		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES	
2	FOR APPLICANT COG OPERATING, LLC:	
3	MICHAEL H. FELDEWERT, ESQ. HOLLAND & HART	
4	110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501	
5	(505) 988-4421 mfeldewert@hollandhart.com	
6	WZ O Z GOWO Z GOWO Z Z GWARANI W Z G V G GW	
7	FOR KAISER-FRANCIS OIL COMPANY:	
8	JAMES G. BRUCE, ESQ. Post Office Box 1056	
9	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504 (505) 982-2043	1
10	jamesbruc@aol.com	
11	INDEX	DAGE
12	Case Numbers 15063 and 15064 Called	PAGE 3
13	COG Operating, LLC's Case-in-Chief:	
14	Witnesses:	
15	Mike Wallace:	
16	Direct Examination by Mr. Feldewert Cross-Examination by Examiner Goetze	4 14
17	David DaGian:	7.4
18		
19	Direct Examination by Mr. Feldewert Cross-Examination by Mr. Bruce	15 21
20	Cross-Examination by Examiner McMillan Cross-Examination by Examiner Goetze	22 23
21	Proceedings Conclude	24
22	Certificate of Court Reporter	25
23	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED	
24	COG Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 1 through 6	14
25	COG Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 7 through 10	21
	ì	

- 1 (8:28 a.m.)
- 2 EXAMINER GOETZE: Moving right along, Case
- 3 15063, application of COG Operating, LLC for a
- 4 nonstandard spacing and proration unit and compulsory
- 5 pooling, Lea County, New Mexico.
- 6 Call for appearances.
- 7 MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, Michael
- 8 Feldewert, of the Santa Fe office of Holland & Hart,
- 9 appearing on behalf of the Applicant, COG Operating,
- 10 LLC.
- 11 Two things. I have two witnesses here
- 12 today, and, secondly, our pre-hearing statement asks
- 13 that this case be consolidated with Case 15064 for
- 14 purposes of hearing because it involves the same
- 15 acreage. So we'd ask that you call Case 15064 as well.
- 16 EXAMINER GOETZE: At this point, I believe
- 17 Mr. Bruce is here.
- MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce
- 19 representing Kaiser-Francis Oil Company. We have no
- 20 witnesses and no objection to the consolidation of the
- 21 cases and no objection to the application.
- 22 EXAMINER GOETZE: Very well. Then we will
- 23 consolidate Case 15063 with Case 15064, which is
- 24 application of COG Operating, LLC for a nonstandard
- 25 spacing and proration unit and compulsory pooling, Lea

- 1 County, New Mexico.
- 2 Your witnesses?
- 3 MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I have two
- 4 witnesses here today.
- 5 EXAMINER GOETZE: Would you stand and state
- 6 your name for the record.
- 7 MR. DAGIAN: David DaGian.
- 8 MR. WALLACE: Mike Wallace.
- 9 EXAMINER GOETZE: Would the court reporter
- 10 swear these witnesses in, please?
- 11 (Mr. DaGian and Mr. Wallace sworn.)
- 12 EXAMINER GOETZE: Mr. Feldewert, proceed.
- MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you, sir.
- 14 MIKE WALLACE,
- after having been first duly sworn under oath, was
- 16 questioned and testified as follows:
- 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 18 BY MR. FELDEWERT:
- 19 Q. Would you please state your name, identify by
- whom you're employed and in what capacity?
- 21 A. I'm Mike Wallace. I'm a landman for the
- 22 southeast New Mexico area.
- Q. Mr. Wallace, have you previously testified
- 24 before this Division, and have your credentials as a
- 25 petroleum landman been accepted and made a matter of

- 1 public record?
- 2 A. I have.
- 3 Q. Are you familiar with the applications that
- 4 have been filed in Case Numbers 15063 and 15064?
- 5 A. Iam.
- 6 Q. And are you familiar with the lands that are
- 7 the subject of these applications?
- 8 A. I am.
- 9 MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I would
- 10 tender Mr. Wallace as an expert witness in petroleum
- 11 land matters.
- 12 EXAMINER GOETZE: He is so qualified.
- 13 Q. (BY MR. FELDEWERT) Mr. Wallace, would you
- 14 please go to the exhibit package, turn to what has been
- 15 marked as COG Exhibit Number 1, and would you please
- 16 first identify this exhibit and explain to the Examiners
- 17 what the company seeks under this application?
- 18 A. This is a plat showing our acreage for the
- 19 Sebastian wells, Sebastian Fed Com 3H and 4H, for
- 20 Section 18, 24 South, 34 East. We seek two 160-acre
- 21 stand-up nonstandard spacing units for the 3H and 4H
- 22 wells, and we also seek to pool the mineral interests
- 23 for the --
- Q. So to be specific, you seek to create a
- 25 160-spacing unit comprised of the east half of the east

- 1 half of Section 18 for the Sebastian 4H well?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. And the west half of Section 18 for the 3H
- 4 well?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. Now, what formation are you pooling?
- 7 A. The Bone Spring Formation, more specifically
- 8 the Red Hills Lower Bone Spring pool.
- 9 Q. And do you have a pool number?
- 10 A. Yes. It's 51020.
- 11 Q. And do either of these wells have an API number
- 12 yet?
- 13 A. They do not.
- 14 Q. Has the company filed, with the applications,
- 15 for these wells?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- Q. Does this acreage involve federal lands?
- 18 A. It does.
- 19 Q. So have those applications been filed with the
- 20 BLM?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Is this acreage all federal lands, or is it a
- 23 mixture of fee, state or federal? What are the
- 24 circumstances?
- 25 A. It's federal and fee. The northeast quarter is

- 1 federal, and the southeast quarter is fee.
- O. If I then turn to what's been marked as COG
- 3 Exhibit Number 2, is this a tract map identifying the
- 4 interest owners in the proposed spacing units?
- 5 A. That is correct.
- 6 Q. And if I look at Exhibit Number 2, you have a
- 7 tract map for the 3H well, correct?
- 8 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 9 Q. Along with the second page that identifies
- 10 their interest in each tract?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. And then the third page of Exhibit Number 2 is
- 13 a similar tract map for the east half-east half of
- 14 Section 18 for the 4H well, right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And the last page identifies respective
- 17 interests in each of these tracts?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- 19 Q. And if I look at the second page of Exhibit
- 20 Number 2, do the entries there at the middle identify
- 21 the interests held by the parties in the spacing unit as
- 22 a whole for the 3H well?
- 23 A. Yes. There is a tract-by-tract breakdown of
- 24 the parties and a unit recap at the end.
- Q. And then I see the same thing with the last

- 1 page of Exhibit Number 2, correct?
- 2 A. Correct.
- Q. And it looks to me like the parties involved
- 4 and the interests involved are the same.
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Have you bolded on here the parties that you
- 7 seek to pool?
- 8 A. That is correct, yes.
- 9 Q. And, again, it's the same for both wells?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Looking at these bolded interest owners, are
- there any interest owners here that the company has been
- 13 unable to locate?
- 14 A. We have not been able to locate Cloma Perkins.
- 15 Q. And has the -- what efforts did the company
- 16 undertake to identify Cloma Perkins?
- 17 A. We obtained probate from Tom Green County,
- 18 Texas for the Hoyt T. Midas Estate [phonetic; sic] where
- 19 all of these interests, except for the New Mexico
- 20 Department of Transportation, are derived from. We did
- 21 name and alias searches, address searches and everything
- 22 we could to find them.
- 23 O. If I then turn to what's been marked as COG
- 24 Exhibit Number 3, is this an Affidavit of Publication in
- 25 the Lovington Leader that is directed to Cloma Perkins

- 1 or successors or devisees?
- A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. Now, it also lists, on this Exhibit Number 3, a
- 4 June Cook. She's another pooled party, correct?
- 5 A. That is correct.
- 6 Q. Have you recently been able to locate June
- 7 Cook?
- 8 A. Yes. We could not locate her until this week,
- 9 and then we found her through an alias on the probate
- 10 documentation.
- 11 Q. Now, with respect to Mr. Joel Talley that's
- 12 listed on here, was Mr. Talley -- was a copy of the well
- 13 proposal sent to Mr. Talley?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. If I turn to what's been marked as COG Exhibit
- 16 Number 4, is Exhibit Number 4 comprised of the
- 17 well-proposal letters that are sent to Mr. Talley for
- 18 both the proposed 3H well and the 4H well?
- 19 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And what is the status of the efforts to reach
- 21 an agreement with Mr. Talley?
- 22 A. We have a negotiated operating agreement. We
- 23 just have to get that executed. He's agreed to
- 24 participate.
- Q. If fact, if I look at this Exhibit Number 4, if

- 1 I look at the second page, for example, for the 3H
- 2 well -- he has elected to participate in both the 3H
- 3 well, and then if you go on in this exhibit to the last
- 4 page, he's elected to participate in the 4H well,
- 5 correct?
- 6 A. That is correct.
- 7 Q. But you have yet to get JOA signed?
- 8 A. That is correct.
- 9 Q. So at this point, the company is still seeking
- 10 to pool him?
- 11 A. That is correct.
- 12 Q. If that joint operating agreement is signed,
- then the company will dismiss him from this application?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. The other party, looking at Exhibit Number 2,
- 16 which you are seeking to pool, is the New Mexico
- 17 Department of Transportation; is that correct?
- 18 A. Yes, it is.
- 19 Q. If I turn to what's been marked as COG Exhibit
- 20 Number 5, does Exhibit Number 5 contain the
- 21 well-proposal letters that were sent to the New Mexico
- 22 Department of Transportation for both the 3H well and
- 23 the 4H well?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Did the Department of Transportation elect to

- 1 participate in the well?
- 2 A. They elected not to participate.
- Q. And that's reflected on the second page, for
- 4 example, of Exhibit 5?
- A. Yes. Yes, that's correct.
- 6 Q. Did the company undertake efforts to lease the
- 7 acreage from the Department of Transportation?
- 8 A. Yes, we did. We had verbal conversations with
- 9 them. And we also had an offer in our well proposal,
- 10 and they do not want to lease, and they do not want to
- 11 participate.
- 12 Q. So that's why you're seeking to pool the
- 13 Department today?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Since we're on Exhibit Number 5, let's turn to
- 16 the AFE that was submitted, which is comprised of the
- 17 last page of this exhibit. Are the costs that are
- 18 reflected on this AFE consistent with what the company
- 19 has incurred and experienced with similar wells in this
- 20 area?
- 21 A. Yes, they are.
- Q. And did the company's well-proposal letters
- 23 identify and estimate the overhead costs while drilling
- 24 and also while producing if you are successful?
- 25 A. Yes, that is correct.

- 1 Q. And if I look to, for example, Exhibit Number
- 2 4, the first page, about halfway down, the second bullet
- 3 point, does that identify the proposed overhead rates
- 4 and what are those?
- 5 A. Those are 7,000 per month for drilling and 700
- 6 per month for producing.
- 7 Q. And that's reflected on the first page of
- 8 Exhibit Number 4 --
- 9 A. Yes, it is.
- 10 Q. -- about halfway down, second bullet point?
- 11 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 12 Q. Are these overhead rates consistent with other
- 13 wells in the area?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And does the company request that these figures
- 16 be incorporated into any order and that they be adjusted
- in accordance with the COPAS accounting procedures?
- 18 A. They do.
- 19 Q. Now, in the course of preparing for this
- 20 hearing, did the company identify the leased mineral
- 21 owners in the 40-acre tracts surrounding each of the
- 22 proposed nonstandard spacing units?
- 23 A. We did.
- Q. Did the company include these known leased
- 25 mineral interest owners in the notice of this hearing?

- 1 A. Yes.
- O. If I turn to what's been marked as COG Exhibit
- 3 Number 6, is that an affidavit prepared by my office
- 4 providing that these parties were identified and
- 5 includes the attached letters providing notice of this
- 6 hearing?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Then a couple of final points: With respect to
- 9 the New Mexico Department of Transportation, you
- 10 submitted a well-proposal letter to them. Was timely
- 11 notice provided of this pooling hearing?
- 12 A. We inadvertently left them off the initial
- 13 notice, so we need to send them notice.
- Q. So a subsequent letter is being sent to them?
- 15 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 16 Q. And with respect to Ms. June Cook, who you
- 17 recently identified, likewise, has she received a timely
- 18 notice of this particular pooling?
- 19 A. We need to send her one as well.
- MR. FELDEWERT: So, Mr. Examiner, we would
- 21 ask that the -- we're going to continue with the
- 22 presentation, but we'd ask that the case be continued
- 23 until January 9th to allow timely notice to the
- 24 Department of Transportation and then also to Ms. June
- 25 Cook, who was recently identified this week.

- Q. (BY MR. FELDEWERT) Mr. Wallace, were Exhibits 1
- 2 through 5 compiled by you or prepared under your
- 3 direction and supervision?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, at this time,
- 6 I would move the admission of Exhibits COG 1 through 6,
- 7 which includes my notice of affidavit.
- 8 EXAMINER GOETZE: Exhibits 1 through 6 are
- 9 so entered.
- 10 (COG Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 1
- through 6 were offered and admitted into
- 12 evidence.)
- 13 EXAMINER GOETZE: Do you have any questions
- 14 for this witness, Mr. Bruce?
- MR. BRUCE: No questions.
- 16 EXAMINER GOETZE: And the panel?
- 17 Mr. Wade?
- 18 EXAMINER WADE: No questions.
- 19 EXAMINER GOETZE: No questions.
- 20 Mr. McMillan?
- 21 EXAMINER McMILLAN: I don't have any
- 22 questions.
- 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 24 BY EXAMINER GOETZE:
- 25 Q. Just one question. What is the portion of the

- 1 land that is with the Department of Transportation? Is
- 2 it a right-of-way, or what type of facility?
- 3 A. It was originally a right-of-way for -- there
- 4 is a state highway that runs across the southern border
- 5 of the section. The right-of-way was conveyed via a
- 6 deed and no minerals were retained, so they own
- 7 11.9 -- I'm pretty sure it's 11.99 acres along the
- 8 southern edge of Section 18.
- 9 Q. Okay. No further questions.
- 10 EXAMINER GOETZE: Done with this witness?
- MR. FELDEWERT: Okay. Call the second
- 12 witness.
- DAVID DAGIAN,
- 14 after having been previously sworn under oath,
- 15 was questioned and testified as follows:
- 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 17 BY MR. FELDEWERT:
- 18 Q. Would you please state your name and then
- 19 identify by whom you are employed and in what capacity?
- 20 A. David DaGian, employed by COG Operating. I'm a
- 21 geologist working the Delaware Basin.
- Q. Mr. DaGian, how do you spell your last name?
- 23 A. Capital D-A, capital G-I-A-N.
- Q. Have you previously testified before this
- 25 Division?

- 1 A. No.
- Q. Why don't you outline your educational
- 3 background?
- 4 A. I graduated from the University of Texas at
- 5 Austin in 2009 with a bachelor of science degree in
- 6 geology. I went to work for Jones Energy in Austin as a
- 7 geologist, working the Anadarko Basin in north Texas and
- 8 western Oklahoma until June of 2012, where I'm now
- 9 employed by COG Operating as a geologist.
- 10 Q. What have been your responsibilities at COG
- 11 since joining them in June 2012?
- 12 A. Mapping the Delaware Basin as a geologist.
- 13 Q. Are you a member of any professional
- 14 organization?
- 15 A. I'm a member of the AAPG and the West Texas
- 16 Geological Society and Young Professionals in Energy.
- 17 Q. How long have you been -- first of all, what
- 18 does AAPG stand for?
- 19 A. American Association of Petroleum Geologists.
- Q. And how long have you been a member of that
- 21 organization?
- 22 A. I was a member all the way through college as a
- 23 student member, and I'm an associate member currently.
- 24 And I've been a member of the West Texas Geological
- 25 Society since July 2012, and I've been a member of the

