
2 week. She didn't have very much knowledge regarding oil  
3 and gas. And what I was trying to let her know -- she  
4 told me she couldn't afford to participate in the well,  
5 so I spelled out her options. I said, You can either  
6 wait and go nonconsent after the compulsory pooling  
7 hearing; you can assign us your interest; you can assign  
8 part of your interest and participate with part of your  
9 interest, or, like I said, You can participate with all  
10 of your interest. She made it known that she couldn't  
11 participate with all of her interest.

12 And she kept asking me to send her another  
13 farm-out, which we weren't wanting to do another  
14 farm-out because her interest is subject to 25-percent  
15 burdens. So if we did another farm-out, our  
16 farm-outs -- the override is the difference between 25  
17 percent and these extra burdens, so she wouldn't reserve  
18 any override. And, therefore, we couldn't convert to an  
19 after-payout working interest.

20 So I made that clear to her, but she  
21 couldn't understand it, and she kept asking me to send  
22 that agreement to John. And we kind of ran out of time,  
23 still needing to work out some sort of agreement with  
24 her or compulsory pool her.

25 Q. So as of today, you don't have a signed

1 agreement on behalf of DeVargas or Mr. Kinsolving?

2 A. No, I do not.

3 Q. In your opinion, Mr. Cash, have you made a  
4 good-faith effort to try to obtain the voluntary  
5 participation of those interest owners?

6 A. Yes, I have.

7 Q. Let's turn to Exhibit Number 4. Is that your  
8 AFE for the well?

9 A. Yes. That is the AFE for the Osage 34 Federal  
10 1H well. It shows the drilling and completing costs.  
11 It shows the total dry-hole cost to be \$4,017,165, and  
12 the total completed well cost to be \$6,515,585.

13 Q. And are those costs in line with what's being  
14 charged in the area for similar wells?

15 A. Yes, they are.

16 Q. And what are you seeking for drilling and  
17 producing overhead rates?

18 A. We're seeking \$8,500 per month drilling  
19 overhead and \$850 producing overhead.

20 Q. And are those overhead rates in line with what  
21 you're seeing in the area?

22 A. Yes, they are.

23 Q. Are you recommending that these drilling and  
24 producing overhead rates be incorporated into the order  
25 that gets issued from this hearing?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And are you asking that those rates be adjusted  
3 in accordance with the COPAS accounting procedure?

4 A. Yes, I am.

5 Q. Let's look to Exhibit 5. Does Exhibit 5  
6 identify your offsetting interest owners?

7 A. Yes, it does.

8 Q. And were those interest owners notified of this  
9 hearing?

10 A. Yes, they were.

11 Q. And did you receive any objection from those  
12 offset operators?

13 A. No, I have not.

14 Q. In your opinion, Mr. Cash, would granting SM's  
15 application be in the interest of conservation, the  
16 prevention of waste and the protection of correlative  
17 rights?

18 A. Yes, I believe so.

19 MR. HALL: At this point, Mr. Examiner, we  
20 move the admission of Exhibits 1 through 5, along with  
21 Exhibit 6, which is our notice affidavit for the land  
22 side of the case.

23 One final question for Mr. Cash.

24 Q. (BY MR. HALL) When is the well scheduled to be  
25 spud?

1           A.    I believe it's scheduled to spud July 25th of  
2   this year.

3                   MR. HALL:  Nothing further of this witness,  
4   Mr. Examiner.

5                   EXAMINER EZEANYIM:  Exhibits 1 through 6  
6   will be admitted.

7                   (SM Energy Exhibit Numbers 1 through 6  
8                   were offered and admitted into evidence.)

9                   CROSS-EXAMINATION

10   BY EXAMINER EZEANYIM:

11           Q.    What is happening on July 25th?

12                   MR. HALL:  Say again.

13           Q.    (BY EXAMINER EZEANYIM) What is happening on  
14   July 26th or 25th?

15           A.    July 25th, the spud date for the Osage 34  
16   Federal 1H.

17           Q.    What does that mean?

18           A.    We're planning on beginning drilling.

19           Q.    What?

20           A.    We're planning on beginning drilling the Osage  
21   Federal 34 1H on July 25th.

22           Q.    Well, you know why I'm asking that question.  
23   You want to get your order before July 25th.  That's two  
24   weeks from today.

25                   MR. HALL:  We'd be much obliged (laughter).

1 (Laughter.)

2 EXAMINER BROOKS: There are other people's  
3 cases that were taken under advisement a couple of  
4 months ago.

5 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Yeah, that is right.

6 MR. HALL: I know how it works.

7 Q. (BY EXAMINER EZEANYIM) Do you have an -- for  
8 that July 25th?

9 A. Yes, we do.

10 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Do you have any  
11 questions?

12 EXAMINER BROOKS: No questions.

13 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Do you have any  
14 questions?

15 EXAMINER GOETZE: No questions.

16 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: I'm not done with you.  
17 I'm sorry. Do you have anything for him?

18 MR. HALL: No questions.

19 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Do you have an API  
20 number for this.

