

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED
BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR
THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

ORIGINAL

CASE 15402

APPLICATION OF COG OPERATING, LLC, FOR
A NONSTANDARD SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT
AND COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

November 12, 2015

Santa Fe, New Mexico

BEFORE: WILLIAM V. JONES, CHIEF EXAMINER
GABRIEL WADE, LEGAL EXAMINER

This matter came on for hearing before the
New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, William V. Jones,
Chief Examiner, and Gabriel Wade, Legal Examiner, on
November 12, 2015, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals,
and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino
Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall,
Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

REPORTED BY: ELLEN H. ALLANIC
NEW MEXICO CCR 100
CALIFORNIA CSR 8670
PAUL BACA COURT REPORTERS
500 Fourth Street, NW
Suite 105
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

RECEIVED OGD
2015 DEC - 2 P 2:30

3 Jordan Lee Kessler, Esq.
Holland & Hart
4 110 North Guadalupe
Suite 1
5 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
(505)983-6043
6 jlkessler@hollandhart.com

7 I N D E X

9 CASE NUMBER 15402 CALLED
COG OPERATING, LLC
10 CASE-IN-CHIEF:
11 WITNESS AARON L. MYERS

12	Direct	Redirect	Further
By Ms. Kessler	4		

14 Examiner Jones

EXAMINATION
10

WITNESS DREW BERGMAN

	Direct	Redirect	Further
17 By Ms. Kessler	11		

18 EXAMINATION
Examiner Jones 15

22
Reporter's Certificate

PAGE
17

1	E X H I B I T I N D E X	
2	Exhibits Offered and Admitted	
3		PAGE
4	COG OPERATING, LLC, EXHIBIT 1	10
5	COG OPERATING, LLC, EXHIBIT 2	10
6	COG OPERATING, LLC, EXHIBIT 3	10
7	COG OPERATING, LLC, EXHIBIT 4	10
8	COG OPERATING, LLC, EXHIBIT 5	15
9	COG OPERATING, LLC, EXHIBIT 6	15
10	COG OPERATING, LLC, EXHIBIT 7	15
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 (Time noted 9:31 a.m.)

2 EXAMINER JONES: Let's call case 15402,
3 Application of COG Operating, LLC, for a nonstandard
4 spacing and proration unit and compulsory pooling, Eddy
5 County, New Mexico.

6 Call for appearances.

7 MS. KESSLER: May it please the Examiners,
8 Jordan Kessler from the Santa Fe Office of Holland and
9 Hart on behalf of the applicant. I have two witnesses
10 here today.

11 EXAMINER JONES: Any other appearances?

12 (No response.)

13 EXAMINER JONES: Will the witnesses please
14 stand and the court reporter swear in the witnesses.

15 (WHEREUPON, the presenting witnesses
16 were administered the oath.)

17 MS. KESSLER: I would like to call my first
18 witness please.

19 AARON L. MYERS, JD
20 having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified
21 as follows:

22 DIRECT EXAMINATION

23 BY MS. KESSLER:

24 Q. Could you please state your name for the record
25 and tell the Examiner by whom you are employed and in

1 what capacity.

2 A. My name is Aaron Myers. I am senior landman for
3 COG Operating in Midland, Texas.

4 Q. Have you previously testified before the
5 Division?

6 A. Yes, I have.

7 Q. And were your credentials as a petroleum landman
8 accepted and made a matter of public record?

9 A. Yes, they were.

10 Q. Are you familiar with the application that's been
11 filed in this case?

12 A. Yes, I am.

13 Q. And are you familiar with the status of the lands
14 in the subject area?

15 A. Yes, I am.

16 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiners, I would tender
17 Mr. Myers as an expert witness on petroleum land
18 matters.

19 EXAMINER JONES: He is so qualified.

20 Q. Mr. Myers, could you please turn to your
21 Exhibit 1 and identify this exhibit and explain what COG
22 seeks under this application.

23 A. This is a -- it is an NMOCd form C-102, well
24 location and acreage dedication plan.

25 Q. And what does COG seek under this application?

1 A. Seeking to pool the spacing unit for the east
2 half of the west half of Section 29, Township 25 South,
3 Range 27 East, in Eddy County, New Mexico.

4 Q. Do you seek to pool all mineral interests in the
5 Bone Spring formation?

6 A. Yes, we do.

7 Q. Do you also seek to dedicate the spacing unit to
8 the Populace Federal, No. 3H Well?

9 A. Yes, we do.

10 Q. Has their APD been approved for this well?

11 A. Yes, it has.

12 Q. What is the API number?

13 A. The API number is 30-015-43256.

14 Q. And has the Division designated a pool for this
15 area?

16 A. Yes, it has. It is pool code No. 97818.

17 EXAMINER JONES: But there's no real pool
18 that's gone through nomenclature. It's still considered
19 a wildcat pool?

20 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiner, that is correct.
21 It has been designated the Wildcat G-03 S252636M Bone
22 Spring.

