		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES	
2	FOR APPLICANT COG OPERATING, LLC:	:
3	JORDAN L. KESSLER, ESQ. MODRALL, SPERLING, ROEHL, HARRIS & SISK, P.A.	
4	500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 1000 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102	
5	(505) 848-1888 jlk@modrall.com	
6		
7		
8	INDEX	DACE
9	Case Numbers 15163, 15164 and 15165 Called	PAGE 3
10	COG Operating, LLC's Case-in-Chief:	
11	Witnesses:	
12	David Michael Wallace:	
13	Direct Examination by Ms. Kessler Cross-Examination by Examiner Goetze	3 13
14	Henry Zollinger:	
15 16	Direct Examination by Ms. Kessler Cross-Examination by Examiner Goetze	13 18
17	Proceedings Conclude	20
18	Certificate of Court Reporter	21
19		
20		
21	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED	
22	COG Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 1 through 17	13
23	COG Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 18 through 22	18
24		
25		

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 FOURTH STREET NW - SUITE 105, ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87102

and tell the Examiner by whom you are employed and in

25

- 1 what capacity?
- 2 A. My name is David Michael Wallace. I'm a
- 3 landman for COG Operating, LLC.
- 4 Q. Have you previously testified before the
- 5 Division?
- 6 A. I have.
- 7 Q. And at that time were your credentials as a
- 8 petroleum landman accepted and made a matter of public
- 9 record?
- 10 A. They were.
- 11 Q. Are you familiar with the three applications
- 12 that have been filed by COG in this case?
- 13 A. I am.
- 14 Q. And are you familiar with the status of the
- 15 lands that are the subject of this application?
- 16 A. I am.
- 17 Q. And the APDs that have been approved?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 MS. KESSLER: I would tender this witness
- 20 as an expert in petroleum land matters.
- 21 EXAMINER GOETZE: He is so accepted.
- 22 Q. (BY MS. KESSLER) Would you please turn to
- 23 what's been marked as Exhibit Number 1, and could you
- 24 please identify this and explain what COG's seeking
- 25 under this application?

- 1 A. This is a plat showing the acreage associated
- 2 with the Goldfinger wells in Section 17, 24 South, 32
- 3 East. We seek to -- we seek to form three nonstandard
- 4 spacing units and proration units for these two wells,
- 5 Goldfinger 2H, 3H and 4H, one in the east half of the
- 6 west half of Section 17, one in the west half of the
- 7 east half and one in the east half-east half.
- 8 O. And is this information reflected in the C-102
- 9 that has been attached as Exhibits 2, 3 and 4?
- 10 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 11 ' Q. What is the acreage of each of these
- 12 nonstandard project areas?
- 13 A. They will be 160 acres.
- 14 Q. And you seek to pool the mineral interests
- 15 underlying each nonstandard spacing unit in the Brushy
- 16 Canyon-Delaware Formation, correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. Are the working interests committed, or are you
- 19 also seeking to pool them?
- 20 A. I'm seeking to pool two parties.
- Q. Has EOG elected to participate in the 2H well?
- 22 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 23 Q. And that's shown in Exhibit 5, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Are you seeking to dedicate the nonstandard

- 1 spacing unit, the Goldfinger 17 Fed Com #2H, 3H and 4H
- 2 wells?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. What about the API numbers for these wells?
- 5 EXAMINER GOETZE: If I may interrupt you at
- 6 this moment. Since we're going to be discussing three
- 7 wells close together, may I recommended that we go and
- 8 consolidate the three cases for testimony, and we will
- 9 issue separate orders for them.
- MS. KESSLER: Okay. Thank you.
- 11 EXAMINER GOETZE: So to enter into putting
- 12 the three cases together, along with Case 15163, we will
- 13 also hear Case 15164, application of COG Operating, LLC
- 14 for a nonstandard spacing and proration unit and
- 15 compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico, and Case
- 16 15165, application of COG Operating, LLC for a
- 17 nonstandard spacing and proration unit and compulsory
- 18 pooling, Lea County, New Mexico, the consolidation of
- 19 the three.
- I do not see anybody else who was going to
- 21 appear. It would just be you folks.
- MS. KESSLER: Correct.
- 23 EXAMINER GOETZE: Okay. Then let's go
- 24 ahead and discuss all three wells at the same time, and
- 25 that way we'll make it easier. Okay?

