	Page 3			
1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd)			
2	FOR INDEPENDENT PETROLEUM ASSOCIATION OF NEW MEXICO			
3	(IPANM):			
4	KARIN V. FOSTER, ESQ. SOUTHWEST GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS, LLC			
5	5808 Mariola Place, Northeast			
	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87111 (505) 238-8385			
6	karin@swgovernmentaffairs.com			
7				
8				
9				
10	INDEX			
11	PAGE			
12	Case Number 15487 Called 3			
13	Proceedings Conclude 15			
14	Certificate of Court Reporter 16			
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Page 4

- 1 (1:05 p.m.)
- 2 CHAIRPERSON CATANACH: The next order of
- 3 business today is we need to talk about Cause Number
- 4 15487, which is the adoption of special pool rules for
- 5 drilling new wells in certain areas for the protection
- of fresh water, Chaves and Eddy Counties, New Mexico.
- 7 This case was originally supposed to be
- 8 commenced at this time. The Division had some notice
- 9 issues, which required that the case had to be continued
- 10 to another date. We are here today to see if we can
- 11 arrive at an agreed time in which to continue this case
- 12 to be heard.
- So at this time, let me call for
- 14 appearances.
- 15 MR. BROOKS: Mr. Chairman, Honorable
- 16 Commissioners, David Brooks, Energy, Minerals and
- 17 Natural Resources Department, appearing for the Oil
- 18 Conservation Division as Applicant.
- 19 MR. LARSON: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners,
- 20 Gary Larson of the Santa Fe office of Hinkle Shanor for
- 21 Yates Petroleum.
- MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Chairman, Members of
- 23 the Commission, Michael Feldewert, Santa Fe office of
- 24 Holland & Hart, appearing on behalf of COG Operating,
- 25 OXY USA and Fasken Oil and Ranch.

- 1 MS. FOSTER: Mr. Chairman, Karin Foster,
- 2 Southwest Government Affairs, on behalf of the
- 3 Independent Petroleum Association of New Mexico.
- 4 MR. OLSEN: Chairman and Members of the
- 5 Commission, A.J. Olsen and Olivia Mitchell on behalf of
- 6 Pecos Valley Artesia Conservancy District.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON CATANACH: I'm sorry. What was
- 8 the last name of Olivia, Mr. Olsen?
- 9 MR. OLSEN: Mitchell.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON CATANACH: Mitchell.
- 11 Any additional appearances?
- Okay. Mr. Brooks, do you want to start us
- 13 off here?
- MR. BROOKS: Yes, sir.
- Mr. Chairman, Honorable Commissioners, I
- 16 have been informed by email for each of the attorneys
- 17 that -- separately, including Mr. Bruce who is not
- 18 present, that they and their witnesses will be available
- 19 on the days of October 5th through the 7th.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON CATANACH: December.
- MR. BROOKS: I'm sorry. December 5th
- 22 through the 7th -- important difference -- on which date
- 23 the Commission I believe -- which dates the Commission I
- 24 believe has indicated are available dates.
- 25 CHAIRPERSON CATANACH: So is that correct?

- 1 Can I ask all the attorneys: Is that correct? Is that
- 2 your understanding, that you're available on those
- 3 dates?
- 4 MR. LARSON: That's correct, Mr. Chairman.
- 5 MR. FELDEWERT: Yes, sir.
- 6 MR. OLSEN: A.J. Olsen, that is correct.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON CATANACH: Let me just check
- 8 with the Commission one more time. Are those available
- 9 dates for the Commissioners?
- 10 COMMISSIONER BALCH: I'm good the 5th
- 11 through the 8th.
- 12 COMMISSIONER PADILLA: I don't have a
- 13 conflict.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON CATANACH: I do not have a
- 15 conflict as well.
- Okay. And do we anticipate that it's going
- 17 to take two or three days, Mr. Brooks?
- 18 MR. BROOKS: Mr. Chairman, that is what has
- 19 been represented to me. I will, again, reiterate that I
- 20 think the Division's case can be concluded within
- 21 one-half day if our witnesses are not subjected to
- 22 unreasonable cross-examination.
- 23 But I would add that at least two of the
- 24 counsel would probably be happy with a 1:00 p.m. start
- 25 on the 5th, one being -- being Mr. Olsen who has to come

- 1 here from Roswell, and the other being myself. I'm
- 2 going to be on vacation the week before that, and I will
- 3 be flying in that Sunday from Florida and hopefully
- 4 without incident, but I've had some problems in the
- 5 past. So there are plenty of flights on that route, so
- 6 I should be able to be here by that evening -- Sunday
- 7 evening, but if not, by Monday midday.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON CATANACH: Okay. Is there any
- 9 opposition to starting at 1:00 p.m. on Monday, December
- 10 5th?
- MS. FOSTER: No opposition.
- 12 COMMISSIONER PADILLA: Is December 6th a
- 13 Commission hearing? Are there going to be cases? Are
- 14 they going to be pushed off?
- 15 CHAIRPERSON CATANACH: Oh, that's right.
- 16 We have a December 6th docket, and I don't know what's
- 17 going to be on the docket. We could --
- Help me, if I'm wrong. We could move the
- 19 cases off the 6th if we have to.
- MS. BADA: We could.
- 21 CHAIRPERSON CATANACH: This is the only
- 22 time to do this before the end of the year, so I would
- 23 rather delay any other cases on the 6th. We can delay
- 24 those cases --
- 25 COMMISSIONER PADILLA: I don't even know if

