STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY) THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE) PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:)

IN THE MATTER OF CASE 13,242 BEING) CASE NOS. 13,242 REOPENED PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF) DIVISION ORDER NO. R-12,133, WHICH ORDER) PROMULGATED TEMPORARY SPECIAL POOL RULES) FOR THE QUERECHO PLAINS-STRAWN POOL,) LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO)

IN THE MATTER OF CASE 13,243 BEING) REOPENED PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF) DIVISION ORDER NO. R-12,134, WHICH ORDER) PROMULGATED TEMPORARY SPECIAL POOL RULES) FOR THE YOUNG-STRAWN POOL, LEA COUNTY,) NEW MEXICO)

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: DAVID R. CATANACH, Hearing Examiner

November 3rd, 2005

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, DAVID R. CATANACH, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday November 3rd, 2005, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317 1

an 🛱 13,243

Ed

ے۔ (ConsoLidated)

ORIGINAL

INDEX

November 3rd, 2005 Examiner Hearing CASE NOS. 13,242 and 13,243 (Consolidated)

PAGE

4

18

25

APPLICANT'S WITNESS:

<u>BRYAN M. MONTGOMERY</u> (Engineer) Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce Examination by Examiner Catanach

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

* * *

EXHIBITS

Applicant'sIdentifiedAdmittedExhibit 1518Exhibit 2818Exhibit 31318

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY:

JAMES G. BRUCE Attorney at Law P.O. Box 1056 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

* * *

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 2 8:20 a.m.: EXAMINER CATANACH: At this time I will call Case 3 13,242, which is in the matter of Case 13,242 being 4 5 reopened pursuant to the provisions of Division Order 6 Number R-12,133, which order promulgated temporary special 7 pool rules for the Querecho Plains-Strawn Pool in Lea County, New Mexico. 8 Call for appearances in this case? 9 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of Santa Fe, 10 11 representing the Applicant. I have one witness, and I'd ask that this case be consolidated for hearing with Case 12 13 13,243. EXAMINER CATANACH: All right, at this time I'll 14 15 call Case 13,243, in the matter of Case 13,243 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Division Order 16 17 Number R-12,134, which order promulgated temporary special 18 pool rules for the Young-Strawn Pool in Lea County, New Mexico. 19 20 Call for any additional appearances in these 21 cases? 22 Okay, there being no additional cases, you may 23 proceed, Mr. Bruce. 24 Oh, sorry, witness please stand to be sworn in. 25 (Thereupon, the witness was sworn.)

	4
1	BRYAN M. MONTGOMERY,
2	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
3	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. BRUCE:
6	Q. Would you please state your name for the record?
7	A. My name is Bryan Montgomery.
8	EXAMINER CATANACH: I'm sorry, who are you
9	appearing on behalf of?
10	MR. BRUCE: For Mewbourne Oil Company.
11	Q. (By Mr. Bruce) Sorry, go ahead, Bryan.
12	A. My name is Bryan Montgomery.
13	Q. And where do you reside?
14	A. In Tyler, Texas.
15	Q. Who do you work for and in what capacity?
16	A. I work for Mewbourne Oil Company as a reservoir
17	engineer.
18	Q. Have you previously testified before the
19	Division?
20	A. I have.
21	Q. And were your credentials as an expert reservoir
22	engineer accepted as a matter of record?
23	A. They were.
24	Q. And are you familiar with the engineering matters
25	involved in these two cases?

· · · · ·

4

	5
1	A. Iam.
2	Q. And was Mewbourne the original applicant in these
3	two cases?
4	A. Yes, that's correct.
5	Q. And did you testify on behalf of Mewbourne at the
6	original hearing?
7	A. Yes, I did.
8	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I tender Mr. Montgomery
9	as an expert reservoir engineer.
10	EXAMINER CATANACH: He is so qualified.
11	Q. (By Mr. Bruce) Mr. Montgomery, could you
12	identify Exhibit 1, identify the two pools we're talking
13	about today and just give a little more information on the
14	Strawn pools in this area?
15	A. Yes, Exhibit 1 is a map showing an area in Eddy
16	County in Township 18-32, that shows some Strawn pools
17	outlined. The wells that you see spotted on the map are
18	penetrations that penetrated at least to the Strawn. The
19	wells that have a blue dot around them are wells that have
20	produced from the Strawn.
21	And you see three pools and a part of a fourth
22	pool in the south. The Lusk-Strawn is the large pool we
23	have just the edge of there. Then north of that, the North
24	Lusk-Strawn Pool. And then north of that the two pools in
25	question today, the Young-Strawn Pool and the Querecho

1 | Plains-Strawn Pool.

*	
2	And noted on the map are the pool rules for these
3	pools, and also cumulative production next to the well
4	spots. I'll be talking about these wells, and I apologize,
5	I don't have the well names on here, but we'll go through
6	six of these wells in particular.
7	And if you look at the Young-Strawn Pool outline,
8	there's two wells in Section 17. The one to the north is
9	the SF 17 Number 1, and the one in the southeast quarter is
10	the SF 17 Number 2. And just south of that in Section 20
11	is the Young Deep Well, I believe they call it.
12	And then over in the Querecho Plains-Strawn Pool,
13	there was a well in the southeast quarter of Section 22
14	that is the Mewbourne SF 22 Number 1 that I'll be talking
15	about. And then in the southwest quarter of the pool, the
16	original well for that pool is the Querecho Plains Unit
17	Number 1. And then in the northwest quarter with the blue
18	dot, the producing well, would be the Querecho Plains
19	Number 2, originally drilled by Pecos.
20	Q. Okay. Now, as shown on your map, you've also
21	included GOR and spacing data. Most of these pools in this
22	area have been developed on something in excess of

23 statewide rules, have they not?

