

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED
BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR
THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

ORIGINAL

AMENDED APPLICATION OF ONE ENERGY
PARTNERS OPERATING, LLC FOR A
NONSTANDARD SPACING AND PRORATION
UNIT AND COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA
COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

CASE NO. 15719

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

July 6, 2017

Santa Fe, New Mexico

BEFORE: WILLIAM V. JONES, CHIEF EXAMINER
LEONARD LOWE, TECHNICAL EXAMINER
DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER

This matter came on for hearing before the
New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, William V. Jones,
Chief Examiner, Leonard Lowe, Technical Examiner, and
David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, July 6,
2017, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural
Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South
St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New
Mexico.

REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR
New Mexico CCR #20
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters
500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
(505) 843-9241

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

APPEARANCES

FOR APPLICANT ONE ENERGY PARTNERS OPERATING, LLC:

JORDAN L. KESSLER, ESQ.
HOLLAND & HART, LLP
110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
(505) 988-4421
jlkessler@hollandhart.com

FOR COG OPERATING, LLC

WILLIAM F. CARR, ESQ.
HOLLAND & HART, LLP
110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
(505) 988-4421
wcarr@hollandhart.com

INDEX

| | PAGE |
|--|------|
| Case Number 15719 Called | 4 |
| OneEnergy Partners Operating, LLC's Case-in-Chief: | |
| Witnesses: | |
| Jeffrey M. Lierly: | |
| Direct Examination by Ms. Kessler | 4 |
| Cross-Examination by Examiner Jones | 11 |
| Greg Clark: | |
| Direct Examination by Ms. Kessler | 15 |
| Cross-Examination by Examiner Jones | 21 |
| Proceedings Conclude | 26 |
| Certificate of Court Reporter | 27 |

EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED

| | |
|--|----|
| OneEnergy Partners Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 1 through 6 | 11 |
| OneEnergy Partners Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 7 through 9 | 20 |

1 (8:26 a.m.)

2 EXAMINER JONES: On page 2 of the docket,
3 Case Number 15719. Let's call Case 15719, amended
4 application of OneEnergy Partners Operating, LLC for a
5 nonstandard spacing and proration unit and compulsory
6 pooling in Lea County, New Mexico.

7 Call for appearances.

8 MS. KESSLER: Jordan Kessler on behalf of
9 the Applicant.

10 EXAMINER JONES: Other appearances?

11 MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner,
12 William F. Carr. I represent COG Operating in this
13 matter. I do not have a witness.

14 MS. KESSLER: Two witnesses today.

15 (Mr. Lierly and Mr. Clark sworn.)

16 JEFFREY M. LIERLY,
17 after having been first duly sworn under oath, was
18 questioned and testified as follows:

19 DIRECT EXAMINATION

20 BY MS. KESSLER:

21 Q. Please state your name for the record and tell
22 the Examiners by whom you're employed and in what
23 capacity.

24 A. Jeff Lierly. I'm the vice president of land
25 for OneEnergy Partners, LLC and OneEnergy Partners

1 Operating, LLC.

2 Q. Have you previously testified before the
3 Division?

4 A. Yes, I have.

5 Q. Are you familiar with the application filed in
6 this case?

7 A. Yes, I am.

8 Q. And are you familiar with the status of the
9 lands in the subject area?

10 A. Yes, ma'am.

11 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiner, I would tender
12 Mr. Lierly as an expert in petroleum land matters.

13 EXAMINER JONES: Any objection?

14 MR. CARR: No objection.

15 EXAMINER JONES: Mr. Lierly is so
16 qualified.

17 Q. (BY MS. KESSLER) Mr. Lierly, please turn to
18 Exhibit 1 and explain what OneEnergy seeks under this
19 application?

20 A. This is a map that shows our proposed 140.85
21 nonstandard spacing and proration unit in the Wolfcamp
22 Formation, comprised of the west half of the east in
23 Section 2 and the west half-northeast of Section 11,
24 Township 25 South, Range 35 East, Lea County, New
25 Mexico. And we're seeking to pool the uncommitted

1 interest owners in the Wolfcamp Formation.

2 Q. And this shows the two leases involved in this
3 application, correct?

4 A. Correct. Section -- the east half of Section 2
5 is a state lease and the northeast is various fee leases
6 and unleased fee owners.

