	Page 1
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
3	IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR ODICINIAL
4	BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR ORIGINAL THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:
5	APPLICATION OF MEWBOURNE OIL CASE NO. 15194 COMPANY FOR A NONSTANDARD OIL
6	SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT AND
7	COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.
8	•
9	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
10	EXAMINER HEARING
11	October 30, 2014
12	Santa Fe, New Mexico
13	
14	KEITH HERMANN, LEGAL EXAMINER
15	
16	
17	This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Phillip Goetze,
18	Chief Examiner, and Keith Hermann, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, October 30, 2014, at the New Mexico Energy,
19	Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall,
20	Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.
21	
22	REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR #20
23	Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters
24	500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 (505) 843-9241
25	

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES	
2	FOR APPLICANT MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY:	
3	JAMES G. BRUCE, ESQ. Post Office Box 1056	
4	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504 (505) 982-2043	
5	jamesbruc@aol.com	
6		
7		
8	INDEX	PAGE
9	Case Number 15194 Called	3
10	Presentation by Affidavit by Mr. Bruce	3
11	Proceedings Conclude	7
12	Certificate of Court Reporter	8
13		
14		
15	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED	
16	Mewbourne Oil Company Exhibit Numbers 1 through 5	6
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	·	
25		

- 1 (8:25 a.m.)
- 2 EXAMINER GOETZE: Let's go to Case 15194,
- 3 application of Mewbourne Oil Company for a nonstandard
- 4 oil spacing and proration unit and compulsory pooling,
- 5 Eddy County, New Mexico.
- 6 Call for appearances.
- 7 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of
- 8 Santa Fe representing the Applicant, and I am doing this
- 9 by affidavit.
- 10 EXAMINER GOETZE: Very good.
- Any other appearances?
- Then proceed, Mr. Bruce.
- MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I've submitted a
- 14 few exhibits to you. The first one is the affidavit of
- 15 the landman from Mewbourne, Paul Haden, in this case.
- 16 And I've given you a copy of the C-102 for the well,
- 17 which includes the pool and the pool code and the API
- 18 number. They seek to force pool the south half of the
- 19 north half of Section 17, 20 South, 29 East for a Bone
- 20 Spring well, the Glock 17 B2EH Fed Well No. 1H.
- The landman's exhibit includes a land plat
- 22 showing the surface and bottom-hole locations.
- 23 Attachment B is simply part of the JOA, and on the
- 24 second and third pages, you will see a number of very
- 25 small interest owners. The legal-size paper, those with

- 1 an asterisk by their name, need to be force pooled.
- 2 These are fee mineral owners unleased, and most of them
- 3 are unlocatable. We force pooled the same interest
- 4 owners pretty much in Case 15142. The pooled interests
- 5 are less than 1 percent for about 30 different parties.
- Those parties who were locatable will be
- 7 Attachment C to the affidavit. Those are the well
- 8 proposal letters that were sent out. And Attachment D
- 9 to the AFE is showing completed costs of almost \$4.7
- 10 million, and Attachment E lists the offset operators.
- The Applicant has been attempting to find
- 12 these mineral interest owners for some time as evidenced
- 13 by the prior case. And, of course, they've done the
- 14 requisite county search, Internet search, telephone
- directories, et cetera, and they have been unable to
- 16 locate most of those people.
- 17 The Applicant does request a 200 percent
- 18 risk charge be assessed against the nonconsenting
- 19 interest owners, and the overhead rates requested are
- 20 7,500 and 750 a month.
- 21 Exhibit 2 is the affidavit of David
- 22 Rawlins, a geologist for Mewbourne, and his affidavit
- 23 contains the normal exhibits and the normal statements
- 24 made by a geologist. In these cases, there is a
- 25 combination of structure and isopach map. As you can

- 1 see by Attachment A, most of the wells in this area are
- 2 Bone Spring wells drilled by Mewbourne, and they are --
- 3 and maybe some by Yates. In the immediate vicinity,
- 4 they are all lay-down well units. The cross section
- 5 shows the interval they plan on testing.
- 6 And Attachment C shows production from the
- 7 wells in the area. As you can see, there is some pretty
- 8 decent 2nd Bone Spring sand wells in this area, and all
- 9 of them are east-west horizontals.
- 10 And Exhibit D is simply the directional
- 11 drilling plan. You can see that the surface location is
- 12 unorthodox, but the entire producing interval is
- 13 orthodox. And this pool does -- I forget the name of
- 14 the pool right now, but it is a Bone Spring --
- 15 EXAMINER GOETZE: It is a wildcat.
- MR. BRUCE: It is a wildcat, and it is
- 17 statewide rules.
- 18 Exhibit 3 is my Affidavit of Notice to the
- 19 locatable parties. The only thing I'd note is the last
- 20 two pages of the affidavit. The certified letter to
- 21 Patricia McMillan was returned unclaimed. And then the
- 22 certified letter to Lewis Holmes, I have never received
- 23 a green card back, but I would refer you to Case 15142,
- 24 where the documents -- the notice documents in those
- 25 cases showed that letters to these two people were

- 1 delivered, and the green cards were signed by people
- 2 named Holmes and McMillan, so these are valid addresses.
- 3 Exhibit 4 is the lengthy Affidavit of
- 4 Publication of all of the unlocatable parties.
- 5 And then Exhibit 5 is the notice letter for
- 6 the offset operator of the minerals, and they did
- 7 receive actual notice of the hearing. Mewbourne is the
- 8 only other offsetting operator.
- 9 And with that, I move the admission of
- 10 Exhibits 1 through 5.
- 11 EXAMINER GOETZE: Exhibits 1 through 5 are
- 12 so entered.
- 13 (Mewbourne Oil Company Exhibit Numbers 1
- through 5 were offered and admitted into
- 15 evidence.)
- MR. BRUCE: I have nothing further.
- 17 EXAMINER GOETZE: Let's see. You've
- 18 answered my questions regarding setbacks. Let's do
- 19 this. Based upon what was submitted previously, have
- 20 there been any significant changes other than the
- 21 identification of notification?
- MR. BRUCE: No.
- 23 EXAMINER GOETZE: And was -- I believe
- 24 Mr. Haden appeared before the Division as a qualified
- 25 landman?

Γ	
	Page 7
1	MR. BRUCE: That's correct. He has
2	numerous times.
3	EXAMINER GOETZE: Very good. Then we will
4	take Case 15194 under advisement.
5	(Case Number 15194 concludes, 8:31 a.m.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	·
11	
12	
13	
14	the heroby certify that the foregoing is in the proceedings in 15194
15	a somplete record of the proceedings in 15194
16	the Examination October 1
17	Oil Conservation Division
18	Oil Conservation Division
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	