A. That's correct, most of them are special pool rules. You notice the Lusk-Strawn Pool, 160-acre spacing

with a 4000-to-1 GOR; the North Lusk-Strawn Pool, 40-acre
spacing with a 20,000-to-1 GOR, and it had a special pool
no, I believe that was statewide, 365 barrels of oil per
day.
Q. And they did recently about the time these
hearings were instituted, they did the operator in that
pool did get a special GOR for that pool, did it not?
A. Right, that 20,000-to-1 was a special. Yeah,
that's the only thing special about those pool rules; their
GORs had gotten very high.
Q. Now, in you'll address this later the GOR
in the two pools today, the Querecho Plains and the Young-
Strong, has statewide GOR of 2000-to-1, does it not?
A. That's correct.
Q. And at the time of the hearing, a 2000-to-1 or
excuse me, I believe Mewbourne requested a 4000-to-1 GOR?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now, that was denied; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Did At this time, is a 4000-to-1 GOR
necessary?
A. It's not necessary in that the gas allowables are
used in conjunction with the oil allowables, and no wells
can produce higher than the calculation that follows that.
But the wells are all over about 4000 GOR at this time.

• • • • • • • • •

7

1 They weren't at the initial hearing.

Okay. Well, let's discuss first the Querecho 2 0. Plains-Strawn Pool. What does Exhibit 2 represent? 3 Exhibit 2 is a table on the first page, and then 4 Ά. I believe some decline curves stapled behind that. And 5 just looking at the table first, and then we'll go to the 6 decline curves and maybe come back to the table, we see the 7 three wells that I'm going to talk about in this pool. 8 There's a fourth well in Section 15 to the north that is a 9 very poor producer and I have left off, so this is not 10 every well in the pool on this table. 11 But on this table what we have are initial dates 12 that the wells were completed, porosity feet of the wells, 13 or a combination as you'll see in a minute of an area where 14 there may be some communication based on logs. 15 I don't have those today. We had those in the original exhibit, 16 17 and nothing's changed there. The cumulative production and the estimated 18 19 ultimate recoveries for oil and gas for these wells, that will be based on the decline curves we'll look at in a 20 minute. 21 And then the drainage area calculated 22 volumetrically from those estimated ultimate recoveries 23 using similar -- or the same actual factors that we used in 24

