| n ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang an | | | |
|--|--|---|--------------|
| 1 2 3 4 | ENERGY AND MIN OIL CONSERV STATE LAND | NEW MEXICO ERALS DEPARTMENT ATION DIVISION OFFICE BLDG. NEW MEXICO Ober 1983 | |
| 5 | EXAMIN | ER HEARING | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | IN THE MATTER OF: | | |
| 8 9 | Application of Cham Company for compuls and an unorthodox w Eddy County, New Me | ory pooling ell location, | CASE 7978 |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, | Deaminea | |
| 13 | BEFORE: MICHAEI E. SLOUHEF, | Examiner | |
| 14 | TRANSCRIP | I OF HEARING | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | АРРЕА | R A N C E S | |
| 17 | For the Oil Conservation | | |
| 18 | Division: | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | For the Applicant: | William F. Carr, Es | sq. |
| 21 | | CAMPBELL, BYRD, & E Jefferson Place | |
| 22 | | Santa Fe, New Mexic | .0 0/301 |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |

INDEX

MARK NEARBURG

an jan an an

ſ

8.

Direct Examination by Mr. Carr Cross Examination by Mr. Stogner

EXHIBITS

Chama Exhibit One, Land Map

MR. STOGNER: We're going to call next Case 7978, which is the application of Chama Petroleum Company for compulsory pooling and an unorthodox well location, Eddy County, New Mexico.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q

MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my name is William F. Carr, with the law firm Campbell, Byrd, and Black, P.A., of Santa Fe, appearing on behalf of Chama Petroleum Company.

Mr. Examiner, we have received voluntary joinder from all interest owners in the spacing unit and therefor at this time we request that you dismiss the portion of the case relating to the compulsory pooling. MR. STOGNER: Thank you, Mr. Carr. Mr. Letizio did not have any interest in this area? MR. CARR: He did not. MR. STOGNER: Thank you.

MARK NEARBURG,

being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CARR: Q Will you state your name and place residence. A Mark Nearburg, Dallas, Texas.

Are you the same Mark Nearburg who

of

1 2 testified in the previous case and had your credentials accepted and made a matter of record? 3 Yes. 4 Are you familiar with the application 0 5 filed on behalf of Chama in this case? б Yes. A 7 Are you familiar with the subject acreage 8 and the Parino No. 1 Well? 9 Yes. 10 MR. CARR: Are the witness' qualifications acceptable? 11 MR. STOGNER: They are, and 12 also let the record show that he was previously sworn in in 13 the previous case. 14 Nearburg, will you state what Chama Mr. 15 seeks with this application? 16 With this application Chama seeks 17 approval of an unorthodox location for a re-entry of the 18 previously drilled Amoco Parino Well in the south half of Section 23. 19 And what is the location of this well? 20 This well is located 1980 feet from the Δ 21 south line and 660 feet from the east line. 22 And when this well was originally drilled 23 it was at a standard location, is that correct? 24 That's correct. A 25 Would you provide Mr. Stogner with a O.

1 2 brief history of this well? This well was drilled in 1978 by Amoco 3 Production Company to the Morrow formation and was dry and 4 abandoned at that time. 5 Chama Petroleum Company desired to re-6 this well and on 9-22-83 Les Clements in Artesia apenter 7 proved re-entry of the well at this location on a south half 8 unit. 9 his actual approval was 9-26 given pending approval of the nonstandard location from Santa Fe. 10 So on the 26th you received written ap-11 proval. 12 That's right. A 13 Okay, and then you were permitted to re-14 enter the well but you had to get the location approved by 15 coming to hearing. 16 That's correct. A 17 Will you please refer to what has been 18 marked for identification as Chama Exhibit Number One, 19 identify this and explain what it shows? This is a land map covering the subject 20 In Section 23, outlined in blue, was Amoco's east area. 21 half proration unit for drilling the Parino in 1978. In 22 yellow is Chama's south half unit. 23 Why are you dedicating the south half to 24 this well? 25 We turned the original unit from the east Α

1 2 half to the south half to protect our working interest. 3 And you turned back the working interest ownership in the southwest quarter. 4 Yes, southwest quarter. 5 What is the status of the acreage to the 6 east of the subject well? 7 In Section 24 Chama has leased 11/16ths Δ 8 of the south half and 5/32nds of the west half northwest. **9**°. quarter. The other mineral interests are owned by industry 10 people and they have been contacted and have agreed to work with us at such time as we drill on that acreage. 11 Would this location qualify for admini-12 strative approval by the Commission? 13 No, this would not qualify for admini-14 strative approval because on the south half proration unit 15 the well is not 1980 feet from the end boundary of the unit. 16 And you're having to come to hearing be-17 cause you turned the spacing unit. 18 That's correct. 19 In your opinion will granting this application be in the best interest of conservation, 20 the prevention of waste, and the protection of correlative rights? 21 Yes. 22 MR. CARR: At this time we 23 would offer into evidence Chama Exhibit Number One. 24 25

1 2 STOGNER: Chama's Exhibit MR. Number One will, and all others will be admitted into 3 evidence. 4 MR. CARR: And that concludes 5 my examination of this witness. 6 7 -CROSS EXAMINATION 8. BY MR. STOGNER: 9 Also for this well, again, the east half was dedicated to it previously. 10 It was a standard location at that time in the Morrow. 11 That's correct. 12 MR. STOGNER: I have no further 13 questions of this witness. 14 Does anybody else have anything 15 further in Case Number 7978 this morning of this witness? 16 If not, he may be excused, and 17 Case Number 7978 will be taken under advisement. 18 19 (Hearing concluded.) **20** 21 22 23 24 25

98 S.S.

CERTIFICATE

, د ر 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY SALLY Τ. that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the CERTIFY Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally les Boyd CSE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in 10. 7978 the Examiner hearing of Case 19 83 heard by me on Outplue 26 , Examiner spilen Oil Conservation Division