

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED
BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR
THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

ORIGINAL

CASE NO. 15518

APPLICATION OF RKI EXPLORATION &
PRODUCTION FOR COMPULSORY POOLING
AND APPROVAL OF AN UNORTHODOX WELL
LOCATION, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

July 21, 2016

Santa Fe, New Mexico

BEFORE: MICHAEL McMILLAN, CHIEF EXAMINER
WILLIAM V. JONES, TECHNICAL EXAMINER

RECEIVED
2016 AUG 16 PM 4:45

This matter came on for hearing before the
New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Michael McMillan,
Chief Examiner, and William V. Jones, Technical
Examiner, on Thursday, July 21, 2016, at the New Mexico
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department,
Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive,
Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR
New Mexico CCR #20
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters
500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
(505) 843-9241

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

APPEARANCES

FOR APPLICANT RKI EXPLORATION & PRODUCTION, LLC:

JORDAN L. KESSLER, ESQ.
HOLLAND & HART
110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
(505) 988-4421
jlkessler@hollandhart.com

INDEX

PAGE

Case Number 15518 Called	3
RKI Exploration & Production, LLC's Case-in-Chief:	
Witnesses:	
Chuck Bassett:	
Direct Examination by Ms. Kessler	3
Cross-Examination by Examiner McMillan	9, 21
Cross-Examination by Examiner Jones	22
Sam Shiverick:	
Direct Examination by Ms. Kessler	10
Cross-Examination by Examiner McMillan	15
Cross-Examination by Examiner Jones	17
Proceedings Conclude/Certificate of Court Reporter	24/25

EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED

RKI Exploration & Production Exhibit Numbers 1 through 5	9
RKI Exploration & Production Exhibit Numbers 6 through 8	14

1 (11:32 a.m.)

2 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Call Case 15518,
3 application of RKI Exploration & Production for
4 compulsory pooling and approval of a nonorthodox well
5 location, Eddy County, New Mexico.

6 Call for appearances.

7 MR. KESSLER: Jordan Kessler, from the
8 Santa Fe office of Holland & Hart, on behalf of RKI.

9 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Any other appearances?
10 Thank you.

11 Please proceed.

12 MS. KESSLER: I have two witnesses today.

13 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Will the witnesses
14 please be sworn in?

15 (Chuck Bassett and Sam Shiverick sworn.)

16 MS. KESSLER: I'll call my first witness,
17 please.

18 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Thank you.

19 MS. KESSLER: Chuck Bassett.

20 CHUCK BASSETT,
21 after having been previously sworn under oath, was
22 questioned and testified as follows:

23 DIRECT EXAMINATION

24 BY MS. KESSLER:

25 Q. Please state your name for the record, and tell

1 the Examiners by whom you are employed and in what
2 capacity.

3 A. Chuck Bassett. I'm a landman for WPX, the
4 parent company of RKI Production & Exploration, LLC, and
5 I work in the Permian Basin.

6 Q. Have you previously testified before the
7 Division?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Were your credentials as a petroleum landman
10 accepted and made a matter of record?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Are you familiar with the application that's
13 been filed in this case?

14 A. I am.

15 Q. And are you familiar with the status of the
16 lands in the subject area?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Let's turn to Exhibit 1. Is this a draft C-102
19 for the proposed well?

20 A. Yes, it is.

21 Q. What does RKI seek under this application?

22 A. RKI is seeking an approval of a 320-acre
23 spacing unit comprised of the west half of Section 17,
24 Township 26 South, Range 30 East, in Eddy County, New
25 Mexico. We're also seeking to pool the mineral

1 interests in the Wolfcamp Formation underlying the
2 proposed unit, and we also are seeking an unorthodox
3 well location.

4 Q. Has the Division designated a pool for this
5 area, or is it undesignated Wolfcamp?

6 A. It is undesignated Wolfcamp, which allows for
7 340 and 440 spacing.

8 Q. 320 spacing?

9 A. 320 spacing. Excuse me.

10 Q. Do you expect this well to produce gas?

11 A. We do.

12 Q. And what is the character of the lands?

13 A. It's federal.

14 Q. Will the first and last perforations for this
15 well comply with the Division's 330 -- or 440-foot
16 requirements?

