Page 1 1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT 2 OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION 3 IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED ORIGINAL BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING: 4 5 CASE NO. 15171 APPLICATION OF MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY FOR COMPULSORY POOLING AND AN 6 UNORTHODOX GAS WELL LOCATION, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. 7 8 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 9 EXAMINER HEARING 10 July 10, 2014 11 Santa Fe, New Mexico 12 13 14 BEFORE: MICHAEL McMILLAN, CHIEF EXAMINER 1 JUL 21 15 16 17 This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Michael McMillan, 18 Chief Examiner, on Thursday, July 10, 2014, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. 19 Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, 20 New Mexico. 21 22 REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR #20 23 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105 24 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 (505) 843-9241 25

Page 2 1 APPEARANCES 2 FOR APPLICANT MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY: 3 JAMES G. BRUCE, ESQ. Post Office Box 1056 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504 4 (505) 982-2043 5 jamesbruc@aol.com 6 7 8 INDEX PAGE 9 Case Number 15171 Called 3 10 Mewbourne Oil Company's Case-in-Chief: 11 Witnesses: 12 Paul Haden: 13 Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce 3 Cross-Examination by Examiner McMillan ...9 14 Nate Cless: 15 ....9 Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce 16 Cross-Examination by Examiner McMillan 13 17 Proceedings Conclude 15 18 Certificate of Court Reporter 16 19 20 EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED 21 Mewbourne Oil Company Exhibit Numbers 1 through 8 8 22 Mewbourne Oil Company Exhibit Numbers 9 through 11 13 23 24 25

|    | Page 3   |
|----|--|
| '1 | (10:04 a.m.)   |
| 2  | EXAMINER McMILLAN: I'd like to now call                  |
| 3  | Case 15171, application of Mewbourne Oil Company for     |
| 4  | compulsory pooling and unorthodox gas well location,     |
| 5  | Eddy County, New Mexico.                                 |
| 6  | Call for appearances.                                    |
| 7  | MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of                    |
| 8  | Santa Fe representing the Applicant. I have two          |
| 9  | witnesses, Mr. Haden and Mr. Cless, who I would ask that |
| 10 | they be recognized that they were previously sworn and   |
| 11 | qualified as experts, landman and geologist,             |
| 12 | respectively.  |
| 13 | EXAMINER McMILLAN: Any other appearances?                |
| 14 | PAUL HADEN,  |
| 15 | after having been previously sworn under oath, was       |
| 16 | questioned and testified as follows:                     |
| 17 | DIRECT EXAMINATION                                       |
| 18 | BY MR. BRUCE:  |
| 19 | Q. Now, Mr. Haden, we're back on track here.             |
| 20 | A. Back on track. Thank goodness.                        |
| 21 | Q. Could you identify Exhibit 1 for the Examiner         |
| 22 | and discuss the well unit and the well we're here for    |
| 23 | today?   |
| 24 | A. Okay. Exhibit Number 1 is a land plat of the          |
| 25 | area. It indicates our proposed spacing unit for this    |
|    |  |
|    |  |

Page 4 well, which is indicated in a shaded yellow color in the 1 east half of Section 35 of Township 23 South, Range 28 2 East. It also indicates the lateral for this proposed 3 well and the surface and bottom-hole locations. 4 5 And what is the formation being pooled in this 0. well? 6 7 The formation being pooled in this well is the Α. Wolfcamp Formation. 8 And I believe that's the South Culebra 9 0. 10 Bluff-Wolfcamp gas pool? 11 Correct. And it has a pool code of 75750. Α. The API number also for this well is 30-015-42407. 12 And this well does have an unorthodox gas well 13 Ο. 14 location? 15 Yes, it does. Α. And will Mr. Cless discuss that? 16 Ο. 17 Yes, he will. Α. 18 Briefly, what is Exhibit 2? Q. Exhibit 2 sets forth the tract ownership for 19 Α. 20 this proposed Layla 35 W2OB Fee #3H well. This well 21 location is 195 feet from the south line, 2,435 feet from the east line, 330 feet from the north line and 22 2,150 feet from the east line of Section 35, 23 South, 23 24 28 East. It sets forth the tract ownership, as I 25 advised you previously. It also sets forth the

|    | Page 5   |
|----|--|
| 1  | uncertain ownerships ownership in Tract Number 4,    |
| 2  | which is comprised of 3.6 percent interest in the    |
| 3  | southeast quarter.                                   |
| 4  | The parties being pooled are those parties           |
| 5  | indicated also on this exhibit, which have or may    |
| 6  | have possible claim to this interest. This proposed  |
| 7  | pooled interest would be 2.25 percent in the project |
| 8  | area.  |
| 9  | Q. And these owners own in Tracts 3 and 4?           |
| 10 | A. Yes. I'm sorry. 3 and 4.                          |
| 11 | Q. And these are the same parties that we            |
| 12 | discussed at length in Case 15168?                   |
| 13 | A. That's correct.                                   |
| 14 | Q. And the same title problems apply?                |
| 15 | A. Same title problems apply.                        |
| 16 | Q. And Exhibit 3 is a portion of that title          |
| 17 | opinion discussed in the prior case?                 |
| 18 | A. That's correct.                                   |
| 19 | Q. Is Exhibit 4 simply a summary of your contacts    |
| 20 | with the locatable parties, as you've previously     |
| 21 | discussed?   |
| 22 | A. That's also correct.                              |
| 23 | Q. And is Exhibit 5 a backup of correspondence,      |
| 24 | e-mails, et cetera regarding your contacts with the  |
| 25 | locatable interest owners?                           |
|    |  |

| 1   | A. Yes, it is.  |
|-----|---|
| 2   | Q. And in your opinion, has Mewbourne made a              |
| 3   | good-faith effort to obtain the voluntary joinder of the  |
| . 4 | locatable interest owners in the well?                    |
| 5   | A. In our opinion, yes, we so believe.                    |
| 6   | Q. And, again, there are a number of unlocatable          |
| 7   | interest owners?  |
| 8   | A. There are a number of unlocatable owners.              |
| 9   | Q. And you discussed that in 15168, correct?              |
| 10  | A. Yes, I did.  |
| 11  | Q. And did Mewbourne make a good-faith effort to          |
| 12  | try to track down a valid address for these interest      |
| 13  | owners?   |
| 14  | A. We think we did.                                       |
| 15  | Q. Could you identify Exhibit 6 for the Examiner?         |
| 16  | A. Exhibit Number 6 is a copy of our AFE for this         |
| 17  | proposed well. It indicates the surface and bottom-hole   |
| 18  | locations for the Layla 35 W2OB Fee #3H well. It also     |
| 19  | indicates the cost to casing point, which is \$3,060,300. |
| 20  | And the total estimated completed cost for this well is   |
| 21  | \$6,689,300.  |
| 22  | Q. And are these costs in line with the costs of          |
| 23  | other Wolfcamp horizontal wells drilled in this area of   |
| 24  | southeast New Mexico?                                     |
| 25  | A. Yes, it is.  |
|     |   |

Page 6

|    |           | Page /   |
|----|-----------|--|
| 1  | Q.        | Do you request that Mewbourne be appointed       |
| 2  | operator  | of this well?                                    |
| 3  | Α.        | We do.   |
| 4  | Q.        | And what is your recommendation as to the        |
| 5  | overhead  | rates that Mewbourne should be allowed?          |
| 6  | A.        | 7,000 for the drilling well rate and \$700 per   |
| 7  | month for | r a producing well rate.                         |
| 8  | Q.        | And, again, those are the rates in your JOA?     |
| 9  | Α.        | Those are the rates that are in our JOA.         |
| 10 | Q.        | And do you believe those rates fair and          |
| 11 | reasonab. | le?  |
| 12 | A.        | Fair and reasonable, yes.                        |
| 13 | Q.        | And was notice given to the locatable interest   |
| 14 | owners?   |  |
| 15 | Α.        | That's correct.                                  |
| 16 | Q.        | And is that reflected in Exhibit 7?              |
| 17 | Α.        | That is correct.                                 |
| 18 |           | MR. BRUCE: And, Mr. Examiner, again, the         |
| 19 | last page | e of Exhibit 7 shows three interest owners who   |
| 20 | we have n | not received green cards back, so I have         |
| 21 | published | d additional notice as against those parties,    |
| 22 | and I'd a | ask that the case be continued for two weeks.    |
| 23 | Q.        | (BY MR. BRUCE) And finally, Mr. Haden, was       |
| 24 | notice of | f the unorthodox location given to the pertinent |
| 25 | offset in | nterest owners?                                  |
|    |           |  |

Page 8 1 Α. That's correct. And is that reflected in Exhibit 8? 2 Ο. That's correct. 3 Α. MR. BRUCE: And, once again, Mr. Examiner, 4 5 the Chevron green card did not come back, but the postal service Web site does show that they did receive notice. 6 Hopefully I'll have that green card back by the next 7 8 hearing. (BY MR. BRUCE) Were Exhibits 1 through 8 either 9 0. prepared by you or under your supervision or compiled 10 from company business records? 11 12 Α. They were. And, Mr. Haden, is the granting of this 13 0. application in the interest of conservation and the 14 15 prevention of waste? 16 Α. Yes, sir. MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I'd move the 17 admission of Exhibits 1 through 8. 18 19 EXAMINER McMILLAN: So accepted. 20 (Mewbourne Oil Company Exhibit Numbers 1 21 through 8 were offered and admitted into 22 evidence.) MR. BRUCE: And I have no further questions 23 of the witness. 24 25

