(2 0) 10/5)

1

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF COLEMAN OIL AND GAS, INC., TO AMEND ORDER NUMBER R-12,201 TO EXTEND FOR ONE YEAR THE PRODUCTION TEST AUTHORIZED THEREIN TO DETERMINE THE ECONOMIC VIABILITY OF SIMULTANEOUSLY PRODUCING FOUR GAS WELLS ON A STANDARD 320-ACRE SPACING UNIT IN THE BASIN-FRUITLAND COAL GAS POOL, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO CASE NO. 13,558

ORIGINAL

205

SEP

27

PM 12 47

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: WILLIAM V. JONES, JR., Hearing Examiner

September 22nd, 2005

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, WILLIAM V. JONES, JR., Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, September 22nd, 2005, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

INDEX

September 22nd, 2005 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 13,558

PAGE

3

3

4 24

36

EXHIBITS

APPEARANCES

APPLICANT'S WITNESS:

<u>ALAN P. EMMENDORFER</u> (Geologist) Direct Examination by Mr. Carr Examination by Examiner Jones

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

* * *

EXHIBITS

Applicant's	Identified	Admitted
Exhibit 1	10	23
Exhibit 2	11	23
Exhibit 3	14	23
Exhibit 4	15	23
Exhibit 5	16	23
Exhibit 6	18	23
Exhibit 7	22	23

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

GAIL MacQUESTEN Deputy General Counsel Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR THE APPLICANT:

HOLLAND & HART, L.L.P., and CAMPBELL & CARR 110 N. Guadalupe, Suite 1 P.O. Box 2208 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208 By: WILLIAM F. CARR

* * *

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

3

1	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
2	8:28 a.m.:
3	EXAMINER JONES: At this time let's call Case
4	13,558, Application of Coleman Oil and Gas, Inc., to amend
5	Order Number R-12,201 to extend for one year the production
6	test authorized therein to determine the economic viability
7	of simultaneously producing four gas wells on a standard
8	320-acre spacing unit in the Basin-Fruitland Coal Gas Pool,
9	San Juan County, New Mexico.
10	Call for appearances.
11	MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my name is
12	William F. Carr with the Santa Fe office of Holland and
13	Hart, L.L.P. We represent Coleman Oil and Gas, and I have
14	one witness.
15	EXAMINER JONES: Will the witness please stand to
16	be sworn?
17	(Thereupon, the witness was sworn.)
18	ALAN P. EMMENDORFER,
19	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
20	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. CARR:
23	Q. Would you state your name for the record, please?
24	A. Alan P. Emmendorfer.
25	Q. Mr. Emmendorfer, where do you reside?

Arvada, Colorado. Α. 1 And by whom are you employed? 2 Q. Coleman Oil and Gas. 3 Α. And what is your position with Coleman Oil and 4 ο. 5 Gas? Geologist. 6 Α. Have you previously testified before the New 7 Q. Mexico Oil Conservation Division? 8 Yes, I have. 9 Α. At the time of that hearing, were your 0. 10 credentials as an expert in petroleum geology accepted and 11 made a matter of record? 12 Yes, they were. 13 Α. And in fact, you are the witness who testified in Q. 14 the original hearing concerning this production test; is 15 16 that right? Α. That's correct. 17 Are you familiar with the Application filed in 18 0. this case? 19 20 Α. Yes, I am. 21 Are you also familiar with the status of the Q. 22 lands in the portion of the Basin-Fruitland Coal Gas Pool 23 that's the subject of this hearing? 24 Α. Yes. 25 Have you made a technical study of the area Q.

1	that's the subject of this Application?
2	A. Yes, I have.
3	Q. And are you prepared to share the results of that
4	work with Mr. Jones?
5	A. Yes.
6	MR. CARR: We tender Mr. Emmendorfer as an expert
7	in petroleum geology.
8	EXAMINER JONES: Mr. Emmendorfer is qualified as
9	an expert in petroleum geology.
10	Q. (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Emmendorfer, initially would
11	you explain to the Commission or the Division what it
12	is that Coleman is seeking with this Application?
13	A. What we're seeking is a one-year extension of the
14	production test that was approved by the Division Order
15	R-12,201, approximately one year ago. At that time we were
16	granted an exception of the Rule 7.D of the special pool
17	rules for the Basin-Fruitland Coal Pool, Gas Pool, and
18	authorized the simultaneous dedication of the west half of
19	Section 18, 26 North, Range 11 West, San Juan County, New
20	Mexico, to produce four existing coal gas wells at that
21	time.
22	We were also to conduct this one-year test of
23	these wells by segregating in the individual wellbores and
24	separately producing coal gas stringers to determine the
25	economic viability and the feasibility of the producing

