	From:	Randy Howard <rhoward@nearburg.com></rhoward@nearburg.com>
	Sent:	Thursday, April 23, 2015 2:31 PM
	To:	Bill Elton <belton@nearburg.com>; Duane Davis <ddavis@nearburg.com></ddavis@nearburg.com></belton@nearburg.com>
	Cc:	John Turro <jturro@nearburg.com></jturro@nearburg.com>
	Subject:	RE: SRO Unit

Tim is looking. Thanks!

From: Bill Elton Sent: Thursday, April 23, 2015 9:20 AM To: Randy Howard; Duane Davis Cc: John Turro Subject: RE: SRO Unit

I think Tim/Mark should weigh in on this also.

From: Randy Howard Sent: Thursday, April 23, 2015 9:05 AM To: Duane Davis Cc: John Turro; Bill Elton Subject: RE: SRO Unit

Actually Bill looked at this back when it first came up and his advise was to keep the ORRI. Thanks!

From: Duane Davis Sent: Thursday, April 23, 2015 8:46 AM To: Randy Howard Cc: John Turro Subject: RE: SRO Unit

Good morning,

Just to refresh my memory, did Mark K or Tim S look into this to be sure the ORRI is the better way to go? on the assignment, I just added L.L.C. to Nearburg's name. Otherwise, I am ok. thanks.

From: Randy Howard Sent: Thursday, April 23, 2015 8:24 AM To: Duane Davis Cc: John Turro Subject: FW: SRO Unit

Duane,

John and I are scheduled to meet with COG tomorrow about several issues surrounding the SRO Unit and other COG operated properties . In reviewing my emails, it appears you were okay with Nearburg keeping an ORRI in the SRO Unit as opposed to owning a WI in certain lands (see highlighted portion of email below for further explanation). However, I'm not sure I ever actually received your approval of the attached assignment.

Please let me know if you are okay with the form of the attached assignment.

BEFORE THE OIL CONVERSATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico Exhibit No. 18B Submitted by: COG OPERATING LLC Hearing Date: February 28, 2017

Thank you,

Randy

From Duane Davis Sent Monday November 24 2014 9 57 AM To Emily Sharp, Randy Howard Subject FW SRO Unit

ok

From Randy Howard Sent Monday November 24, 2014 8 54 AM To Duane Davis Subject RE SRO Unit

Duane

Keep in mind that we either have a 100% WI in W/2 Sec 20 26S 28E or an ORRI across 8320 acres included in the SRO Unit Operating Agreement (SRO Unit JOA attached)

From Duane Davis Sent Monday, November 24, 2014 8 48 AM To Emily Sharp, Randy Howard Subject FW SRO Unit

Emily please have someone take a look at this – I assume it is more advantageous to keep the ORRI across the board rather than increase our ORRI in a few wells. Can you have someone look at this today? thanks<sup>1</sup> Randy will be back to you soon on this

From Randy Howard Sent Monday, November 24, 2014 8 25 AM To Duane Davis Subject RE SRO Unit

Duane

I take it from your response that you are okay with me moving forward with executing the attached (after replacing the Well information Requirements with an updated version) so as to allow us to keep our ORRI Please confirm Thanks, Randy

From Duane Davis Sent Friday, November 21, 2014 4 18 PM To Randy Howard Subject RE SRO Unit

Sounds good Yep I don t like the idea of giving up what we had which CEN approved thanks

From Randy Howard Sent Friday November 21, 2014 4 10 PM To Duane Davis Subject SRO Unit

## Duane

Attached please find a Term Assignment of Oil and Gas Lease from Nearburg to Marbob dated effective as of July 1 2009 As part of the consideration of NEX conveying its interest under these lands we were entitled to an ORRI in any and all wells that were included in the SRO <u>Unit Agreement</u> This Assignment as written terminates when the SRO <u>Unit Agreement</u> was dissolved, which in turn caused the Term Assignment to terminate With this I believe two things happen (1)we receive our WI ownership as to the W/2 of Sec 20 26S 28E and (2) we lose any ORRI under wells that were included in the SRO Unit

In working with COG they have now proposed a Correction Term Assignment of Oil and Gas Lease a copy of which is also attached The end result of executing this Correction would be that the terms of the original Term Assignment would be in effect until the <u>Unit Operating Agreement</u> is terminated

My initial research leads me to believe we are better off with an ORRI under all wells included on lands subject to the SRO <u>Unit Operating Agreement</u> than we would be to have 100% WI under the W/2 Section 20 only I have requested a copy of the SRO Unit OA from COG and I have also mentioned this matter to Bill Once I get the OA and review, I will touch base with you again to see how we want to proceed

Thank you

Randy Howard Land Manager Nearburg Producing Company 432 818 2914 (direct line) 432 599 0382 (cell)