7 Q. Is Exhibit 2 a draft C-102 for the Parade BWY
8 State Com #1H well?

9 A. Yes, it is. Again, comprised of the west
10 half-east half of Section 2 and the west half-northeast
11 of Section 11 and -- yes.

12 Q. Has Division designated a pool for this area?

13 A. This will be a wildcat in the wildcat Wolfcamp
14 pool.

15 Q. 40-acre spacing; is that correct?

16 A. Yes. That's correct.

17 Q. And will there be 330-foot setbacks?

18 A. Yes, from each lease line.

19 Q. And the well will comply with those setback
20 requirements; is that correct?

21 A. Yes, they will.

22 Q. And is this state and fee land?

23 A. Correct. Again, the lands in Section 2 are
24 state lease, and the west half-northeast is comprised of
25 various fee leases and unleased fee interest owners.

1 Q. Is Exhibit 3 an ownership breakdown by tract of
2 the lands involved in the proposed nonstandard spacing
3 unit?

4 A. Yes, it is. You'll see it's broken down first
5 on a tract basis, with uncommitted interest owners
6 highlighted in yellow, and then on a unit basis, again,
7 with uncommitted interest owners highlighted in yellow.
8 And then you also see there is an asterisk next to a few
9 people that we were unable to locate.

10 Q. What efforts did you undertake to locate those
11 parties?

12 A. We researched the county records to see if
13 there were any instruments that had been executed
14 recently. We used subscription-based services to try to
15 find more updated, accurate mailing addresses, which are
16 the ones we actually sent the well-proposal and lease
17 offers to, and those came back undeliverable or invalid
18 addresses.

19 Q. Did you conduct Internet and telephone
20 searches?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Do you believe you conducted a diligent search
23 for the unlocatable interest owners?

24 A. Yes, I do.

25 Q. Have the uncommitted interest owners

1 highlighted in yellow, both the working interests and --

2 A. BC Operating is the only working interest
3 owner, and we've been in constant communication with
4 them. I think we're fairly close to reaching a deal.
5 The others are all unleased mineral interest owners.

6 Q. Is Exhibit 4 a sample copy of the well-proposal
7 letter that you sent to all of the uncommitted interest
8 owners?

9 A. Yes, ma'am, it is.

10 Q. Did it include an AFE?

11 A. It did.

12 Q. Did you also offer to lease to the unleased
13 mineral interest owners?

14 A. Yes, we did. And we've been pursuing them for
15 probably about three months now, trying to be in
16 communication with them or reach deals.

17 Q. Getting back to the AFE, do you believe the
18 costs on this AFE are consistent with what other
19 operators have incurred for similar horizontal wells in
20 the area?

21 A. Yes, they are.

22 Q. Has OneEnergy Partners made an estimate of
23 overhead and administrative costs for drilling and
24 producing this well?

25 A. Yes, 7,000 a month while drilling and 700 a

1 month while producing.

2 Q. Are those costs similar to what other operators
3 are charging for similar wells in the area?

4 A. Yes, they are.

5 Q. Do you ask that those costs be incorporated
6 into any order resulting from this hearing?

7 A. Yes, we do.

8 Q. Do you ask that the cost be adjusted in
9 accordance with COPAS accounting procedures?

10 A. Yes, we do.

11 Q. For uncommitted working interest owners, do you
12 request that the Division impose a 200 percent risk
13 penalty?

14 A. Yes, we do.

15 Q. And in addition to sending the well-proposal
16 letter, what other efforts did you undertake to reach
17 agreement with the uncommitted interest owners?

18 A. For those that we were able to get in contact
19 with, we've, you know, had numerous phone calls, emails,
20 even text messages. So we've tried every medium
21 possible.

22 Q. Did you publish notice directed to the
23 unlocatable interest owners?

24 A. Yes, we did.

25 Q. Is that included in Exhibit 5?

1 A. Yes, ma'am, it is.

2 Q. And, again, you mentioned that the unlocatable
3 parties are noted with an asterisk back on Exhibit 3,
4 correct?

5 A. Yes. That's correct.

6 Q. Did you identify the offset operators or
7 lessees of the record in the 40-acre tract surrounding
8 the proposed nonstandard unit?

