Page 1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO 1 ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT 2 OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED 3 ORIGINAL BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING: 4 CASE NOs. 14669, 5 APPLICATION OF COG OPERATING, LLC TO MAKE PERMANENT THE SPECIAL RULES 14670, 14758 ADOPTED UNDER ORDER R-13523 FOR THE and 14759 6 DODD-GLORIETA-UPPER YESO POOL AND 7 THE BURCH KEELY-GLORIETA-UPPER YESO POOL, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. 8 9 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 10 EXAMINER HEARING 11 October 2, 2014 12 Santa Fe, New Mexico 13 2014 OCT 21 14 BEFORE: PHILLIP GOETZE, CHIEF EXAMINER GABRIEL WADE, LEGAL EXAMINER 15 16 T This matter came on for hearing before the 17 New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Phillip Goetze, Chief Examiner, and Gabriel Wade, Legal Examiner, on 18 Thursday, October 2, 2014, at the New Mexico Energy, 19 Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico. 20 21 22 REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR #20 23 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105 24 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 (505) 843-9241 25

		Page
1	APPEARANCES	
2	FOR APPLICANT COG OPERATING, LLC:	
3	GABRIELLE A. GERHOLT, ESQ. HOLLAND & HART	
4	110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1	
5	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 (505) 988-4421	
6	gagerholt@hollandhart.com	
7	FOR INTERESTED PARTY CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY:	
8	JAMES G. BRUCE, ESQ. Post Office Box 1056	
9	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504	
10	(505) 982-2043 jamesbruc@aol.com	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
Table Control		

Page 3 INDEX PAGE Case Numbers 14669, 14670, 14758 and 14759 Called Opening Statement by Ms. Gerholt COG Operating, LLC's Case-in-Chief: Witnesses: Dylan Park: Direct Examination by Ms. Gerholt Cross-Examination by Examiner Goetze Cody Bacon: Direct Examination by Ms. Gerholt Cross-Examination by Examiner Goetze Chris N. Bezner: Direct Examination by Ms. Gerholt Cross-Examination by Examiner Goetze Proceedings Conclude Certificate of Court Reporter EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED COG Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 1 through 4 COG Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 5 through 13 COG Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 14 through 21

Page 4 1 (8:18 a.m.) EXAMINER GOETZE: We'll start with Case 2 Numbers 14669, 14670, 14758 and 14759 reopened, 3 4 application of COG Operating, LLC to make permanent the Special Rules adopted under Order R-13523 for the 5 Dodd-Glorieta-Upper Yeso pool and the Burch Keely-6 Glorieta-Upper Yeso pool, Eddy County, New Mexico. 7 8 Call for appearances. MS. GERHOLT: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. 9 Gabrielle Gerholt, from the Santa Fe office of Holland & 10 11 Hart, on behalf of COG Operating, LLC. EXAMINER GOETZE: Any other appearances? 12 13 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce presenting ConocoPhillips Company. I have no witnesses. 14 EXAMINER GOETZE: Very good. 15 16 MS. GERHOLT: Mr. Examiner, COG has three 17 witnesses this morning. EXAMINER GOETZE: Would the witnesses 18 please stand, identify yourself to the court reporter 19 20 and be sworn in? MR. PARK: My name is Dylan Park. I'll be 21 22 representing COG on the land side. MR. BEZNER: My name is Chris Bezner. I'm 23 a reservoir engineering for COG. 24 25 MR. BACON: Cody Bacon, and I'm with COG as

Page 5 1 a geologist. MS. GERHOLT: Mr. Examiners, if I may 2 3 approach to hand you the exhibits. 4 EXAMINER GOETZE: You may. 5 OPENING STATEMENT MS. GERHOLT: Mr. Examiners, before I call 6 my first witness, I just wanted to remind the Division a 7 little bit about this case, since it's been a couple of 8 9 years since we've been here. COG is here today to request that the 10 Division make the special pool rules for the 11 Dodd-Glorieta-Upper Yeso pool and the Burch 12 Keely-Glorieta-Upper Yeso pool permanent. They have 13 been in place since 2012, and COG has been successfully 14 15 operating under those rules since that time. 16 Today you will hear evidence that supports the allowable of 300 barrels of oil, the limiting 17 gas-oil ratio of 3,000 and that the well density of the 18 19 four vertical wells and the seven vertical wells will allow for effective and efficient drainage. 20 21 So at this time I would call my first 22 witness, Dylan Park. DYLAN PARK, 23 24 after having been previously sworn under oath, was guestioned and testified as follows: 25

