Page 1 1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT 2 OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION FOR ORIGINAL 3 THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING: 4 5 APPLICATION OF FRONTIER FIELD CASE NO. 15193 SERVICES, LLC FOR AUTHORIZATION 6 TO INJECT, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. 7 8 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 9 COMMISSION HEARING 10 November 19, 2014 11 Santa Fe, New Mexico 12 2014 DEC -8 AFCEINED OOD 13 JAMI BAILEY, CHAIRPERSON BEFORE: TERRY WARNELL, COMMISSIONER 14 ROBERT S. BALCH, COMMISSIONER BILL BRANCARD, ESQ. 15 16 This matter came on for hearing before the 17 New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission on Wednesday, 18 November 19, 2014, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, 19 Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico. 20 21 22 REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR #20 23 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 24 (505) 843-9241 25

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES	
2	FOR APPLICANT FRONTIER FIELD SERVICES, LLC:	
3	JAMES G. BRUCE, ESQ. Post Office Box 1056	
4	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504	
5	(505) 982-2043 jamesbruc@aol.com	
6		
7		
8	INDEX	DACE
9	Case Number 15193 Called	PAGE 3
10	Overview by Bill Brancard	3
11	Comment by Audience Member, Ms. Leach	6
12	Motion to Adopt Order	8
13	Proceedings Conclude	9
14	Certificate of Court Reporter	10
15		
16		
17	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED	
18	(No exhibits offered.)	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
2.5		

Γ

Page 3 1 (9:03 a.m.) 2 CHAIRPERSON BAILEY: In the case of 15193, 3 it is time for final action on the application of 4 Frontier Field Services, LLC for authorization to inject in Lea County, New Mexico. 5 Counsel Bill Brancard, would you like to 6 7 give an overview of the order? 8 MR. BRANCARD: Thank you, Madam Chair. The Commission, at the end of the last 9 10 hearing, directed an order to be drafted which approved 11 the new well with certain conditions and also applied certain conditions to the existing AGI Well Number 1, 12 Maljamar. And so we have an order drafted by Mr. Bruce 13 14 that I have worked over. I just wanted to go over a few things in the order with the Commission that made this a 15 16 little different, this order, to make sure that the 17 Commission was okay about it. I guess I'll start with a little sort of 18 19 thing maybe for the audience, too. We've had a problem 20 recently where we've had to go back and amend orders 21 because locations for wells were not really what they 22 were in the order, and so I just sort of urge everybody 23 to be really careful. 24 I went and proofread this order and noticed 25 that the description of the bottom hole in the C-108

form was entirely different than the description 1 2 everywhere else in the process. You know, when you say 3 something is 350 feet from the south line of the 4 section, but the C-108 says it's 350 feet from the north 5 line of the section, that's a considerable difference. 6 So please be careful when you submit 7 applications, when you submit proposed orders. We don't 8 want to go back and have to redo these orders once we've 9 entered them. So anyway, enough for that soapbox. 10 There was a whole series of issues in here, 11 and I think they're pretty well addressed in the order. There were a couple of things that I changed in here 12 13 that I think are of interest to the Commission. 14 Number one, I've added Provision Number 14, 15 the last page of the order, which the Commission 16 specifically directed that if there were any changes to 17 the approval from the Bureau of Land Management, that the Division be notified of that, and the Division would 18 19 make a decision whether that would require coming back 20 to the Commission to change this order. We were 21 informed at the end of the last hearing that they would 22 also be getting approvals from the BLM and the BLM might 23 have things they want to change, which was sort of news 24 So the Commission specifically asked for that to us. 25 provision, and I included that in here.

Page 4

I think the slightly tricky thing about 1 this order which we addressed at the end of hearing is 2 that we have an existing order for a well that's already 3 4 out there, and this order addresses a case for the new well. And as part of the hearing, the operator agreed 5 to apply certain operating conditions that are being 6 7 imposed on the new well to the existing well. Okay? So 8 they could have the same operating conditions in terms 9 of reporting, in terms of when they did their mechanical integrity test, et cetera. I think we've tried to 10 11 address that in the order. One thing we did talk about briefly was 12 whether we needed a separate order to go with that well, 13 14 which has its own order, its own file. That's not what was proposed by the Applicant in this case. They're 15 16 just putting it in one order. One idea -- and I'll throw it out right 17 now -- is, you know, we have an order number here, an R 18