1	intervals in these existing coal gas wells, without
2	completing or commingling them in one wellbore.
3	Q. What wells were involved in this Application and
4	are involved in this particular test?
5	A. Okay, the four wells are the Ricky Well Number 1,
6	the 1R, the Ricky Well Number 2 and the Ricky Well Number
7	2R. They are all four located in the west half of Section
8	18, 26 North, 12 West
9	Q. And pursuant to
10	A excuse me, 11 West.
11	Q. And pursuant to Order 12,201, all four of these
12	wells are producing from various intervals within the Basin
13	Fruitland Coal Gas Pool?
14	A. That is correct.
15	Q. Can you explain to Mr. Jones the current status
16	of the test?
17	A. We have been producing all four wells for
18	approximately one year. The test was due to expire on
19	August 31st, 2005. We asked for and got an extension to
20	continue the test until the outcome of this hearing, and
21	they're currently producing at this time.
22	Q. And basically what we're doing here is, you have,
23	and have had, four wellbores on the west half of this
24	section?
25	A. That's correct.

1	
1	Q. And what you've been proposing to do is complete
2	the Basal Coal in two of those and the Upper Fruitland Coal
3	in two others?
4	A. Well, they've been completed. We're producing
5	them, yes.
6	Q. But you do not have more than two wells in any
7	interval?
8	A. That's correct.
9	Q. You may have four wells, but instead of
10	commingling the production in two wellbores, you're using
11	four wellbores and two are in the Basal Coal and two are in
12	the Upper Coal?
13	A. That's right.
14	Q. What really was the purpose of this production
15	test.
16	A. Excuse me?
17	Q. What was the purpose of this production test?
18	A. The purpose was to see if these upper stringers
19	how productive they actually were. Coleman's
20	traditional mode of operation in this particular area is to
21	complete only the Basal Coal. Some of the operators also
22	produced in the same wellbore the thin stringers above, and
23	we saw a unique opportunity to produce both zones in
24	separate wellbores to test the ultimate recoveries and
25	commercial abilities of these separate zones. We're hoping

that this data will help us in our other wells in the area. 1 And so by looking in two wells at just the upper Q. 2 zones, for example, you could look at potential for 3 communication in that horizon, and you were able to do this 4 with existing wellbores and gather the data without having 5 to commingle and do other things and incur those expenses? 6 That's correct. Α. 7 Can you explain just generally to Mr. Jones what 8 Q. you've done since the -- by way of background what you've 9 done since the entry of Order 12,201? 10 Yes, pursuant to the Order 12,201, we produced --11 Α. we turned on the Ricky 1 and the Ricky 2 wells, which were 12 the original wells. They're producing from the upper 13 stringers of the Fruitland Coal. And at the same time 14 15 we're producing from the 1R and the 2R, which are twinned locations on the same drilling pads. And what we've been 16 doing is monitoring the production data and taking at least 17 18 a -- quarterly, a bottomhole fluid measurement to determine 19 a static bottomhole pressure, to determine if we can see 20 any interference horizontally within the Fruitland Coal package. 21 22 We've been trying to coordinate these bottomhole 23 pressure measurements with shut-ins, periods of the gas 24 gatherers, such as when the Chaco plant has been shut in 25 for maintenance, we get at least 48-hour shut-in time.

1	We'll then take static bottom or take fluid
2	measurements to determine these static bottomhole
3	pressures, in an attempt to see what data we can get from
4	these wells and what interpretations we have.
5	Q. What's the current status of the data or
6	information you've been able to develop as of this date?
7	A. Well, we've got pretty good data. But as I will
8	show later, the decline curves, we feel we've only got one
9	year of production, and as you'll see, there's we kind
10	of see some trends, but we just don't see we thought a
11	one-year production period would be enough to establish
12	good decline curves, and it just doesn't seem to be at this
13	time, so we're asking for additional time.
14	Q. What is the character of the land that we're
15	dealing with?
16	A. The west half of Section 18 is comprised of two
17	separate Navajo-allotted leases of the Coleman Oil and
18	Gas is the 100-percent working interest owner of both
19	leases, and all overrides are common between both leases.
20	Q. Okay. Let's go to what's been marked Coleman
21	Exhibit Number 1. Would you identify this and review the
22	information on the exhibit for Mr. Jones?
23	A. Exhibit Number 1, Mr. Examiner, is an area map of
24	with the Ricky wells. It's comprised of portions of
25	Township 26 North, 11 and 12 West, and a small portion of

