STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

CASE NOS. 13,048 and 13,049 (Continued)
CASE NOS. 13,036 and 13,039 (Dismissed)

RECEIVED

CONTINUED AND DISMISSED CASES JUL 2 4 2003

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS Oil Conservation Division

BEFORE: LORI WROTENBERY, CHAIRMAN JAMI BAILEY, COMMISSIONER ROBERT LEE, COMMISSIONER

ORIGINAL

July 17th, 2003
Santa Fe, New Mexico

These matters came on for hearing before the Oil Conservation Commission, LORI WROTENBERY, Chairman, on Thursday, July 17th, 2003, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

INDEX

July 17th, 2003 Commission Hearing CASE NOS. 13,048 and 13,049 (Continued) CASE NOS. 13,036 and 13,039 (Dismissed)

	PAGE
CONTINUATION OF CASE NOS. 13,048 and 13,049	3
DISMISSAL OF CASE NOS. 13,036 and 13,039	5
ADOPTION OF JUNE 12th, 2003, MINUTES	9
ADOPTION OF JUNE 3rd and 4th, 2003, MINUTES	9
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	10

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE COMMISSION:

CAROL LEACH
General Counsel
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
1220 South Saint Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR THE DIVISION:

DAVID K. BROOKS, JR.
Assistant General Counsel
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

* * *

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 9:05 a.m.:

CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Okay, I guess we'll start this meeting now. This is a meeting of the Oil Conservation Commission. It's a little after 9:00 a.m. on July 17th, 2003. We're in Porter Hall in Santa Fe, New Mexico.

Since Mr. Kendrick is the only person in the audience, I think we'll forego all of the introductions today, just note for the record that all three Commissioners are in attendance at this meeting.

We have a couple of items of business to address today, but just a little housekeeping first.

First of all, there were a number of cases on the docket that will either be continued or dismissed. And Florene, if you will help me work through this, just to make sure I get it right, we had Case 13,048, the Application of Devon Energy Production Company, L.P., for compulsory pooling in Lea County, New Mexico, and Case 13,049, the Application of EGL Resources, Inc., for compulsory pooling in Lea County, New Mexico, and those two cases will be continued until the Commission's meeting when?

MS. DAVIDSON: I don't know if you want to go to August or -- August 17th? That's the pit Rule.

1	CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: That's right. We may want
2	to talk about scheduling a special hearing
3	MR. BROOKS: I believe that's
4	CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: on those particular
5	cases.
6	MR. BROOKS: August 14th.
7	MS. DAVIDSON: Is it August 14th?
8	MR. BROOKS: I think so.
9	CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Is the next regularly
10	scheduled
11	MS. DAVIDSON: Right
12	CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Commission meeting
13	MS. DAVIDSON: right.
14	CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: and we do expect to hear
15	testimony on the pit Rules at that particular hearing,
16	which will take probably the better part of the day, if not
17	longer.
18	MS. LEACH: And the parties to the Devon Energy
19	cases have indicated that their cases will take about a
20	day.
21	CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Okay. So we can look, I
22	guess, at the possibility of carrying over to the 15th of
23	August, or if that won't work with the Commissioners'
24	schedules we can look for another hearing date. Florene,
25	would you get with everybody and try to work out that

scheduling?

MS. DAVIDSON: Yes.

MR. BROOKS: Respectfully --

CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Yes --

MR. BROOKS: -- madame Chairman --

CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: -- Mr. Brooks?

MR. BROOKS: -- and honorable Commissioners, I would suggest that if the Commission chooses at this time to continue the case to the next docket, that would avoid the necessity of republishing the notice for that particular case if it was heard on that date or at some date afterwards because you could continue it on the record to a specific date at that time.

CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: That's a good point. So for our purposes today we'll continue those two cases, 13,048 and 13,049, to the Commission's hearing on August 14th. Thank you for that suggestion, Mr. Brooks.

And then we also had two cases, 13,036, the
Application of Ocean Energy, Inc., for compulsory pooling
in Lea County, New Mexico, and 13,039, the Application of
David H. Arrington Oil and Gas, Inc., for compulsory
pooling in Lea County, New Mexico. These cases have been
dismissed at the request of the parties to those two cases,
and I have sent out a letter documenting those dismissals.