9 A. Yes, we did.

10 Q. And they were provided notice of this hearing?

11 A. Yes, they were.

12 Q. Is Exhibit 6 an affidavit prepared by my office
13 with attached letters providing notice of this
14 application to the parties you seek to pool, as well as
15 the offset operators or lessees of record?

16 A. Yes, it is.

17 Q. When do you plan to complete this well?

18 A. We're currently drilling right now. We're
19 right around 9,800 total vertical depth. So we
20 anticipate completing the well, drilling probably in the
21 next two weeks and having an early August completion or
22 frac date.

23 Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 4 prepared by you or
24 compiled under your direction and supervision?

25 A. Yes, ma'am, they were.

1 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiner, I'd move
2 admission of Exhibits 1 through 6, which include my two
3 affidavits.

4 EXAMINER JONES: Any objection?

5 MR. CARR: No objection.

6 EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 1 through 6 are
7 admitted.

8 (OneEnergy Partners Operating, LLC Exhibit
9 Numbers 1 through 6 are offered and
10 admitted into evidence.)

11 MR. CARR: No questions.

12 EXAMINER JONES: Okay.

13 CROSS-EXAMINATION

14 BY EXAMINER JONES:

15 Q. These unleased mineral owners, are any of them
16 leased at all, any of the fee owners?

17 A. No. Well, BC Operating, I think, has three
18 leases where we're working to try to do a deal with
19 them. I think we're close. But the others have not
20 been leased for a long time. And we've tried to track
21 them down based on the last leases that were taken in
22 their name and haven't been able to find any updated
23 contact information.

24 Q. Okay. So you can't locate any of these fee
25 owners?

1 A. The ones with the asterisk.

2 Q. The ones with asterisk. Okay.

3 A. There are two on there that -- I think
4 Stephanie Gail Holcomb Hayward and Jessie Ellen Boulter
5 Crum, that we, I think, are about to acquire leases
6 from. So two or three of them that we're very close to
7 a deal. And we continue to pursue deals with everyone
8 that we've had contact with.

9 Q. Okay. There is not any existing old leases for
10 the fee owners, is there?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Older leases?

13 A. No.

14 Q. So you don't have an issue of no pooling clause
15 in the lease?

16 A. No.

17 Q. No? None of that?

18 A. These are all unleased interests entirely,
19 except for BC Operating.

20 Q. Except for BC Operating?

21 A. Yes, sir.

22 Q. And you noticed in the newspaper. And why is
23 it that you noticed in the newspaper? Because you
24 couldn't locate the people; is that correct?

25 A. Correct. And at the time -- you'll notice that

1 there are a lot parties on there that we've since
2 reached deals with, and we're just trying to make sure
3 that we had all the correct folks. There are a few
4 people that had trusts that were represented by banks,
5 and we subsequently found the more accurate bank to
6 represent them and reached deals with those parties as
7 well.

8 Q. Okay. And this was noticed in Lea County.

9 And the AFE said 7,500 feet on it, but you
10 said you're drilling at 9,800 feet true vertical depth
11 right now?

12 A. Let me see. I believe it should be a
13 7,500-foot lateral -- horizontal lateral, is what it
14 should say.

15 Q. Okay. Mile-and-a-half?

16 A. Yeah, 7,500-foot Wolfcamp A lateral. That's
17 what that is meant to say. So if you go back to the
18 well proposal, I believe it says the total vertical
19 depth and total measured depth, but I might be mistaken.
20 I think the total measured depth is going to be
21 approximately 19,000 feet.

22 Q. So are there any other wells drilled in this
23 area, or you're going to talk about that with the next
24 witness?

25 A. We actually -- just before we spudded this, we

1 drilled a single-mile Wolfcamp well in the west
2 half-west half of Section 2. So the Section 2 leases
3 were previously Yates and EOG leases that had
4 expirations. So we acquired them and had to drill them
5 with a rig capable of reaching total depth.

6 Q. Those are state leases also?

7 A. All of Section 2 is, yes.

8 Q. Two different leases?

9 A. Yes, sir.

10 Q. Did you guys get an assignment for that lease?

11 A. We have.

12 Q. So --

13 A. So record title is in our name.

14 Q. Record title is in your name for the state
15 lease. It's just a first assignment for that lease?

16 A. Actually, it would be the second because they
17 were previously issued in Yates Petroleum's name. And
18 after the merger with EOG, it transferred record title
19 to EOG and then subsequently to OneEnergy Partners.