the original hearing. That would have been a 30-percent

25

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

1recovery factor that you don't see on this exhibit, I2apologize, and a 15-percent water saturation. And then the3original formation volume factors of these wells.4So this table shows, I think, that the SF 225Number 1, it is my estimation, will be draining and6affecting 157 acres, and that the Querecho Plains Unit7Number 1 and Number 2 in conjunction, when you add the8reserves together, are affecting about 105 acres. And the9reason I add those together is that when the Number 2 well10was drilled there was a very low DST pressure, you may11remember from the first hearing, and it showed very12significant communication between those wells, and I felt13it simpler and more appropriate to combine the production14from the two wells, combine the porosity feet that's an15average of the two wells, and then calculate the area that16they were both affecting.17The Querecho Plains Unit Number 1 has stopped18producing from the Strawn, is now in the Morrow, back down19deeper. And the Number 2 is producing still, and we'll see20those on the decline curves on the next few pages.21I'm not sure which one you have first there,23I'm not sure which one you have first there,24Judge25EXAMINER CATANACH: Number 1.		
3original formation volume factors of these wells.4So this table shows, I think, that the SF 225Number 1, it is my estimation, will be draining and6affecting 157 acres, and that the Querecho Plains Unit7Number 1 and Number 2 in conjunction, when you add the8reserves together, are affecting about 105 acres. And the9reason I add those together is that when the Number 2 well10was drilled there was a very low DST pressure, you may11remember from the first hearing, and it showed very12significant communication between those wells, and I felt13it simpler and more appropriate to combine the production14from the two wells, combine the porosity feet that's an15average of the two wells, and then calculate the area that16they were both affecting.17The Querecho Plains Unit Number 1 has stopped18producing from the Strawn, is now in the Morrow, back down19deeper. And the Number 2 is producing still, and we'll see20those on the decline curves on the next few pages.21So if we'll just turn to those, then we can come22back to this table.23I'm not sure which one you have first there,24Judge	1	recovery factor that you don't see on this exhibit, I
4So this table shows, I think, that the SF 225Number 1, it is my estimation, will be draining and6affecting 157 acres, and that the Querecho Plains Unit7Number 1 and Number 2 in conjunction, when you add the8reserves together, are affecting about 105 acres. And the9reason I add those together is that when the Number 2 well10was drilled there was a very low DST pressure, you may11remember from the first hearing, and it showed very12significant communication between those wells, and I felt13it simpler and more appropriate to combine the production14from the two wells, combine the porosity feet that's an15average of the two wells, and then calculate the area that16they were both affecting.17The Querecho Plains Unit Number 1 has stopped18producing from the Strawn, is now in the Morrow, back down19deeper. And the Number 2 is producing still, and we'll see20those on the decline curves on the next few pages.21I'm not sure which one you have first there,22Judge	2	apologize, and a 15-percent water saturation. And then the
5Number 1, it is my estimation, will be draining and6affecting 157 acres, and that the Querecho Plains Unit7Number 1 and Number 2 in conjunction, when you add the8reserves together, are affecting about 105 acres. And the9reason I add those together is that when the Number 2 well10was drilled there was a very low DST pressure, you may11remember from the first hearing, and it showed very12significant communication between those wells, and I felt13it simpler and more appropriate to combine the production14from the two wells, combine the porosity feet that's an15average of the two wells, and then calculate the area that16they were both affecting.17The Querecho Plains Unit Number 1 has stopped18producing from the Strawn, is now in the Morrow, back down19deeper. And the Number 2 is producing still, and we'll see20those on the decline curves on the next few pages.21So if we'll just turn to those, then we can come22back to this table.23I'm not sure which one you have first there,24Judge	3	original formation volume factors of these wells.
 affecting 157 acres, and that the Querecho Plains Unit Number 1 and Number 2 in conjunction, when you add the reserves together, are affecting about 105 acres. And the reason I add those together is that when the Number 2 well was drilled there was a very low DST pressure, you may remember from the first hearing, and it showed very significant communication between those wells, and I felt it simpler and more appropriate to combine the production from the two wells, combine the porosity feet that's an average of the two wells, and then calculate the area that they were both affecting. The Querecho Plains Unit Number 1 has stopped producing from the Strawn, is now in the Morrow, back down deeper. And the Number 2 is producing still, and we'll see those on the decline curves on the next few pages. So if we'll just turn to those, then we can come back to this table. I'm not sure which one you have first there, Judge 	4	So this table shows, I think, that the SF 22
Number 1 and Number 2 in conjunction, when you add the reserves together, are affecting about 105 acres. And the reason I add those together is that when the Number 2 well was drilled there was a very low DST pressure, you may remember from the first hearing, and it showed very significant communication between those wells, and I felt it simpler and more appropriate to combine the production from the two wells, combine the porosity feet that's an average of the two wells, and then calculate the area that they were both affecting. The Querecho Plains Unit Number 1 has stopped producing from the Strawn, is now in the Morrow, back down deeper. And the Number 2 is producing still, and we'll see those on the decline curves on the next few pages. So if we'll just turn to those, then we can come back to this table. I'm not sure which one you have first there, Judge	5	Number 1, it is my estimation, will be draining and
8 reserves together, are affecting about 105 acres. And the 9 reason I add those together is that when the Number 2 well 10 was drilled there was a very low DST pressure, you may 11 remember from the first hearing, and it showed very 12 significant communication between those wells, and I felt 13 it simpler and more appropriate to combine the production 14 from the two wells, combine the porosity feet that's an 15 average of the two wells, and then calculate the area that 16 they were both affecting. 17 The Querecho Plains Unit Number 1 has stopped 18 producing from the Strawn, is now in the Morrow, back down 19 deeper. And the Number 2 is producing still, and we'll see 10 those on the decline curves on the next few pages. 21 So if we'll just turn to those, then we can come 22 back to this table. 23 I'm not sure which one you have first there, 24 Judge	6	affecting 157 acres, and that the Querecho Plains Unit
