U		
		Page 1
	1 2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
	3	IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:
	5 6	APPLICATION OF MATADOR PRODUCTION CASE NO. 15592 COMPANY FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.
	7	APPLICATION OF MATADOR PRODUCTION CASE NO. 15593 COMPANY FOR COMPULSORY POOLING,
U	8 9	EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.
U	10	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
Π	11	EXAMINER HEARING
U	12	December 1, 2016
Π	13	Santa Fe, New Mexico
	14 15 16	BEFORE: WILLIAM V. JONES, CHIEF EXAMINER  GABRIEL WADE, LEGAL EXAMINER
	17	This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, William V. Jones,
	18 19	Chief Examiner, and Gabriel Wade, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, December 1, 2016, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino
	20	Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.
	21 22	REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR #20
	23	Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105
	24 25	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 (505) 843-9241

C			
			Page 2
0	1	APPEARANCES	
	2	FOR APPLICANT MATADOR PRODUCTION COMPANY:	
Π	3	JORDAN L. KESSLER, ESQ. HOLLAND & HART	
U	4	110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1	
	5	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 (505) 988-4421 jlkessler@hollandhart.com	
Π	6		
U	7		
Π	8	INDEX	
0	9		PAGE
	10	Case Numbers 15592 and 15593 Called	3
Π	11	Matador Production Company's Case-in-Chief:	
U	12	Witnesses:	
Π	13	Sara Hartsfield:	
П	14	Direct Examination by Ms. Kessler Cross-Examination by Examiner Jones	4 13,19
U	15	Lauren Conrad:	
Π	16	Direct Examination by Ms. Kessler	13
U	17	Cross-Examination by Examiner Jones	17
	18	Proceedings Conclude	20
	19	Certificate of Court Reporter	21
	20		
Π	21	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED	
	22	Matador Production Company Exhibit Numbers 1 through 13	12
	23	Matador Production Company Exhibit	
П	24	Numbers 14 through 19	17
	25		

U		
		Page 3
	1	(10:53 a.m.)
	2	EXAMINER JONES: Two final cases, I'll call
	3	both of them together. Call Case Number 15592,
U	4	application of Matador Production Company for compulsory
	5	pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico, and Case Number 15593,
	6	application of Matador Production Company for compulsory
	7	pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico.
Π	8	Call for appearances.
U	9	MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiner, Jordan Kessler,
	10	from the Santa Fe office of Holland & Hart, for the
Π	11	Applicant.
	12	EXAMINER JONES: Any other appearances?
Π	13	No appearances other than Matador.
_	14	Okay. Witnesses in this case?
	15	MS. KESSLER: Two witnesses today.
Π	16	EXAMINER JONES: Is it the same witnesses?
U	17	MS. KESSLER: Only one is the same witness.
Π	18	EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Would both
	19	witnesses please stand, again?
	20	And will the court reporter please swear in
Π	21	the witnesses?
U	22	(Ms. Hartsfield and Ms. Conrad sworn.)
	23	SARA HARTSFIELD,
_	24	after having been first duly sworn under oath, was
Ц	25	questioned and testified as follows:

25

Since 2006, have your responsibilities as a

	Page 5
1	petroleum landman included the Permian Basin?
2	A. Yes, they have.
3	Q. And are you a member of any professional
4	organizations?
5	A. Yes, the American Association of Professional
6	Landmen and also the Dallas Association of Petroleum
7	Landmen.
8	Q. Are you familiar with the applications filed in
9	these consolidated cases?
10	A. I am.
11	Q. And are you familiar with the status of the
12	lands in the subject area?
13	A. Yes, I am.
14	MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiners, I would tender
15	Ms. Hartsfield as an expert in petroleum land matters.
16	EXAMINER JONES: She is qualified as an
17	expert in petroleum land matters.
18	Q. (BY MS. KESSLER) Let's look at Exhibit 1. And
19	can you please explain what Matador seeks under these
20	two applications?
21	A. Yes. Matador this is a Midland map, and
22	Matador seeks to pool two standard spacing units.
23	They're represented here, the west half being fee land
24	and the I mean the west half being federal, comprised
25	of fee and federal land, and the east half being fee