21 THE WITNESS: No, we do not.

22 MR. HALL: We'll get that to you. We  
23 looked at Exhibit 1, but the APD or API wasn't on there,  
24 but we'll provide that to you.

25 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: What are you pooling on

1     that -- are you pooling from the surface to the Bone  
2     Spring, or what?

3                   MR. HALL:  It's the entire vertical extent  
4     of the Bone Spring within that pool, and the pool number  
5     is 49622.  It's in the advertisement.

6                   EXAMINER EZEANYIM:  Okay.  Now, you have  
7     two separate units to pool, one to pool on the vertical  
8     side, and the horizontal side is one, right?

9                   MR. HALL:  We're just pooling the 160-acre  
10    special project area within that pool.

11                  EXAMINER EZEANYIM:  But that  
12    northeast-northeast, A, of that section?

13                  MR. HALL:  Yes, A through D.

14                  EXAMINER EZEANYIM:  Are we considering Case  
15    15018?

16                  MR. HALL:  Yes, sir.

17                  EXAMINER EZEANYIM:  Now, what happened with  
18    the northeast-northeast quarter of -- are you pooling on  
19    that vertical section where the well is going to be  
20    located?

21                  MR. HALL:  Yes, from the top of the pool to  
22    the bottom of the pool, so the entirety of the Bone  
23    Spring.

24                  EXAMINER EZEANYIM:  Oh, okay.

25                  MR. HALL:  We don't need to the surface.

1 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: So there is no need for  
2 allocation of costs for drilling?

3 MR. HALL: No, sir.

4 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Because you are pooling  
5 just from the top to the bottom of that <sup>6 or</sup> 140 acre?

6 MR. HALL: No, just within the pool.

7 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Oh, just within the  
8 pool of the horizontal?

9 MR. HALL: Right.

10 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

11 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Makes sense now.

12 No more questions.

13 Call your next witness.

14 MR. HALL: At this time, we'd ask Mr. Ben  
15 Davis to take the stand.

16 BEN DAVIS,

17 after having been previously sworn under oath, was  
18 questioned and testified as follows:

19 DIRECT EXAMINATION

20 BY MR. HALL:

21 Q. For the record, state your name.

22 A. Ben Davis.

23 Q. Mr. Davis, where do you live, and by whom are  
24 you employed?

25 A. I currently live in Midland, Texas, and I'm



1 employed with SM Energy as a geologist.

2 Q. And you have not previously testified before  
3 the Division, have you?

4 A. No, I have not.

5 Q. Let's give a brief summary of your educational  
6 background and working experience, please.

7 A. I received an undergraduate degree at the  
8 University of Utah in geology, a master's of science  
9 degree in geology from the University of Texas at Austin  
10 in 2007. I was employed with ConocoPhillips in Houston  
11 for four years. Then on to the Gulf of Mexico, and I  
12 have been working for SM Energy for about the other  
13 three years. And for the last year and a half, I have  
14 been working with the Permian Basin assets.

15 Q. And you're familiar with the application that's  
16 been filed in this case and the lands that are subject  
17 to the application, correct?

18 A. Yes, I am.

19 MR. HALL: At this point, Mr. Examiner,  
20 we'd offer Mr. Davis as an expert petroleum geologist.

21 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: So qualified.

22 Q. (BY MR. HALL) Let's look at Exhibit 7. Does  
23 Exhibit 7, again, reflect the acreage dedication plat  
24 for this well, along with a type log for the Bone Spring  
25 Formation?

1           A.    Yes, it does.

2           Q.    And by reference to this exhibit, can the  
3   Hearing Examiner understand the vertical extent of the  
4   pool that we're seeking to force pool?

5           A.    Yes, they can.

6           Q.    Let's look at Exhibit A.  Would you identify  
7   that, please?

8           A.    This is a wellbore plat and drilling profile.  
9   The top diagram with the -- is just a section from the  
10   previous well acreage, and it's roughly to the same  
11   scale of the horizontal projection.

12                   What it's showing is that the surface-hole  
13   location is well within the setback of 330 feet.  It's  
14   450 feet from the north line, 330 feet from the east  
15   line.  The bottom-hole location is to the west, and it  
16   is 330 feet from the west line and 330 feet from the  
17   north line.  And the blue dash line represents that  
18   330-foot setback.

19          Q.    All right.  Where we see the location for the  
20   vertical segment of the well at 330 and 330, does the  
21   vertical segment of the well intercept the Bone Spring  
22   Formation at that same location?

23          A.    The surface-hole location, yes, intercepts at  
24   the 450, 330 locality, and it will intercept the Bone  
25   Spring at that locality.

1           Q.    Now, can the completed interval of the well be  
2   produced in conformity with the setbacks for this pool  
3   in the project area?

4           A.    Yes. All of the prorations in the producing  
5   interval will be along the wellbore, and the wellbore is  
6   entirely within the setback.