		Page 6
	1	DIRECT EXAMINATION
	2	BY MS. GERHOLT:
	3	Q. Good morning, Mr. Park.
	4	A. Good morning.
	5	Q. Would you please state your full name for the
	6	record?
	7	A. My name is Dylan Park.
	8	Q. And by whom are you employed and in what
	9	capacity?
	10	A. COG Operating, LLC as a senior landman.
	11	Q. And as a senior landman, what are your duties?
	12	A. I negotiate a lot of gas leases, joint
	13	operating agreements, put deals together with the
	14	partners, prepare locations for drilling from an
	15	ownership title standpoint.
	16	Q. Mr. Park, do you have a particular land area of
	17	responsibility?
	18	A. I handle portions of the northwest shelf, Eddy
	19	County, New Mexico.
	20	Q. And does that land area include the Dodd and
	21	Burch Keely Units?
	22	A. Yes, it does.
	23	Q. Have you previously testified before the Oil
	24	Conservation Division?
	25	A. No, I have not.
I		

Q. Would you then please tell the Examiners a little bit about your educational and work experiences as they relate to being a landman?

Sure. I graduated from the University of 4 Α. Houston in 1997 with a bachelor of science degree in 5 American Jurisprudence and Business. I spent the next 6 7 approximately 11 years doing oil and gas due diligence for acquisitions and divestitures for law firms around 8 9 the Houston area. I then went in-house with Apache Corporation in 2008 doing the same type of work. I was 10 then transferred in 2009 to Apache's Midland Office and 11 became a regional landman handling areas of New Mexico 12 13 doing the same type of work I do now. I recently came on board with Concho. 14

15 Q. Mr. Parks, approximately how long have you been 16 a landman?

A. Five years, technically speaking, but I've been
doing that type of work from an A and D perspective for
probably 15 -- close to 15 years.

20 Q. Are you familiar with the application filed on 21 behalf of COG?

22 A. Yes, I am.

Q. And are you familiar with the status of thelands in the Burch Keely and Dodd Units?

25 A. Yes.

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 FOURTH STREET NW - SUITE 105, ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87102

Page 7

	Page 8
1	MS. GERHOLT: Mr. Examiner, I'd ask that
2	Mr. Park be deemed an expert in petroleum land matters.
3	EXAMINER GOETZE: He is so qualified.
4	MS. GERHOLT: Thank you.
5	Q. (BY MS. GERHOLT) Since it's been a couple of
6	years since COG has been here, have you prepared a
7	couple of slides to help refresh our memories with the
8	location of these units and the type of units at issue?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. If we can start by drawing your attention to
11	Exhibit Number 1. Would you please identify what this
12	is and review it?
13	A. Exhibit Number 1 is a high-level profile of the
14	area in which the Burch Keely Unit and the Dodd Federal
15	Unit are situated. And as you can see in the purple
16	outline, the Dodd Federal Unit covers portions of the
17	sections in 17 South, 29 East. The Burch Keely Unit
18	also covers portion of 17-29 and 17-30.
19	Q. Now, beginning with the Dodd Unit, what type of
20	unit is it?
21	A. The Dodd is a federal a federal secondary
22	discovery [sic] unit.
23	Q. And to what depth does that unitized interval
24	extend?
25	A. It extends from the Seven Rivers to the to

	Page 9
1	approximately 5,000 feet to 5,000 feet.
2	Q. And within the Dodd Federal Unit, is COG
3	producing from the Dodd-Glorieta-Upper Yeso pool?
4	A. That is correct.
5	Q. Now drawing your attention to the Burch Keely
6	Unit, what type of unit is it?
7	A. It is also a federal secondary recovery unit.
8	Q. To what depth does that unitized unit extend?
9	A. It extends from the Seven Rivers to 5,000 feet.
10	Q. And within the Burch Keely Unit, is COG
11	producing from the Burch Keely-Glorieta-Upper Yeso pool?
12	A. That is correct.
13	Q. Very good.
14	And the pool rules that are named came from
15	the Dodd-Glorieta-Upper Yeso and the Burch
16	Keely-Glorieta-Upper Yeso?
17	A. Yes, they are.
18	Q. Mr. Park, can you please briefly explain to the
19	Examiners what COG is seeking in this application?
20	A. We're simply seeking to make the rules that
21	were the temporary rules that were granted on March
22	12th, 2012 permanent at this time.
23	Q. Very good.
24	If I could now draw your attention to
25	Exhibit Number 2, would you please identify and review