1. S. E.

in territoria da

1	Township 27 North, 11 and 12 West, of San Juan County, New
2	Mexico.
3	Each of these squares are government sections.
4	We have identified all the Fruitland Coal wells that are
5	currently or have produced in the past. These are
6	designated with a gas-well symbol with a triangle around
7	them. The well name is a little black number that's just
8	above the gas-well symbol. In blue is the name of the
9	operator and also the name of the well. Also Coleman's
10	interest in the area is highlighted in yellow, showing the
11	particular wells that Coleman operates in the Basin
12	Fruitland Coal in this portion of the Basin.
13	Q. If we look at Section 18 and the red line around
14	the subject spacing unit, the 1 and 1R well symbols are
15	virtually on top of each other.
16	A. That's correct.
17	Q. How close are these wells drilled to each other?
18	A. Approximately 50 feet apart. We drilled them on
19	the same location. The surface is owned by NAPI, and it
20	was definitely conducive to drilling the wells on the
21	existing locations, plus for the gas tie-ins and all. But
22	they're essentially about 50 feet apart.
23	Q. Okay, let's go to Exhibit Number 2. What is
24	this?
25	A. Exhibit Number 2 is a type log for the Ricky

area, and as a matter of fact I'm using the Ricky Number 1 1 well that was drilled in 1984. It's an induction electric 2 log of the well. It was drilled to a total depth of 3 approximately 1380 feet. 4 Stratigraphy is very typical of the San Juan 5 Upper portion of the log shows the Kirtland Shale, Basin. 6 then the Fruitland formation, and then it TD'd down in the 7 Pictured Cliff sandstone. The Pictured Cliff is not 8 productive in this portion of the Basin. 9 I'd like to point to -- your attention to the 10 Fruitland formation. If we go from the bottom of the 11 Fruitland up we have the Basal Coal zone, which in this 12 area is about 12 to 14 foot thick. And then there are a 13 few Coal stringers that are present approximately 50 to 100 14 feet above the Basal Coal, and then one very thin Coal 15 16 stringer at the very top, which typically identifies the 17 boundary of the Fruitland and Kirtland formation. It is carbonaceous shale/coal. 18 Could you just provide a brief history of the 19 Q. 20 Fruitland Coal development on this spacing unit? Originally in 1984, Simmons Engineering drilled 21 Α. 22 two wells, the Ricky 1 and the Ricky Number 2. At that time they were pooled on 160-acre spacing each in the 23 24 Gallegos Sand Gas Pool and were completed with two sets of perforations and a small frac job within these perforations 25

1	in two of the upper Coal zones. Even at that time there
2	wasn't any provision for Coal wells, and they were
3	completed as Gallegos Sand wells.
4	Beginning of the formation of the Basin-Fruitland
5	gas field, a lot of the smaller Fruitland Sand pools were
6	found were found that some of the Fruitland wells were
7	actually producing from coals and not sands, and these two
8	wells were incorporated into the Basin-Fruitland Sand
9	Pool
10	Q. The Coal Pool.
11	A. Excuse me, the Basin Fruitland Coal Pool, on 160-
12	acre spacing. I don't know if they were the only two coal
13	wells that were spaced on 160-acre spacing or not, but
14	these two were grandfathered in because they were already
15	existing wells spaced on 160 acres, and they just left them
16	as 160 acres.
17	Q. You acquired the wells in what year?
18	A. We bought the wells in 2002 with the idea of
19	drilling replacement wells to produce the Basal Coal zone
20	and ultimately plug the original wells.
21	These I should mention that the original Ricky
22	1 and Ricky 2 were slimhole completions, 2-7/8-inch casing,
23	because if we wanted to perf and complete below the
24	existing perforations it was economically and mechanically
25	very difficult or not feasible to do. Hence, we were

r	
1	planning to plug the wells after we got the other wells in
2	the productive state.
3	In anticipation of while we were permitting
4	the replacement wells, we knew we were going to put
5	compression on the replacement wells, and so we went ahead
6	and purchased compression equipment and started compression
7	on the existing wells. And as you will see in a little
8	while, we noticed a pretty significant increase in
9	production at that time. And so when we drilled the other
10	wells, we thought this might be a unique opportunity to get
11	some data.
12	Q. Let's go to Coleman Exhibit Number 3. This is
13	the same base plat that was Coleman Exhibit Number 1; is
14	that correct?
15	A. That's correct.
16	Q. And what have you done to this?
17	A. Okay, the Exhibit Number 3 is a base map of the
18	Ricky well area, and noting all the different coal
19	completions for the producing wells. If you would refer
20	back, Mr. Examiner, to Exhibit Number 2, what I've done is
21	identified which zones of the Fruitland Coal interval is
22	producing in each individual well. And the legend in red,
23	the original or the replacement wells for the Ricky 1R
24	and the Ricky 2R are producing only from the Basal Coal
25	zone, and we've identified those as with a red "B". And

`

if you'll notice, all the other Coleman wells are only --1 have been completed and producing out of the Basal Coal 2 zone. 3 Some of the other operators produce both upper 4 and lower Coals in one wellbore, either multiple frac jobs 5 or one frac job in all the Coals, and those are with a "C" 6 for a combination of upper and lower Coals. 7 The wells were only producing in the upper Coals 8 only, such as the Ricky 1 and the Ricky 2, they are 9 designated as a "U". And if you will note Section 7, just 10 directly above the Ricky wells, there's two wells that are 11 producing only out of the upper Coals. 12 And of course, nothing being simple, there's 13 always an exception, and that's the well in Section 36 of 14 27 North, 12 West, where it's actually downhole commingled 15 16 with an Upper Coal zone and a Fruitland -- I mean, excuse 17 me, in the Pictured Cliffs sand. So I've denoted that with a "U" with an asterisk. It's Upper Coal, but it's also 18 commingled with the Pictured Cliff. Nothing simple. 19 All right, let's go now to your north-south 20 Q. cross-section, Coleman Exhibit Number 4. 21 22 Α. Exhibit Number 4 is a simple north-south crosssection utilizing all four of the Ricky wells in Section 18 23 of 26 North, 11 West. What I've got is a side-by-side 24 25 correlation of the wells to the north of the Ricky Number 1

1	and its replacement well, the Ricky 1R, northwest of
2	Section 18. And in the southwest of Section 18 is the
3	Ricky 2 and the Ricky 2R.
4	What I'd like to point out we can take either
5	the 1 and the 1R or the 2 and the 2-R, because they're
6	essentially similar is that these wells are twinned
7	wells approximately 50 feet apart. However, the
8	replacement well is producing completed and producing
9	only out of the Basal Coal zone, whereas the original wells
10	are completed and producing out of a two thin coal
11	stringers approximately 50 to 100 feet above the Basal Coal
12	section.
13	Let's go now to Exhibit Number 5, and let's look
14	at the production data.
15	A. Exhibit Number 5 is production data for all four
16	of the Ricky wells. The legal-size pages are printouts
17	from GO-TECH of the production in tabular form of all four
18	of the wells. And then I've also included graphed
19	production to be able to do decline-curve analysis. I've
20	combined on each graph the Ricky 1 and the Ricky 1R on
21	one graph and the Ricky 2 and the Ricky 2R on the other
22	graph.
23	In both wells you can in both graphs you can
24	notice that the original wells, which were drilled back in
25	1984, had a fairly good decline curve history, and they

were producing about 10 to 20 MCF per day when Coleman 1 purchased the wells, and you can then see a good jump in 2 production to 40 to 60 MCF a day. This reflects the time 3 when we put compression on the wells, waiting for our 4 permits to be approved for the drilling of the replacement 5 wells. 6 Likewise, when we -- to get our C-104s signed for 7 the replacement wells, we had to shut in the original 8 And so you can see in red the replacement well 9 wells. productions and a time when no production occurred out of 10 11 the original wells. Then a little over a year ago when we got the 12 Order 12,201 to do a production test with the original 13 Ricky 1 or Ricky 2 wells, we have a production again 14 occurring in those wells. 15 Point out in the Ricky 1 and the Ricky 1R how the 16 17 replacement well, the Ricky 1R, seems to have a nice decline being established in it, whereas the Ricky 1 is 18 still declining slowly. It appears at this time, from this 19 20 data, that the Basal Coal in the 1R is producing and not being affected at the same time by the original one 21 22 completion. 23 If we were to look at the other graph, the Ricky 2 and the Ricky 2R, and if I point to the Ricky 2, in the 24 25 last three months or so increase in production, that's due

to soaping of the well. So we all of a sudden ruined our 1 decline-curve analysis in there. But notice that again the 2 2R seems to have a nice orderly decline to it, and it seems 3 to be independent of what the Number 2 is doing at this 4 time. 5 So in the exhibits you also have all backup 0. 6 7 information in a tabular form? That's correct. Α. 8 Let's go to Exhibit Number 6, the bottomhole Q. 9 pressure data. Would you review that for Mr. Jones? 10 Exhibit Number 6 is the bottomhole Α. Sure. 11 pressure data for all four of the wells in question. We 12 calculated a static bottomhole pressure. Again, we tried 13 to do it on a quarterly basis or any other time that the 14 wells were shut in for a minimum of 48 hours because of 15 16 pipeline maintenance. It's a calculated bottomhole pressure based off of fluid-level measurements. 17 18 On each of the -- Each of the curves are very 19 similar in the presentation of the data. We have the date 20 of when the fluid level was made and calculated a static bottomhole pressure, and that number is number of pounds of 21 bottomhole pressure. 22 23 This data is also then displayed in two forms --24 one is a bar graph and the other is a linear graph --25 showing the difference of the bottomhole pressure

1 measurements through time.

2	If we wanted to compare the original wells, such
3	as the Ricky 2 with the Ricky 2R, we can see that the
4	original or the replacement well has a little bit lower
5	static bottomhole pressure than the original well, and like
6	the 2R seems to have an orderly decline in its bottomhole
7	pressure, whereas the original Ricky Number 2 well,
8	although it's declining, it seems to be a little bit more
9	erratic.
10	Likewise on the Ricky 1 and the Ricky 2R. The
11	replacement well has a lower bottomhole pressure calculated
12	than the original well, and again the data is similar but
13	not exactly the same.
14	Q. What does the information you've acquired to date
15	tell you about this reservoir?
16	A. Well, we think that the data indicates that there
17	really isn't vertical communication from the Basal Coal
18	zone with the Upper Coal interstringers in the west half of
19	Section 18. The data the production data seems to
20	indicate this, that they're declining, or inclining,
21	separately from each other, even though the wells are only
22	50 feet apart in a horizontal distance. Vertically, they
23	don't seem to be in communication.
24	Bottomhole pressures seem to indicate that
25	although they may have a similar decline in bottomhole

1	pressures, the actual calculated bottomhole pressures are
2	different pressures. And we don't see we don't think we
3	see communication between the zones in a vertical manner.
4	So we think that in essence we're producing the
5	Fruitland Coal interval out of four wells, but really the
6	same thing is producing in two wells.
7	Q. Should a one-year extension of the test provide
8	sufficient information to establish whether or not you can
9	effectively and efficiently produce in this manner?
10	A. Well, we hope so. We originally thought one year
11	would work. We think we see it, but from the decline
12	curves it just doesn't seem to have enough data. We're
13	hoping that an additional year would establish enough of a
14	trend to be able to establish the fact that they're not in
15	communication.
16	Q. Order 12,201 established certain parameters or
17	imposed certain limitations or conditions on the test, one
18	of them being that you would immediately terminate the test
19	if bottomhole pressure data showed communication between
20	the Upper Coal and the Basal Coal interval. Do you
21	recommend that these requirements continue in place for the
22	remainder of the test?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And if the test is extended for another year and
25	subject to this condition, in your opinion is there any way

ĺ

1	that this test could impair the correlative rights of any
2	other operator in the Basin-Fruitland Coal Gas Pool?
3	A. No, I don't see that.
4	Q. Just summarize your conclusions for the Examiner.
5	A. On conclusion, Mr. Examiner, we have four wells
6	currently producing out of the Fruitland Coal in a 320-
7	spacing unit, but in essence we feel that there's only two
8	wells that are actually draining the reservoir.
9	Yet at the same time we feel that there's a
10	unique opportunity for both Coleman Oil and Gas and offset
11	operators to determine what the ultimate producibility and
12	estimated ultimate recovery are from these thin coal
13	stringers. We don't see vertical interference between
14	them, and we think that we can continue to produce in an
15	orderly manner and effectively and efficiently drain the
16	reservoir.
17	Q. If we look at Coleman's production in the area,
18	Coleman produces the Basal Fruitland Coal as a rule; is
19	that not correct?
20	A. That's correct, we made a decision early one that
21	we we thought that for the most part, these thin
22	stringers weren't very commercial, and to effectively
23	stimulate and produce the Basal Coal zone we would have to
24	do a two-stage frac if we wanted to try to complete the
25	upper zones, and we chose at that time not to do that.

1	This gives us an opportunity to study that.
2	We've got quite a few offsetting wells that have
3	some coal stringers in there that we could eventually
4	recomplete and/or commingle in the wellbores at a later
5	date. We still have a couple infill locations to drill in
6	there too, so that we would have a chance then to produce
7	all of the coal stringers at the same time.
8	Q. And the actual configuration or location of these
9	wells, plus combined with the response that you saw when
10	you put the upper zones on, compression really does provide
11	a unique opportunity to evaluate how these other stringers
12	produce with no chance of the data being confused by a
13	combination of those zones in the same wellbore with other
14	deeper horizons?
15	A. That's correct, I agree with that.
16	Q. Is Coleman Exhibit Number 7 an affidavit
17	confirming that notice of today's hearing has been provided
18	in accordance with the Rules of the Division?
19	A. Yes, it is.
20	Q. And attached to that affidavit are notice letters
21	and a legal advertisement?
22	A. Correct.
23	Q. Notice has been provided to the operators of all
24	offsetting wells?
25	A. Yes.

· · 2

1	Q. To offsetting working interest owners on any
2	undrilled lease?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. To the offsetting unleased mineral interest
5	owners, to the extent there are any?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And also to all operators within a mile of this
8	proposed test; is that correct?
9	A. That's correct.
10	Q. Will approval of this Application and the
11	continuance of this test be in the best interests of
12	conservation, the prevention of waste and the protection of
13	correlative rights?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Were Coleman Exhibits 1 through 7 either prepared
16	by you, or have you reviewed them and can you testify as to
17	their accuracy?
18	A. Yes, I can.
19	MR. CARR: At this time, Mr. Jones, we'd move the
20	admission into evidence of Coleman Exhibits 1 through 7.
21	EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 1 through 7 will be
22	admitted to evidence.
23	MR. CARR: That concludes my direct examination
24	of Mr. Emmendorfer.
25	EXAMINER JONES: Thank you, Mr. Carr.

ľ

	24
1	EXAMINATION
2	BY EXAMINER JONES:
3	Q. So preliminarily, what is your reserve number for
4	the Upper Coal?
5	A. That's a good question, mainly because the well
6	is still inclining. We don't have a firm number, but with
7	what's been produced in the past and what it looks like so
8	far, it could be 175 to 200 million, in that range,
9	something like that. As I said, without additional decline
10	data we don't have a real good handle on that yet, but it
11	seems to be producing very well.
12	Q. So that would be for how many feet of coal?
13	A. About four to eight feet, somewhere in that.
14	Q. That's counting both of those Upper Coal?
15	intervals?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. So would that pay out a frac job?
18	A. With these prices, yes, I think it would.
19	Q. What size frac job do you put on those?
20	A. Well, we haven't completed these particular wells
21	or any of the Upper Coal zones. The original wells had
22	about a 25,000-pound frac. We typically on the Basal Coals
23	have been putting about a 100,000-pound frac. And
24	honestly, I'm not sure what we would do I know some of
25	the other operators have done two-stage frac jobs with both

.

-- about 100,000 per frac job. 1 With both, both 100,000? 2 Q. Yes, uh-huh. 3 Α. You're not pumping these wells? 4 Q. The replacement wells are on pump. The other 5 Α. wells make minimal water. I mean very minimal, like maybe 6 a barrel or two a month --7 Q. Your soap --8 Α. Excuse me? 9 Your soap helped you on those? Q. 10 That one, yes, we -- the 1 was not soaped, but Α. 11 the Number 2 was soaped. But with that slimhole, there 12 just is not very much water in these two wells in those 13 Upper Coals, so we don't need to pump them. 14 What about your -- so you've got -- how many feet 15 Q. in the Upper Coal did you say? 16 If we look at the Ricky Number 2, the upper Α. 17 18 perforation looks like about, oh, three foot of coal, and the lower set of perforations look like about two feet of 19 20 coal. 21 Okay, and the Basal Coal is 12 feet, you said? Q. Let's see here. It's really about 14 feet in 22 Α. this particular well with -- I -- Excuse me. About 14 feet 23 24 based off of the bulk density. 25 Okay, what's your gas content in those coal Q.

1	streams?
2	A. We do not have any gas desorption data for any of
3	the wells in this area. We've never taken any.
4	Q. Do you have
5	A. Excuse me?
6	Q. Do you have What kind of coal is it? Is it
7	bituminous coal?
8	A. Sub-bituminous.
9	Q. Sub-bituminous?
10	A. Uh-huh.
11	Q. Saturated or undersaturated?
12	A. I believe it's saturated. This is in the area of
13	the WAW-Fruitland Sand-Pictured Cliff area, and most all of
14	the Coals are productive right away after you've frac'd
15	them and put them on pump, you get, you know, 5 to 10 MCF a
16	day and 100 to 150 barrels of water, and the water starts
17	declining and the gas comes up, so I would think that
18	they're pretty close to saturation.
19	Q. How long does it take for the water to decline?
20	In other words, where is your peak? How long does it take
21	for your peak gas production?
22	A. Well, based off of the Ricky 1R and the 2R,
23	within about six months or so we're at peak production,
24	because there's a lot of developments happen in this area.
25	Q. So it's basically a lot of the water has been

drained? 1 I think so, yes. 2 Α. So you're drilling into a coal that's already 3 Q. 4 been dewatered? To some extent, yes. 5 Α. And so that -- you have a faith in your decline-6 Q. curve analysis of coal in this area? 7 Yes. 8 Α. Where in the San Juan Basin is this anyway? 9 0. Is this --10 11 Α. If you know where the Chaco plant is --12 Q. Okay. 13 Α. -- the Chaco plant --14 Q. South of Farmington, right? 15 Α. Yes, it's south. The very western part of the map stops in like Section 15, and Chaco plant is one mile 16 17 west of there in Section 16 of 26 and 12. Q. 18 Okay. 19 But -- And most of Township 26 and 12 is the WAW-Α. 20 Fruitland Sand-Pictured Cliff area. And then the northeastern corner is the Gallegos South -- Fruitland 21 22 South -- Gallegos South-Fruitland Sand PC. 23 Q. Okay, okay. It seems like you would have some 24 kind of idea of your gas content in your coals, and you could do a volumetric on the coals and match it to your 25

1 decline curve.

2	A. Well, we have an idea that it's about 120
3	standard cubic feet per ton, but we don't have any hard
4	data on that. That's based off of log analysis and
5	publications, stuff like that, they all seem to indicate
6	that, but there's nothing close by that would indicate or
7	give any hard data that we're aware of.
8	Q. Okay. The What about the surrounding rocks in
9	this coal, the sands, coal the Fruitland Sand, is it
10	I saw some wells that were producing out of the coal, they
11	call it Fruitland Sand, Fruitland PC Sand.
12	A. Uh-huh.
13	Q. They're both sands. So where is the Fruitland
14	Sand in these wells?
15	A. In these particular wells there are no true
16	reservoir sands. That's a general term that was when
17	the pool was created, that some wells were drilled, just
18	like Dugan and McHugh in the early 1980s drilled a lot of
19	wells in this area that actually TD'd just above the Basal
20	Coal, and they would they were, oh, five, 10-foot
21	stringers of sands that they would produce at low rates,
22	and then some wells were drilled to the Pictured Cliffs.
23	And because of the low rates of these Fruitland
24	Sands, a lot of times they were commingled downhole in the
25	wellbores, and that's why the Fruitland Sand-PC Pool was

1	formed. The Fruitland Sands are not blanket sands, they're
2	channel sands in the deltaic system of the Fruitland
3	formation, and so they come and go. But when they were
4	found drilling the Pictured Cliff, they could be completed
5	and commingled as one reservoir.
6	Q. Okay. So in this area there's not developed
7	sands in these Ricky wells?
8	A. No, it's not like a Pictured Cliffs sand that's
9	well developed, there are no true
10	Q. Or a Fruitland Sand?
11	A. No, there's no Fruitland Sands
12	Q. Okay.
13	A that I see in these wells.
14	Q. What about fractures from the Fruitland? Any
15	production from the Fruitland at all
16	A. Well, the Fruitland Coal
17	Q. Sands, sands.
18	A. In the area or in the Ricky wells?
19	Q. In the Ricky wells.
20	A. I think they're strictly coming out of the coal
21	seams.
22	Q. So the reserves are strictly coal reserves?
23	A. "Strictly" is a tough word, because if you've
24	ever looked at the cuttings as they come up, you might see
25	a one- or two-foot sand above right above a coal or

1 right below the coal. But predominantly these are coal 2 wells. Okay. Okay, why did you ask for only one year of 3 Q. tests, and why did you not go for a permanent exception in 4 this case? I don't understand. 5 Α. Well, because of the fact that there's actually 6 7 four wells in one 320-acre spacing unit --But you're producing from different --8 Q. That's correct --9 Α. -- coal seams? 10 Q. -- but what we feel is, we needed to verify that 11 Α. 12 there wasn't communication. I think some of the offset 13 operators would be concerned that maybe we're putting two straws in the same location --14 I see. 15 Q. -- and sucking more gas out and possibly ruin our 16 Α. correlative rights, so we needed to make sure that that 17 wasn't the case. 18 Your pressures are pretty low, though, your --19 Q. 20 Α. Yes. 21 So it doesn't look like the pressure wave would Q. 22 go out very far in this instance. 23 Now, what is your -- do you have any -- You did a 24 lot of pressure data, but did you do any pressure-transient 25 data?

