STATE OF NEW MEXICO

÷.

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY)
THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE)
PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:)
APPLICATION OF PRIDE ENERGY COMPANY) CASE NOS. 13,824
FOR COMPULSORY POOLING AND APPROVAL)
OF AN UNORTHODOX OIL WELL LOCATION,)
LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO)
APPLICATION OF PRIDE ENERGY COMPANY FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY,)) and 13,825)
NEW MEXICO)) (Consolidated)

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER	HEARING

BEFORE:	RICHARD	EZEANYIM,	Hearing	Examiner

November 30th, 2006

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, RICHARD EZEANYIM, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, November 30th, 2006, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

1

2006 DEC 13 PM

INDEX

November 30th, 2006 Examiner Hearing CASE NOS. 13,824 and 13,825 (Consolidated)

PAGE

11

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

* * *

EXHIBITS

Applicant's

Exhibit	1	4 –
Exhibit	2	5 –
Exhibit	3	7 –

Identified

Admitted

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

DAVID K. BROOKS, JR. Assistant General Counsel Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR THE APPLICANT:

JAMES G. BRUCE Attorney at Law P.O. Box 1056 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

* * *

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 10:17 a.m.: 2 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Let's go back on the record. 3 At this time I call -- Mr. Bruce, if you don't mind I can 4 5 combine these two cases? MR. BRUCE: Yes, sir. 6 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: I call them at the same time. 7 Case Number 13,824, Application of Pride Energy 8 Company for compulsory pooling and approval of an 9 unorthodox oil well location, Lea County, New Mexico. 10 And also Case Number 13,825, Application of Pride 11 Energy Company for compulsory pooling, Lea County, New 12 Mexico. 13 Call for appearances, please. 14 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of Santa Fe, 15 representing the Applicant. I'm submitting these cases by 16 affidavit. 17 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Any other appearances? 18 19 Okay, you may proceed. MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I've placed in front of 20 you three exhibits. The first one is an affidavit of the 21 landman for Case 13,824. The second Exhibit is the same 22 23 type of affidavit for Case 13,825. 24 Maybe if you would turn to Exhibit A, which is a 25 land plat on either exhibit. In Case -- looking -- I've

3

highlighted some acreage. These cases involve lands in
Section 9 of 11 South, 33 East. Case 13,824 involves the
compulsory pooling of the south half, northeast quarter of
Section 9. Case Number 13,825 involves the compulsory
pooling of the north half of the northeast quarter of
Section 9.

7 These wells are being drilled to test the North 8 Bagley-Permo Pennsylvanian Pool, which is spaced on 80 9 acres, and the wells under the pool rules are to be located 10 within 150 feet of the center of a quarter quarter section.

In Case 13,824, Exhibit 1, Applicant seeks to re-11 enter -- or excuse me, 13,824 which involves the south 12 half, northeast quarter, I'm sorry, Applicant seeks to 13 14 drill its Bagley Well Number 2 at a location 1905 feet from 15 the north line and 2130 feet from the east line of this section. This location is just slightly unorthodox by, oh, 16 17 10 or 20 feet, and this is due to surface-terrain issues and the proximity of the well to surface improvements, and 18 they're trying to work with the surface owner on that 19 20 location. And I will get to the notice in a minute.

On page 2 of Exhibit 1 it lists the parties being force pooled, their addresses and their interests. Two of these parties were unlocatable, or at least they never picked up their mail, and that's Judith Bench and Deborah Bench. And they tried to track down these people through

> STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

the Lea County records and the telephone directories and 1 county records in Texas where these people reside. These 2 are their last known addresses. But their mail came back, 3 4 or at least was refused service. Pride Energy -- Attached as Exhibit B is the 5 proposal letter sent out to the interest owners. You'll 6 notice that the proposal letter went out to a number of 7 additional interest owners, but just in the last couple of 8 9 days -- or additional interest owners, other than those 10 being pooled. But just in the last two or three days, 11 about half a dozen of them have executed leases. All of 12 these interest owners are unleased mineral interest owners. 13 Attached as Exhibit C is the AFE for the well, and the well costs approximately \$2,857,000, which the 14 15 landman says is fair and reasonable. The overhead rates proposed are \$7330 a month for a drilling well and \$767 a 16 month --17 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: How much is that? 18 MR. BRUCE: \$7330 for a drilling well and \$767 a 19 20 month for a producing well. 21 And Applicant requests that the 200-percent risk charge be assessed against the nonconsenting interest 22 owners and that these overhead rates be adjusted under the 23 COPAS accounting procedure. 24 25 Exhibit 2 is for the well in the north half,

> STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

northeast quarter, and this one is a little different. The 1 parties being pooled are the same and their interests are 2 Applicant seeks to re-enter the Bagley Well 3 the same. Number 1, which was drilled and abandoned quite some time 4 That well is located at an orthodox location 560 feet 5 ago. from the north line and 560 feet from the east line of 6 Section 9. 7

8 However, Applicant also requests in the same 9 Application that if that re-entry is unsuccessful, that it 10 be allowed to drill a new well, and that would be the 11 Bagley Well Number 3, which would be drilled at an orthodox 12 location.