9 reason I add those together is that when the Number 2 well was drilled there was a very low DST pressure, you may remember from the first hearing, and it showed very significant communication between those wells, and I felt it simpler and more appropriate to combine the production from the two wells, combine the porosity feet that's an average of the two wells, and then calculate the area that they were both affecting. The Querecho Plains Unit Number 1 has stopped producing from the Strawn, is now in the Morrow, back down deeper. And the Number 2 is producing still, and we'll see those on the decline curves on the next few pages. So if we'll just turn to those, then we can come back to this table. I'm not sure which one you have first there, Judge	7	Number 1 and Number 2 in conjunction, when you add the
10 was drilled there was a very low DST pressure, you may 11 remember from the first hearing, and it showed very 12 significant communication between those wells, and I felt 13 it simpler and more appropriate to combine the production 14 from the two wells, combine the porosity feet that's an 15 average of the two wells, and then calculate the area that 16 they were both affecting. 17 The Querecho Plains Unit Number 1 has stopped 18 producing from the Strawn, is now in the Morrow, back down 19 deeper. And the Number 2 is producing still, and we'll see 10 those on the decline curves on the next few pages. 21 So if we'll just turn to those, then we can come 22 back to this table. 23 I'm not sure which one you have first there, 24 Judge	8	reserves together, are affecting about 105 acres. And the
remember from the first hearing, and it showed very significant communication between those wells, and I felt it simpler and more appropriate to combine the production from the two wells, combine the porosity feet that's an average of the two wells, and then calculate the area that they were both affecting. The Querecho Plains Unit Number 1 has stopped producing from the Strawn, is now in the Morrow, back down deeper. And the Number 2 is producing still, and we'll see those on the decline curves on the next few pages. So if we'll just turn to those, then we can come back to this table. I'm not sure which one you have first there, Judge	9	reason I add those together is that when the Number 2 well
12 significant communication between those wells, and I felt 13 it simpler and more appropriate to combine the production 14 from the two wells, combine the porosity feet that's an 15 average of the two wells, and then calculate the area that 16 they were both affecting. 17 The Querecho Plains Unit Number 1 has stopped 18 producing from the Strawn, is now in the Morrow, back down 19 deeper. And the Number 2 is producing still, and we'll see 20 those on the decline curves on the next few pages. 21 So if we'll just turn to those, then we can come 22 back to this table. 23 I'm not sure which one you have first there, 24 Judge	10	was drilled there was a very low DST pressure, you may
13 it simpler and more appropriate to combine the production 14 from the two wells, combine the porosity feet that's an 15 average of the two wells, and then calculate the area that 16 they were both affecting. 17 The Querecho Plains Unit Number 1 has stopped 18 producing from the Strawn, is now in the Morrow, back down 19 deeper. And the Number 2 is producing still, and we'll see 20 those on the decline curves on the next few pages. 21 So if we'll just turn to those, then we can come 22 back to this table. 23 I'm not sure which one you have first there, 24 Judge	11	remember from the first hearing, and it showed very
14 from the two wells, combine the porosity feet that's an 15 average of the two wells, and then calculate the area that 16 they were both affecting. 17 The Querecho Plains Unit Number 1 has stopped 18 producing from the Strawn, is now in the Morrow, back down 19 deeper. And the Number 2 is producing still, and we'll see 20 those on the decline curves on the next few pages. 21 So if we'll just turn to those, then we can come 22 back to this table. 23 I'm not sure which one you have first there, 24 Judge	12	significant communication between those wells, and I felt
15 average of the two wells, and then calculate the area that 16 they were both affecting. 17 The Querecho Plains Unit Number 1 has stopped 18 producing from the Strawn, is now in the Morrow, back down 19 deeper. And the Number 2 is producing still, and we'll see 20 those on the decline curves on the next few pages. 21 So if we'll just turn to those, then we can come 22 back to this table. 23 I'm not sure which one you have first there, 24 Judge	13	it simpler and more appropriate to combine the production
16 they were both affecting. 17 The Querecho Plains Unit Number 1 has stopped 18 producing from the Strawn, is now in the Morrow, back down 19 deeper. And the Number 2 is producing still, and we'll see 20 those on the decline curves on the next few pages. 21 So if we'll just turn to those, then we can come 22 back to this table. 23 I'm not sure which one you have first there, 24 Judge	14	from the two wells, combine the porosity feet that's an
 The Querecho Plains Unit Number 1 has stopped producing from the Strawn, is now in the Morrow, back down deeper. And the Number 2 is producing still, and we'll see those on the decline curves on the next few pages. So if we'll just turn to those, then we can come back to this table. I'm not sure which one you have first there, Judge 	15	average of the two wells, and then calculate the area that
18 producing from the Strawn, is now in the Morrow, back down 19 deeper. And the Number 2 is producing still, and we'll see 20 those on the decline curves on the next few pages. 21 So if we'll just turn to those, then we can come 22 back to this table. 23 I'm not sure which one you have first there, 24 Judge	16	they were both affecting.
19 deeper. And the Number 2 is producing still, and we'll see 20 those on the decline curves on the next few pages. 21 So if we'll just turn to those, then we can come 22 back to this table. 23 I'm not sure which one you have first there, 24 Judge	17	The Querecho Plains Unit Number 1 has stopped
20 those on the decline curves on the next few pages. 21 So if we'll just turn to those, then we can come 22 back to this table. 23 I'm not sure which one you have first there, 24 Judge	18	producing from the Strawn, is now in the Morrow, back down
So if we'll just turn to those, then we can come back to this table. I'm not sure which one you have first there, Judge	19	deeper. And the Number 2 is producing still, and we'll see
22 back to this table. 23 I'm not sure which one you have first there, 24 Judge	20	those on the decline curves on the next few pages.
23 I'm not sure which one you have first there, 24 Judge	21	So if we'll just turn to those, then we can come
24 Judge	22	back to this table.
	23	I'm not sure which one you have first there,
25 EXAMINER CATANACH: Number 1.	24	Judge
	25	EXAMINER CATANACH: Number 1.

