#### STATE OF NEW MEXICO

# ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 13,489

APPLICATION OF McQUADRANGLE, LC, FOR STATUTORY UNITIZATION OF THE SOUTH RED LAKE II UNIT AREA, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

ORIGINAL

## REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

## **EXAMINER HEARING**

BEFORE: DAVID K. BROOKS, Jr., Hearing Examiner

APR 27

April 13th, 2006

Santa Fe, New Mexico

28

9

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, DAVID K. BROOKS, Jr., Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, April 13th, 2006, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

\* \* \*

# INDEX

April 13th, 2006 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 13,489

PAGE

**APPEARANCES** 

3

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

9

\* \* \*

# EXHIBITS

Applicant's		Identified	Admitted
Affidavit		4	-
Exhibit	A	5	_
Exhibit	В	5	-
Exhibit	С	5	-
Exhibit	D	6	-
Exhibit	E	6	_
Exhibit	F	6	-
Exhibit	G	7	-

\* \* \*

## APPEARANCES

## FOR THE APPLICANT:

HOLLAND & HART, L.L.P., and CAMPBELL & CARR 110 N. Guadalupe, Suite 1 P.O. Box 2208 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208 By: WILLIAM F. CARR

\* \* \*

## ALSO PRESENT:

WILLIAM V. JONES, JR. Hearing Examiner New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1220 South Saint Francis Drive Santa Fe, NM 87505

\* \* \*

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 2 8:47 a.m.:

EXAMINER BROOKS: Case Number 13,489, the reopened Application of McQuadrangle, LC, for Statutory unitization. It's reopened to permit the operator of the South Red Lake II Unit to appear and show that the plan for unit operations has been approved.

Call for appearances.

MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my name is William F. Carr with the Santa Fe office of Holland and Hart. We represent McQuadrangle in this matter, and I'm going to present the case by affidavit.

EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good.

MR. CARR: It's somewhat unusual since it's my own affidavit --

EXAMINER BROOKS: Well, that should work.

MR. CARR: -- but it appeared that -- early that I might not be here, and so we prepared the affidavit. But I am the person who was responsible for going through and in charge of the second round of ratifications, and I am the appropriate person to explain to you where we are today.

On July 19th of 2005, the Division entered Order Number R-12,386 that statutorily -- approved statutory unitization of the South Red Lake II Unit area. At the

time of the hearing it was discovered that the unit agreement that had been sent out for ratification included in the unitized interval some additional acreage, some -- an additional interval. And the order that was entered in that case required that the unitized interval be corrected and that the order be submitted for re-ratification.

We sent the unit agreement to all parties, and because of delays in getting ratifications back on January the 6th requested an extension of the order through April the 19th, and we did resubmit the entire ratification package, unit agreement, unit operating agreement and the order to all interest owners.

And we received the necessary 75 percent of both cost-bearing and non-cost-bearing interests and in February requested the entry of a supplemental order.

It was in early March that we were advised by the Division that the Division felt it would be appropriate for us to appear today and to present the ratifications so that the supplemental order, in fact, would have a record behind it.

What I have presented today is attachments to this affidavit: our copies of the original pooling order, our December 22nd letter requesting the extension through April the 19th. After that are various other documents.

You have copies of ratifications signed by McQuadrangle LC

and Cullers Family Investments, Limited. Those are the only two working interest owners in the unit area, so 100 percent of the working interest is ratified.

As to the base royalty, we've included approval letters from the Commissioner of Public Lands and his certificate of approval.

And then the last page in that exhibit -- it's marked Exhibit E -- is a December 19th letter from the BLM also approving the unitization. So we have 100 percent of the base royalty now also ratified.

Exhibit F is the complicated exhibit. It is a breakdown by unit tract of the interest owners in the unit, and we have highlighted on that exhibit the interest owners who, in fact, have ratified.

As the statute is drafted, you have to have 100 -- or 75 percent of the non-cost-bearing interest owners. And so what that means is, you have to combine the royalty interests and the overrides and then determine how much is non-cost-bearing and then get to 75 percent of that, and it's not the easiest thing to do. But right behind the listing by tract that's highlighted basically by tract, we have shown you that -- we have a breakdown. It shows, for example, Tract 1 consists of 80 acres, a 12-1/2-percent royalty interest is committed, and 2.65 percent of the 5-percent overriding royalty is committed. That's just a

breakdown, it shows each of the tracts.

And then on the second page what we have done, because the tracts are varying interests -- are varying size, we have taken a percentage of committed interests, multiplied it by the number of acres, and come up with -- it's just a -- it's just a factor, it's a number, 14,762.

And then what we also did is, we took all the noncommitted interests in the unit -- or all the non-cost-bearing interests in the unit, multiplied that by the number of acres, and there was a factor of 19,548. You divide one into the other, and we are at 75.52-percent ratification of all non-cost-bearing interest owners. And Mr. Kellahin asked me a year ago to write a memo explaining how this was done, and I've never been able to make it make any sense, but I'm positive that we do have the necessary non-cost-bearing interests --

EXAMINER BROOKS: Very much the same as preparing a Division order title opinion.

MR. CARR: Or drafting compulsory pooling rules.
(Laughter)

MR. CARR: The last exhibit in this packet is the notice of publication, to show that today's hearing was published.

We now have obtained the necessary ratifications, and we would request that a supplemental order be entered

1	confirming that the original Division order has been
2	ratified, and we would like, therefore, to put the unit
3	into effect at 7:00 a.m. on May the 1st.
4	EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good. There's nobody else
5	appearing in this case?
6	MR. CARR: No, sir.
7	EXAMINER BROOKS: Do you have any questions of
8	Mr. Carr, Mr. Jones?
9	MR. JONES: (Shakes head)
10	EXAMINER BROOKS: Well, neither do I. Case
11	Number 13,498, reopened, will be taken under advisement.
12	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
13	8:54 a.m.)
14	* * *
15	
16	
17	I do have y so like that the foregoing is
18	a complete record of the proceedings in the lixaminar hearing of Case No. <u>13488</u> .
19	heard by me on $2/2$ $\frac{13}{2006}$ .
20	Oll Conservation Division
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

### CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO )
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE )

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL April 13th, 2006.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2006