	Page 10
1	this exhibit?
2	A. Yes. Exhibit Number 2 is just a color plat
3	showing the operators that were notified the
4	offsetting operators that were notified of this hearing.
5	Q. And this is for the Burch Keely?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And Exhibit Number 3?
8	A. Exhibit Number 3 simply is just, again, a color
9	plat showing the offset operators that were notified of
10	this hearing in the Dodd Unit.
11	Q. So for both the Dodd and Burch Keely notices
12	were provided to offsetting operators?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Was that within the same formation?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And what was the radius?
17	A. One mile.
18	Q. And finally, if I could have you turn to
19	Exhibit Number 4, is this the notice that my office
20	provided to those offset operators?
21	A. Yes, it is.
22	Q. Mr. Park, in your opinion, will the granting of
23	this application be in the best interest of conservation
24	and the prevention of waste and the protection of
25	correlative rights?

- 10

	Page 11			
1	A. Yes, it will.			
2	Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 3 prepared by you or			
3	compiled under your supervision?			
4	A. Yes, they were.			
5	MS. GERHOLT: Mr. Examiner, at this time I			
6	would move the admission of COG Exhibits 1 through 4			
7	into evidence.			
8	EXAMINER GOETZE: 1 through 4 are so			
9	entered.			
10	(COG Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 1			
11	through 4 were offered and admitted into			
12	evidence.)			
13	MS. GERHOLT: And that concludes my			
14	examination of this witness.			
15	EXAMINER GOETZE: Mr. Bruce?			
16	MR. BRUCE: I have no questions.			
17	EXAMINER GOETZE: Very good.			
18	CROSS-EXAMINATION			
19	BY EXAMINER GOETZE:			
20	Q. Just a quick question. So since we initially			
21	did this order, to this point we still have the same			
22	ownership?			
23	A. That's correct.			
24	Q. We've had no changes in the units as far as			
25	anything from the BLM, change of acreage or anything			

Page 12 like that? 1 2 A. No, sir. Okay. Very good. Those are my only questions. 3 0. EXAMINER GOETZE: Thank you. 4 5 MS. GERHOLT: Thank you. Mr. Examiner, at this time, I would call 6 7 Cody Bacon to the stand. 8 CODY BACON, after having been previously sworn under oath, was 9 guestioned and testified as follows: 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 12 BY MS. GERHOLT: O. Good morning. Would you please state your full 13 14 name for the record? 15 Α. Cody Bacon. By whom are you employed and in what capacity? 16 Q. 17 A. COG Operating as a geologist. O. And how long have you been employed by COG? 18 Just under two years. 19 Α. Have you previously testified before the Oil 20 0. Conservation Division? 21 22 Α. I have not. Would you please provide the Examiners a brief 23 0. rundown of your educational and experience pertinent to 24 25 being a geologist?

Page 13 Sure. In 2010, I received my bachelor's in 1 Α. geology from Oklahoma State University, and then in 2 2012, I received my master's in geology with an emphasis 3 in petroleum geology from Oklahoma State University. 4 Are you familiar with the application filed in 5 0. these cases? 6 7 Α. I am. And are you familiar with the geology 8 0. underlying the lands that are the subject of these 9 10 cases? 11 Α. I am. MS. GERHOLT: Mr. Examiner, I would ask 12 that Mr. Bacon be deemed an expert as a petroleum 13 14 geologist based upon his education and experience. EXAMINER GOETZE: Is Mr. Bruce moving 15 around here somewhere? 16 17 MR. BRUCE: No objection. EXAMINER GOETZE: Very good. 18 He is so qualified. 19 MS. GERHOLT: Thank you. 20 O. (BY MS. GERHOLT) Now, Mr. Bacon, if I could 21 draw your attention to Exhibit Number 5, will you please 22 get us situated with this exhibit? 23 This is a map showing the greater Permian 24 Α. Basin, and towards the top, center of the slide, you'll 25