The Number 1 Querecho Unit, SF THE WITNESS: 1 Number 1? Okay. Well, let's flip to the Querecho Plains 2 Unit Number 1, because it was the first well drilled. And 3 just a guick reminder of what we went through before, and 4 there's not much new here. The well had production prior 5 to 1970, so it's not shown on the monthly plot here that I 6 get from the public data service that we use, but it shows 7 the oil in green, the gas in red, and the gas-oil ratio in 8 9 an aqua-blue-type color.

And what we see is, over on the right-hand side, 10 some cumulative production numbers where the gas is 11 1,124,702 MCF, the oil 546,451 thousand barrels of oil. 12 And what we found was, when this well was out there and we 13 wanted to offset it, that we had a lot of cumulative 14 15 production and a question about drainage, but a GOR that 16 only gotten up to maybe 3000 to 4000 after all those years. 17 And these initially come on more like 1500 to 1800 GOR.

So we went in and drilled the SF 22. And if 18 19 we'll flip back to that well, on the decline curve, the 20 colors are the same. You see the oil and the gas and the 21 It looks a little different, but we did start out GORs. 22 with a fairly low GOR. We had a DST that had almost virgin 23 pressure, and so we knew we were very poorly connected to 24 the production of this first well, which was a good sign in 25 that we would have some good pressure and some reserves.

> STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

1	We did come on at very high rates, and the State
2	allowed us a 720-oil-per-day allowable, which was used with
3	the 2000 GOR to produce these few months or couple years
4	that you see here. The cumulative production in oil is
5	about 150,000 barrels of oil, and we still have remaining
6	reserves. We put the well on pump, oh, about halfway
7	through what you see there I think it was early '05
8	and the well is still very economic and doing just fine.
9	So what I did was made a projection for the
10	remaining reserves of this well, for oil and gas to use in
11	our drainage calculations back on the first table. The GOR
12	here, you see, was closer to 1000, maybe 1200, and has come
13	up now to maybe 3000 GOR.
14	The next well drilled, and the last well on this
15	exhibit, is the Querecho Unit Number 2, and it was drilled
16	about the same time, a little after our well, and this is
17	the one they encountered the high pressures low
18	pressures from a DST. And the well has performed nicely.
19	It's not as strong of a well. In fact, I have it with
20	30,000 barrels of cumulative oil production and about
21	56,000 ultimate oil production, which is about what I would
22	have given the old initial well, had I just put the old
23	decline curve in a projection mode and said how much longer
24	would that old well would have gone. And it had been
25	producing for many, many years.

in in the second second

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

So it looks like they're picking up at least most 1 of what the original Querecho 1 left behind, in a much 2 faster rate. They have a much better-looking log. The 3 well was obviously -- the Querecho Unit Number 1 was 4 obviously draining from this compartment all those years. 5 The GOR here, of course, was higher just because 6 the pressure was lower, and the nature of these oil 7 reservoirs are to increase in GOR as the pressure declines. 8 9 And so it started higher and has been bouncing around but close to 6000 to 7000 GOR ever since initial production. 10 So going back to the original table, those 11 ultimate recoveries that you see that I used were used in a 12 13 volumetric equation to calculate drainage area. And what I think we have here are wells that are certainly capable of 14 draining over 40 acres, maybe up to 160 acres, just 15 depending what they're connected to. And that 80-acre 16 spacing is appropriate and the oil allowable was 17 appropriate. We don't have wells that can still produce 18 19 720 barrels of oil per day, but certainly the prolific 20 wells could do that initially. It was not harmful to the 21 reservoir. 22 And the GOR, if it remains at 2000, will not make a material effect on Mewbourne, but the GORs are probably 23 24 closer to 4000 or slightly greater at this point in time in