- 1 MS. KESSLER: Good. Thank you.
- 2 A. The API numbers to the wells are 3002541902 and
- 3 41903 and 41904, for the three wells respectively.
- 4 Q. (BY MS. KESSLER) What is the characterization
- 5 of the --
- 6 A. They are -- there are two Fed leases associated
- 7 with the acreage, the north half lease and the south
- 8 half lease in Section 17, and they're federal leases.
- 9 Q. What pool is involved in this application?
- 10 A. It's the Mesa Verde-Delaware pool, and it's
- 11 Pool Code 96191.
- 12 Q. Are there special rules for this pool?
- 13 A. No.
- Q. So the 330-foot statewide rule will apply for
- 15 setback?
- 16 A. That is correct.
- Q. And will the completed intervals for each well
- 18 be in compliance with the setback requirements?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- Q. Have you been able to identify the interest
- 21 owners for the proposed nonstandard spacing and
- 22 prorations?
- 23 A. I have.
- Q. And if you could now turn to Exhibit Number 6,
- 25 please. Does it identify the working interest owners

- 1 for each of these nonstandard units?
- 2 A. It does. The ownership is the same throughout.
- 3 This exhibit shows Tract 1, the ownership by tract and
- 4 the unit recap, and it also shows that there are
- 5 uncommitted owners in the spacing units, the bolded
- 6 interests on the exhibit.
- 7 Q. Okay. So the highlighted parties are the
- 8 parties who you seek to pool?
- 9 A. That is correct.
- 10 Q. Have you proposed the well to the interest
- 11 owners listed in Exhibit 6?
- 12 A. I have.
- Q. And is that reflected in Exhibits 7, 8 and 9?
- 14 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 15 Q. So the initial well-proposal letter that went
- 16 out April 16th, was that to all parties or to one party?
- 17 A. That was to EOG.
- 18 O. And the letter was subsequently amended and
- 19 re-sent on June 26th to include all of the additional
- 20 parties that were identified?
- 21 A. That is correct.
- Q. And that's Exhibits 10 through 12, correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. Is Exhibit 13 the cost proposal for the 2H
- 25 well?

- 1 A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. What is the date that that AFE was sent?
- 3 A. June 26th -- approximately June 26th.
- 4 Q. What are the dry hole and completion costs?
- 5 A. The dry-hole costs for these wells will be
- 6 2,064,000 and the -- well, go ahead.
- 7 Q. Okay. And Exhibit 14, the AFE for the 3H well,
- 8 is that reflected in this exhibit?
- 9 A. That is correct. It will be the same. And the
- 10 completion cost will be 5,629,000 for the wells.
- 11 Q. And on the same date?
- 12 A. That is correct.
- 13 Q. What about Exhibit 15?
- 14 A. The same.
- Q. And this is the AFE for the 4H well?
- 16 A. That is correct.
- 17 Q. And dry-hole costs are the same --
- 18 A. That is correct.
- 19 O. -- completion costs?
- In addition to sending Exhibits 7 through
- 21 15, what other efforts has COG undertaken to obtain
- voluntary joinder from the interest owners?
- A. I've spoken to Conoco and EOG numerous times.
- 24 They do not object to our wells. They are evaluating
- our operating agreements and our well proposals and

- 1 AFEs.
- 2 Q. Can you please look again at Exhibits 13
- 3 through 15, which are the AFEs? Are these costs
- 4 reflected on the AFEs in line with costs that COG has
- 5 incurred on similar horizontal wells in this area?
- 6 A. That is correct.
- 7 Q. In addition to the AFEs, has COG estimated the
- 8 overhead costs and the cost while drilling this well
- 9 should it be successful?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Are these costs included in the supplemental
- 12 well-proposal letters?
- 13 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 14 Q. What are those costs?
- A. 7,000 for drilling and 700 a month for
- ·16 producing.
- 17 Q. And that's for each of the three wells?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 0. Are these costs in line with what COG and other
- 20 operators in this area charge for similar wells?
- 21 A. That is correct.
- 22 Q. Do you ask that these administrative and
- 23 overhead costs for each well be incorporated in any
- 24 order resulting from this hearing?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Do you ask as well that they be adjusted in
- 2 accordance with the appropriate accounting procedures?
- 3 A. I do.
- 4 Q. And with respect to the interest owners who
- 5 remain uncommitted to this well, do you request that the
- 6 Division impose a 200 percent risk penalty in addition
- 7 to the cost of the well?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Now, let's talk about the formation of the
- 10 nonstandard units. Has COG brought a geologist here
- 11 today to testify about the nonstandard units?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Did COG identify the operators or ownership of
- 14 leased minerals on the surrounding 40-acre tracts?
- 15 A. We did.
- 16 O. Is that listed offset from interest owners
- 17 shown on Exhibit 16?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- 19 Q. And are you asking that this case be continued
- 20 for purposes of noticing those offset owners?
- 21 A. That is correct.
- 22 EXAMINER GOETZE: So we still have
- 23 notification requirements to be sent out?
- MS. KESSLER: Yes.
- 25 EXAMINER GOETZE: Okay. Very good.