20 Q. Yeah. It shows it here.

21 That's interesting that the fee owners
22 couldn't be located or just disappeared.

23 A. I think there might be a few that are deceased
24 or live out of state and didn't file anything of record
25 in Lea County that we were able to come across to try to

1 track down potential heirs.

2 EXAMINER BROOKS: Well, it looks like
3 you've got it all put together. I don't believe I have
4 any questions.

5 EXAMINER LOWE: I'm good.

6 EXAMINER JONES: The newspaper notice is
7 kind of hard to read. Is there any other way we could
8 get a new copy of that?

9 MS. KESSLER: Certainly. Yeah. If --

10 EXAMINER JONES: If you could, just send it
11 straight to the court reporter, make sure it's accounted
12 for.

13 MS. KESSLER: Sure.

14 EXAMINER JONES: Got one more witness?

15 MS. KESSLER: Yes.

16 GREG CLARK,
17 after having been previously sworn under oath, was
18 questioned and testified as follows:

19 DIRECT EXAMINATION

20 BY MS. KESSLER:

21 Q. Please state your name for the record.

22 A. Greg Clark.

23 Q. Are you a consulting geologist for OneEnergy
24 Partners?

25 A. I am.

1 Q. And you have been retained to review the
2 geology in the subject area, correct?

3 A. That is correct.

4 Q. And to provide testimony to that geology?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Have you previously testified before the
7 Division?

8 A. I have.

9 Q. And have you previously testified on behalf of
10 OneEnergy Partners?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And are you familiar with the application filed
13 by OneEnergy Partners in this case?

14 A. I am.

15 Q. Are you familiar with the APD for the subject
16 well?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And are you familiar with the application for
19 the subject well?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Have you conducted a geologic study of the
22 subject area?

23 A. I have.

24 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiners, I would tender
25 Mr. Clark as an expert witness in petroleum geology.

1 MR. CARR: And I'll stipulate to
2 Mr. Clark's qualifications.

3 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

4 EXAMINER JONES: Since he used to work for
5 Concho recently.

6 MR. CARR: So did Jeff.

7 EXAMINER JONES: Okay.

8 Q. (BY MS. KESSLER) Mr. Clark, please turn to
9 Exhibit 7 and identify this exhibit for the Examiners.

10 A. Yes. This is an area regional structure --
11 subsea structure map on top of the Wolfcamp. You will
12 see that the contour intervals are 100 feet, and the
13 overall geologic structure shows that we are dipping to
14 the north -- I mean to the south and to the west in the
15 direction of the Basin.

16 You will see that the proposed well, the
17 Parade BWY State Com #1H, is highlighted in red, with
18 the bottom hole represented by the square and the
19 surface-hole location represented by the circle.

20 The purpose of this map is to show that
21 there are no major structures or faulting or geologic
22 impediments to keep from drilling this well as a
23 horizontal well.

24 Q. What is Exhibit 8?

25 A. Exhibit 8 is the same area base map with the

1 structure taken off. The main purpose of this map is to
2 depict the cross section, which will be the next
3 exhibit, that goes from A to A prime. The red solid
4 circles represent the wells that are in the cross
5 section. And, again, the Parade BWY State Com #1H is
6 highlighted in red. The bottom-hole location is the
7 square, and the surface-hole location is a circle.
8 These wells are best representative of the geology in
9 the area, and that's why I preferred to choose these for
10 the cross section.

11 Q. You used four wells, it looks like, for your
12 cross-section exhibit?

13 A. Yes. That's correct.

14 Q. Is Exhibit 9 the corresponding cross-section
15 exhibit?

16 A. Yes, it is.

17 Q. Please walk us through this exhibit.

18 A. Sure. Again, as the previous exhibit depicted,
19 this is a cross section going A to A prime into the area
20 in which we're drilling the Parade well. It is
21 flattened on top of the 3rd Bone Spring Sand as to take
22 the structural component out so we could see and look at
23 the lithology characteristics and stratigraphic
24 characteristics within the Wolfcamp Formation.

25 You will see on the well that is the

1 second-from-the-right, I have a red bracket depicting
2 the landing interval that is representative of where we
3 will be landing the Parade well. You can see the
4 overall thickness of the Upper Wolfcamp. It's very
5 similar, with similar porosities and similar gamma ray
6 characteristics. This is very regional in terms of
7 deposition, and, therefore, we do not anticipate any
8 change -- major changes in lithology or stratigraphy
9 when we land the Parade well.

10 You'll see the County Fair well, which is
11 the well on the right of the cross section. That is the
12 well that was most recently drilled by OneEnergy
13 Partners, and it was landed in the base of the 3rd Bone
14 Spring Sand. That's why we don't have a log interval
15 that goes down to the Wolfcamp. So the dashed lines
16 represent where I feel like those tops would be
17 projected in that area.

18 The well that is on the left is an Upper
19 Wolfcamp well and a horizontal well, and it was landed
20 in the upper third of the Wolfcamp, somewhat similar to
21 where we will be landing the Parade well.

22 Q. Have you identified any geologic hazards to
23 developing this area using horizontal wells?

24 A. No.

25 Q. In your opinion, can the area be efficiently

1 and economically developed by horizontal wells?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And do you that believe each tract in the
4 proposed nonstandard unit will contribute, on average
5 more or less, equally to production from the well?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. In your opinion, will granting OneEnergy
8 Partners's application be in the best interest of
9 conservation, the prevention of waste and for the
10 protection of correlative rights?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Were Exhibits 7 through 9 prepared by you?

13 A. They were.

14 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiner, I move
15 admission of Exhibits 7 through 9.

16 MR. CARR: No objection.

17 EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 7 through 9 are
18 admitted.

19 (OneEnergy Partners Operating, LLC Exhibit
20 Numbers 7 through 9 are offered and
21 admitted into evidence.)

22 EXAMINER JONES: Mr. Carr?

23 MR. CARR: No questions.

24

25

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 BY EXAMINER JONES:

3 Q. Sorry I didn't ask this earlier, but I don't
4 see -- I don't see Concho on the list of the parties in
5 the well itself. They must be --

6 MR. CARR: They're not.

7 EXAMINER JONES: -- offset.

8 Q. (BY EXAMINER JONES) Why this landing zone in
9 the Wolfcamp? Why did you pick that?

10 A. Well, there are a couple of reasons. We can
11 flip back and look at the exhibit -- Exhibit 8 that
12 shows the cross section. You'll see at the left, where
13 A is in terms of the cross section, those wells right
14 there are Wolfcamp wells, and geologically they look
15 good. And just from a look at the production from a
16 geologic perspective, they are very attractive. The
17 area is attractive in terms of Wolfcamp development, in
18 my opinion.

19 Q. What about this Wolfbone concept?

20 A. The Wolfbone concept -- well, I think I would
21 have to hear what your take is on it first (laughter).

22 Q. My take on it is it's not defined, except at
23 each hearing, we define it from the top of the --
24 sometimes the 3rd Bone Spring Carbonate, I think, down
25 to the Wolfcamp B. And so it seems to be interpretive.

1 And then I worry about it being extended laterally, as
2 to affecting the other pools. But I'm being told that
3 it's not going to do that. But I'm -- that's why I'm
4 kind of interested.

5 A. Well, to try to answer your question the best I
6 can, I think you hit on some of the points. You know,
7 the Wolfbone -- the inner stratigraphy within the 3rd
8 Bone Spring and the Wolfcamp can be interpreted a little
9 different, the inner stratigraphy. But the overall
10 stratigraphy in terms of the 3rd Bone Spring and the
11 Wolfcamp, right, those intervals exist, and it's pretty
12 regional. And so I think that's -- I can't speak for
13 everybody, but to me that seems the best way to have a
14 good geologic concept, is knowing the Wolfbone, you
15 know, consists of the 3rd Bone Spring and the Wolfcamp
16 intervals.

17 And as you might know, you know, some of
18 the -- some of the 3rd Bone Spring and Wolfcamp is
19 broken out into, you know, the A, B, C, D, all the way
20 into the XY. And then you can get into these real deep
21 discussions, you know, on where the XY is in terms of
22 the 3rd Bone Spring and the Wolfcamp. So I think for
23 simplicity sake, just calling it the Wolfbone is easier
24 to carry forward. But I don't see it having any bearing
25 on anything regionally as long as you keep in mind that

1 is 3rd Bone Spring and Wolfcamp, and then the inner
2 characteristics might change a little bit.

