

Jones, William V, EMNRD

From: Michael Feldewert <MFeldewert@hollandhart.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 15, 2017 2:30 PM
To: Ernest Padilla; Jones, William V, EMNRD
Cc: Jordan L. Kessler; 'jamesbruc'; Brooks, David K, EMNRD; Herrmann, Keith, EMNRD; Davidson, Florene, EMNRD; Ben Bosell, Esq. (ben.bosell@chevron.com)
Subject: Case 15109 from 2014 Endurance (Ernie) vs Chevron (Mike) / Devon (Jim)

Case No. 15109 (Endurance) and Case No. 15125 (Chevron) were competing development cases involving the Bone Spring formation underlying Section 19, T23S, R34E (the "Bell Lake" area). After extensive discussions between the parties, Chevron eventually dismissed Case No. 15125 and elected to participate in the Section 19 wells proposed by Endurance under Case No. 15109. Chevron therefore has no objection to the dismissal of Case No. 15109.

Michael H. Feldewert
Santa Fe Office
505-988-4421
505-983-6043 (fax)
mfeldewert@hollandhart.com

DISMISSED
R-13822

HOLLAND & HART. 

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message is confidential and may be privileged. If you believe that this email has been sent to you in error, please reply to the sender that you received the message in error; then please delete this e-mail. Thank you.

From: Ernest Padilla [mailto:epadilla@qwestoffice.net]
Sent: Tuesday, August 15, 2017 11:20 AM
To: 'Jones, William V, EMNRD'
Cc: Michael Feldewert; Jordan L. Kessler; 'jamesbruc'; 'Brooks, David K, EMNRD'; 'Herrmann, Keith, EMNRD'; 'Davidson, Florene, EMNRD'
Subject: RE: Case 15109 from 2014 Endurance (Ernie) vs Chevron (Mike) / Devon (Jim)

Mr. Jones,

We have looked at our electronic and hard files on this case and did not find a dismissal request. My recollection is that Endurance and Chevron were arguing the merits of N/S (Endurance) versus E/W (Chevron) orientation in the area of the application. The 15109 case asked for a spacing unit comprised of the E/2E/2 of Section 19, T23S, R34E. We did go to hearing in Cases 15074 and 15084. The Endurance case 15084 asked for an E/2 of Section 18, T23S, R34E spacing unit. Chevron asked for a S/2S/2 of Section 18 proration unit. Order R-13896 granted the Endurance application. I believe that Mike and I agreed to

Jones, William V, EMNRD

From: Ernest Padilla <epadillapl@qwestoffice.net>
Sent: Tuesday, August 15, 2017 11:20 AM
To: Jones, William V, EMNRD
Cc: 'Michael Feldewert'; 'Jordan L. Kessler'; 'jamesbruc'; Brooks, David K, EMNRD; Herrmann, Keith, EMNRD; Davidson, Florene, EMNRD
Subject: RE: Case 15109 from 2014 Endurance (Ernie) vs Chevron (Mike) / Devon (Jim)

Mr. Jones,

We have looked at our electronic and hard files on this case and did not find a dismissal request. My recollection is that Endurance and Chevron were arguing the merits of N/S (Endurance) versus E/W (Chevron) orientation in the area of the application. The 15109 case asked for a spacing unit comprised of the E/2E/2 of Section 19, T23S, R34E. We did go to hearing in Cases 15074 and 15084. The Endurance case 15084 asked for an E/2 of Section 18, T23S, R34E spacing unit. Chevron asked for a S/2S/2 of Section 18 proration unit. Order R-13896 granted the Endurance application. I believe that Mike and I agreed to proceed with the competing Section 18 cases first, and continued the 15109. It does not appear that I did not follow up on further continuances of 15109. I do recall that after Endurance drilled some very good initial wells, Chevron may participated with Endurance on N/S wells in the area.

It is safe to dismiss 15109.