7           Q.    Let's look at your geology exhibits, Exhibits 9  
8   through 11. If you would explain those to the Hearing  
9   Examiner and tell us why you believe that each of the  
10  40-acre units comprising the project area appear to be  
11  prospective for oil production?

12          A.    Okay. Exhibit 9 is a 2nd Bone Spring  
13  surrounding producer of our competitors, and some of  
14  ours are shown in green. The orange dots are wells that  
15  have been permitted and are either scheduled to be  
16  drilled or have not yet been drilled. Shown in the text  
17  boxes are initial production rates that we have from  
18  public data, where we have taken a monthly average and  
19  averaged it down to a day rate. And it shows that there  
20  is production in and around the Osage 34 1H highlighted  
21  by the green star. It's in a 360-degree area.

22                   On Exhibit 10, it is a 2nd Bone Spring  
23  structure map. It shows that there is a dip to the  
24  east. The contour interval is 20 feet, so it's a very  
25  tight contour interval. The proposed well is

1 highlighted red, with a red star, and the wells that are  
2 highlighted with the blue line are wells we have drilled  
3 to date in the 2nd Bone Spring Sand. So this is our  
4 seventh well.

5 And what we're looking at and what it shows  
6 is that there is no independent structure across the  
7 wellbore that would indicate like a specific 40 -- or a  
8 40-acre unit that would produce more than one or the  
9 other based on the structure of this well.

10 On Exhibit 11, it is a net sand isopach  
11 map. And we have interpreted the Bone Spring to be  
12 channelized turbidite flows in that the reservoir is a  
13 complex reservoir. Drilling these horizontal wells, we  
14 intend to probably intersect multiple channels, each  
15 with their own flow barrier and their own contribution  
16 to the production. The well will intersect at least 125  
17 feet across the entire length of the wellbore.

18 And so based on the data that we've  
19 collected and what we've looked at, I don't think that  
20 there is any indication that one 40 unit will produce  
21 more than any other 40 unit across the length of the  
22 wellbore.

23 The next exhibit, Exhibit 12, is a cross  
24 section projected along the wellbore. It goes Section  
25 35 -- sorry -- Section 34 and Section 35 in a

1 west-to-east orientation. The green wellbore path is  
2 the proposed well that we plan on drilling. What you're  
3 looking at are gamma rays that are colored, as an  
4 interpretation. Usually if they're blue, we interpret  
5 them as limestone; yellow, sandstone. And then the  
6 browns will be a higher gamma ray possibly indicating  
7 higher shale content.

8                   The well that is horizontal that you can  
9 see here is the Mewbourne Four Peaks 35 1H, and we are  
10 proposing to drill roughly in the same interval that  
11 they have drilled. And you can see from their wellbore  
12 the changes across the gamma ray indicating, you know,  
13 possible multiple channels that they've intersected.

14                   And the vertical wells in this area, you  
15 know, as we correlate around, we see a change in the  
16 gamma ray character. And individual sand bodies are not  
17 easily correlatable, but the whole package is. So  
18 that's why we're saying that contribution is equal  
19 across the wellbore.

20           Q. And SM Energy is proposing that should be done  
21 on a 100-percent surface-acre basis?

22           A. Yes, we are.

23           Q. And based on your geologic evaluation, are you  
24 satisfied that that's a fair and reasonable basis for  
25 participation in this well?

1 A. I am.

2 Q. In your opinion, is granting of SM's  
3 application in the best interest of conservation, the  
4 prevention of waste and the protection of correlative  
5 rights?

6 A. Yes.

7 MR. HALL: That concludes my direct of this  
8 witness, and I move the admission of Exhibits 7 through  
9 12.

10 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Exhibits 7 through 12  
11 will be admitted.

12 (SM Energy Exhibits Number 7 through 12  
13 were offered and admitted into evidence.)

14 MR. HALL: That concludes my direct.

15 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Any questions?

16 EXAMINER BROOKS: No questions.

17 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Any questions?

18 EXAMINER GOETZE: No questions.

19 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: You may step down. No  
20 questions.

21 MR. HALL: Ask that the case be taken under  
22 advisement, and that concludes our case today.

23 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: At this point, Case  
24 Number 15018 will be taken under advisement.

25 (Case Number 15018 concludes, 4:33 p.m.)  
I do hereby certify that the foregoing is  
a complete record of the proceedings in  
the Examiner's office of Case No. 2013-

**PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS**

July 11, 2013  
c2f0112013-4911-begh-68bb58e1-a402  
All Conservation Division

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

3

4 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, New Mexico Certified  
6 Court Reporter No. 20, and Registered Professional  
7 Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported the  
8 foregoing proceedings in stenographic shorthand and that  
9 the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript of  
10 those proceedings that were reduced to printed form by  
11 me to the best of my ability.

12 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's  
13 Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects  
14 the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.

15 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither  
16 employed by nor related to any of the parties or  
17 attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in  
18 the final disposition of this case.

19



20

21 MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR  
22 Paul Baca Court Reporters, Inc.  
New Mexico CCR No. 20  
Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2013

23

24

25