25

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

this field. And so I think if we kept the rules just like

1	they were as temporary, we would be fine, we would protect
2	correlative rights and we would prevent waste.
3	Q. (By Mr. Bruce) Why don't you move on, then, to
4	Exhibit 3 and discuss the results of the wells drilled in
5	the Young-Strawn Pool?
6	A. Exhibit 3 is the same type of exhibit, and of
7	course these fields are just a mile apart, but we feel
8	they're separated. There have been wells drilled in
9	between the two. They have their own story, and these
10	Strawn reservoirs can be isolated like this. And so what
11	we've done is analyze the Young-Strawn Pool as its own
12	reservoir compartment.
13	And if we look at the three Well, let's start
14	with the table. The table shows the three wells, and these
15	are the only wells in the Young-Strawn Pool. It's also an
16	80-acre spacing temporarily with a 2000-to-1 GOR and a 720-
17	barrel-of-oil-per-day top allowable.
18	The Young Federal Number 1 was the original well
19	drilled in this pool, in Section 20, and I show it has a
20	drainage area of about 70 acres based on my remaining
21	reserve estimates of the well's future production. The
22	well has cumulated about 106,000 barrels, and I expect it
23	to make a little more to get to 120,000 barrels of oil. It
24	came on in June of 1975.
25	And then we drilled our two Mewbourne wells to

the north, the 17 Number 1, which encountered a poor Strawn that may not be connected very well either to the main reservoir, and then the 17 Number 2 with a better-looking log and certainly better performance and more likely connected to the Strawn reserves that the Young Federal had encountered.

I show the 17 Federal Number 1 to drain maybe 17 7 acres and the 17 Number 2 151 acres. These areas seem 8 reasonable to me. And I might note that on the Exhibit 1 9 that we talked about there's a blue outline, and it's not 10 11 meant that that blue outline be the drainage area represented with these calculations; it's just a cartoon 12 drawing to show the outline of the producers in the pool. 13 But these drainage areas could be superimposed, you know, 14 onto the well spots to give an idea of what's affecting 15 16 what.

Going through the rest of the exhibit, there's 17 three decline curves, and if we start with the well that's 18 called the Young Federal Number 1, we see it came on in 19 1975 and has produced ever since, until about 2000, where 20 they tried some other zones as the well had gotten down to 21 four barrels of oil a day. Then they didn't do too well in 22 those other zones, and they came back to the Strawn. 23 There was a little increase in production, flush production, if 24 25 you will, and the well has pulled back down close to the

old rate where they left it in 2000. So I have projected 1 that trend prior to 2000, from here forward, to give me the 2 ultimate recovery for this well. 3 The GOR here, you can see, bounced around through 4 the history of the well based on probably how the well was 5 produced, pumped or not pumped, or loading up. 6 The GORs went from 1000 to 3000 over the life of the well, sort of 7 In the end it was probably slowly increasing 8 up and down. up to 2000 or 2500 GOR, and that's where it's at right 9 about now. 10 So what we did was, we -- Mewbourne Oil Company 11 drilled a couple wells to the north. And if you flip over 12 to the 17 Federal Com Number 1, the first well we drilled, 13 we really didn't get much of a connection to the main 14 reservoir, and we didn't do very well on results. Probably 15 in retrospect it was not an economic well. It has cum'd 16 close to 6000 barrels of oil, and I only project it to make 17 7000. The GOR does show a trend going from 2000-to-1 up to 18 4000 or 5000-to-1. But it's not much of a well, and it's 19 discounted in my analysis. 20 21 The 17 Number 2 is a good well. If we flip over to it, we see it came on with high initial rates where we 22 did need the higher initial oil allowable. 23 The GORs did rapidly increase on this well, showing less of a tank, more 24 25 of a depletion, whether that's competing and connected to

-	
1	the Section 20 well, which I believe is probably the case,
2	you just never know. It had DST pressures that were fairly
3	high, so we think there could be some connection, but it
4	wasn't being drained from the well in 20. And we found
5	that to be the case because we're going to make pretty good
6	cumulative and ultimate production from this well. In
7	fact, it'll be very similar to the well in 20. The
8	cumulative production here shows 71,000 barrels or so of
9	oil, and remaining reserves will give an ultimate recovery
10	of 108,000 barrels of oil.
11	So flipping back to the table and using those
12	ultimate recoveries and the logs that were shown in the
13	previous hearing PVT properties, recovery factors, like
14	I said, were 30 percent we come up with these drainage
15	areas. And to repeat, the Young Federal Number 1
16	calculates about 70 acres. I want to say, when we last did
17	this, I was calculating 55 or 60 acres, and so there's a
18	little change there, I think, with respect of some extra
19	oil.
20	The SF 17 Number 1 and 2 were just beginning to
21	be produced, and so we didn't have an estimate there what
22	they would ultimately do, other than some guesswork, maybe,
23	on what they might drain. But now that we have some
24	production, I think we can see they're feeling acreage
25	drainage areas that are in the range of these areas here,
-	

1	where the 17 Number 1 would be 17 acres and the 17 Number 2
2	151 acres. So again, here we have greater than 40-acre
3	drainage estimates, up to maybe 160-acre-type numbers, and
4	80 acres seems reasonable to me.
5	Q. Okay, so you have drainage from 15 to 150 acres,
6	and it seems to be kind of variable by wells, is it not?
7	A. It is very much so.
8	Q. And based on this, do you think the 80-acre
9	spacing should be left in effect?
10	A. I do.
11	Q. And although we're not here to discuss the GOR
12	today, it appears that most of these wells have over time,
13	in these two pools, gotten up to producing at what, 4000 to
14	5000 GOR at a producing
15	A. Right, each one's a little different. It's
16	amazing. They're not all the same, but yes, that would be
17	a good range of estimates, 4000 to 5000.
18	Q. But because of the natural decline in these wells
19	at this point, you don't need a higher GOR?
20	A. Right, it would the GOR would not restrict
21	Mewbourne because if we stay at 720 barrels of oil per day,
22	multiply times 2000, none of the wells can do that 1.4
23	million cubic feet a day.
24	Q. And in your opinion will leaving the spacing at
25	80 acres per well result in the prevention of waste?

	10
1	A. Yes, this will prevent waste.
2	Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 3 prepared by you or
3	under your supervision?
4	A. They were.
5	MR. BRUCE: And with that, Mr. Examiner, I'd move
6	the admission of Exhibits 1 through 3.
7	EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibits 1 through 3 will be
8	admitted.
9	EXAMINATION
10	BY EXAMINER CATANACH:
11	Q. Mr. Montgomery, which wells does Mewbourne
12	operate in this pool
13	A. Mewbourne operates
14	Q these pools?
15	A in the Young-Strawn Pool, the 17 Number 1 in
16	the northeast of 17, and the 17 Number 2 in the southeast
17	of 17, and Chesapeake operates the well in Section 20.
18	In the Querecho Plains-Strawn Pool, Mewbourne
19	operates only the SF 22 Number 1 in the southeast of
20	Section 22. The other wells were, at the time of the last
21	hearing, operated by Pecos, and I believe now are operated
22	by Chesapeake; is that correct?
23	MR. BRUCE: (Nods)
24	THE WITNESS: Chesapeake.
25	Q. (By Examiner Catanach) Including the well in

18

-	
1	Section 15, or is that still producing?
2	A. It shows to be plugged out on my map, and I don't
3	have the curve here to answer that with any assurance, but
4	I believe that well was plugged out many years ago.
5	Q. So what do you attribute the differences in the
6	drainage areas for these wells?
7	A. I think it's mostly geologic, I think it's what
8	you're connected to that counts. And it obviously also
9	matters if you're competing with another well. We've had
10	other fields, as you know, in other areas where across the
11	lease line there's competition. We feel like we see that
12	with pressures or rates.
13	So if you had more than one well geologically
14	connected, that would be important for drainage areas. But
15	mostly I think it's geologically, what porosity, net
16	porosity, you would have. If you had good net porosity and
17	good perm, these wells are able to drain fairly large
18	areas. I don't know how large could it go, may be your
19	question, but it looks here like 160 acres is not
20	unreasonable. And I think that's what the Lusk pool is on.
21	There probably is some testimony to that effect in that
22	pool.
23	Q. Did you guys, when you drilled the two wells in
24	each pool fairly close to each other, did you see any
25	effect on each other?

1	A. No, the effects we saw the 17 Number 1 and 2,
2	the 17-1 was so poor it was hard to have seen any effects,
3	but we didn't have a pressure on that well. Let me get my
4	notes out to be sure. Right, we don't have good pressure
5	data on the 17-1 and -2. But I do believe the GOR is some
6	indication of if the well When you complete a well,
7	if you've been drained by another well, your GOR will start
8	higher. It depends on how much drainage, of course, and
9	how big the tank is. But since we had lower GORs, I felt
10	like the Young well in 20 was not connected to the 17 wells
11	in a strong way strong, as in a powerful way. But there
12	may have been some mild conductivity, because the areas
13	suggest they might be touching.
14	In this Querecho Pool there was much more
15	evidence of connectivity. Our own well and the 22 had
16	slightly lower than virgin pressure, but just slightly. So
17	there was some slight connectivity to the half-a-million-
18	barrel well, the Querecho Number 1, the old well.
19	Whereas the Pecos drilled well, the Querecho
20	Number 2, had 1300 pounds, a very high GOR initially. So I
21	felt like preferentially the well the old well in the
22	middle of 22, the half-a-million-barrel well, Querecho
23	Number 1, was probably draining from the northwest. And
24	it's likely it's just simply the porosity and permeability
25	went that way, and that there was another pod where we

_	
1	found it in the southeast of 22 that had a barrier of
2	sorts, either permeability or porosity or a fault, or some
3	kind of barrier to keep the drainage from being too extreme
4	and only slight.
5	Q. And these are very small producing sections,
6	right, in the Strawn?
7	A. They are. They are not really supermassive
8	intervals is that what you mean? heightwise?
9	Q. Uh-huh.
10	A. Yes, the Strawn itself is fairly thick, 100, 200
11	feet But most of it is low-porosity rock. And what you
12	find, there's 10- to 20- to 30-foot sections total in that
13	whole 100 to 200 feet with porosity that would be
14	considered productive, net pay and porous and permeable.
15	And it's interspersed in the Strawn. There is, I think,
16	good vertical permeability. Sometimes you have fracturing,
17	at the wellbore at least, in the Strawn like this. But
18	there are certainly compartments, inside compartments, if
19	you will?
20	Q. And these pools are definitely isolated from each
21	other, right?
22	A. Yes, I think so. I think so. We have the
23	penetrations between there to help us, we have other
24	information to help us say that.
25	Q. Uh-huh. So at this time there is no plans to