-	Page 6
1	land.
2	Q. And I understand that the west half will be
3	dedicated to the 206H, and the east half will be
4	dedicated to the 203H; is that correct?
5	A. That's correct.
6	Q. And you seek to pool the uncommitted interest
7	owners for the Wolfcamp for each of these spacing units?
8	A. Correct.
9	Q. And you mentioned that the spacing unit for the
10	203H will be fee land, and the 206H will be federal and
11	fee land, correct?
12	A. That is correct.
13	Q. What is Exhibit 2?
14	A. Exhibit 2 is the C-102 for the 203H, which is
15	all fee. It's comprised of the east half of Section 25.
16	Q. And that's Township 23 South, 27 East in Eddy
17	County, correct?
18	A. Correct.
19	Q. Has the Division designated the Black River;
20	Wolfcamp East Gas Pool for this area?
	A. Yes, it has.
	Q. And that would be Pool Code 97442?
	A. Correct.
1	Q. Is this pool subject to the special rules
25	adopted by Division Order R-14185?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19

Π			Page 7
	1	Α.	It is.
	2	Q.	And that order permits 330-foot setbacks,
	3	correct?	
U	4	Α.	Correct.
	5	Q.	So the completed interval for this well will
	6	comply w	ith the special rules of R-14185, correct?
	7	Α.	Yes.
	8	Q.	What is Exhibit 3?
U	9	Α.	Exhibit 3 is the C-102 for the Warren Fed Com
	10	206H com	prised of the west half of Section 25, 23 South,
n	11	27 East	in the Black River; Wolfcamp East, Pool Code
Ц	12	97442.	
Π	13	Q.	And, again, this pool is subject to Order
) (	14	R-14185,	correct?
	15	Α.	Correct.
	16	Q.	So the 330-foot perforations will be orthodox
U	17	under th	e special order, correct?
	18	Α.	Correct.
	19	Q.	Does Exhibit 4 identify the interest owners in
$\Box$	20	the spac	ing unit for the 203H well?
Π	21	Α.	Yes, it does.
_	22	Q.	And it shows also the interest that Matador
	23	seeks to	pool, correct?
Π	24	Α.	Yes.
	25	Q.	What type of interests are you seeking to pool?
_	!		

Π		Page 8
0 0	1	A. We're seeking to pool working interests and
	2	also unleased mineral interests.
	3	Q. Is Exhibit 5 a summary of interests identifying
	4	the interest owners in the spacing unit for the 206H
	5	well?
П	6	A. Yes, it is.
П	7	Q. And it shows the total interest in the proposed
Π	8	spacing unit?
	9	A. Yes.
	10	Q. And also the parties that Matador seeks to
П	11	pool?
	12	A. Yes.
	13	Q. For the 206H well, are you also seeking to pool
	14	the working and unleased mineral interest owners?
	15	A. Yes, we are.
n	16	Q. And is Exhibit 6 a sample of the well-proposal
U	17	letter and AFE that you sent to the uncommitted interest
	18	owners for the 203H well?
'	19	A. It is.
	20	Q. And a similar letter was sent to all of the
	21	uncommitted interest owners for this well, correct?
	22	A. Yes. We sent them on June 15th, 2016.
	23	Q. And it included an AFE?
	24	A. Yes, it did.
U	25	Q. Is Exhibit 7 a sample of the well-proposal
_		

į	Page 9
1	letter and AFE sent to uncommitted interest owners for
2	the 206H well?
3	A. Yes, it is.
4	Q. And this letter was sent to all of the
5	uncommitted interest owners for the 206H?
6	A. It was, on June 16th, 2016.
7	Q. And it includes an AFE?
8	A. It did.
9	Q. Are the costs reflected on these AFEs
10	consistent with what operators in the area have incurred
11	for similar horizontal wells?
12	A. Yes, they are.
13	Q. For each of these wells, has Matador estimated
14	overhead and administrative costs?
15	A. Yes, we have, 7,000 for drilling and 700 for
16	producing.
17	Q. Are these costs in line with what other
18	operators are charging for similar types of wells?
19	A. They are.
20	Q. Do you ask that those costs be incorporated
21	into any order resulting from this hearing?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And do you ask that they be adjusted in
24	accordance with the appropriate accounting procedures?
25	A. Yes.