|    | Page 9   |
|----|--|
| 1  | CROSS-EXAMINATION                                      |
| 2  | BY EXAMINER MCMILLAN:                                  |
| 3  | Q. Is the acreage 100 percent fee?                     |
| 4  | A. 100 percent fee, yes.                               |
| 5  | Q. No state? No federal?                               |
| 6  | A. No state, no federal.                               |
| 7  | Q. And you have you will advertise in the local        |
| 8  | newspaper?   |
| 9  | MR. BRUCE: I have submitted an additional              |
| 10 | notice affidavit to the Carlsbad newspaper.            |
| 11 | EXAMINER McMILLAN: I have no further                   |
| 12 | questions at this time. Thank you very much.           |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.                  |
| 14 | NATE CLESS,  |
| 15 | after having been previously sworn under oath, was     |
| 16 | questioned and testified as follows:                   |
| 17 | DIRECT EXAMINATION                                     |
| 18 | BY MR. BRUCE:  |
| 19 | Q. Mr. Cless, are you familiar with the Wolfcamp       |
| 20 | geology in this section of land?                       |
| 21 | A. Yes, I am.  |
| 22 | Q. Could you identify Exhibit 9 for the Examiner?      |
| 23 | A. Exhibit 9 is a structure map of the a               |
| 24 | structure map on the top of the Wolfcamp Formation, a  |
| 25 | nine-section project area. I've highlighted all of the |
|    |  |

Page 10 vertical production here, but really we're just 1 2 interested in the Wolfcamp production. And there are no 3 vertical Wolfcamp producers in this area. The only 4 Wolfcamp producer is the horizontal well that we've 5 drilled, which is highlighted in blue, in the west 6 half-west half of Section 35. The red arrow in the west 7 half-east half of Section 35 indicates the location of 8 our proposed lateral. 9 And would you identify Exhibit 10 for the 0. 10 Examiner? 11 Exhibit 10 is a three-well cross section that Α. 12 covers the middle part of the Wolfcamp Formation 13 throughout this area. What we're targeting is what we 14 call the Wolfcamp D Formation. 15Ο. That's an internal designation? 16 It's an internal designation, and it's Α. 17 highlighted by those two green lines in the lower part of the cross section. 18 19 The Wolfcamp Formation itself is thousands 20 of feet thick in this area, but the Wolfcamp D is where 21 we've drilled our initial well in Section 35 and where 22 we plan on drilling our next well. 23 You can see it's -- you can see there are 24 certain correlation markers that are depicted throughout 25 this cross section, and you can see that the -- the

Page 11 thickness of this Wolfcamp Formation is very uniform 1 2 across this project area. 3 And it appears are or were a number of Morrow Ο. wells in this area? 4 5 Yes, that's correct. Α. And so there is a lot of log data on the 6 0. 7 continuity of the Wolfcamp across this area? 8 Α. Yes, that's correct. 9 Q. And this is a standard 320-acre gas well unit? 10 Yes, that's correct. Α. 11 And what are the normal setbacks for a gas 0. well? 12 13 Α. The normal setbacks are 660 from the unit Going to our next exhibit --14 lines. 15 Go ahead. Exhibit 11. 0. 16 Exhibit 11 is the horizontal well-plan for this Α. 17 particular well. And you can see our surface location 18 is 185 from south, and the landing point is 757 from south, so that will be a legal location. However, our 19 20 bottom hole is 330 from north, 2,150 from east, so that 21 is not a legal location. However, it is pretty standard 22 for these Wolfcamp horizontals to go up to 330 from the 23 line. The offset operator in Section 26 is Chevron, and 24 they're also a working interest partner of ours in 25 Section 35. They were also in the first well -- the

Wolfcamp well that we drilled in Section 35, so they have no objection to us going 330 from the north line. Q. And, actually, Mr. Cless, the well is -- the well is closer to the west line unit and permitted by Division regulations?

Page 12

A. That's correct. Yeah, that's correct. Due to surface location, you know, we were -- we were able to get -- we were only able to get this -- this 185 from south and 2,435 from the east line. And so we are -- we are technically closer to our -- to the west half of the section than we should be. However, we are the operator of the west half, so we've --

Q. Right. Do you -- based on the Wolfcamp geology, do you anticipate any adverse effect on the offset, Chevron, in Section 26 to the north?

16 We don't believe so. Again -- again, all of Α. 17 these -- all of the horizontals in this area have been 18 north-south, and so -- and we haven't really seen any 19 communication between even any of our Bone Spring wells 20 that have been north-south, so we don't -- we don't 21 believe that -- that by going to 330 from the line that 22 the offset operators will see any adverse effects from 23 this.