23 Q. What is the character of these lands?

24 A. The lands in question are a federal lease, which
25 is NMNM 114348.

1 Q. And is this governed by Division statewide rules?

2 A. Yes, it is.

3 Q. So 330-foot setbacks will apply?

4 A. Yes, they will.

5 Q. Are there any depth severance issues in this
6 acreage?

7 A. There are none.

8 Q. So ownership is common throughout the Bone
9 Spring?

10 A. Yes, it is.

11 Q. Please turn to Exhibit 2 and identify this
12 exhibit for the Examiners.

13 A. This is an offset working interest owner plat
14 showing the wellbore in the east half, west half -- or
15 the spacing unit, rather, in the east half west half for
16 the Populus Federal, No. 3H.

17 It shows the offsetting ownership to the north
18 and to the south. And ownership throughout section 29
19 is common.

20 Q. What interest do you seek to pool?

21 A. We are seeking to pool the interest of OXY Y1
22 company in the proposed spacing unit.

23 Q. And they are highlighted in yellow on this
24 exhibit, correct?

25 A. Yes, they are.

1 Q. Please turn to your COG Exhibit 3. Is this a
2 copy of the well proposal letter that was sent to
3 OXY Y1?

4 A. Yes, it is.

5 Q. And what date was that letter sent?

6 A. September 2, 2015.

7 Q. And did the well proposal letter include an AFE?

8 A. Yes, it did.

9 Q. Are the costs reflected on the AFE consistent
10 with what COG has incurred for drilling similar
11 horizontal wells in this area?

12 A. Yes, they are.

13 Q. What additional efforts did you undertake to
14 reach voluntary agreement with OXY Y1?

15 A. We have been working and are still currently
16 working on trying to either get an amended operating
17 agreement to make the initial well here not obligatory.
18 That's kind of been hung up a little bit.

19 And we've also have been looking at obtaining a
20 term assignment and negotiations are still ongoing. And
21 it is likely that we will get something hammered out
22 before an order is posted by the Commission.

23 Q. So you have been actively involved in
24 negotiations with OXY Y1?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Have you estimated overhead and administrative
2 costs while drilling this well and also while producing
3 it if you are successful?

4 A. Yes, we have. Those rates would be \$7,000 a
5 month, drilling, and \$700 a month, producing.

6 Q. And are those costs in line with what COG and
7 other operators in the area charge for similar wells?

8 A. Yes, they are.

9 Q. Do you ask that these administrative and overhead
10 costs be incorporated into any order resulting from this
11 hearing?

12 A. Yes, we would.

13 Q. And do you ask as well that it be adjusted in
14 accordance with the appropriate accounting procedures?

15 A. Yes, we would.

16 Q. And with respect to OXY Y1, do you request that
17 the Division impose a 200 percent risk penalty?

18 A. Yes, we would.

19 Q. Let's turn back to COG Exhibit 2. Does this plat
20 identify the offsetting operators or lessees of record?

21 A. Yes, it does.

22 Q. And did COG provide notice of this hearing to the
23 offset operators or lessees of record?

24 A. Yes, we did.

25 Q. Is Exhibit 4 an affidavit prepared by my office

1 with attached letters providing notice of this hearing
2 to the party to be pooled as well as the offset
3 operators and lessees?

4 A. Yes, it is.

5 Q. Was it necessary to publish notice or were all
6 the parties locatable?

7 A. All parties were locatable.

8 Q. And were Exhibits 1 through 3 prepared by you or
9 compiled under your direction and supervision?

10 A. Yes, they were.

11 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiner, I move into
12 evidence Exhibits 1 through 4, which includes my notice
13 affidavit.

14 EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 1 through 4 are
15 admitted.

16 (COG OPERATING, LLC, EXHIBITS 1 through 4
17 were offered and admitted.)

18 EXAMINATION BY EXAMINER JONES

19 EXAMINER JONES: Everybody is located -- you
20 noticed everybody. And you said "common" ownership in
21 section 29?

22 THE WITNESS: Yes.

23 EXAMINER JONES: By "common," what do you
24 mean?

25 THE WITNESS: Well, the ownership

1 highlighted in red there in that proposed spacing unit
2 is the same on the west half, west half and for the east
3 half. It's one federal lease owned in the proportions
4 demonstrated in the box that are through the wellbore.

5 EXAMINER JONES: Do you have any questions?

6 MR. WADE: I don't have any questions.

7 EXAMINER JONES: Thank you.

8 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

9 MS. KESSLER: I would like to call my next
10 witness.

11 DREW BERGMAN
12 having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified
13 as follows:

14 DIRECT EXAMINATION

15 BY MS. KESSLER:

16 Q. Would you please state your name for the record
17 and tell the Examiners by whom you're employed and in
18 what capacity.

19 A. My name is Drew Bergman. I'm the geologist with
20 COG Operating, LLC, out of Midland, Texas.

21 Q. Have you previously testified before the
22 Division?

23 A. Yes, I have.

24 Q. And were your credentials as a petroleum
25 geologist accepted and made a matter of record?

1 A. Yes, they were.

2 Q. Are you familiar with the application that has
3 been filed in this case?

4 A. Yes, I am.

5 Q. And have you conducted a geologic study of the
6 subject of this application?

7 A. Yes, I have.

8 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiner, I would tender
9 Mr. Bergman as an expert in petroleum geology.

10 EXAMINER JONES: He is qualified as an
11 expert in petroleum geology.

12 Q. Please turn to COG Exhibit 5 and identify this
13 exhibit and walk us through it.

14 A. This is a map of the area. Our acreage is in
15 yellow. The black contours represent the structure on
16 base of the Second Bone Spring Sand. The solid orange
17 lines represent other producing Second Bone Spring wells
18 in the area. The dashed well represents the well in
19 question.

20 Q. What have you identified with respect to the
21 structure of the Bone Spring in this area?

22 A. That it is a uniform and consistent dip from the
23 west to the east of the basin line.

24 Q. And what is the line labeled A to A Prime?

25 A. That is representative of the cross section in

1 the next exhibit.

2 Q. Do you consider the wells reflected on the next
3 exhibit to be representative of wells in the area?

4 A. Yes, I do.

5 Q. Will you please turn to Exhibit 6.

6 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiner, I will note that
7 this exhibit is somewhat hard to read. We have included
8 a larger copy in the sleeve behind this exhibit.

9 Q. Please identify this exhibit for the Examiners.

10 A. This is a cross section represented on the
11 previous exhibit. You have the porosity log on the left
12 and the resistivity log on the right of the wells. The
13 top and the base of the Second Bone Spring Sand is
14 represented by the orange lines.

15 Q. Where is the landing zone for this well?

16 A. It is about 100 feet from the base of the Second
17 Bone Spring Sand.

18 Q. What have you identified with respect to
19 continuity as a proposed nonstandard spacing unit?

20 A. That it is continuous and that it has uniform
21 thickness.

22 (Increase in Ambient Noise.)

23 Q. And based on your geologic study of this area,
24 have you identified any geologic impediments to drilling
25 a full section of horizontal wells in this area?

1 A. No, I have not.

2 Q. In your opinion, do you believe that the area can
3 be efficiently and economically developed by horizontal
4 wells?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And do you believe that the proposed nonstandard
7 unit will on average contribute more or less and lead to
8 production of the well?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Will the completed interval comply with the
11 Division's horizontal well rules?

12 A. Yes, it will.

13 Q. So is Exhibit 7 a diagram of the planned well
14 path demonstrating compliance with the 330-foot
15 setbacks?

16 A. Yes, it is.

17 Q. And in your opinion, will the granting of COG's
18 application be in the best interests of conservation,
19 for the prevention of waste and the protection of
20 correlative rights?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And were Exhibits 5 through 7 prepared by you or
23 compiled under your direction and supervision?

24 A. Yes, they were.

25 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiner, I move the

1 admission of Exhibits 5 through 7.

2 EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 5 through 7 are
3 admitted.

4 (COG OPERATING, LLC, EXHIBITS 5 through 7
5 were offered and admitted.)

6 MS. KESSLER: Thank you.

7 EXAMINATION BY EXAMINER JONES

8 EXAMINER JONES: Did the BLM change anything
9 from what was proposed on this well between the time you
10 submitted the application to the time of approval?

11 THE WITNESS: Nothing that I am aware of.

12 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. And in section 29,
13 do you plan on continuous development in that section
14 or -- in other words, it looks like you are going to
15 drill north, south or south to north, so is there
16 anything else stopping development in that section?

17 THE WITNESS: No, there is not.

18 EXAMINER JONES: Just the outcome of this
19 well, I take it?

20 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

21 EXAMINER JONES: And any other zones in the
22 Bone Spring that would be possible out here?

23 THE WITNESS: We're continuously evaluating
24 that.

25 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Thanks very much.

1 MS. KESSLER: I ask that this case be taken
2 under advisement.

3 EXAMINER JONES: Case 15402 is taken under
4 advisement.

5

6

7

(Time noted 9:44 a.m.)

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
a complete record of the proceedings in
the Examiner hearing of Case No. _____,
heard by me on _____.

_____, Examiner
Oil Conservation Division

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
2) ss.
3 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)
4
5
6

7 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

8
9 I, ELLEN H. ALLANIC, New Mexico Reporter CCR
10 No. 100, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that on Thursday, November
11 12, 2015, the proceedings in the above-captioned matter
12 were taken before me, that I did report in stenographic
13 shorthand the proceedings set forth herein, and the
14 foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription to
15 the best of my ability and control.

16
17 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by
18 nor related to nor contracted with (unless excepted by
19 the rules) any of the parties or attorneys in this case,
20 and that I have no interest whatsoever in the final
21 disposition of this case in any court.

22
23
24
25


ELLEN H. ALLANIC, CSR
NM Certified Court Reporter No. 100
License Expires: 12/31/15