- 1 Young Professionals in Energy since January 2010.
- Q. Have you had the opportunity to testify as an
- 3 expert in petroleum geology in any other commissions --
- 4 or before any other commissions?
- 5 A. Yes, before the Oklahoma Corporation
- 6 Commission.
- 7 Q. And how many times, approximately, have you
- 8 testified as an expert petroleum geologist before the
- 9 Oklahoma Corporation Commission?
- 10 A. About 20 times.
- 11 Q. Are you familiar with the applications that
- 12 have been filed in these consolidated cases?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Have you conducted a geologic study of the area
- 15 that is the subject of this hearing?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 MR. FELDEWERT: I would tender Mr. DaGian
- 18 as an expert witness in petroleum geology.
- 19 EXAMINER GOETZE: This witness is so
- 20 qualified.
- Q. (BY MR. FELDEWERT) Mr. DaGian, before we start
- 22 on your exhibits, what is the target of both the
- 23 Sebastian 3H and the Sebastian 4H wells?
- 24 A. The 2nd Bone Spring Sand.
- 25 Q. If I then turn to what's been marked as COG

- 1 Exhibit Number 7, would you please identify this map for
- 2 us and explain what that shows?
- A. This is a nine-sectioned map showing Section 18
- 4 of 24 South, 34 East, displaying the Sebastian Federal
- 5 Com #3H and #4H proposed locations in the east half of
- 6 Section 18, with a subsea structure map of the 2nd Bone
- 7 Spring Sand, and the contour interval is 100 feet. And
- 8 the subsea values are displayed by the wells marked with
- 9 a red circle, and the subsea values in red offset that.
- 10 Q. Now, you also show a nearby 2nd Bone Spring
- 11 Sand well to the west?
- 12 A. Yes, that's correct, Section 13.
- Q. With respect to the structure here, what does
- 14 this show in terms of its dip, structural dip?
- 15 A. The structure is dipping to the south, and this
- 16 is showing that the 2nd Bone Spring is contiguous across
- 17 the unit.
- 18 Q. Do you observe any faulting or any pinch-outs
- 19 or any geologic -- other geologic impediments to the
- 20 horizontal wells in this particular area?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Have you put together a cross section of this
- 23 section?
- 24 A. I have.
- Q. If I turn to what's been marked as COG Exhibit

- 1 Number 8, does this exhibit identify the wells that
- 2 you've utilized for your cross-section analysis?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And do you believe that the wells you have
- 5 chosen are representative of the area?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. All right. Then if I turn to what's been
- 8 marked as COG Exhibit -- let me keep my finger on this
- 9 and turn to what's been marked as COG Exhibit Number 9.
- 10 Is COG Exhibit Number 9 the cross section that
- 11 corresponds with the wells shown on Exhibit Number 8?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Why don't you walk us through Exhibit Number 9,
- 14 please?
- 15 A. This is a structural cross section of A to A
- 16 prime. We're going from west to east displaying the 2nd
- 17 Bone Spring Sand interval denoted by the orange top of
- 18 the 2nd Bone Spring.
- 19 Q. Let me stop you right there. On Exhibit Number
- 20 9; is that right?
- 21 A. Uh-huh.
- 22 Q. Okay. Go ahead.
- 23 A. And the 2nd Bone Spring Sand base has a black
- line on the cross section and the lateral interval
- 25 denoted in the middle well in red, and then 10,800 TVD

- 1 to 10,900 TVD.
- Q. And what have you observed with respect to the
- 3 sands across this area?
- A. That the sands are continuous across the unit
- 5 and that there is no geologic impediment interfering
- 6 with our well.
- 7 Q. Do you believe -- in your opinion, is this an
- 8 area that can be efficiently and economically developed
- 9 using horizontal wells?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And in your opinion, will the proposed
- 12 nonstandard unit, on average, contribute more or less
- 13 equally to the production from the wellbore?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Finally, Mr. DaGian, will the completed
- 16 intervals for both of these wells comply with all the
- 17 setback requirements under the Horizontal Well Rules?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 O. If I turn to what's been marked as COG Exhibit
- 20 Number 10, does this contain a well diagram for both the
- 21 3H well and the proposed 4H well demonstrating the
- 22 compliance with the setback requirements under the
- 23 Division rules?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Mr. DaGian, in your opinion, will the granting

- of COG's application be in the best interest of
- 2 conservation and the prevention of waste and the
- 3 protection of correlative rights?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Were COG Exhibits 7 through 10 prepared by you
- 6 or compiled under your direction and supervision?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, at this time,
- 9 I would move the admission of Exhibits 7 through 10.
- 10 EXAMINER GOETZE: Exhibits 7 through 10 are
- 11 accepted.
- 12 (COG Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 7
- through 10 were offered and admitted into
- 14 evidence.)
- 15 MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, this
- 16 concludes our presentation, and as I mentioned earlier,
- 17 we would ask that this case be continued to the January
- 18 9th docket for us to address notice issues with the
- 19 Department of Transportation and then also with Ms. June
- 20 Cook.
- 21 EXAMINER GOETZE: Very good.
- 22 Mr. Bruce, any questions?
- MR. BRUCE: Just one or two.
- 24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 25 BY MR. BRUCE:

- 1 Q. Mr. DaGian, in looking at this 2nd Bone Spring
- 2 development out here, does COG believe that stand-up
- 3 units are the way to develop the reservoir?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. Are most of the other parties in this general
- 6 area drilling stand-up units?
- 7 A. To my knowledge, yes.
- 8 Q. Thanks.
- 9 EXAMINER GOETZE: Mr. Wade, any questions?
- 10 EXAMINER WADE: No.
- 11 EXAMINER GOETZE: Mr. McMillan?
- 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 13 BY EXAMINER McMILLAN:
- 14 Q. I assume that the gas was plugged down in 18,
- 15 right, because it looks like the borehole is getting --
- 16 the proposed borehole is getting very, very close to
- 17 that; is that correct?
- 18 A. I'll have to get back with you on that.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 EXAMINER GOETZE: No more questions?
- 21 EXAMINER McMILLAN: No.
- 22 EXAMINER GOETZE: You've only got one
- 23 question. Good enough.

24

25

2 BY EXAMINER GOETZE:

1

- 3 Q. I have a question regarding -- basically, this
- 4 area seems void of any Bone Spring activity. Is this
- 5 something that's new for you in this area, or are we
- 6 looking at adjacent properties that seem to be void of
- 7 any Bone Spring? So this is a new play for you folks?
- 8 A. It's not a new play for us.
- 9 Q. Okay. So this is a unique situation for COG
- 10 Operating in this play, as far as you know?
- 11 A. These wells will be very similar to what we've
- 12 done with the other wells.
- 13 Q. And then we're looking at just doing the Sand.
- 14 Are we looking at any other portions of the Bone Spring
- 15 as far as testing?
- 16 A. For these two wells, it's primarily the 2nd
- 17 Bone Spring Sand.
- 18 O. So you won't have any other portions of the
- 19 Bone Spring that you're going to test while going in?
- 20 A. Not on these wells, no.
- Q. I have no further questions.
- 22 EXAMINER GOETZE: In light of the request
- 23 by Mr. McMillan on his question and the continued effort
- 24 to get notices in, we will take this case and put it on
- 25 the docket for January 9th, and, at that time, hopefully

	Page 2
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	COUNTY OF BERNALILLO
3	
4	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
5	I, MARY C. HANKINS, New Mexico Certified
6	Court Reporter No. 20, and Registered Professional
7	Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported the
8	foregoing proceedings in stenographic shorthand and that
9	the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript of
10	those proceedings that were reduced to printed form by
11	me to the best of my ability.
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's
13	Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects
14	the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.
15	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
16	employed by nor related to any of the parties or
17	attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in
18	the final disposition of this case.
19	M = M = M
20	MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR
21	Paul Baca Court Reporters, Inc. New Mexico CCR No. 20
22	Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2014
23	
24	
25	