17 A. No, they won't.

18 As shown on the C-102, the perf points are
19 330 feet from the line, and RKI is requesting exceptions
20 to the Division's rule of the 660 setback for gas pools.

21 Q. Turning to Exhibit 2, does this exhibit reflect
22 the notice area for your requested nonstandard location?

23 A. Yes, it does.

24 Q. It shows the 320-acre spacing units
25 encroachment on the north -- northwest-northwest and

1 south, correct?

2 A. Correct.

3 Q. And did you provide notice of this application
4 to the 320-acre parties who are affected?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And are those letters contained in a later
7 exhibit?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And does Exhibit 3 show the ownership of the
10 west half of Section 17?

11 A. It does. It shows EOG Resources with a 25
12 percent working interest and RKI, LLC with 75 percent.

13 Q. And you seeking to pool EOG, who is --

14 A. That's correct.

15 Q. -- a working interest owner?

16 A. Uncommitted working interest owner.

17 Q. Is Exhibit 4 the well proposal letter you sent
18 for the Wolfcamp well?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. It contains an AFE; is that correct?

21 A. That's correct.

22 Q. And are the costs reflected on this AFE
23 consistent with what RKI has incurred for drilling
24 similar Wolfcamp wells in the area?

25 A. That's correct.

1 Q. What additional efforts did you undertake to
2 reach an agreement with EOG?

3 A. Through phone calls with EOG, they have
4 expressed no interest in reaching a voluntary agreement
5 at this time.

6 Q. And have you estimated the overhead and
7 administrative costs while drilling and producing the
8 well?

9 A. Yes, 7,500 for drilling and 750 -- 7,500 a
10 month for drilling and 750 a month for producing.

11 Q. And these costs are in line with what RKI and
12 other operators in the area charge for Wolfcamp
13 horizontal wells?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Do you request that the administrative and
16 overhead costs be incorporated into any order resulting
17 from this hearing?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And do you ask that it be adjusted in
20 accordance with the appropriate accounting procedures?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. With respect to EOG, the uncommitted working
23 interest owner, do you request the Division impose a 200
24 percent risk penalty?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Was it necessary to send notice to the offset
2 interest owners?

3 A. No. We're forming a standard unit.

4 Q. But you did provide notice to the affected
5 parties for the unorthodox location?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Did you publish notice of this hearing?

8 A. No, we did not. The parties were locatable.

9 Q. But, in fact, did you have one letter returned
10 undeliverable despite having a good address for that
11 interest owner?

12 A. That's correct.

13 Q. So you're requesting a two-week continuance in
14 order to publish notice for that hearing?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Is Exhibit 5 an affidavit prepared by my office
17 with attached letters providing notice to EOG and the
18 affected parties?

19 A. Yes, it is.

20 Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 4 prepared by you or
21 compiled under your direction and supervision?

22 A. Yes.

23 MS. KESSLER: I would move admission of
24 Exhibits 1 through 5, Mr. Examiner.

25 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Exhibits 1 through 5

1 may now be accepted as part of the record.

2 (RKI Exploration & Production, LLC Exhibit
3 Numbers 1 through 5 are offered and
4 admitted into evidence.)

5 EXAMINER McMILLAN: I don't know -- he was
6 never qualified as an expert witness.

7 EXAMINER JONES: He's been here a long time
8 ago. He used to come all the time (laughter).

9 MS. KESSLER: At this point I would move
10 that Mr. Bassett be tendered as an expert petroleum.

11 EXAMINER McMILLAN: So qualified.
12 What's the pool code?

13 MS. KESSLER: It's undesignated.

14 EXAMINER JONES: It's wildcat, right?

15 MS. KESSLER: Correct.

16 EXAMINER JONES: But we know it's going to
17 be gas.

18 MS. KESSLER: The geologist --

19 CROSS-EXAMINATION

20 BY EXAMINER McMILLAN:

21 Q. No API, right?

22 A. (Indicating.)

23 Q. No depth severances?

24 A. None.

25 Q. Thanks.

1 A. You're welcome.

2 CROSS-EXAMINATION

3 BY EXAMINER JONES:

4 Q. Is it all federal acreage?

5 A. It's all federal.

6 Q. The costs are extremely low for Wolfcamp
7 compared to what we've been seeing. Maybe COG should
8 get RKI to drill more wells (laughter).

9 A. They probably should.

10 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Thank you.

11 MS. KESSLER: I'll call my next witness.

12 SAM SHIVERICK,

13 after having been previously sworn under oath, was
14 questioned and testified as follows:

15 DIRECT EXAMINATION

16 BY MS. KESSLER:

17 Q. Please state your name for the record, and tell
18 the Examiners by whom you are employed and in what
19 capacity.

20 A. Sam Shiverick. I'm a geoscientist for WPX
21 Energy, working with the Permian asset, which is the
22 father company of RKI Exploration & Production, parent
23 company.

24 Q. Have you previously testified before the
25 Division?

1 A. I have.

2 Q. Were your credentials as a petroleum
3 geoscientist accepted and made a matter of record?

4 A. Yes, they were.

5 Q. Are you familiar with the application filed in
6 this case?

7 A. Yes, I am.

8 Q. Have you conducted a geologic study of the
9 lands that are the subject of that application?

10 A. I have.

11 MS. KESSLER: I'd tender Mr. Shiverick as
12 an expert petroleum geoscientist.

13 EXAMINER McMILLAN: So qualified.

14 Q. (BY MS. KESSLER) Mr. Shiverick, please turn to
15 Exhibit 6 and identify the exhibit for the Examiners?

16 A. Exhibit 6 is a locator map. The yellow box in
17 Section 17 outlines the area of interest for this
18 hearing. You'll also notice the well proposes the RDX
19 Fed Com 17 35H. It's on the west side of this yellow
20 box. The other wells on this map are the Wolfcamp
21 penetrating wells, both vertical and horizontals. The
22 only well that's not drilled is the proposed well that I
23 previously mentioned. Also, there is a cross section,
24 which will be shown in two exhibits from now, A to A
25 prime.

1 Q. Is Exhibit 7 a structure map of the Wolfcamp
2 Formation in this area?

3 A. Yes, it is.

4 Q. What have you observed with respect to the
5 structure in this area?

6 A. The structure is very consistent. It's down
7 dipping to the east, maybe northeast, east, and then up
8 dipping to the west, which is consistent. That's a very
9 consistent trend with what we've seen in this greater --
10 greater area.

11 Q. Have you observed, based on the structure in
12 this area, any geologic impediments to drilling a
13 horizontal well?

14 A. No.

15 Q. You mentioned the A to A prime line. Do you
16 consider the wells used to form this A to A prime line
17 representative of Wolfcamp wells in the area?

18 A. Yes.

19 I'd also like to point out one more thing
20 on this map. Underneath the well label, I've posted the
21 subsea TVD depths, which are the control points used to
22 make this map. So this is a subsea TVD Wolfcamp. I
23 don't know if that was clear before.

24 EXAMINER JONES: Thanks for saying that.
25 Guys like me -- (laughter).

1 Q. (BY MS. KESSLER) Look at Exhibit 8. Can you
2 please identify what this exhibit shows us?

3 A. Yes. Exhibit 8 is the cross section previously
4 referenced, A to A prime. This is a structure cross
5 section, so it's naturally hung in the Kelly Bushing
6 depth. It's a two-well cross section. It's using the
7 raster logs.

8 I apologize for the resolution of these
9 images. I saw some of the other companies brought
10 larger printouts. I can do that next time. I can also
11 send you guys something if this is not sufficient. But
12 this is just the public data we have available to us.

13 And so --

14 Q. Does this identify the formations and the
15 target interval?

16 A. It does. Note the Wolfcamp top and the base of
17 the Wolfcamp.

18 Q. What have you identified with respect to the
19 continuity of the formation accuracy of the standard
20 unit area?

21 A. The Wolfcamp Formation appears to be very
22 continuous across this area. I would reference the
23 resistivity log, which is the log track just to the left
24 of the depth track. You can see that these two wells
25 show very similar resistivity character up and down this

1 cross section.

2 Q. Okay. Based on your geologic analysis, have
3 you identified any faults or pinch-outs or other
4 geologic impediments that would prevent the subject
5 acreage from being efficiently developed using
6 horizontal wells?

7 A. No.

8 Q. And in your opinion, will approval of this
9 application be in the best interest of conservation, the
10 prevention of waste and the protection of correlative
11 rights?

12 A. Yes, it will.

13 Q. Were Exhibits 6 through 8 prepared by you or
14 compiled under your direction and supervision?

15 A. Yes.

16 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiner, I'd move the
17 admission of Exhibits 6 through 8.

18 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Exhibits 6 through 8
19 may now be accepted as part of the record.

20 (RKI Exploration & Production, LLC Exhibit
21 Numbers 6 through 8 are offered and
22 admitted into evidence.)

23 MS. KESSLER: That concludes my
24 examination.

25

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 BY EXAMINER McMILLAN:

3 Q. Okay. First question is: In Exhibit 8,
4 where's the target interval? Is it the red?

5 A. Yes. The target interval here is in the -- if
6 you look at the subsea depths on either side, those
7 negative values -- so if you look at it on negative
8 8,600, so it should be between negative 8,550 and
9 negative 8,650. Draw a line, tread [sic] through there,
10 that's about where we're going to land this lateral.

11 Q. Okay.

12 EXAMINER JONES: 8,550 to 8,650, did you
13 say?

14 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

15 Q. (BY EXAMINER McMILLAN) Do you have any idea
16 what the oil gravity is?

17 A. 51.

18 Q. GOR?

19 A. About 9,000, to 10,000.

20 Q. Do you have any idea of the characteristics of
21 the oil?

22 A. You know, we really don't. We've only drilled
23 one of these lower laterals to date. But there are a
24 lot of offset laterals in this same bench, but we
25 don't -- we just don't have access to that data. So --

1 Q. Okay. Actually, the next question I have is --
2 goes back to Exhibit 6. And this is more of a land
3 question. Is that RDX 17-16H -- is that also producing
4 from the Wolfcamp?

5 A. Yes. That would be the Upper Wolfcamp.

6 Q. Okay. Was that compulsory pooled?

7 MS. KESSLER: Has it already been drilled?

8 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Or has it not been --

9 THE WITNESS: The RDX 17-16H, yes.

10 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Because --

11 THE WITNESS: That's an oil well, though,
12 not a gas well.

13 EXAMINER McMILLAN: But it's a question of
14 the pool, though.

15 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiner, I believe --
16 and we can recall Mr. Bassett to testify to this, but I
17 believe that EOG only owns in the 320-acre spacing unit
18 in a particular quarter-quarter section that is not
19 penetrated by the other -- the 16H well.

20 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. But the question
21 is: Is the 16H in a different pool?

22 MS. KESSLER: We would need to clarify that
23 and get back to you.

24 EXAMINER McMILLAN: That -- that -- that
25 affects how the hearing -- because if -- because if that

1 well created the west half spacing unit, create some --
2 how we would write it.

3 MS. KESSLER: Okay. We'll get back to you
4 on that. I'll provide you an email update with that
5 information. I believe that it would -- since it's an
6 oil well, it would be 40-acre spacing, so it would be in
7 a different pool, but I can confirm that.

8 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Yeah. I'd like to have
9 that confirmed.

10 EXAMINER JONES: It doesn't appear to be a
11 com well, so maybe the com --

12 MS. KESSLER: So it would be one lease.

13 EXAMINER JONES: Probably talk to Mr. --

14 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Yeah. We need to
15 figure that out.

16 EXAMINER JONES: So can we ask him
17 questions, and then we'll go back?

18 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Yeah.

19 CROSS-EXAMINATION

20 BY EXAMINER JONES:

21 Q. This Wolfcamp, for a layman like me -- all of a
22 sudden there's been a lot more interest in the Wolfcamp.
23 What do you see, as a geologist, that has caused that?
24 Why is it that the Wolfcamp now is a hot play out here?

25 A. It's just due to advancing technologies that

1 have allowed us to produce out of these source rocks,
2 the Wolfcamp being a high-organic, rich-source rock.

3 Q. High-organic, rich.

4 A. Yes, sir.

5 Q. Now, in the bottom of the Wolfcamp, is that
6 more algal mound-type stuff or --

7 A. We do have some carbonate flows throughout the
8 bottom of the Wolfcamp. Yes, sir.

9 Q. Okay. But it's all Wolfcamp in age?

10 A. Yup. Yup.

11 Q. And how do you tell the difference between the
12 Wolfcamp and the Cisco or the --

13 A. The base of the Wolfcamp, we're actually
14 working on how we want to define that as an operator.

15 Q. Yeah.

16 A. We think we see something in the seismic, but
17 we're not -- we don't really have a sure answer on that
18 quite yet, but we're working on that.

19 Q. Okay. Okay. I would say please talk to Paul
20 Kautz and keep him up-to-date, because if you guys come
21 up with something --

22 A. Yeah.

23 Q. Not just him, but whoever we hire as a
24 geologist in Artesia. Someday, eventually, we'll hire
25 somebody there.

1 MS. KESSLER: That's the plan?

2 EXAMINER JONES: Yeah.

3 THE WITNESS: But right now the Wolfcamp
4 base has taken on a little bit of a resistivity spike,
5 as well as a gamma ray course.

6 Q. Okay. But this is a wildcat area, and so --
7 but you're thinking that it's gas because the gravity of
8 the oil is really light?

9 A. Yes, sir, and the GOR.

10 Q. GOR. But you said 9- to 10,000 GOR.

11 A. Uh-huh.

12 Q. Which is -- we usually base it on 100,000 GOR
13 being a gas well. That would still be in the oil well
14 zone, that GOR, unless you're talking about --

15 A. Scf.

16 Q. Yeah. Unless you're talking mcf per barrel,
17 standard cubic feet per barrel, like a normal GOR.

18 A. Yeah. I believe we're talking about SCFs.

19 Q. Okay.

20 EXAMINER McMILLAN: This isn't in the
21 Cimarex order, is it?

22 EXAMINER JONES: I don't know.

23 MS. KESSLER: I don't know.

24 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Pool destination.

25 EXAMINER JONES: This is 30 -- 26 South.

1 That's down around the state line.

2 EXAMINER McMILLAN: That's the state line.

3 EXAMINER JONES: It's possible it might be
4 in that general area. Portions of that area where
5 undefined, though, as pool names.

6 MS. KESSLER: Has that order been issued?

7 EXAMINER JONES: Yes. I printed it out
8 yesterday for Leonard because he has to do NSLs all the
9 time, and it was changed in setback, I think.

10 THE WITNESS: Most of the Cimarex wells are
11 to the west of us.

12 EXAMINER JONES: Okay.

13 THE WITNESS: Quite a ways.

14 EXAMINER JONES: So you're closer to Lea
15 County --

16 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.

17 EXAMINER JONES: Close to the Lea County,
18 Eddy County line?

19 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Uh-huh.

20 EXAMINER McMILLAN: I'd like to know the
21 pool code. Talk to Paul Kautz and get the pool code.

22 Thank you very much.

23 EXAMINER JONES: You don't want to call the
24 land guy back one more time?

25 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Sure. You know what, I

1 don't see --

2 EXAMINER JONES: We can't let Chuck get off
3 easy.

4 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. Fine. We'll,
5 call Chuck back.