Q. And would Mewbourne object if Chevron wanted to drill to 330 from the south line of this section?

|    | Page 13   |
|----|---|
| 1  | A. We would not.                                |
| 2  | Q. Were Exhibits 9 and 10 prepared by you?      |
| 3  | A. Yes.   |
| 4  | Q. And was Exhibit 11 compiled from company     |
| 5  | business records?                               |
| 6  | A. Yes.   |
| 7  | Q. And in your opinion, is the granting of this |
| 8  | application in the interest of conservation the |
| 9  | prevention of waste?                            |
| 10 | A. Yes.   |
| 11 | MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I move the             |
| 12 | admission of Exhibits 9, 10 and 11.             |
| 13 | EXAMINER McMILLAN: So accepted.                 |
| 14 | (Mewbourne Oil Company Exhibit Numbers 9        |
| 15 | through 11 were offered and admitted into       |
| 16 | evidence.)                                      |
| 17 | CROSS-EXAMINATION                               |
| 18 | BY EXAMINER MCMILLAN:                           |
| 19 | Q. Okay. The first question I've got is please  |
| 20 | tell me the surface location.                   |
| 21 | A. 185 from south, 2,435 from the east.         |
| 22 | Q. First penetration point?                     |
| 23 | A. 757 from south, 2,400 from the east.         |
| 24 | Q. Final penetration point?                     |
| 25 | A. 330 from the north, 2,150 from the east.     |
|    |   |

Page 14 To be clear, Chevron has been notified? 1 Ο. They're a working interest operator in 2 Α. Yes. 3 They have significant interest in our well, our well. 4 so they have been -- they have been notified. 5 Mr. Examiner, Exhibit 8 MR. BRUCE: Yeah. shows they were notified, and I believe Mr. Haden 6 7 testified previously that Chevron is the operator of, I 8 believe, all of Section 26. 9 Correct, Mr. Haden? 10MR. HADEN: That's correct. Yes, sir. 11 MR. BRUCE: And to be safe, we also notified Southwest Royalties, who is to the south, 12 13 southwest, and they received notice of this application, 14 too. 15 THE WITNESS: In Section 2. 16 In Section 2. MR. BRUCE: 17 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. (BY EXAMINER McMILLAN) And I'm just curious. 18 0. Has the Wolfcamp been as prolific as the Bone Spring? 19 20 Yes. It's gassier. It's a gassier reservoir, Α. 21 but it still makes guite a bit of liquid out of it. And we just drilled the first well four months ago, four or 22 23 five months ago, and we've -- the initial production 24 we've seen on it has been very good. So it's definitely 25 met our economic standards. So it looks like it's going

|    | Page 15  |
|----|--|
| 1  | to work up in this area.   |
| 2  | Q. So is your justification for the north-south  |
| 3  | the performance of the existing well?  |
| 4  | A. Pretty much. With all the other with all  |
| 5  | the other horizontals, I don't believe that the stress                                   |
| 6  | fields change from formation to formation, and if they                                   |
| 7  | do, they don't change 180 degrees or 90 degrees. So                                      |
| 8  | Q. I have no further questions.  |
| 9  | EXAMINER McMILLAN: And Case Number 15171   |
| 10 | will be continued until July the 24th.   |
| 11 | (Case Number 15171 concludes, 10:20 a.m.)  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 | a complete record of the proceeding is<br>the Examination of the proceeding is           |
| 16 | e complete record of the proceedings in<br>the Examiner hearing of Cuse No. 15:          |
| 17 | the Examiner hearing of Cuse No. 1571<br>Meard by me on Jac Y10<br>Durof Mammula<br>OULC |
| 18 | Mulist Marine 11 2014.   |
| 19 | Oil Conservation Division, Examiner  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |
|    |  |

|    | Page 16   |
|----|---|
| 1  | STATE OF NEW MEXICO   |
| 2  | COUNTY OF BERNALILLO  |
| 3  |   |
| 4  | CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER                               |
| 5  | I, MARY C. HANKINS, New Mexico Certified                    |
| 6  | Court Reporter No. 20, and Registered Professional          |
| 7  | Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported the             |
| 8  | foregoing proceedings in stenographic shorthand and that    |
| 9  | the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript.of    |
| 10 | those proceedings that were reduced to printed form by      |
| 11 | me to the best of my ability.                               |
| 12 | I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's                       |
| 13 | Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects     |
| 14 | the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.    |
| 15 | I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither                         |
| 16 | employed by nor related to any of the parties or            |
| 17 | attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in       |
| 18 | the final disposition of this case.                         |
| 19 | Many C. Hankins   |
| 20 | MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR                                   |
| 21 | Paul Baca Court Reporters, Inc.                             |
| 22 | New Mexico CCR No. 20<br>Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2014 |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 |   |
|    |   |