		Page 10
1	1	Q. For any of the uncommitted interests, do you
	2	request that the Division assess a 200 percent risk
П	3	penalty?
	4	A. Yes.
	5	Q. In addition to sending well-proposal letters,
— П	6	did you have fairly extensive communications with
	7	interest owners for both the 203H and the 206H wells?
Π	8	A. Yes, we did. And I think that's reflected in
_	9	Exhibits 8, 9 and 10, I believe. Yes.
	10	Q. Is Exhibit 8 a summary of the communication
П	11	with the working interest owners for the 203H well?
U	12	A. It is.
П	13	Q. And is Exhibit 9 a summary of communication
0	14	with the working interests for the 206H well?
	15	A. Yes, it is.
n	16	Q. And finally, is Exhibit 10 a summary of
U	17	communications for the with the unleased mineral
	18	interest owners for both wells?
	19	A. Yes, it is.
	20	Q. Did Matador publish notice for this hearing?
	21	A. Yes.
	22	Q. Are those Affidavits of Publication included as
	23	Exhibits 11 and 12?
	24	A. Yes.
U	25	Q. Were any of the parties unlocatable?

e.			
			Page 11
ח	1	A. Ye	S.
]]	2	Q. I	understand that Charles C. Showalter and Ila
	3	C. Hanks we	re unlocatable for the for both wells; is
U	4	that correc	t?
	5	A. Th	at is correct.
	6	Q. Is	Exhibit 13 an affidavit prepared by my
	7	office with	attached letters providing notice of this
	8	hearing to	the parties that you seek to pool for the
	9	203H and th	e 206H wells?
	10	A. Ye	·S.
	11	Q. An	d it looks like green cards were not returned
U	12	for the two	unlocatable parties and also for EOG, the
$\bigcap$	13	successor i	nterest to Yates Petroleum; is that correct?
	14	A. Th	at is correct.
	15	Q. Bu	t Yates Petroleum received notice and green
ח	16	card, corre	ct?
	17	A. Ye	S.
П	18	Q. An	d for the 206H well, it looks like Chevron
	19	also did no	t receive a return green card?
	20	A. Ye	s.
П	21	Q. Bu	t notice was published as to all of these
	22	parties, co	rrect?
$\prod$	23	A. No	tice was published, yes.
	24	Q. We	re Exhibits 1 through 10 prepared by you or
	25	compiled by	you or under your direction and supervision?

ر ر		
		Page 12
77	1	A. Yes, they were.
	2	MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiner, I'd move
П	3	admission of Exhibits 1 through 13.
П	4	EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 1 through 13 are
	5	admitted.
	6	(Matador Production Co. Exhibit Numbers 1
	7	through 13 are offered and admitted into
П	8	evidence.)
П	9	EXAMINER JONES: That's exhibits for both
	10	cases.
	11	EXAMINER WADE: Did you say Chevron had a
	12	green card returned?
Π	13	THE WITNESS: Did not have a green card
IJ	14	returned, but they were given they were given notice,
	15	and notice was published.
	16	MS. KESSLER: The receipt was not returned
	17	or has not yet been returned.
	18	EXAMINER WADE: Okay. I just don't see
	19	them in the published notice yet. I'm looking here.
	20	MS. KESSLER: It should just be for the
Π	21	206H, not for the 203.
	22	EXAMINER WADE: So that would be 12 11
	23	or 12. Oh, I see them. They're in 12 about midway down
0	24	on the list of people.
	25	

<u>,                                    </u>		
		Page 13
<b>→</b>	1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
	2	BY EXAMINER JONES:
	3	Q. EOG Resources Assets, LLC, is that that's
П	4	not EOG Y Resources?
	5	A. It is. At the time that we sent notice, we
_	6	were unsure. So to cover bases, we sent to both Yates
	7	and then also EOG Resources.
	8	Q. Which is in Houston?
Ц	9	A. That was the address of the only instrument we
	10	found filed of record in Eddy County at that point.
П	11	Q. Okay. Well okay. That sounds good.
	12	So the reason for a lot these is because
	13	it's all fee. At least on the 203H was all fee?
₽)	14	A. Yes. That's correct.
	15	Q. And how did how did Matador arrive at an
П	16	interest in these two spacing units?
U	17	A. Various acquisitions over time.
П	18	Q. Okay. Thank you very much.
_	19	A. Thank you.
	20	LAUREN CONRAD,
П	21	after having been previously sworn under oath, was
	22	questioned and testified as follows:
Π	23	DIRECT EXAMINATION
<u></u>	24	BY MS. KESSLER:
	25	Q. Please state your name for the record and tell

