	Page 1
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
2	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
3	IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR
4	THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:
5	APPLICATION OF COG OPERATING, LLC CASE NO. 15250 FOR A NONSTANDARD SPACING AND
6	PRORATION UNIT AND COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.
7	TOOLING, HEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.
8	
9	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
10	EXAMINER HEARING
11	January 8, 2015
12	Santa Fe, New Mexico
13	
14	January 8, 2015 Santa Fe, New Mexico BEFORE: MICHAEL McMILLAN, CHIEF EXAMINER GABRIEL WADE, LEGAL EXAMINER 22
15	~
16	
17	This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Michael McMillan,
18	Chief Examiner, and Gabriel Wade, Legal Examiner, on
19	Thursday, January 8, 2015, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Ruilding 1220 South St. Francis Drive Porter Hall
20	Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.
21	
22	REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR #20
23	Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105
24	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 (505) 843-9241
25	

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES	
2	FOR APPLICANT COG OPERATING, LLC:	
3	MICHAEL H. FELDEWERT, ESQ. HOLLAND & HART	
4	110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1	
5	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 (505) 988-4421	
6	mfeldewert@hollandhart.com	
7		
8	INDEX	
9	Case Number 15250 Called	PAGE 3
10	COG Operating, LLC's Case-in-Chief:	
11	Witnesses:	
12	David M. Wallace:	
13	Direct Examination by Mr. Feldewert Cross-Examination by Examiner McMillan	3 9
14	Henry Zollinger:	
16	Direct Examination by Mr. Feldewert Cross-Examination by Examiner McMillan	10 15
17	Proceedings Conclude	15
18	Certificate of Court Reporter	16
19		
20	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED	
21	COG Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 1 through 4	9
22	COG Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 5 through 7	15
23		
24		
25		

My name is David Michael Wallace with COG

25

Α.

- 1 Operating, LLC. I'm a landman.
- 2 Q. And have you previously testified before this
- 3 Division?
- 4 A. I have.
- 5 Q. And were your credentials outlined accepted by
- 6 the Division and made a matter of public record?
- 7 A. They were.
- 8 Q. Are you familiar, Mr. Wallace, with the
- 9 application that's been filed in this case?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And are you familiar with the status of the
- 12 lands in the subject area?
- 13 A. Yes.
- MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I would
- 15 tender Mr. Wallace as an expert witness in petroleum
- 16 land matters.
- 17 EXAMINER McMILLAN: So qualified.
- 18 Q. (BY MR. FELDEWERT) Mr. Wallace, would you
- 19 please turn to what's been marked as COG Exhibit Number
- 20 1? First identify it and then explain to the Examiners
- 21 what the company seeks under this particular
- 22 application.
- 23 A. This is a land plat depicting the Monet Federal
- 24 Com Number 10H acreage. We seek to create a nonstandard
- 25 spacing and proration unit for the west half-west half

- of Section 4, Township 25 South, Range 33 East, Lea
- 2 County, New Mexico. We seek to pool the mineral
- 3 interests underlying this 160 acres as to the Bone
- 4 Spring Formation.
- 5 Q. And this particular exhibit is a draft of the
- 6 C-102?
- 7 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Is that why you do not have an API number yet?
- 9 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 10 Q. What is the target of the proposed well?
- 11 A. The target is the Upper Avalon Shale.
- 12 Q. And did you visit with the Division's district
- 13 office about what pool would be involved with this
- 14 particular well?
- 15 A. That is correct. My office did.
- 16 Q. What pool did the district -- did the
- 17 Division's district office identify?
- 18 A. It's the Upper Bone Spring Shale pool, Number
- 19 97900.
- Q. And that's the Red Hills?
- 21 A. Yes. That's the Red Hills pool.
- Q. So the Red Hills-Upper Bone Spring Shale pool,
- 23 97900.
- 24 Will the completed interval for this well
- 25 comply with the Division setback requirements?

- 1 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. What are those setback requirements?
- 3 A. 330 feet from the south [SIC] line.
- 4 Q. Is the west half of the west half of Section 4
- 5 all federal lands?
- 6 A. It is.
- 7 Q. How many leases are involved?
- 8 A. There are two federal leases.
- 9 Q. And roughly -- well, if I turn to what's been
- 10 marked as COG Exhibit Number 2, does this provide the
- 11 breakdown of the two federal leases covering the west
- 12 half of the west half of Section 4?
- 13 A. That is correct.
- 14 Q. And it indicates that R&R Royalty owns 100
- 15 percent of the lease covering the northwest quarter of
- 16 the northwest quarter?
- 17 A. That is correct. And COG owns the rest of the
- 18 west half.
- 19 Q. Now, this case was continued for a couple of
- 20 weeks, correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. In that period of time, did the company confirm
- 23 that R&R Royalty owns 100 percent of that 40-acre tract?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. If I then turn to what's been marked as COG