Page 5

19 number, that if somebody searches it, it will relate to 20 this well. Okay? The first well has its own R number. 21 We could -- it'll be a little unconventional -- give 22 this order two R numbers, so that it was both for the 23 first well and the second well. So somebody searching 24 would find this order in two places, so they would know 25 it applies to both wells. It's a little unorthodox, but

Page 6 1 I thought -- the difficulty is that there are changes to 2 the first well conditions in here that somebody would 3 not find if they searched under that first order for 4 that well. 5 So I'll see what you Commissioners thought 6 about that. 7 CHAIRPERSON BAILEY: I'm hearing moaning 8 from the audience (laughter). 9 No. I'm coughing. MS. LEACH: 10 Feedback from the CHAIRPERSON BAILEY: 11 attorneys that are here? Would that cause problems in 12 your searches, to have two separate orders? 13 MR. BRANCARD: Well, it's the same order. It's just -- it has two numbers, so, therefore, it's 14 15 filed in two locations. So it's the same order, but it 16 just gets filed under both wells. That would be the 17 goal so that somebody --18 MS. LEACH: If you search on the original 19 order number from the original well, it's going to come 20 up and show you this is A or B or whatever? 21 MR. BRANCARD: B or C, yes. 22 That seems helpful. MS. LEACH: 23 CHAIRPERSON BAILEY: Okay. 24 MR. BRANCARD: I can make that change. 25 CHAIRPERSON BAILEY: Commissioners?

Page 7 COMMISSIONER BALCH: I think we can just go 1 2 back and revise --3 MR. BRANCARD: Issue two orders, yeah. 4 CHAIRPERSON BAILEY: Okay. Please do make 5 that change. б MR. BRANCARD: And I tried to be -- I think 7 perhaps Mr. Bruce was in a rush, but he sort of wrote 8 this order saying that all the conditions applied to the 9 second well apply to the first well. Well, that's not 10 what the Commission decided, nor is that practical. The 11 first well is already in place, so you can't change how 12 it was constructed. So really it's the operational 13 conditions that apply when you do the mechanical 14 integrity test, the reporting requirements and even the 15 monitoring. 16 The Commission made it clear that putting 17 in this monitoring equipment would only occur at the 18 first work order [sic]. So I just changed his language 19 to make that a little narrower as to what the Commission 20 put in there. So that's Number 13 in the order. He 21 just simply -- his initial order just said "the conditions in this order also apply to Well No. 1." 22 23 That's, I think, way too broad and just not practical. So it's the operating conditions, because I think what 24 we're talking about here going forward is how they 25

1 operate the wells, so they're operating the wells 2 similarly. 3 So I just wanted to make sure everybody was 4 on board with the changes I made to the order. I think 5 Mr. Bruce did a fine job of summarizing what happened at the hearing and the evidence that was presented, and we 6 7 also got feedback from the Division on the order, which 8 was helpful. It expanded it. 9 CHAIRPERSON BAILEY: Commissioners, do you 10 have any comments or any issues that you'd like to talk 11 about given the draft that we've looked at and the --12 COMMISSIONER BALCH: You changed the order 13 I read last night? 14 MR. BRANCARD: No. What you saw last night 15 is --16 COMMISSIONER BALCH: So the order I read 17 last night captures --18 MR. BRANCARD: It does. 19 COMMISSIONER WARNELL: I agree. 20 CHAIRPERSON BAILEY: May I ask for a motion 21 to adopt the order? 22 I make the motion. COMMISSIONER WARNELL: COMMISSIONER BALCH: I would second the 23 24 motion. CHAIRPERSON BAILEY: All those in favor? 25

	Page 9
1	(Ayes are unanimous.)
2	CHAIRPERSON BAILEY: Then we will sign this
3	and transmit that over to our Commission secretary.
4	(Case Number 15193 concludes, 9:10 a.m.)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 FOURTH STREET NW - SUITE 105, ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87102

5

	Page 10
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	COUNTY OF BERNALILLO
3	
4	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
5	I, MARY C. HANKINS, New Mexico Certified
б	Court Reporter No. 20, and Registered Professional
7	Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported the
8	foregoing proceedings in stenographic shorthand and that
9	the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript of
10	those proceedings that were reduced to printed form by
11	me to the best of my ability.
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's
13	Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects
14	the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.
15	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
16	employed by nor related to any of the parties or
17	attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in
18	the final disposition of this case.
19	manp C. Hanlans
20	MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR
21	Paul Baca Court Reporters, Inc.
22	New Mexico CCR No. 20 Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2014
23	
24	
25	