Page 14 see a red arrow. This is showing the approximate 1 location on the northwest shelf that we are talking 2 3 about. Okay. Now moving towards Exhibit Number 6, 4 0. which formations are at issue in this application? 5 The formations at issue are the Paddock and 6 Α. Blinebry. And you'll see a red bracket near the center 7 of the slide, and that is showing the Yeso group, of 8 which the Paddock and Blinebry are producing formations 9 10 in the area. Anything else on this exhibit that needs to be 11 0. 12 identified? If not, we can move forward to Exhibit 13 Number 7. I don't believe so. 14 Α. All right. Now drawing your attention to 15 0. Exhibit Number 7, would you please identify and review 16 17 this? Yes. This is a map showing the vertical Yeso 18 Α. development from all operators across the shelf area. 19 20 The yellow indicates COG acreage. And if you look to the left half of the map, you'll see the purple Dodd 21 Federal Unit outlined and the blue Burch Keely Unit 22 outlined. And then turning your attention to the 23 bottom, right corner that shows the map legend, the red 24 dots indicate Paddock producers. The blue dots indicate 25

	Page 15
1	Blinebry producers, and then the combination
2	blue-and-red dots are the Yeso producers.
3	Q. Moving on to Exhibit 8, what does Exhibit 8
4	identify?
5	A. Exhibit 8 is the same as the previous slide,
6	except instead of showing the vertical Yeso wells, this
7	is showing all horizontal wells from all operators
8	across the shelf.
9	Q. And, again, the yellow identifies COG acreage?
10	A. Correct.
11	Q. Now moving to Exhibit Number 10, will you
12	please walk us through this structure map?
13	A. Exhibit 10?
14	Q. Number 9. I apologize.
15	A. Okay. That's all right.
16	Q. I was looking at the wrong tab. I'm moving
17	along too quickly.
18	A. This is the exact same map as previously seen,
19	except instead of displaying wells, it is showing a Yeso
20	structure map. This structure map is on the top of the
21	Paddock Formation, and it shows a general west-to-east
22	dip. And in the south-central part of the map, you will
23	see a tightening of contours. This is indicative of the
24	addition of the Yeso shelf edge.
25	Q. Are there any structural changes?

Page 16 A. No, ma'am. 1 Now moving to Exhibit Number 10, would you 2 0. 3 please review and identify this exhibit? Yes. This is a zoomed-in view of the Burch 4 Α. Keely Unit and the west-to-east cross section labeled A 5 to A prime. 6 7 0. And does Exhibit Number 10 and Exhibit Number 11 correspond to one another? 8 9 They do. Exhibit Number 11 is the cross Α. 10 section, A and A prime that was seen on Exhibit 10, and 11 it demonstrates the general west-to-east dip. The green 12 is -- the green shading -- excuse me -- is the Paddock porosity. And the orange shading below it -- it's a 13 little difficult to see, but it is the Blinebry. There 14 is no significant thickening or thinning across the 15 Burch Keely Unit, and there are no major structural 16 17 changes. All right. And since that orange is a little 18 0. 19 difficult to identify on that, can you provide us some other reference point? 20 21 Yes. If you will look at the third well, the Α. one in the center, you will see -- there's a green color 22 that -- as it moves to the right and you see less and 23 less of it, you'll see a black line moving across the --24 25 across the log. And then down at the bottom, you will

	Page 17
1	see a yellow line moving across the log, and that is the
2	Blinebry Formation.
3	Q. All right. Thank you for providing that
4	extra
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Now moving on to Exhibit Number 12, what is
7	Exhibit Number 12?
8	A. Exhibit Number 12 is a zoomed-in view of the
9	Dodd Federal Unit, and across it you will see a
10	west-to-east cross section, B to B prime.
11	Q. All right. And does Exhibit Number 12 and
12	Exhibit Number 13 correspond to one another?
13	A. They do. Exhibit Number 13 is the cross
14	section seen on Exhibit Number 12. Again, this shows a
15	structural cross section showing the general
16	west-to-east dip. Again, the green shading is the
17	Paddock porosity, and the orange that is not exactly
18	visible is the Blinebry. There is no major change in
19	gross thickness across the interval, and there are no
20	major structural changes.
21	Q. All right. So would you say there is
22	consistency across the Dodd units?
23	A. Yes, there is.
24	Q. Is there also geological consistency across the
25	Burch Keely Unit?