- 1 there is anything on the docket, but I know it's a
- 2 regularly scheduled Commission hearing.
- 3 CHAIRPERSON CATANACH: If we had to --
- 4 like, we have until -- if there was something pressing
- 5 on that date, we could go until --
- 6 You're available until the 8th?
- 7 COMMISSIONER BALCH: I have to leave
- 8 sometime in the afternoon on the 8th. Yes.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON CATANACH: That's at least four
- 10 days -- no, three and a half. Well, we'll see how it
- 11 goes, but we can advise the parties ahead of time that
- 12 the 6th is probably going to be reserved for this case,
- 13 so we might have to go into January on something else.
- 14 COMMISSIONER PADILLA: So 1:00 p.m. on the
- 15 5th?
- 16 CHAIRPERSON CATANACH: 1:00 p.m. on the
- 17 5th, it looks like.
- 18 MR. BROOKS: That's correct.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON CATANACH: Hopefully this will
- 20 not get continued again. That is my desire, not to
- 21 continue it any further than that.
- MR. BROOKS: Hopefully, sir.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON CATANACH: Okay.
- MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Chairman, Members of
- 25 the Commission, I have a couple of housekeeping matters,

Page 9

- 1 since that's the date, you know.
- 2 First off, as Mr. Brooks knows, there is a
- 3 little confusion, on those hearing dates starting on
- 4 Monday, as to when the exhibits and pre-hearing
- 5 statements are to be filed.
- I'm assuming that since we're starting on
- 7 December the 5th, that the pre-hearing statements and
- 8 proposed exhibits would be filed with the Commission on
- 9 or before, I guess, November 28th, which is a Monday, or
- 10 is it Tuesday? How do we interpret that rule?
- MR. BROOKS: Mr. Chairman, there normally
- 12 is a confusion about that, but I believe in this case
- 13 there is not because, if I'm not mistaken -- you have a
- 14 calendar in front of you, but if I'm not mistaken,
- 15 December the 5th is the -- no, I am mistaken. December
- 16 5th is not the Thursday after Thanksgiving. It's the
- 17 next Thursday. There is a whole week there. So yes,
- 18 there could be some room for confusion. I don't believe
- 19 there is.
- I believe that the rule clearly states that
- 21 the pre-hearing statements are due on the -- due four
- 22 business days before the meeting but not less than --
- 23 not later than the Thursday before the meeting. Since
- 24 the meetings are usually on Thursdays, that means that
- 25 in the usual case, the pre-hearing statements are due on

- 1 Thursday, because if there are no holidays and if the
- 2 meeting is on a Thursday, then the Thursday before the
- 3 meeting is the applicable day. But if the meeting is on
- 4 a day other than Thursday, then the Thursday before the
- 5 meeting is less than four business days before the --
- 6 before the hearing and, therefore, the four business
- 7 days rule controls.
- I would add, however, that the Commission
- 9 has the power to set the pre-hearing statement by order.
- 10 And since -- my understanding is there will be an order
- 11 issued setting this date, then we could say in that
- 12 order specifically when the pre-hearing statements would
- 13 be due so no party would be confused.
- MS. FOSTER: Mr. Chairman, in light of the
- 15 fact that we're talking about dates and the ability to
- 16 review pre-hearing statements, I'd like to renew my
- 17 objection to this proceeding again. I did, before the
- 18 hearing officer, and, again, I feel that because of the
- 19 geographic area, the size of the geographic areas, the
- 20 number of pools that are affected by this potential
- 21 order that's going to come out of this hearing, that
- 22 this is really a rule-making.
- 23 If this was a rule-making process, then we
- 24 would have a 30-day notice. I would be able to notify
- 25 my 300-plus companies and be able to show them what this

- 1 potential rule is all about rather than just four days.
- 2 So I would ask, you know, per Mr. Brooks'
- 3 statement, that we get at least four business days --
- 4 actual business days, not including the weekend, at a
- 5 minimum.
- But, again, this really should be a rule --
- 7 rule-making process, which means we should really have
- 8 30 days.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON CATANACH: So noted,
- 10 Ms. Foster.
- Mr. Brooks, given the four-day rule, that
- 12 would put it at Monday, the 28th.
- MR. BROOKS: I believe it would put it at
- 14 Tuesday, the 29th, sir.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON CATANACH: Okay. So let's go
- 16 ahead and set that as -- those would be due on the 29th
- 17 of November.
- MR. BROOKS: Yeah. Yes, sir.
- 19 COMMISSIONER BALCH: I wouldn't mind having
- 20 a little more time. I have an excessive amount of
- 21 travel in late November. I could be coming back from
- 22 travel on Sunday right before the meeting.
- CHAIRPERSON CATANACH: The problem is the
- 24 previous week to that would be the Thanksqiving holiday,
- 25 Thursday and Friday, so --

- 1 COMMISSIONER PADILLA: Maybe bump it back
- 2 to the Monday before?
- 3 CHAIRPERSON CATANACH: The 21st? November
- 4 21st? Is that what you're suggesting?
- 5 COMMISSIONER PADILLA: Sure.
- 6 COMMISSIONER BALCH: I would have time to
- 7 review them.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON CATANACH: Is that a problem,
- 9 Mr. Brooks, do you think?
- 10 MR. BROOKS: I believe not for the
- 11 Division. I'm going to be on vacation at that time,
- 12 but -- from then on up to through the hearing, but -- I
- 13 mean until the beginning of the hearing (laughter).
- 14 Excuse me. But if I have any need for assistance, I
- 15 have the invaluable assistance of your entire staff to
- 16 get ready.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON CATANACH: Correct.
- Are there any other issues with that date?
- 19 We have established that would be the 21st -- I'm
- 20 sorry -- the 20th -- no. The 21st.
- MR. FELDEWERT: No, Mr. Chairman. I think
- 22 that would be a good idea, given the following week is
- 23 Thanksgiving week.
- CHAIRPERSON CATANACH: Okay. So then we'll
- 25 set those due on the 21st of November.

- 1 MS. FOSTER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Chairman, one other
- 3 housekeeping matter, if I may. I've looked at the
- 4 pre-hearing statement, trying to ascertain where
- 5 everybody stands on this. I recognize this is the
- 6 Division's application, so I'm sure they will go first
- 7 in their presentation. I understand from Mr. Olsen's
- 8 pre-hearing statement, they are in favor of the rules as
- 9 drafted. Therefore, it seems to me that they would then
- 10 follow the Division. And then those that have expressed
- 11 concern or opposition to the proposed rules would follow
- 12 the presentation by the Division and the -- I'll call
- 13 them Pecos Valley for short.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON CATANACH: And that would be my
- 15 understanding. That would be correct.
- MR. FELDEWERT: Okay.
- MR. BROOKS: I don't know --
- Mr. Olsen, did you hear Mr. Feldewert?
- 19 I'm not sure Mr. Olsen is still there.
- 20 MR. OLSEN: I did not hear completely.
- 21 MR. BROOKS: Okay. I believe, from
- 22 conversations with you, that your expectations were at
- 23 variance with that. I have nothing to do with this
- 24 determination. It's entirely a matter for the Division.
- Mr. Feldewert, would you mind reiterating

- 1 your position for Mr. Olsen?
- 2 MR. FELDEWERT: Yeah. Mr. Olsen, my
- 3 position was since you have expressed support for the
- 4 Division's rules in your pre-hearing statement, that it
- 5 seemed to me that the Division and the Pecos Valley
- 6 group would present their evidence first, and then the
- 7 remaining parties who had expressed opposition or some
- 8 issues with the proposal would present their evidence.
- 9 I think that's the normal sequence.
- 10 MR. OLSEN: I agree with that, and we're
- 11 prepared to go forward in that manner.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON CATANACH: Okay. Good. No
- 13 issue there.
- Anything else, Mr. Feldewert?
- 15 MR. FELDEWERT: No, sir. Thank you.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON CATANACH: Okay. Is there any
- 17 other discussion at this time?
- Mr. Olsen, anything you want to contribute?
- MR. OLSEN: Mr. Chairman, I would simply
- 20 like to thank you and the Commission and other counsel
- 21 for allowing Ms. Mitchell and I to appear
- 22 telephonically. We certainly appreciate that and the
- 23 board of directors of the PVACD, also.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON CATANACH: You're welcome, sir.
- 25 No problem.

		
		Page 15
1		Okay. Anything else we need to take care
2	of?	
3		Okay. Do I have a motion to adjourn the
4	hearing?	
5		COMMISSIONER PADILLA: So moved.
6		COMMISSIONER BALCH: And seconded.
7		CHAIRPERSON CATANACH: All in favor.
8		(Ayes are unanimous.)
9		(Case Number 15487 concludes, 1:18 p.m.)
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	Page 16
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	COUNTY OF BERNALILLO
3	
4	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
5	I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court
6	Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20,
7	and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify
8	that I reported the foregoing proceedings in
9	stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are
10	a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that
11	were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my
12	ability.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's
14	Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects
15	the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.
16	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
17	employed by nor related to any of the parties or
18	attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in
19	the final disposition of this case.
20	N. A. O. A. A. C.
21	MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR
22	Certified Court Reporter
23	New Mexico CCR No. 20 Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2016
24	Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters
25.	