	22
1	drill any additional wells in these pools?
2	A. None by Mewbourne, or any that we know of.
3	I might note that Section 22 had a well drilled
4	just south of the big, half-a-million-barrel well, and that
5	is a new well by Pecos. We had a small interest, we
6	declined to participate in that well. But they did not
7	find the Strawn productive, just 40 acres to the south of
8	that half-a-million-barrel well.
9	Q. I'm sorry, you're talking about in Section 27?
10	A. Section 22 I'm sorry, if you look in Section
11	22, in the southwest quarter in the southeast of the
12	southwest quarter
13	Q. Uh-huh.
14	A that is a new penetration I should have
15	pointed that out from the previous hearing, but is not a
16	producer in the Strawn. But it did penetrate what would
17	have been the Strawn, but found no net pay and was found to
18	be nonproductive in the Strawn.
19	They're trying other zones, still producing out
20	of other zones, I think the Bone Spring now, but not in any
21	great way.
22	Q. So what is the porosity It just pinches out as
23	you move south here?
24	A. It was. They had less total thickness,
25	amazingly, that short of a distance, plus the porosity was

1gone.2Q. What are these wells making now?3A. Well, we've got the decline curve, I'll go4through them with you.5In the Young-Strawn Pool, the old well in Section620 that Chesapeake has re-gone back to the Strawn, is7making about three to four barrels of oil per day and 10 to815 MCF per day. And these data are all based on months, a9few months ago, from public data.10The 17 Number 1 well in the northeast of 17 is11making about three barrels of oil per day and about 10 to1215 MCF per day also. Similar type Although it did not13have the good cumulative production, it happens to be at a14very similar rate.15The 17 Number 2, on the other hand, by my decline16curve, is making about 600 barrels a month, so 20 barrels17of oil per day. And the gas, a little over 100 MCF per18day. And that's interesting to note, it's in the middle of19the two wells and still producing at much higher rates than20the two offsets.21In the Querecho pool, the old well that made a	_	
 A. Well, we've got the decline curve, I'll go through them with you. In the Young-Strawn Pool, the old well in Section 20 that Chesapeake has re-gone back to the Strawn, is making about three to four barrels of oil per day and 10 to 15 MCF per day. And these data are all based on months, a few months ago, from public data. The 17 Number 1 well in the northeast of 17 is making about three barrels of oil per day and about 10 to 15 MCF per day also. Similar type Although it did not have the good cumulative production, it happens to be at a very similar rate. The 17 Number 2, on the other hand, by my decline curve, is making about 600 barrels a month, so 20 barrels of oil per day. And the gas, a little over 100 MCF per day. And that's interesting to note, it's in the middle of the two wells and still producing at much higher rates than the two offsets. 	1	gone.
 through them with you. In the Young-Strawn Pool, the old well in Section 20 that Chesapeake has re-gone back to the Strawn, is making about three to four barrels of oil per day and 10 to 15 MCF per day. And these data are all based on months, a few months ago, from public data. The 17 Number 1 well in the northeast of 17 is making about three barrels of oil per day and about 10 to 15 MCF per day also. Similar type Although it did not have the good cumulative production, it happens to be at a very similar rate. The 17 Number 2, on the other hand, by my decline curve, is making about 600 barrels a month, so 20 barrels of oil per day. And the gas, a little over 100 MCF per day. And that's interesting to note, it's in the middle of the two wells and still producing at much higher rates than the two offsets. 	2	Q. What are these wells making now?
5In the Young-Strawn Pool, the old well in Section620 that Chesapeake has re-gone back to the Strawn, is7making about three to four barrels of oil per day and 10 to815 MCF per day. And these data are all based on months, a9few months ago, from public data.10The 17 Number 1 well in the northeast of 17 is11making about three barrels of oil per day and about 10 to1215 MCF per day also. Similar type Although it did not13have the good cumulative production, it happens to be at a14very similar rate.15The 17 Number 2, on the other hand, by my decline16curve, is making about 600 barrels a month, so 20 barrels17of oil per day. And the gas, a little over 100 MCF per18day. And that's interesting to note, it's in the middle of19the two wells and still producing at much higher rates than20the two offsets.	3	A. Well, we've got the decline curve, I'll go
 6 20 that Chesapeake has re-gone back to the Strawn, is 7 making about three to four barrels of oil per day and 10 to 8 15 MCF per day. And these data are all based on months, a 9 few months ago, from public data. 10 The 17 Number 1 well in the northeast of 17 is 11 making about three barrels of oil per day and about 10 to 15 MCF per day also. Similar type Although it did not 13 have the good cumulative production, it happens to be at a 14 very similar rate. 15 The 17 Number 2, on the other hand, by my decline 16 curve, is making about 600 barrels a month, so 20 barrels 17 of oil per day. And the gas, a little over 100 MCF per 18 day. And that's interesting to note, it's in the middle of 19 the two wells and still producing at much higher rates than 20 the two offsets. 	4	through them with you.
 making about three to four barrels of oil per day and 10 to 15 MCF per day. And these data are all based on months, a few months ago, from public data. The 17 Number 1 well in the northeast of 17 is making about three barrels of oil per day and about 10 to 15 MCF per day also. Similar type Although it did not have the good cumulative production, it happens to be at a very similar rate. The 17 Number 2, on the other hand, by my decline curve, is making about 600 barrels a month, so 20 barrels of oil per day. And the gas, a little over 100 MCF per day. And that's interesting to note, it's in the middle of the two wells and still producing at much higher rates than the two offsets. 	5	In the Young-Strawn Pool, the old well in Section
 8 15 MCF per day. And these data are all based on months, a 9 few months ago, from public data. 10 The 17 Number 1 well in the northeast of 17 is 11 making about three barrels of oil per day and about 10 to 12 15 MCF per day also. Similar type Although it did not 13 have the good cumulative production, it happens to be at a 14 very similar rate. 15 The 17 Number 2, on the other hand, by my decline 16 curve, is making about 600 barrels a month, so 20 barrels 17 of oil per day. And the gas, a little over 100 MCF per 18 day. And that's interesting to note, it's in the middle of 19 the two wells and still producing at much higher rates than 20 the two offsets. 	6	20 that Chesapeake has re-gone back to the Strawn, is
 few months ago, from public data. The 17 Number 1 well in the northeast of 17 is making about three barrels of oil per day and about 10 to 15 MCF per day also. Similar type Although it did not have the good cumulative production, it happens to be at a very similar rate. The 17 Number 2, on the other hand, by my decline curve, is making about 600 barrels a month, so 20 barrels of oil per day. And the gas, a little over 100 MCF per day. And that's interesting to note, it's in the middle of the two wells and still producing at much higher rates than the two offsets. 	7	making about three to four barrels of oil per day and 10 to
10The 17 Number 1 well in the northeast of 17 is11making about three barrels of oil per day and about 10 to1215 MCF per day also. Similar type Although it did not13have the good cumulative production, it happens to be at a14very similar rate.15The 17 Number 2, on the other hand, by my decline16curve, is making about 600 barrels a month, so 20 barrels17of oil per day. And the gas, a little over 100 MCF per18day. And that's interesting to note, it's in the middle of19the two wells and still producing at much higher rates than20the two offsets.	8	15 MCF per day. And these data are all based on months, a
making about three barrels of oil per day and about 10 to 15 MCF per day also. Similar type Although it did not have the good cumulative production, it happens to be at a very similar rate. The 17 Number 2, on the other hand, by my decline curve, is making about 600 barrels a month, so 20 barrels of oil per day. And the gas, a little over 100 MCF per day. And that's interesting to note, it's in the middle of the two wells and still producing at much higher rates than the two offsets.	9	few months ago, from public data.
 12 15 MCF per day also. Similar type Although it did not 13 have the good cumulative production, it happens to be at a 14 very similar rate. 15 The 17 Number 2, on the other hand, by my decline 16 curve, is making about 600 barrels a month, so 20 barrels 17 of oil per day. And the gas, a little over 100 MCF per 18 day. And that's interesting to note, it's in the middle of 19 the two wells and still producing at much higher rates than 20 the two offsets. 	10	The 17 Number 1 well in the northeast of 17 is
have the good cumulative production, it happens to be at a very similar rate. The 17 Number 2, on the other hand, by my decline curve, is making about 600 barrels a month, so 20 barrels of oil per day. And the gas, a little over 100 MCF per day. And that's interesting to note, it's in the middle of the two wells and still producing at much higher rates than the two offsets.	11	making about three barrels of oil per day and about 10 to
14 very similar rate. 15 The 17 Number 2, on the other hand, by my decline 16 curve, is making about 600 barrels a month, so 20 barrels 17 of oil per day. And the gas, a little over 100 MCF per 18 day. And that's interesting to note, it's in the middle of 19 the two wells and still producing at much higher rates than 20 the two offsets.	12	15 MCF per day also. Similar type Although it did not
15 The 17 Number 2, on the other hand, by my decline 16 curve, is making about 600 barrels a month, so 20 barrels 17 of oil per day. And the gas, a little over 100 MCF per 18 day. And that's interesting to note, it's in the middle of 19 the two wells and still producing at much higher rates than 20 the two offsets.	13	have the good cumulative production, it happens to be at a
16 curve, is making about 600 barrels a month, so 20 barrels 17 of oil per day. And the gas, a little over 100 MCF per 18 day. And that's interesting to note, it's in the middle of 19 the two wells and still producing at much higher rates than 20 the two offsets.	14	very similar rate.
17 of oil per day. And the gas, a little over 100 MCF per 18 day. And that's interesting to note, it's in the middle of 19 the two wells and still producing at much higher rates than 20 the two offsets.	15	The 17 Number 2, on the other hand, by my decline
18 day. And that's interesting to note, it's in the middle of 19 the two wells and still producing at much higher rates than 20 the two offsets.	16	curve, is making about 600 barrels a month, so 20 barrels
19 the two wells and still producing at much higher rates than 20 the two offsets.	17	of oil per day. And the gas, a little over 100 MCF per
20 the two offsets.	18	day. And that's interesting to note, it's in the middle of
	19	the two wells and still producing at much higher rates than
21 In the Querecho pool, the old well that made a	20	the two offsets.
•	21	In the Querecho pool, the old well that made a
22 half million barrels, the Querecho Number 1, is	22	half million barrels, the Querecho Number 1, is
23 nonproducing anymore. It's in the Morrow, it's not	23	nonproducing anymore. It's in the Morrow, it's not
24 producing Strawn. But the Mewbourne SF 22 Number 1 in the	24	producing Strawn. But the Mewbourne SF 22 Number 1 in the
25 southeast quarter of 22 is producing about 100 barrels of	25	southeast quarter of 22 is producing about 100 barrels of

oil per day and about 250 MCF per day. 1 And then the last well that's still producing is 2 the Querecho Number 2 in the northeast quarter -- northwest 3 quarter, excuse me -- and it's producing about 40 barrels 4 of oil per day, maybe a little less, and about 250 MCF per 5 6 day, maybe a little more. 7 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, I don't have anything 8 else. MR. BRUCE: I have nothing further in this 9 matter, Mr. Examiner. 10 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, Cases Number 13,242 and 11 13,243 will be taken under advisement. 12 And we'll adjourn the hearing. 13 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at 14 15 8:51 a.m.) 16 17 I do here an on that the foregoing it 18 a complete recent of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 13242, 1321 19 heard by me on November 3, 2001 20 _, Examiner 21 Oil Conservation Division 22 23 24 25

24

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) ss. COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL November 3rd, 2005.

STEVEN T. BRENNER CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2006

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317