	Page 14
1	the Examiners by whom you're employed and in what
2	capacity.
3	A. Yes. Lauren Conrad. I work for Matador as a
4	reservoir engineer.
5	Q. Have you previously testified today before the
6	Division?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And were your credentials as a petroleum
9	engineer made a matter of record?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Are you familiar with the applications filed by
12	Matador in these consolidated cases?
13	A. I am.
14	Q. And you've conducted a geologic and technical
15	study in the Wolfcamp in this area, correct?
16	A. Yes.
17	MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiner, once again, I'd
18	tender Ms. Conrad as an expert petroleum engineer.
19	EXAMINER JONES: She is so qualified.
20	Q. (BY MS. KESSLER) Let's look at Exhibit 14 and
21	if you could please identify this exhibit for the
22	Examiners.
23	A. Yes. Exhibit 14 is our locator map. That
24	shows where the Warren acreage is in the Delaware Basin
25	in New Mexico.

	Page 15
1	Q. What is Exhibit 15?
2	A. We've zoomed in here to that section in
3	question showing the two different we've consolidated
4	this particular map I just wanted to point out to
5	show the two different project areas on the same map,
6	two different 320-acre units there. And you'll see that
7	the two Warren wells are planned to have similar
8	surface-hole locations there indicated by the square,
9	with the two separate bottom-hole locations indicated by
10	the circles.
11	This is our structure map which shows the
12	top of the Wolfcamp, the similar 1-to-2 degree dip to
13	the east as discussed. And in this case, we've shown
14	cross section sorry three wells to be used for the
15	cross section, which go from heel to toe, this time from
16	south to north, marked by A to A prime.
17	Q. With respect to the structure in this section,
18	do you observe any geologic hazards?
19	A. No, we do not.
20	Q. And do you believe that the wells used for your
21	cross-section exhibit are representative of wells in the
22	Wolfcamp in this area?
23	A. We do.
24	Q. What is Exhibit 16?

Exhibit 16 shows the stratigraphic cross

25

Page	- 1	6
PAGE		r

- 1 section. Like I said, the wells from the south into the
- 2 north, as labeled here, you'll see the plans -- the
- 3 wellbore path that is planned for both the Warren 206
- 4 and 208 there in red indicating an Upper Wolfcamp
- 5 target. And this shows a thickness of the Wolfcamp in
- 6 this area around 18 -- 1,875 feet. We see a consistent
- 7 Wolfcamp from heel to toe on this well as well.
- 8 Q. What is Exhibit 17?
- 9 A. Exhibit 17 maps out the thickness in the area
- 10 given the control of the logs that we have around the
- 11 Warren wells. So this is our isopach map which shows
- 12 what the cross section showed us, that we have around
- 13 1,875 feet of thickness in the Wolfcamp, and we expect a
- 14 consistent Wolfcamp in the area, equal production from
- 15 each quarter-quarter. Additionally, we still believe
- 16 that horizontal drilling is the best way to produce the
- 17 Wolfcamp in this area, resulting in the best EUR and
- 18 least waste.
- 19 Q. And you have found no geologic hazards in this
- 20 area, correct?
- 21 A. True, no major faults. There are pinch-outs
- 22 that have been observed in the Wolfcamp in this area.
- Q. Would you please identify Exhibits 18 and 19?
- A. 18 and 19 are the two separate construction
- 25 diagrams that we have for the Warren wells. You'll see

		Page 17
_	1	the heel planned in the south, toe planned into the
	2	north, and we plan to perforate no closer than 330 feet
$\cap$	3	from each of these leaselines.
U	4	Q. And 330 feet off the outer boundary of the
$\cap$	5	spacing unit will be an orthodox location?
<u> </u>	6	A. Yes, in this particular case.
	7	Q. In your opinion, will granting Matador's
Π	8	application be in the best interest of conservation, for
	9	the prevention of waste and for the protection of
	10	correlative rights?
$\cap$	11	A. Yes.
	12	Q. Were Exhibits 14 through 19 prepared by you or
П	13	compiled under your direction and supervision?
_	14	A. They were.
	15	MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiner, I move
Π	16	admission of Exhibits 14 through 19.
	17	EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 14 through 19 in
	18	both the cases are admitted.
	19	MS. KESSLER: Thank you.
	20	(Matador Production Co. Exhibit Numbers 14
Π	21	through 19 are offered and admitted into
U	22	evidence.)
	23	CROSS-EXAMINATION
Π	24	BY EXAMINER JONES:
	25	Q. I like your locator map that you have today.
	I	

	Page 18
1	Everything kind of revolves around the reef and the Abo
2	Reef, so it's nice to know where it is in relation.
3	A. Yeah. Our geologic markers of the area are
4	kind of down here into where the Delaware Basin is.
5	Q. Yes.
6	Do you have all your logs digitized and in
7	a library you can draw from?
8	A. We have many digital logs. I wouldn't say
9	every single well is available digitally. But yeah, we
10	have our own database we keep.
11	Q. Do you do your own petrophysical work, your own
12	log analysis?
13	A. Oh, we have several people around the office
14	that contribute to petrophysical analysis work, yes,
15	in-house.
16	Q. Usually somebody who is an expert
17	A. Yes.
18	Q around doing anything you need to do?
19	A. Uh-huh.
20	EXAMINER JONES: I don't think I have any
21	more questions, but I would like to recall the land
22	person for a second.
23	MS. KESSLER: Sure.
24	SARA HARTSFIELD,
25	after having been previously sworn under oath, was

٠		
		Page 19
Π	1	recalled, questioned and testified as follows:
	2	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
П	3	BY EXAMINER JONES:
	4	Q. Ms. Hartsfield, the well name, I forgot to ask
	5	you about it.
	6	A. Oh, okay.
	7	Q. It seems like the well name is different for
	8	one of these wells than for the other.
U	9	A. Correct. On the east half, it's just fee
	10	lands, so we're calling that the Warren 25-23 South, 27
$\cap$	11	East RB #203H. And then on our west half, comprised of
U	12	both federal and fee lands, we're calling that the
	13	Warren Fed Com #206H.
	14	Q. Okay. Okay. I wanted to make sure of that. I
	15	think our records it's got your image in the files,
П	16	but I don't know if we've had somebody change the
	17	property name or not. I just wanted to make sure of
Π	18	that. Thank you very much.
_	19	A. Okay. All right. Thank you.
	20	MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiner, those are
П	21	correctly identified on Exhibits 2 and 3.
П	22	EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Thanks.
	23	Anything else in these cases?
_	24	MS. KESSLER: I'd ask these cases be taken
	25	under advisement.

		Page 20
П	1	EXAMINER JONES: We will take Cases 15592
U	2	and 15593 under advisement.
	3	And this hearing is concluded. That's it.
	4	(Case Numbers 15592 and 15593 conclude,
	5 6	11:12 a.m.)
	7	
П	8	
U	9	
	10	
П	11	
U	12	
	13	
П	14	
	15	# to her cay county that the foregoing to  a county is a supercodings to
	16	the first section of the second section of the section of the second section of the section of the second section of the section of
	17	hoord was a finer
	18	per Conservation Division
	19	
П	20	
	21 22	
П	23	
IJ	24	
	25	
J		

	Page 21
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	COUNTY OF BERNALILLO
3	
4	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
5	I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court
6	Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20,
7	and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify
8	that I reported the foregoing proceedings in
9	stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are
10	a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that
11	were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my
12	ability.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's
14	Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects
15	the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.
16	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
17	employed by nor related to any of the parties or
18	attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in
19	the final disposition of this case.
20	Many O Henles
21	MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR
22	Certified Court Reporter New Mexico CCR No. 20
23	Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2016  Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters
24	raut baca riolessional coult reporters
25	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24