- 1 Exhibit Number 3, is that a copy of the well-proposal
- 2 letter that was sent to this interest owner?
- 3 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And does the second -- or the last page of this
- 5 exhibit contain an AFE?
- 6 A. Yes, it does.
- 7 O. And are the costs reflected on that AFE
- 8 consistent with what the company has incurred for
- 9 similar horizontal wells in this area?
- 10 A. They are.
- 11 Q. If I look at the first page of Exhibit Number
- 12 3, does it identify the overhead and the administrative
- 13 costs that you have proposed for this well?
- 14 A. Yes, it does.
- 15 O. And what are those?
- A. We seek 7,000 a month for drilling and 700 a
- 17 month producing.
- 18 Q. And is that found on the first page of Exhibit
- 19 Number 3 about halfway down?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 O. And are these overhead rates consistent with
- 22 what operators are charging for similar wells in this
- 23 area?
- 24 A. They are.
- Q. Now, aside from sending this well-proposal

- 1 letter to R&R Royalty back in October, what other
- 2 efforts has the company undertaken to reach an agreement
- 3 with this interest owner?
- A. We've had -- I've had conversations with them
- 5 on the phone, e-mail correspondence, and we've started
- 6 negotiating an operating agreement.
- 7 Q. But you've been unable to finalize that
- 8 agreement yet?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. And in the event an agreement is reached, will
- 11 you release or dismiss this pooling application?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Now, in preparing for the hearing here today,
- 14 did the company identify the leased mineral interest
- 15 owners of the 40-acre tracts surrounding those
- 16 nonstandard spacing units?
- 17 A. Yes, we did.
- 18 Q. Did the company include these known leased
- 19 mineral interest owners in the notice of this hearing?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 O. If I turn to what's been marked as COG Exhibit
- 22 Number 4, is this an affidavit prepared by my office
- 23 with an attached letter providing notice of this hearing
- 24 to these parties?
- 25 A. It is.

- 1 O. Mr. Wallace, were Exhibits 1 through 3 prepared
- 2 by you or compiled under your direction and supervision?
- 3 A. They were.
- 4 MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I would move
- 5 admission into evidence COG Exhibits 1 through 4, which
- 6 includes my affidavit.
- 7 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Exhibits 1 through 4
- 8 may now be made part of the record.
- 9 (COG Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 1
- through 4 were offered and admitted into
- 11 evidence.)
- MR. FELDEWERT: That concludes my
- 13 examination of this witness.
- 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 15 BY EXAMINER McMILLAN:
- 16 Q. Were there any unlocatable interests?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. What is the dedicated acreage? It's not --
- 19 since there is a lot in there, is it, what, 100- --
- 20 A. We've got --
- 21 Q. -- 59.91?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 O. Is that correct?
- 24 A. It is the 39.91 plus 120. That would be 159 --
- 25 159.91. That's correct.

- 1 Q. I have no further questions at this time.
- 2 Thank you very much.
- 3 A. Thank you.
- 4 MR. FELDEWERT: Call our next witness.
- 5 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Certainly.
- 6 HENRY ZOLLINGER,
- 7 after having been previously sworn under oath, was
- 8 questioned and testified as follows:
- 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 10 BY MR. FELDEWERT:
- 11 Q. Would you please state your name, identify by
- 12 whom you are employed and in what capacity?
- 13 A. My name is Henry Zollinger. I am employed by
- 14 COG Operating, LLC, I'm a geologist.
- Q. And how long have you been a geologist with the
- 16 company?
- 17 A. For two-and-a-half years.
- 18 Q. Mr. Zollinger, have you had the opportunity to
- 19 previously testify before the Division?
- 20 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. At that time were your credentials as an expert
- 22 in petroleum geology accepted and made a matter of
- 23 public record?
- 24 A. Yes, they were.
- Q. Are you familiar with the application filed in

- 1 this case?
- 2 A. Yes, I am.
- 3 Q. And have you prepared a structure map and cross
- 4 section for the Examiners here today?
- 5 A., Yes, I did.
- 6 MR. FELDEWERT: I would tender
- 7 Mr. Zollinger as an expert witness in petroleum geology.
- 8 EXAMINER McMILLAN: So qualified.
- 9 Q. (BY MR. FELDEWERT) Mr. Zollinger, you heard
- 10 that the target for this proposed well is the Upper
- 11 Avalon Shale?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. If I turn to what's been marked as COG Exhibit
- 14 Number 5, is this a structure map that you prepared of
- 15 the Upper Avalon Shale?
- 16 A. Yes, it is.
- 17 O. And what does this Exhibit Number 5 show us?
- 18 A. Number 5 shows us the subsea contours for the
- 19 Upper Avalon Shale top and a 50-foot contour interval,
- 20 the line in cross section, which is the following
- 21 Exhibit 6, for the three wells, which are the red
- 22 circles connected by the red lines, COG's acreage in
- 23 yellow and the nearest producing wellbores in this
- 24 formation, which are down in Section 10 to the
- 25 southeast.