30

	31
1	A. No. No, we have not.
2	Q. So you don't know the permeability, you don't
3	know the extent of that it would show you it might
4	show you a little more data?
5	A. That's correct. In this area you have to
6	stimulate the frac job, the coals, to make them
7	commercially productive, so I don't know how much useful
8	data that would provide relative to the cost.
9	Q. It makes it more complicated, but it is
10	A. And the wells are while they're commercial
11	they're not, you know, tremendously commercial. And so
12	we're trying to get the best data for the lowest price.
13	Q. I see. Well, okay. You noticed all the offset
14	people. I noticed in the trans or in the order before,
15	that last time two people showed up, two offset people over
16	on the other side of Section 18 showed up, or at least
17	registered
18	MR. CARR: They appeared.
19	EXAMINER JONES: made an appearance in the
20	case.
21	THE WITNESS: Two?
22	EXAMINER JONES: There was
23	MR. CARR: Redwolf was in, is the one I recall.
24	EXAMINER JONES: And the
25	THE WITNESS: Redwolf told us that they didn't

ļ

1 have any objection.

2 EXAMINER JONES: Okay, thanks for saying that.
3 That's kind of what I was getting at.

Q. (By Examiner Jones) Yeah, your decline curve
looks almost like a decline from a regular reservoir to me,
and I guess I'm not used to these low-yielding coals, but
if you don't have gas-content data, that's about all you
have, I guess --

A. Uh-huh.

9

25

10 Q. -- is decline-curve analysis. You want us to 11 amend the previous one, and you only want to go for one 12 more year?

A. Well, I'd like to make it permanent if we could.
Q. Let me put it to you this way. If this is turned
down -- it looks like maybe most of the reserves are gone,
so would you justify a frac job in the new wells for the
Upper Coals, or would those reserves be lost?

18 Α. They would probably be lost. We would have to --19 we actually have to do some -- we'd have to plug the 20 existing wells and then perf and frac and pull the tubing 21 and stuff, and we're looking at at least fifty- -- well, that was a year ago. Now with prices, probably close to 22 23 \$70,000, \$75,000 per well to complete --24 Q. To frac them?

A. -- to frac them and complete them.

1	Q. But if you do show that these Upper Coals are
2	pretty good, you can can you use this data to
3	extrapolate to other wells that you own other places?
4	A. I very I think that would be very
5	Q. And you would be frac'ing and complete in those
6	coals, right?
7	A. Yes, we as production would decline on the
8	Basal Coal zones, we would probably initiate a program to
9	complete the Upper Coals in the other wells
10	Q. Okay.
11	A at that time.
12	Q. And other operators in the area might watch what
13	you're doing?
14	A. I think so, because some of the operators produce
15	at all, and some of them have only completed here, so I
16	would imagine that they would be interested in that also.
17	Q. Okay. I noticed in the Application looks okay
18	on the but some of that location on the prehearing
19	statement got me over into Rosy Unit, and I don't know if
20	the is this okay, Gail, because Is this location, the
21	wet one
22	MS. MacQUESTEN: Does it match the application?
23	EXAMINER JONES: Yeah, I don't think it does. I
24	don't think it's a real big deal, but Gail might think
25	otherwise. Let's see, here's the affidavit. That's

ľ

This is location. 1 THE WITNESS: You're right, it does say 31 North, 2 5 West. 3 (By Examiner Jones) And it's on Section 8 4 Q. instead of Section 18 or something? 5 Α. Yeah. 6 I pulled it up and I was right in the middle of 7 Q. the Rosy unit, so -- there was something wrong here. 8 MR. CARR: We'll just have to ask that the case 9 be continued and readvertised. 10 EXAMINER JONES: But just for two weeks or --11 MR. CARR: Well, to be readvertised it has to 12 four --13 EXAMINER JONES: Four. 14 MR. CARR: -- and we'd request that we be allowed 15 to continue when we get the readvertisement. 16 17 EXAMINER JONES: Gail, do you have any questions? MS. MacQUESTEN: No questions. 18 19 EXAMINER JONES: Okay, I don't think we have any 20 more questions. The case is heard, so we'll just process 21 it. 22 MR. CARR: And I will file a corrected ad. 23 THE WITNESS: Okay. Is the ad --24 MR. CARR: No, but the notice --25 EXAMINER JONES: Just the notice in the docket.

1	33
1	MR. CARR: The publication needs to be corrected.
2	EXAMINER JONES: Thanks for
3	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
4	EXAMINER JONES: And with that, we'll take Case
5	13,558 under advisement. And I'm sorry, I'm sorry,
6	we're going to continue this case until October the 20th.
7	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
8	9:13 a.m.)
9	* * *
10	
11	
12	
13	I do hereby cartify that the foregoing is
14	the Examiner hearing of Case No.
15	heard by me on Examiner
16	Oil Conservation Division
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) ss. COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL September 22nd, 2005.

STEVEN T. BRENNER CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2006