We also had on the docket Case 12,811, the

Application of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division for an order requiring operators to bring 388 wells into compliance with Rule 201.B and assessing appropriate civil penalties in Eddy, Chaves and Otero Counties, New Mexico. This case was being heard de novo by the Commission at the request of two operators, J. Cleo Thompson and Kersey and Company.

Since that case was set for de novo hearing, J.

Cleo Thompson had submitted some additional information.

And Mr. Brooks, would you summarize what happened there?

MR. BROOKS: Mr. Thompson submitted information with regard to two wells which he was directed to bring into compliance and as to which penalties were asserted. The information he submitted has been reviewed by the OCD, and it was determined that one of those wells was actually on production prior to the Division Hearing and should not have been the subject of the order. The reason that it was -- that we went to hearing on that was the C-115, showing that production simply had not come in at that time. It was not late, it just was for the preceding month before the hearing and had not come in.

The other one was an injector, and it was also on injection. There was an error in the manner in which Mr.

Thompson's staff was entering things onto the computer, which caused them to show zeros when in fact they were

reporting injections. Jane's people and Mr. Thompson's 1 people have straightened that out, so we believe that in 2 fact neither of those wells was inactive, and at this time 3 we would request that the Division Order, insofar as it relates to J. Cleo Thompson only, be vacated and the case 5 be dismissed as to that operator. 6 CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Okay. And in fact, Mr. 7 8 Brooks, you had already submitted a letter requesting dismissal --9 10 MR. BROOKS: That is correct. 11 CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: -- of the case with respect 12 to J. Cleo Thompson --13 MR. BROOKS: That is correct. 14 CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: -- and I have issued an 15 order granting that request. We still, though, have the portion of the case 16 17 related to Kersey and Company. Mr. Brooks, could you report on the status of that case? 18 19 MR. BROOKS: Yes, madame Chairman, honorable 20 Commissioners. We have investigated what Mr. Kersey has 21

MR. BROOKS: Yes, madame Chairman, honorable

Commissioners. We have investigated what Mr. Kersey has

offered, and he said he wasn't going to be here, but in his

letter that was erroneously addressed to Patrick Lyons,

Land Commissioner, rather than to the Commission, he has

brought some factual matters up.

22

23

24

25

We believe at this point that the status is that

several of those wells have been plugged, but the 1 appropriate paperwork has not been filed and the site 2 cleanup has not been completed. We believe that's the case 3 with all of those wells except the -- I believe it's the 4 ASA State Number 1, which apparently back on production. 5 However, all of this compliance work was done 6 7 subsequent to the Division Hearing, and we don't believe it's complete. Therefore we believe that the Order should 8 nevertheless remain in effect and that the penalty 9 provisions should remain in effect. We are not in a 10 position to present admissible evidence to that this 11 morning because of difficulties in both my schedule and the 12 Artesia Office schedule, and we accordingly request that 13 that hearing be continued till the next docket. 14 CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Were there any other 15 16 appearances in that particular case? 17 Hearing none, Commissioners, do you have any objection to continuing this case to the August 14th 18 docket? 19 20 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: (Shakes head) 21 COMMISSIONER LEE: (Shakes head) 22 CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Then that's what we'll do. 23 Thank you, Mr. Brooks.

24

25

1	CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Okay. Just one other
2	matter of business that I think we can take care of
3	quickly. We've got the minutes of two Commission hearings,
4	one held on June 12th, 2003, the other held on June 3rd and
5	4th, 2003.
6	Commissioners, have you had a chance to review
7,	the minutes of these meetings?
8	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Yes, I have, and I move
9	that we adopt them.
10	COMMISSIONER LEE: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: All in favor say aye.
12	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Aye.
13	COMMISSIONER LEE: Aye.
14	CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Aye. And I'll sign those
15	on behalf of the Commission.
16	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
17	9:16 a.m.)
18	* * *
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL July 16th, 2003.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2006