- 1 Q. Those are the green sticks?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 And then the dashed green line is the
- 4 proposed wellbore locations.
- 5 Q. And do you observe any structural abnormalities
- 6 in this area?
- 7 A. No, I don't.
- 8 Q. Now, you've indicated that you've identified on
- 9 Exhibit Number 5 the three wells that you have utilized
- 10 for your cross section?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. In your opinion, are those wells representative
- 13 of the area?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. If I then turn to what's been marked as COG
- 16 Exhibit Number 6, is this your -- are these the type
- 17 logs that correspond with the A to A prime cross section
- 18 shown on Exhibit Number 5?
- 19 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Would you orient the Examiners as to which
- 21 direction this falls?
- 22 A. Yes. The northwest-most well is on the left,
- 23 and the southeastern-most well is on the right. And the
- 24 well in the middle is in the center of Section 4, which
- 25 is the southwest section for the proposed well plan.

- 1 Q. What do you observe having conducted this
- 2 study?
- 3 A. That the reservoir for this section between
- 4 these three wells is consistent.
- 5 Q. Now, you have various lines shown on here.
- 6 What are you depicting?
- 7 A. The uppermost black line is the top of the
- 8 Upper Avalon Shale. The lowermost black line is the
- 9 base of the Upper Avalon Shale Formation.
- 10 Q. And, roughly, where is your landing interval
- 11 going for the well?
- 12 A. The landing interval will be around 9,300 in
- 13 the center wellbore, which is roughly halfway in between
- 14 the top and the bottom of this formation.
- 15 Q. Now, the company's proposing a full-section
- 16 horizontal well in Section 4?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Do you see any geologic impediments to
- 19 developing this area using full-section horizontal
- 20 wells?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. In your opinion, is this an area that can be
- 23 efficiently and economically developed by horizontal
- 24 wells?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And in your opinion, will the proposed west
- 2 half nonstandard -- west half-west half nonstandard
- 3 spacing unit contribute more or less equally to
- 4 production from the well?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 O. If I then turn to what's been marked as COG
- 7 Exhibit Number 7, is this a diagram of the proposed
- 8 well?
- 9 A. It is.
- 10 Q. And does this diagram reflect that the
- 11 completed interval of this well will comply with the
- 12 Division setback requirements?
- 13 A. Yes, it does.
- 14 Q. In your opinion, will the granting of this
- 15 application be in the best interest of conservation, the
- 16 prevention of waste and the protection of correlative
- 17 rights?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Mr. Zollinger, were Exhibits -- COG Exhibits 5
- 20 through 7 prepared by you or compiled under your
- 21 direction or supervision?
- 22 A. They were.
- MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I'd move the
- 24 admission into evidence Exhibits 5 through 7.
- 25 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Exhibits 5 through 7

	Page 15
1	may now be accepted as part of the record.
2	(COG Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 5
3	through 7 were offered and admitted into
4	evidence.)
5	MR. FELDEWERT: And that concludes my
6	examination of this witness.
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION
8	BY EXAMINER McMILLAN:
9	Q. This well has not been drilled, correct?
10	A. It has not been drilled. That is correct.
11	EXAMINER McMILLAN: I have no further
12	questions, and Case Number 15250 will be taken under
13	advisement.
14	Thank you very much.
15	MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you.
16	(Case Number 15250 concludes, 9:39 a.m.)
17	
18	
19	
20	i co hereby contify that the foregoing is a corplate record of the proceedings in
21	heard by me on
22	, Examiner
23	Oll Conservation Division
24	
25	

Page 16
STATE OF NEW MEXICO
COUNTY OF BERNALILLO
CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
I, MARY C. HANKINS, New Mexico Certified
Court Reporter No. 20, and Registered Professional
Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported the
foregoing proceedings in stenographic shorthand and that
the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript of
those proceedings that were reduced to printed form by
me to the best of my ability.
I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's
Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects
the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.
I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
employed by nor related to any of the parties or
attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in
the final disposition of this case.
May C. Hankins
MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR
Paul Baca Court Reporters, Inc.
New Mexico CCR No. 20 Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2015