- 1 Q. (BY MS. KESSLER) If you could turn to Exhibit
- 2 17, is this an affidavit with attached copies of the
- 3 letters and supplemental notice letters to the pooled
- 4 parties giving them notice of this hearing?
- 5 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And you were able to locate all of the working
- 7 interest owners?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Did you publish notice?
- 10 A. Yes, we did.
- 11 Q. Are these three Affidavits of Publication also
- 12 included as part of Exhibit 17?
- 13 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 14 Q. In addition to -- in your opinion, have you
- 15 made a good-faith effort to identify the interest
- 16 owners?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 15 prepared by you or
- 19 compiled under your direction or supervision?
- A. They were.
- MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiner, I would move to
- 22 have Exhibits 1 through 17 admitted into evidence,
- 23 including Exhibits 16 and 17, which I prepared.
- 24 EXAMINER GOETZE: Exhibits 1 through 17 are
- 25 so entered.

- 1 A. My name is Henry Zollinger. I'm a senior
- 2 geologist with COG Operating out of Midland, Texas.
- 3 Q. Have you previously testified before the
- 4 Division?
- 5 A. I have not.
- 6 Q. Could you please review your education?
- 7 A. I received my bachelor of science from Morgan
- 8 State University in 2012 in earth science. Then I
- 9 received my master's of geology from New Mexico State
- 10 University in 2007 in geology.
- 11 Q. Could you review your work history as well?
- 12 A. My work history, I worked for Hess Corporation
- 13 out of Houston as a development exploration new ventures
- 14 geologist for five years in the time period of 2007 to
- 15 2012. Since 2012, I have been working for COG Operating
- 16 in the Delaware Basin.
- 17 Q. Are you a member of any professional
- 18 associations?
- 19 A. I am a member of the American Association of
- 20 Petroleum Geologists, Geological Society of America and
- 21 the West Texas Geological Society.
- Q. And what years did you join those
- 23 organizations?
- A. I joined those back in 2005 when I was admitted
- 25 into graduate school.

- 1 Q. How long have you worked in the Delaware Basin?
- 2 A. For two years.
- 3 Q. Are you familiar with the applications that has
- 4 been filed by COG in this case?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And have you conducted a geologic study of
- 7 Section 17?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 MS. KESSLER: I would offer this witness as
- 10 an expert in petroleum geology matters.
- 11 EXAMINER GOETZE: So qualified.
- 12 Q. (BY MS. KESSLER) Would you please turn to
- 13 what's been marked as COG Exhibit Number 18? And
- 14 beginning with the legend, please identify what this
- 15 exhibit is for the Examiner and walk us through it.
- 16 A. This is a structure map and subsea depth of the
- 17 Bone Spring lime -- top of the Bone Spring Lime
- 18 Formation, which directly underlies the Brushy Canyon of
- 19 the Delaware Mountain Group. The orange-dashed lines
- 20 represent the wellbores which we are looking to pool
- 21 today, starting with the 2H in the east half of the west
- 22 half, the 3H and the 4H in the east half-east half. The
- 23 yellow square represents the acreage in Section 17 that
- 24 COG operates, and then the purple line represents the
- 25 cross section, which is Exhibit 20 from COG.

- 1 The orange circles represent vertical
- 2 wellbores that are producing from the Delaware Mountain
- 3 Group in the area, and then the solid yellow lines
- 4 represent horizontal wells producing in the area.
- 5 Q. Have you identified any geologic impediments in
- 6 this section?
- 7 A. I have not.
- 8 Q. Can you please identify the wells located on
- 9. Exhibit 19?
- 10 A. Yes. These are four wells which I believe
- 11 represent the formation in which we are targeting with
- 12 these Goldfinger wells: the Mesa Verde 7 Fed 7, Jack
- 13 Tank 8 Fed 2, the Mesa Verde 8 Fed 2 and the Double X
- 14 Deep 16 1. And all of these are north of our proposed
- 15 wellbores.
- 16 Q. Do you consider these wells to be
- 17 representative of the area that is subject of the
- 18 proposed nonstandard units?
- 19 A. I do.
- 20 Q. Do representations show continuity in the
- 21 target intervals?
- 22 A. Yes, it does.
- Q. Can you please describe the color
- 24 representations on Exhibit 19?
- 25 A. Yes. The blue line at the base of the cross

- 1 section represents the top of the Bone Spring Lime
- 2 Formation, which is the base of the Brushy Canyon
- 3 Formation. The orange-dashed line at the top of the
- 4 cross section is the top of the Brushy Canyon A Unit,
- 5 which is the most basal Brushy Canyon Unit in the Basin.
- 6 O. What conclusions have you drawn from your
- 7 geologic study of this area?
- 8 A. I have concluded that there are no geologic
- . 9 hazards in drilling horizontal wells through this
- 10 formation, that horizontal wells are the most prudent
- 11 way to exploit these hydrocarbons and that each
- 12 quarter-quarter section along each wellbore path will
- 13 contribute equally over the production life of this
- 14 well.
- 15 O. Moving to Exhibits 20, 21 and 22, can you
- 16 please identify these exhibits?
- 17 A. Yes. These are generalized wellbore schematics
- 18 for each wellbore. Exhibit 20 is the #2H well. On
- 19 these diagrams are shown the representation of the
- 20 section boundaries in blue vertical lines on the right
- 21 and left of the page. The surface-hole location for
- 22 each of these three wells will be 190 feet from the
- 23 south line, but the first perf will be no closer than
- 24 330 from that south line. The last perforation will not
- 25 be any closer than 330 from the north line of Section

- 1 17. That's about it.
- 2 Q. So the completed interval will be within the
- 3 330-foot setback requirements?
- 4 A. That is correct.
- 5 Q. In your opinion, will the granting of COG's
- 6 applications be in the best interest of conservation and
- 7 the prevention of waste and the protection of
- 8 correlative rights?
- 9 A. It would.
- 10 Q. Were Exhibits 18 through 22 prepared by you or
- 11 compiled under your direction or supervision?
- 12 A. Yes, they were.
- MS. KESSLER: I'd move to have these
- 14 exhibits admitted into evidence.
- EXAMINER GOETZE: Exhibits 18, 19, 20, 21
- 16 and 22 are so entered.
- 17 (COG Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 18
- through 22 were offered and admitted into
- 19 evidence.)
- 20 MS. KESSLER: I have nothing further from
- 21 this witness.
- 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 23 BY EXAMINER GOETZE:
- Q. Let's take a look at your Exhibit 18. The west
- 25 half of the west half of 17, are there any plans to look

- 1 at the Brushy there?
- 2 A. Yes, sir. We're proposing a mile-and-a-half
- 3 wellbore to access the south half of the southwest
- 4 quarter of -- I'm sorry -- the west half of the
- 5 southwest quarter of Section 8 as well.
- 6 Q. Okay. And then what is the completion in
- 7 Section 8, which is, I guess, the west half of the east
- 8 half of Section 8? Is that a Delaware, or is that a
- 9 Bone Spring?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Delaware?
- 12 A. That is a Delaware. That is the Bimini 8 Fed
- 13 #2H, which COG drilled and completed at the end of last
- 14 year.
- 15 Q. And how is the production in that well?
- 16 A. Fantastic. To date, it has produced over
- 17 84,000 barrels of oil since last year.
- 18 Q. Very good.
- And then the remaining wells in 7 and 18,
- 20 are those your interests or someone else's?
- 21 A. The wellbore in the west half-west half of 18,
- 22 the Golden Eye #1H, which was an acquisition from OGX
- 23 [sic], is COG's. We did not drill or complete that
- 24 well. Performance in it is not up to the standards of
- 25 what we are drilling now. The wellbore in Section 7, I

- 1 believe, is a Chevron-drilled well, which is somewhat
- 2 older. It was drilled back in the early 2000s.
- 3 Q. These are all Brushy?
- A. Yes, sir. All the same target, as well as
- 5 highlighted on the cross section.
- 6 Q. Okay. Very good. And I'll just make a note
- 7 that on Exhibits 22 and -- Exhibits 21 and 22, that the
- 8 first take point should be the first perf point, so
- 9 they're all the same.
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. Very good.
- 12 EXAMINER GOETZE: No further questions for
- 13 this witness.
- MS. KESSLER: That concludes COG's
- 15 presentation, and we'd just ask that this be continued
- 16 for notice purposes until the next docket.
- 17 EXAMINER GOETZE: To the next docket?
- MS. KESSLER: I'm sorry. 20 days.
- 19 EXAMINER GOETZE: You're looking at the
- 20 August 21st docket. So for Cases 15163, 15164 and
- 21 15165, these cases will be continued to the August 21st
- 22 docket.
- 23 (Case Numbers 15163, 15164 and 15165)
- 24 conclude, 9:13 a.m.); at the preparation in
- conclude, 9:13 at record of the proceedings in

25 the Examiner hearing of Cose No. 15163/15164/15/65
heard by me on 144 24 2014

examiner