3 Q. I think I understood part of what you said.

4 A. Me too.

5 Q. But I appreciate you saying it.

6 The zone that you're targeting, how far is
7 it below the top of the Wolfcamp?

8 A. So it's going to be roughly 100 to 150 feet.

9 Q. Okay. Your frac job, is there any idea on how
10 far vertically it will go?

11 A. I'm not a completion engineer and not qualified
12 to speak to that aspect.

13 Q. Are there any geologic barriers that would stop
14 it from going up into the 3rd Bone Spring Sand?

15 A. I can't identify any barriers per se, but there
16 is a pressure difference when you go from the 3rd Bone
17 Spring into the Wolfcamp. And the Wolfcamp itself is
18 more shaley. So, you know, depending on -- I don't know
19 what the frac design is. I'm sure that they have
20 designed it to optimize staying within the Wolfcamp zone
21 because we are a shale -- this is more of a shale versus
22 a siltstone in the 3rd Bone Spring. So I don't know
23 exactly what the frac job consists of, but I would
24 assume that it would take those things into account.

25 Q. You know, I'm not -- I would hesitate to imply

1 that you need to design your frac job to stay within the
2 Wolfcamp. We have no vertical setbacks delineated for
3 horizontal wells, but even on lateral setbacks, you
4 know, from the spacing unit boundary, we just have
5 setbacks, and then you can do your frac job the way you
6 want.

7 A. Yeah, and I understand that. I guess what I'm
8 getting at is, you know, some fracs are set up for
9 crosslinked gel versus slickwater, right?

10 Q. Right.

11 A. Slickwater, in general, isn't going to give you
12 as much height, let's say, as a gel frac in terms of
13 what the literature says out there. And that's more of
14 what I was alluding to. Depending on the rock is
15 dependent on whether you go slickwater or crosslinked
16 gel.

17 Q. Your collusion with the owners -- the other
18 owner in the well is BC Operating, I take it, because
19 they might be the -- well, it's now Marathon, right?

20 MS. KESSLER: I believe it's retained by BC
21 Operating.

22 EXAMINER JONES: So they are still
23 retained?

24 MS. KESSLER: Yeah.

25 Q. (BY EXAMINER JONES) Okay. So you would be

1 talking to their geologist sometime; they'll be calling
2 you, and you'll share data with them in the well?

3 A. If they were in the well, they are subject to
4 receive data, but I have had no contact with their
5 geologist.

6 Q. Okay.

7 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiner, I'd just also
8 add into the record that ownership between the Bone
9 Spring and the Wolfcamp is identical and common.

10 EXAMINER JONES: Thank you for saying that.

11 EXAMINER BROOKS: According to the Supreme
12 Court of Texas, you can produce from your fractures,
13 wherever they go, even if you intentionally frac across
14 the lines. So --

15 EXAMINER JONES: It's kind of tough to try
16 to -- it's hard enough to get your frac off without
17 mishap, much less try to limit it to certain areas.

18 EXAMINER BROOKS: I recognize the Supreme
19 Court of Texas can tell you what you can do in Texas
20 (laughter). We're not in Texas.

21 MS. KESSLER: But we'll listen, right?

22 EXAMINER BROOKS: Yes.

23 EXAMINER JONES: Do you have any questions
24 for Greg?

25 EXAMINER LOWE: No.

1 EXAMINER JONES: We didn't bring a
2 geologist this morning.

3 THE WITNESS: Well, you do a pretty good
4 job.

5 EXAMINER JONES: It's easy to ask
6 questions. It's hard to answer them.

7 Thanks very much.

8 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

9 EXAMINER JONES: So we'll take Case 15719
10 under advisement.

11 (Case Number 15719 concludes, 8:52 a.m.)
12
13
14
15
16

17 I ~~do~~ hereby certify that the foregoing is
18 a complete record of the proceedings in
the Examiner hearing of Case No. _____
heard by me on _____

19 _____, Examiner
20 Oil Conservation Division
21
22
23
24
25

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO
3

4 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court
6 Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20,
7 and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify
8 that I reported the foregoing proceedings in
9 stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are
10 a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that
11 were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my
12 ability.

13 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's
14 Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects
15 the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.

16 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
17 employed by nor related to any of the parties or
18 attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in
19 the final disposition of this case.
20

21 

22 MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR
23 Certified Court Reporter
24 New Mexico CCR No. 20

25 Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2017

Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters