1	APPEARANCES	
2	FOR APPLICANT CHI OPERATING, INC.:	
3	JAMES G. BRUCE, ESQ. Post Office Box 1056	
4	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504	
5	(505) 982-2043 jamesbruc@aol.com	
6		•
7		
8	INDEX	
9	Case Number 15133 Called	PAGE 3
10.	Case Presented by Affidavit	3
11	Proceedings Conclude	7
12	Certificate of Court Reporter	8
13		•
14		
15	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED	-
16	Chi Operating, Inc. Exhibit Numbers 1 through 4	5
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Page 3

- 1 (8:37 a.m.)
- 2 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: On page 2, at this time
- 3 I call Case 15133, and this is the application of Chi
- 4 Operating, Inc. for compulsory pooling, Eddy County,
- 5 New Mexico.
- 6 Call for appearances.
- 7 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of
- 8 Santa Fe representing the Applicant. I'm presenting the
- 9 case by affidavit.
- 10 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Any other appearances?
- 11 You may proceed.
- MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, this case is
- 13 simply to force pool interest owners for purposes of
- 14 obtaining a communitization agreement from the BLM. The
- 15 well at issue is the Coal Train Fed Com Well No. 1,
- 16 located in the south half of Section 10, 17 South, 28
- 17 East. This well was drilled over 14 years ago. And at
- 18 the time the operator, Chi Operating, Inc., filed a
- 19 communitization agreement with the BLM, which the BLM
- 20 lost, and so we're just trying to reconstitute the comm
- 21 agreement. In this case there are two working interest
- 22 owners being pooled simply for purposes of the comm
- 23 agreement, plus some unlocatable record title owners.
- Submitted as Exhibit 1 is the affidavit of
- 25 John Qualls, a landman for Chi Operating, setting forth

- 1 the basic information and the parties being pooled.
- 2 There are three record title owners: Bayshore
- 3 Production Company, Florida Exploration Company and
- 4 Union Texas Petroleum Corporation, all of which no
- 5 longer exist. They are bare record title owners in one
- 6 of the tracts within the well unit.
- 7 And they are also force pooling working
- 8 interest owners Kathryn Alexander and Marie Wade, who
- 9 have been contacted -- and the correspondence is
- 10 attached to Exhibit 1 -- requesting them to sign the
- 11 communitization agreement. They have failed to do so.
- 12 Because this is only for communitization agreement
- 13 purposes, we're not seeking overhead rates. We're not
- 14 seeking any penalty against nonconsenting interest
- 15 owners. This is simply to get a compulsory pooling
- order so that the BLM will approve, after 14 years, the
- 17 communitization agreement.
- All the correspondence with the parties, et
- 19 cetera, well plats, are contained in Exhibit 1.
- 20 Exhibit 2 is my Affidavit of Notice to the
- 21 two working interest owners, Kathryn Alexander and Marie
- 22 Wade. They did receive notice. They just have never
- 23 responded.
- 24 And Exhibits 3 and 4 are the Affidavits of
- 25 Publication against the defunct record title owners.

- 1 With that, I'd move the admission of
- 2 Exhibits 1 through 4 and ask that the application be
- 3 taken under advisement. And I have drafted a proposed
- 4 order, which I will submit to you after the hearing
- 5 today.
- 6 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Exhibits 1 through 4
- 7 will be admitted.
- 8 (Chi Operating, Inc. Exhibit Numbers 1
- 9 through 4 were offered and admitted into
- 10 evidence.)
- 11 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Counsel, do you mind if
- 12 I get some clarification?
- MR. BRUCE: Sure.
- 14 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: The compulsory pooling
- is being obtained because you want to do a
- 16 communitization agreement with the BLM?
- MR. BRUCE: Yes.
- 18 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Suppose I deny the
- 19 compulsory pooling order? What will happen with BLM?
- MR. BRUCE: The BLM would not approve the
- 21 comm agreement. And the BLM has also told Chi
- 22 Operating, Inc. that if they do not submit an approved
- 23 communitization agreement, they won't approve any APDs
- 24 for Chi Operating.
- 25 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. The well was

- 1 drilled 14 years ago, correct?
- 2 MR. BRUCE: Correct.
- 3 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: That's a Morrow?
- 4 MR. BRUCE: It's a Morrow, yes. Sorry.
- 5 Vertical Morrow well.
- 6 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: And Chi was able to
- 7 obtain --
- 8 MR. BRUCE: Yes. You know, they have been
- 9 the operator since day one. They have all the
- 10 information ownership, and they did write -- actually,
- 11 they wrote to quite a few people. There are numerous
- 12 interest owners, but almost everyone signed the comm
- 13 agreement except for the nonexistent parties and these
- 14 two record title -- working interest owners.
- 15 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Do you have an API
- 16 number for this well?
- 17 MR. BRUCE: Yes. It's 3001530764.
- 18 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: You are not seeking any
- 19 overhead rates and no penalties?
- MR. BRUCE: No.
- 21 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: .Why is that? You've
- 22 got some working interest owners.
- MR. BRUCE: They have already agreed to the
- 24 rates.
- 25 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: What?

	n 7	
1	Page 7	
	MR. BRUCE: They have already agreed to the	
2	rates that are currently charged on the well.	
3	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: But they don't want to	
4	participate?	
5	MR. BRUCE: Correct.	
6	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: That's weird.	
7	What is the status of the well right now?	
8	MR. BRUCE: It's still producing. At this	
9	point it's a, you know, fairly it's nearing the end	
10	of its life, but it is still producing some gas.	
11	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: And this is a federal ""	
12	well?	
13	MR. BRUCE: Correct.	
14	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Thank you very much.	
15	At this point Case 15133 will be taken	
16	under advisement.	
17	(Case Number 15133 concludes, 8:43 a.m.)	
18		
19	·	
20	f the hereby centry that the foregoing is	
21	the Braminer hands of proceedings in	
22	hourd by me co	
23	Oil Conservation D. Sxaminer	
24	Oil Conservation Division	
25		