6 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

7 CHUCK BASSETT,
8 after having been previously sworn under oath, was
9 recalled and questioned and testified as follows:

10 CROSS EXAMINATION

11 BY EXAMINER McMILLAN:

12 Q. So I guess, once again, the real question --
13 he's been sworn in -- is we need -- the existing well in
14 the west half of 17, what is the status of that, because
15 I believe I had done a compulsory pooling for that well.
16 And we need to find out the pool designation. That's
17 the --

18 A. Mr. Examiner, that's 100 percent RKI in the
19 west half of the west half.

20 EXAMINER JONES: Okay.

21 Q. (BY EXAMINER McMILLAN) So it's an oil -- it's
22 designated as an oil pool?

23 A. Well, this particular well, yeah, is in an
24 oil -- an oil spacing. So it's a 160. It's different
25 than the well we are here for today.

1 Q. Okay. So it's not actually -- it's 440s [sic]?

2 A. That's right.

3 Q. Okay. Okay. Then that changes. That answers
4 the question.

5 A. Yeah.

6 CROSS-EXAMINATION

7 BY EXAMINER JONES:

8 Q. Do you know if it's Wolfcamp or not?

9 A. It would be Upper Wolfcamp. It's classified as
10 oil. To the best of my knowledge, coming back up here
11 today, I believe it's Upper Wolfcamp, but --

12 MS. KESSLER: I do understand that all of
13 RKI's recent wells have been Wolfcamp wells, and if it
14 was Upper Wolfcamp, then it would be an oil well. And
15 as I mentioned, it would probably be on 440, so it would
16 be a different pool and a different spacing unit --

17 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Yeah.

18 MS. KESSLER: -- than the subject well. So
19 they would not have overlapping allowables, et cetera.

20 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Right.

21 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. But your spacing
22 unit here overlaps that spacing unit, doesn't it?

23 EXAMINER McMILLAN: The pools aren't, but
24 what's critical here is that the pool -- the pools will
25 not overlap. Even though it's the same formation,

1 they're going to have different pools because --

2 EXAMINER JONES: Laterally overlap, I mean,
3 because this one is a com well and it includes --

4 THE WITNESS: We'll have the
5 communitization agreement for the west half.

6 EXAMINER JONES: Different lease --
7 different federal lease, I guess, or at least one
8 federal lease and nonfederal acreage or something.

9 THE WITNESS: Correct.

10 EXAMINER McMILLAN: So it would be two
11 different pools.

12 MS. KESSLER: Correct. While they are in
13 the same vertical extent since they are different pools,
14 my understanding is they would not be overlapping
15 project areas.

16 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. So vertically
17 they're different pools within the Wolfcamp?

18 MS. KESSLER: Correct.

19 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Yes.

20 MS. KESSLER: So there would be a Wolfcamp
21 oil pool and a Wolfcamp gas pool, is my understanding.

22 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Does that make sense?

23 EXAMINER JONES: It does make sense, but
24 you're going to have to confirm that.

25 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Yeah. They're going to

1 confirm that.

2 EXAMINER JONES: Thank you.

3 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. Case Number
4 15465 [sic] shall be continued to August --

5 EXAMINER JONES: Wait.

6 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Case Number 15518 --
7 Case 15518 shall be continued to August the 4th.

8 EXAMINER JONES: No. We're ready to take
9 this under advisement, or do you want them to come back
10 two weeks from now?

11 EXAMINER McMILLAN: No. We can take this
12 under advisement. Yeah. We can take it under
13 advisement.

14 EXAMINER JONES: So 15518 is taken under
15 advisement.

16 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Yeah.

17 (Case Number 15518 concludes, 11:56 a.m.)

18

19

20

21


22

23

24

25

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
a complete record of the proceedings in
the Examiner hearing of Case No. _____,
heard by me on July 21 2016

 , Examiner
Oil Conservation Division

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

3

4 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court
6 Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20,
7 and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify
8 that I reported the foregoing proceedings in
9 stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are
10 a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that
11 were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my
12 ability.

13 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's
14 Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects
15 the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.

16 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
17 employed by nor related to any of the parties or
18 attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in
19 the final disposition of this case.

20

21

22

23

24

25



MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR
Certified Court Reporter
New Mexico CCR No. 20
Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2016
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters