## STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

APPLICATION OF OPAL RESOURCES OPERATING CO., LLC AND OPAL RESOURCES II, LLC FOR A NON-STANDARD SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT, A NON-STANDARD PROJECT AREA, AND COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

Case No. 15621

## AFFIDAVIT OF EXPERT WITNESS RICK CASTAGNO

STATE OF TEXAS	)
	) ss
COUNTY OF HARRIS	)

- I, Rick Castagno, hereby swear and affirm that the following statements are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.
- 1. I gave testimony in the above-referenced matter at the compulsory pooling hearing held at the Division on March 2, 2017, as an expert witness being qualified to testify as an expert petroleum engineer.
- 2. At the hearing, I was sworn in by the court reporter and I took an oath to tell the truth.
- 3. At the hearing, Applicant testified requesting the following overhead and administrative costs for the subject well: \$9,750 per month during drilling and \$975 per month during production ("Opal Administrative Charge").
- 4. At the hearing, the Examiner asked me whether these charges were reasonable and justifiable, stating that industry standard was \$7,500 per month during drilling and \$750 per month during production.

- 5. I misunderstood the Examiner and thought the Examiner was questioning me on overall well costs, and I responded that due to the proposed type of completion, the well costs were reasonable and in line with industry standard.
- 6. The Examiner requested Applicant to provide justification for its administrative charges and Applicant agreed to supplement the record.
- 7. After the hearing, I spoke with Applicant's attorney who explained the Examiner was questioning the administrative charge and not the total cost of the well.
- 8. I desire to correct and amend my testimony with regard to the Opal Administrative Charge.
- 9. The Opal Administrative Charge is based on arms-length negotiation of this charge with contractual working interest owners whose interests arise pursuant to an Exploration and Development Agreement with Applicant ("E&D") covering an Area of Mutual Interest.
- 10. A separate Joint Operating Agreement on AAPL FORM 610-1989 ("JOA") governs operations on each well drilled under the E&D.
  - 11. The subject Jade Well will be drilled under JOA dated October 1, 2015.
  - 12. Exhibit C of the JOA, COPAS Accounting Procedure, Art. III.1.B(1) states that:

the Operator shall charge the Joint Account at the following rates per well per month:

Drilling Well Rate per month \$9,750 for horizontal wells (prorated for less than full month)

Drilling Well Rate per month \$4,875 for vertical wells (prorated for less than full month)

Producing Well Rate per month \$975 for horizontal wells

Producing Well Rate per month \$487 for vertical wells.

13. The same parties to the E&D have drilled eight wells in the same area in the same formation under the same form of JOA with the same administrative charge.

14. A breakdown of the rest of the previously negotiated JOAs with said E&D contractual working interest owners, locations of wells, and charges used are as follows:

CONTRACT #	DATE	LEGAL DESCRIPTION	WELL TYPE	DRILLING	PRODUCTION
<b>FULLERTON AREA</b>					
901743	11/1/2015	Section 23, Block A-28	San Andres	\$9,750.00	\$975.00
901848	4/27/2016	W/2 of Section 4, Block A-29	Wichita Albany	\$9,750.00	\$975.00
901902	7/12/2016	Section 25, Block A-28	San Andres	\$9,750.00	\$975.00
901903	7/12/2016	Section 25, Block A-28	San Andres	\$9,750.00	\$975.00
901907	6/1/2016	Section 6, Block A-12	San Andres	\$9,750.00	\$975.00
901946	8/1/2016	Section 12, Block A-28	San Andres	\$9,750.00	\$975.00
901966	8/1/2016	T19S, R39E Section 18	San Andres	\$9,750.00	\$975.00
901967	7/15/2016	W/2 of Section 6, Block A-28	Wichita Albany	\$9,750.00	\$975.00

15. I agree with the Hearing Examiner that a charge of \$7,500 per month during drilling and \$750 per month during production is a reasonable charge; however, since the contractual working interest owners have contracted for a higher rate on all other occasions in the area, I believe the higher rate is also a reasonable charge.

Further affiant sayeth not.

Dated this 13th day of March, 2017.

Subscribed, Sworn to and acknowledged before me on March 1342017 by Rick Castagno.

My Commission Expires:

Notary Public