#### STATE OF NEW MEXICO

# ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 13,819

APPLICATION OF THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION THROUGH THE ENFORCEMENT AND COMPLIANCE MANAGER FOR A HEARING ON THE REQUEST OF AMERICO ENERGY RESOURCES, LLC, FOR A WAIVER OF PENALTIES DUE UNDER THE TERMS OF AGREED COMPLIANCE ORDER 70-A

ORIGINAL

## REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

## **EXAMINER HEARING**

DEC 13

BEFORE: RICHARD EZEANYIM, Hearing Examiner

3 PM 3

November 30th, 2006

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, RICHARD EZEANYIM, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, November 30th, 2006, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

\* \* \*

# I N D E X

November 30th, 2006 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 13,819

PAGE

**APPEARANCES** 

3

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

9

\* \* \*

## EXHIBITS

Applicant's	Identified	Admitted
Exhibit 1	4	_
Exhibit 2	-	-
Exhibit 3	-	-
Exhibit 4	_	-
Exhibit 5	-	-
Exhibit 6	-	-
Exhibit 7	8	8

\* \* \*

## APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

DAVID K. BROOKS, JR.
Assistant General Counsel
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR THE APPLICANT:

GAIL MacQUESTEN
Deputy General Counsel
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

\* \* \*

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 2 8:17 a.m.: Okay, at this point I call EXAMINER EZEANYIM: 3 Case Number 13,819. This is the Application of the New 4 Mexico Oil Conservation Division through the Enforcement 5 and Compliance Manager for a hearing on the request of 6 Americo Energy Resources, LLC, for a waiver of penalties 7 due under the terms of Agreed Compliance Order 70-A. 8 Call for appearances. 9 MS. MacQUESTEN: Gail MacQuesten, representing 10 the Oil Conservation Division. 11 I have one witness. EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Any other appearances? 12 May the witness stand to be sworn? 13 (Thereupon, the witness was sworn.) 14 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Ms. MacQuesten? 15 MS. MacQUESTEN: Mr. Examiner, if I may, I'd like 16 to make a brief statement. 17 18 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay, go ahead. 19 MS. MacQUESTEN: This case is the first of its 20 kind brought before an OCD Examiner. It arises out of an inactive well agreed compliance order entered into by the 21 OCD and Americo Energy Resources, LLC. The order is Number 22 23 A copy was attached to the Application, and it is 24 also the first exhibit in the exhibit packet. 25 This order addresses seven inactive wells

operated by Americo. In the order, Americo agreed to file a compliance report and return three of those wells to compliance with Rule 201 by September 25th, 2006.

If it met its schedule and returned three wells to compliance, the OCD would issue an amendment extending the terms of the order.

If the operator failed to bring three of the wells into compliance by September 25th, it was subject to a penalty of \$1000 for each well it failed to return to compliance under the schedule, and it was up to the discretion of the OCD whether to issue an amendment extending the terms of the order.

But the order also contained a provision under which the operator could request a waiver or reduction of the penalty. That appears in paragraph 5 on page 4 of the Order.

In the event the operator encounters unanticipated circumstances that prevent it from meeting the compliance deadlines, the operator may file an administrative application to request a waiver or reduction of the penalty.

If the Enforcement and Compliance Manager concurred, the waiver or reduction would be granted administratively, but if he did not concur, the order provided that Application shall be set for hearing.

That's why we're here today. In this case the operator failed to return any wells to compliance by the deadline. He requested a waiver of penalties. The Enforcement and Compliance manager denied that request, so under the terms of the order, the request for waiver is set for hearing.

The OCD filed the Application in order to get the matter on the docket, so technically we are the Applicant in the case. But it is Americo that is the entity seeking relief and the party bearing the burden of persuading you to waive the penalty.

The OCD opposes that request and asks that the Division enter an order denying Americo's request for a waiver of penalties.

Please note that the only issue before you today is the issue of penalties. The issue of whether to issue an amendment was decided by the Enforcement and Compliance Manager. He decided not to issue an amendment. The order does not provide a procedure for Americo to request an amendment from the Examiner, and Americo has not made such requests, so the only issue today is the issue of penalties.

Because Americo did not appear today, you could decide this matter strictly on the Application that they made, which is in the form of an e-mail, and it's attached

to the Application.

If you wish, we can present our evidence in support of our request that you deny the waiver, so we wait your determination as to how to proceed.

EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay, it appears to me that actually, even though you made the Application, they should be the Applicant because they are asking for a waiver.

And one question I have before I make a determination here is, did they agree that they want to go to hearing on this case when you contacted them, or you just sent it to the docket? Did they say, Okay, well, we want to go to hearing?

MS. MacQUESTEN: I spoke to them before I set it for hearing, and we discussed that if Mr. Sanchez did not agree to the waiver, that the matter would be set for hearing, and I told them that if they did not wish it to be set for hearing they could dismiss it and they could pay the penalty. I did not hear from them after that.

EXAMINER EZEANYIM: It appears to me at this point that there is no point in going ahead with this case. I will take administrative notice of whatever -- since it appears to me the Applicant -- the Applicant didn't show up, I don't think we are going to continue with this case. I can make a determination here, based on what evidence I have already.

MS. MacQUESTEN: To complete the record, I would 1 like to ask you to admit what has been marked as OCD 2 Exhibit Number 7. This is the affidavit of service 3 notifying Americo of the hearing today. We did receive a 4 green card return receipt from Mr. Nosrati. 5 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: For the record, Exhibit 7 6 will be admitted into evidence. 7 Anything further? 8 9 MS. MacQUESTEN: Thank you. 10 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: At this point, Case Number 11 13,819 will be taken under advisement. 12 Thank you, Gail. 13 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at 14 8:23 a.m.) 15 16 17 f we hereby certify that the toregoing is & complete record of the proceeding 18 the Examiner hear 19 20 Conservation 21 22 23 24 25

#### CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO )
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE )

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL December 1st, 2006.

STEVEN T. BRENNER CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2010