Ernest L. Padilla
PADILLA LAW FIRM, P.A.
P.O. Box 2523
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2523
T: 505-988-7577
F: 505-988-7592
E: epadillapl@qwestoffice.net; (office) padillalaw@qwestoffice.net

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: THIS MESSAGE (INCLUDING ATTACHMENTS, IF ANY) IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW. If you are not the intended recipient, any review, use, disclosure, distribution or copying is strictly prohibited. If you believe this e-mail has been sent to you in error, please (i) do not open any attachments, (ii) contact the sender immediately by replying to this e-mail to inform the sender that you have received this e-mail in error, and (iii) delete this e-mail and all attachments.

From: Jones, William V, EMNRD [<mailto:WilliamV.Jones@state.nm.us>]
Sent: Tuesday, August 15, 2017 10:19 AM
To: Ernest Padilla
Cc: Michael Feldewert (MFeldewert@hollandhart.com); Jordan L. Kessler (JLKessler@hollandhart.com); jamesbruc (jamesbruc@aol.com); Brooks, David K,

EMNRD; Herrmann, Keith, EMNRD; Davidson, Florene, EMNRD

Subject: Case 15109 from 2014 Endurance (Ernie) vs Chevron (Mike) / Devon (Jim)

Hello Mr. Padilla,

Back in 2014, Richard Ezeanyim was watching this matter.

(and I was immersed in the world of Land Owner Management over at the Land Office... really should have paid attention!).

We have this Division Case 15109 from Endurance still open with no hearing order and not sure if it was ever actually heard.

Would you agree to dismiss this (without prejudice)? Or what do you advise?

I believe Chevron was to enter a competing Case, but I can't seem to find if that ever happened?

It may have gone to court.

If anyone remembers anything, please let me know.

Just tying up some loose ends here.

Regards,

Will

William V. Jones, P.E.

New Mexico Oil Conservation Division

Engineering and District IV – Santa Fe

505-419-1995 work cell

<http://www.emnrd.state.nm.us/OCD/about.html>

Jones, William V, EMNRD

From: jamesbruc@aol.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 15, 2017 10:40 AM
To: Jones, William V, EMNRD; epadillapl@qwestoffice.net
Cc: MFeldewert@hollandhart.com; JLKessler@hollandhart.com; Brooks, David K, EMNRD; Herrmann, Keith, EMNRD; Davidson, Florene, EMNRD
Subject: Re: Case 15109 from 2014 Endurance (Ernie) vs Chevron (Mike) / Devon (Jim)

Don't remember a thing, but the E/2 of Sec. 19 - 23S-34E has been developed with two standup wells, so I guess its safe to dismiss the case.

Jim

With no order for that long, I thought it was a Stogner case.

-----Original Message-----

From: Jones, William V, EMNRD, EMNRD <WilliamV.Jones@state.nm.us>
To: Ernest Padilla <epadillapl@qwestoffice.net>
Cc: Michael Feldewert (MFeldewert@hollandhart.com) <MFeldewert@hollandhart.com>; Jordan L. Kessler (JLKessler@hollandhart.com) <JLKessler@hollandhart.com>; jamesbruc (jamesbruc@aol.com) <jamesbruc@aol.com>; Brooks, David K, EMNRD, EMNRD <DavidK.Brooks@state.nm.us>; Herrmann, Keith, EMNRD, EMNRD <Keith.Herrmann@state.nm.us>; Davidson, Florene, EMNRD, EMNRD <florene.davidson@state.nm.us>
Sent: Tue, Aug 15, 2017 10:19 am
Subject: Case 15109 from 2014 Endurance (Ernie) vs Chevron (Mike) / Devon (Jim)

Hello Mr. Padilla,

Back in 2014, Richard Ezeanyim was watching this matter:

(and I was immersed in the world of Land Owner Management over at the Land Office... really should have paid attention!).

We have this Division Case 15109 from Endurance still open with no hearing order and not sure if it was ever actually heard.

Would you agree to dismiss this (without prejudice)? Or what do you advise?

I believe Chevron was to enter a competing Case, but I can't seem to find if that ever happened?

It may have gone to court.

If anyone remembers anything, please let me know.