	Page 18
1	A. There are.
2	Q. Are those two units geologically consistent?
3	A. They are, as is the entire shelf.
4	Q. Okay. Are there any geologic impediments that
5	would prevent COG from producing the allowable?
6	A. There are not.
7	Q. And in your opinion as a petroleum landman
8	[sic], will the granting of this application be in the
9	best interest of conservation, the prevention of waste
10	and the protection of correlative rights?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Were Exhibits 5 through 13 prepared by you or
13	under your supervision or control?
14	A. They were.
15	MS. GERHOLT: Mr. Examiner, at this time I
16	would move the admission of COG Exhibits 5 through 13
17	into evidence.
18	EXAMINER GOETZE: The exhibits are so
19	entered.
20	And I assume that the opinion you did last
21	was the petroleum geologist?
22	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
23	MS. GERHOLT: Thank you for the
24	clarification.
25	EXAMINER GOETZE: Very well.

	Page 19
1	(COG Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 5
2	through 13 were offered and admitted into
3	evidence.)
4	MS. GERHOLT: That concludes my examination
5	of this witness.
6	EXAMINER GOETZE: Mr. Bruce?
7	MR. BRUCE: No questions.
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION
9	BY EXAMINER GOETZE:
10	Q. So you have it in front of you. Let's take a
11	look at Exhibit 12, and just for information, the
12	majority of the wells show up as Paddock producers. We
13	have a few Blinebry, and then we have Yeso producers.
14	Am I assuming that just that both Blinebry and two
15	productions zones are commingled or being produced
16	together or
17	A. In the Yeso wells?
18	Q. Yes.
19	A. Yes, sir. Those are a combination.
20	Q. Those are a combination.
21	And so at this time, the dominant player is
22	Paddock for both of these units, correct?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. And are we exploring the ability to expand that
25	into the Blinebry, or is the scenario that the Blinebry

	Page 20
1	is not economical as far as producing as a single well?
2	A. The Blinebry is being produced horizontally in
3	this area.
4	Q. Okay.
5	A. The Paddock wells were a previous pattern, and
6	we are working around the patterns there.
7	Q. Okay. So you have an existing production out
8	of Blinebry through later introduction of horizontal
9	wells?
10	A. Yes, sir.
11	Q. And this is the shallowest. It's primarily
12	going to be just Paddock?
13	A. Yes, sir.
14	Q. Okay. Thank you.
15	EXAMINER GOETZE: The remainder of my
16	questions will probably be for your next witness, so I
17	have no further questions. Thank you.
18	MS. GERHOLT: Well, then let's call my next
19	witness, Mr. Bezner.
20	CHRIS N. BEZNER,
21	after having been previously sworn under oath, was
22	questioned and testified as follows:
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MS. GERHOLT:
25	Q. Good morning.

		Page 21
1	Α.	Morning.
2	Q.	Please state your name for the record.
3	A.	Chris Bezner.
4	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
5	A.	COG Operating, and I'm a senior reservoir
6	engineer	*
7	Q.	And how long have you been employed by COG?
8	Α.	About two-and-a-half years.
9	Q.	Have you previously testified before the Oil
10	Conserva	tion Division?
11	A.	Yes, I have.
12	Q.	And at that time were your credentials as a
13	reservoi	r engineer accepted?
14	Α.	Yes, they were.
15	Q.	Are you familiar with the application filed in
16	this case?	
17	Α.	Yes, I am.
18	Q.	And have you done an engineering study of the
19	subject	area?
20	Α.	Yes, I have.
21	Q.	And I apologize. You may have said this
22	already,	but how long have you been a reservoir
23	engineer	?
24	Α.	Over 30 years.
25		MS. GERHOLT: Mr. Examiner, I would ask

Page 22 that Mr. Bezner be deemed an expert as a reservoir 1 2 engineer. 3 EXAMINER GOETZE: Mr. Bruce? MR. BRUCE: No objection. 4 EXAMINER GOETZE: Very good. You are so 5 qualified. 6 7 MS. GERHOLT: Thank you. (BY MS. GERHOLT) Drawing your attention to 8 0. Exhibit Number 14, would you orient us to this exhibit, 9 10 please? Okay. This exhibit is just a picture trying to 11 Α. 12 illustrate the way we view the Yeso Formation in this area, and what it's trying to show is the heterogenous 13 nature of this formation. In other words, you see the 14 15 shaded pieces of porosity down at the bottom of these two wells, labeled "Well A" and "Well B," intersect. 16 The reservoir's heterogenous, meaning that the porosity 17 just comes and goes. So you'll intersect the porosity 18 interval that may be in one well, and the offset well is 19 not really connected to that same interval but to a 20 21 different porosity interval. This makes it very hard to correlate individual porosity developments, but one of 22 the reasons that the current density that we're asking 23 for -- the increased density is necessary in order to 24 25 try and intercept as much porosity as possible and

	Page 23
1	effectively produce this reservoir. And it's trying to
2	illustrate the basic lenticular nature of this of the
3	Yeso Formation.
4	Q. Very good.
5	Now moving to Exhibit 15, what is Exhibit
6	15?
7	A. This is a similar type picture, but we're
8	looking down from above. And it has the same two wells,
9	labeled "Well A" and "B," and then two other wells, C
10	and D. And these would be four 10-acre wells in a
11	40-acre proration unit.
12	The different colors, the shadings of black
13	and gray, just show the different porosity intervals
14	that are at different depths in the individual wells,
15	and it's just trying to illustrate that the current
16	density does a much more efficient job of intercepting
17	many of these porosity pods, if you will. And that's
18	why we're seeking this increased density.
19	Q. Moving to Exhibit 16
20	A. Okay.
21	Q what does Exhibit 16 show?
22	A. Exhibit 16 is a depiction of what we're calling
23	the original spacing of this field. In other words,
24	when we took over operations roughly in 2006 from the
25	previous operator, the wells had been drilled off

Page 24 pattern. They had been drilled down to 10-acre spacing, 1 but as you can see, each one of these squares is an 2 individual regulatory proration unit. 3 If I can interrupt you for one minute, 4 0. 5 Mr. Bezner. Α. Sure. 6 7 You're saying each -- is 40 acres? 0. Yes. 8 Α. And then it shows in different colors the 9 10 general development, the way the wells have been drilled. So in a particular case, as you know, when you 11 permit a well, it has to be in one proration unit or the 12 13 other. So this is kind of an idealized case, but in actuality, these wells will be slightly one direction or 14 the other to put them in a proration unit. And when 15 that happens, you can see that you can have as many as 16 six or seven wells in a particular proration unit, even 17 though they're still basically on 10-acre spacing. And 18 so that's what's happened to this field. And it's kind 19 of something we inherited, but we're continuing to 20 develop down to 10-acre spacing. And this slide and the 21 next slide kind of go together. 22 Let's move to the next slide then. 23 0. Okay. So what I've done is just taken these 24 Α. same wells and shifted the grid, if you will, of these 25

Page 25 40-acre proration units to the southwest about 350 feet. 1 So it's an idealized grid of 40-acre spacing that 2 surrounds each well. So you can see the same wells, you 3 know, if they had developed it correctly, you know, it 4 would all be lined up nice and neat, but that's -- you 5 know, we don't live in a perfect world, so this is what 6 we have to live with. And, you know, it's one reason 7 that we're asking for the seven wells per 40 in the 8 Paddock Formation. 9 10 Q. Very good. Now drawing your attention to Exhibit 18, 11 what is that? 12 Yes. Exhibit 18 is an actual real-world 13 Α. example of where this problem came up, and it's just 14 zooming into a portion of the Burch Keely Unit down in 15 Section 25. And you'll see those -- in particular, 16 there are five wells. It's all the wells that start 17 with the number two, the 200 series wells, the existing 18 wells in that actual proration unit. 19 And then we came in and drilled the Burch 20 Keely Unit Number 410 right in the center, which is, 21 again, on 10-acre spacing. But because those previous 22 four wells were moved tighter together to put them in 23 that same proration unit, that Number 410 would have 24 been the fifth well in that unit. Under the previous 25

Page 26 field rules, we would not have been allowed to drill 1 this well, and this would have resulted in waste. 2 So the temporary rules that we have in place 3 0. are actually preventing waste? 4 Yes. They will prevent waste. 5 Α. And this slide kind of goes with the next 6 slide that shows the production from this well in 7 question, the Burch Keely Unit Number 410. 8 Well, then let's move on to Exhibit Number 19. 9 0. Exhibit 19 is just a regular production plot of 10 Α. the subject well, the Burch Keely Number 410. It 11 shows -- these are all daily rates. Oil is green. 12 Water is blue. Gas is red. The well was drilled at the 13 end of 2010. After it came on, it stabilized at 14 15 about -- averaging about 100 barrels a day for over a year and a half -- about a year and a half. And then 16 it's declined since then, but it's still mainly 17 producing somewhere between nine and ten barrels a day. 18 And I have projected this well to continue to produce on 19 into the future. It's currently cumed about 48,000 20 barrels, and I estimate it's going to make somewhere 21 between 70- to 80,000 barrels during its life. So in 22 other words, if the field rules were not changed, this 23 24 production would have been wasted. Q. Moving to Exhibit 20, what does Exhibit 20 show 25

us?

1

Exhibit 20 is a scatter plot of all the initial 2 Α. 3 GORs, and these are all the wells across the shelf producing from the Yeso Formation. And so we just take 4 the initial production, oil and gas, and calculate the 5 GOR. And what this is showing, even though there is 6 quite a bit of scatter in the data, is that there is a 7 general downward trend. The GORs are not increasing. 8 And what this indicates is that we are not wasting 9 reservoir energy. We're not, you know, depleting the 10 reservoir too rapidly to cause damage. 11 And also let me point out, I fit this line 12 through there. This is just a linear regression through 13

14 the points and, if anything, it shows kind of a negative 15 slope to the GOR. So what this tells me is that we're 16 not negatively affecting this reservoir.

17

Q. Moving to Exhibit 21.

18 Okay. This is my last exhibit, and this is Α. just a total Yeso production plot of all the COG 19 Operating wells on the shelf. Again, the oil is in 20 green. Gas is in red. There is a curve on here that's 21 black, and that's the well count. And you can see that 22 since COG took over in 2006, the well count has 23 increased dramatically, and we've done quite a bit of 24 drilling out here. So the well count when we got it was 25

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 FOURTH STREET NW - SUITE 105, ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87102

Page 27

	Page 28
1	about 350, and we're up to over 1,500 wells now.
2	You can also see the corresponding. As the
3	well count goes up, obviously oil and gas goes up
4	tremendously. We've got a tenfold roughly a tenfold
5	increase in oil and gas production over that period.
6	Then, also, I guess the bottom curve is
7	kind of a pink or magenta, and it shows the GOR. During
8	this drilling period, the GOR actually went down because
9	we were making such good wells, our oil production went
10	up, which lowers your GOR. But even here in the last
11	couple of years, the GOR is just on a gentle increase,
12	which you would expect from this type of reservoir,
13	which is the depletion gas reference [sic].
14	So, again, this is another plot showing
15	generally across the shelf that we are effectively
16	producing this reservoir and not damaging it in any way.
17	Q. Very good.
18	Mr. Bezner, are you familiar with the
19	special pool rules that are the subject of this
20	application?
21	A. Yes, I am.
22	Q. And in your opinion, is the 300-tank-barrels-
23	of-oil-per-day allowable damaging the reservoirs?
24	A. No, it is not.
25	Q. Is the allowable preventing waste?

	Page 29
1	A. Yes, it is.
2	Q. In your opinion, is the limiting gas-oil ratio
3	of 3,000 standard cubic feet of gas per barrel of oil
4	appropriate for the Dodd-Glorieta-Upper Yeso pool and
5	the Burch Keely-Glorieta-Upper Yeso pool?
6	A. Yes, it is.
7	Q. Is it causing damage?
8	A. No, it is not.
9	Q. Is it preventing waste?
10	A. Yes, it is.
11	Q. Based on your engineering studies of this area,
12	is it your opinion that the four vertical wells per
13	40-acre in the Blinebry Formation will allow for
14	effective and efficient drainage?
15	A. Yes, it does.
16	Q. Is it your opinion that the density limits,
17	seven per 40 acres in the Paddock Formation, will allow
18	for efficient and effective drainage?
19	A. Yes, it does.
20	Q. Mr. Bacon mentioned in his testimony that the
21	Blinebry is primarily being produced through horizontal
22	development; is that correct?
23	A. That's correct.
24	Q. Can you discuss for the Examiners a little bit
25	about why the Paddock can primarily be produced

vertically?

1

Certainly. Like I said, we bought this from 2 Α. Marbob, and their target was the Paddock. They didn't 3 believe there was really anything to get from the 4 Blinebry, and so all the existing wells that were there, 5 6 the vertical, were just drilled down to the Paddock. And, you know, the Blinebry is not as good a reservoir. 7 Everybody knows that, but we have found -- so we have 8 these existing vertical wells down in the Paddock, and 9 they're kind of in the way. So we can't horizontally 10 develop the Paddock, but we have the Blinebry 11 12 underneath, down to a depth of 5,000 feet. And so we went out there and drilled some Blinebry horizontal 13 wells. It's in the upper part of the Blinebry and found 14 15 that, you know, instead of having eight verticals, you can do the same with a mile lateral, connect all that 16 porosity to a big multistage frack and effectively drain 17 that reservoir, and we're also making some pretty good 18 19 wells. 20 In your opinion, is it in the best interest of 0.

20 Q. In your opinion, is it in the best interest of 21 conservation, the prevention of waste and the protection 22 of correlative rights to make the special pool rules in 23 the Dodd-Glorieta-Upper Yeso pool and the Burch Keely-24 Glorieta-Upper Yeso pool permanent?

25 A. Yes, it is.

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 FOURTH STREET NW - SUITE 105, ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87102

Page 30

Page 31 Q. Were Exhibits 14 through 21 prepared by you or 1 under your supervision? 2 3 A. Yes, they were. MS. GERHOLT: Mr. Examiner, at this time I 4 would move the admission of COG Exhibits 14 through 21 5 6 into evidence. EXAMINER GOETZE: Exhibits 14 through 21 7 are so entered. 8 (COG Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 14 9 through 21 were offered and admitted into 10 evidence.) 11 12 MS. GERHOLT: May I have one moment, Mr. Examiner? 13 14 EXAMINER GOETZE: Please. MS. GERHOLT: That concludes my examination 15 of this witness. 16 EXAMINER GOETZE: Mr. Bruce? 17 MR. BRUCE: No, sir, again. 18 19 EXAMINER GOETZE: Very good. CROSS-EXAMINATION 20 BY EXAMINER GOETZE: 21 22 Q. So since the initiation of these special pool rules, how many new wells have been drilled roughly 23 between the two units? 24 A. A lot. I'm guessing probably 200-something. 25

	Page 32
1	Q. And you foresee how much more activity
2	in-filling?
3	A. Well, if you look at some of those maps, we are
4	pretty much drilled out, but there are more locations
5	down to ten acres. But I'm again guessing maybe another
6	hundred vertical wells, and then we have these Upper
7	Blinebry horizontals, another 50, something like that.
8	Q. So you're pretty much maxed out as far as the
9	vertical options right now?
10	A. Yeah. And we're just we're just in-filling
11	now in particular spots.
12	Q. So we have a mature site in both locations.
13	I would ask counsel and your representative
14	if you could provide three years' production data
15	A. Sure.
16	Q for both units
17	A. Yes.
18	Q so we can take a look at it.
19	A. No problem.
20	Q. And provide that to us.
21	A. Okay.
22	Q. We'd appreciate it.
23	A. That will be no problem.
24	Q. And let's see. I don't have any further
25	questions for you at this time. I may have one or two

Page 33 once we see the data. Okay? 1 A. Okay. That's fine. 2 MS. GERHOLT: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. We 3 will provide that to you shortly. 4 EXAMINER GOETZE: At your convenience. 5 6 Thank you. 7 MS. GERHOLT: That concludes my presentation of these cases. May my witnesses be 8 dismissed? 9 EXAMINER GOETZE: The witnesses may go 10 away, and at this point, we will take Case Numbers 11 14669, 14670, 14758 and 14759 under advisement. 12 (Case Numbers 14669, 14670, 14758 and 14759 13 conclude, 8:52 a.m.) 14 15 16 17 I do hereby certify that the foregoing is 18 a southere record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 19 neard by me on 20 , Examiner Oll Conservation Division 21 22 23 24 25

	Page 34
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	COUNTY OF BERNALILLO
3	
4	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
5	I, MARY C. HANKINS, New Mexico Certified
6	Court Reporter No. 20, and Registered Professional
7	Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported the
8	foregoing proceedings in stenographic shorthand and that
9	the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript of
10	those proceedings that were reduced to printed form by
11	me to the best of my ability.
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's
13	Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects
14	the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.
15	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
16	employed by nor related to any of the parties or
17	attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in
18	the final disposition of this case.
19	Mang C. Hanland
20	MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR
21	Paul Baca Court Reporters, Inc.
22	New Mexico CCR No. 20 Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2014
23	
24	
25	