Attached again as Exhibit B is the correspondence to these parties. A number of the parties who were originally noticed of this hearing have signed leases. The interest ownership is uniform throughout the northeast quarter of Section 9.

Attached as Exhibit C-1 to Exhibit 2 is the AFE for the proposed re-entry. If that was successful, the estimated cost is about \$740,000. If that re-entry was unsuccessful, then they would commence a new well, again at approximately \$2.8 million.

The reason they are asking that -- for the pooling order to include both the re-entry and a possible new well is that if the re-entry is unsuccessful they don't

> STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

1	want to have to come back and re-pool everybody at the same
2	again.
3	Attached as Exhibit or submitted as Exhibit 3
4	is a notice affidavit by me. The notice letter that went
5	out included both pooling applications, and that notice
6	letter of the pooling is marked as Exhibit A to Exhibit 3.
7	The last two pages are Exhibit B to Exhibit 3, and that is
8	notice given to the offset operator of the unorthodox
9	location in the south half, northeast quarter.
10	There are producing North Bagley-Permo Penn wells
11	in the northwest quarter of this section, that's the only
12	party being encroached upon, and that party has also been
13	notified. And these notice letters do include the
14	Division's address as well as the date and time of the
15	hearing.
16	And I would move the admission of Exhibits 1, 2
17	and 3 in these consolidated cases and ask that these
18	matters be taken under advisement.
19	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: At this point, Exhibits 1, 2
20	and 3 will be taken into the evidence.
21	Do you have any questions?
22	MR. BROOKS: Well, you said the date and time of
23	the hearing and I'm being picky again, but I don't see
24	the time on the letter.
25	MR. BRUCE: 8:15 a.m.

l

Í

	8
1	MR. BROOKS: Where I'm looking at Exhibit A.
2	MR. BRUCE: Second full paragraph, 8:15 a.m.
3	MR. BROOKS: Oh, okay, you've got it first.
4	Okay, I apologize. Trying to be as picky as I can, but I
5	just can't find anything wrong with your documents.
6	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: What is the special pool rule
7	for this? I tried to find it, I couldn't find it.
8	MR. BRUCE: Oh, Mr. Examiner, let's see if I have
9	the order number. Mr. Examiner, I will get that to you. I
10	believe it was kind of it was kind of confusing if you
11	look in the records, because the North Bagley-Permo Penn
12	Pool, I think, resulted from the combination of two other
13	Permo Penn pools.
14	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Yeah, I looked for it, but
15	MR. BRUCE: Yeah, and I will get you that order.
16	And before it was combined, there was an order establishing
17	the 80-acre spacing. I will get that for I will e-mail
18	that information to you
19	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay.
20	MR. BRUCE: because it does in one of the
21	orders, even though in the Division's records the order
22	isn't denominated North Bagley-Permo Penn, in the body of
23	one of the orders it does refer to that pool as assuming
24	the spacing rules that were previously instituted for one
25	of these other two pools.

Î

Ī

Ī

ĺ

Î

Î

EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay, and this is -- this 1 question is in relation to 13,824. The unorthodox location 2 is as to that special pool rule, right? 3 MR. BRUCE: Correct. 4 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: It says 150 from the center 5 of the guarter guarter section; is that --6 MR. BRUCE: That is correct. 7 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay, I will gladly get 8 that -- Did you have to do a newspaper advertisement? 9 MR. BRUCE: Oh, Mr. Examiner, that -- thank you 10 11 for reminding me. I did submit -- Notice was published in the Hobbs newspaper. I have not received that affidavit of 12 notice back, and I would ask that this matter be continued 13 14 for two weeks, simply so I can submit my affidavit of 15 notice as to those two unlocatable parties. EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay, is that for the two 16 17 cases? Correct, yes, both cases, because the 18 MR. BRUCE: 19 interest owners are the same. 20 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. So what we are going to do is to complete it and then just get your -- Okay. 21 22 If you want to drill -- Let's go to 13,825. If 23 you drill the Well Number 3, where is it going to be located in the unit? 24 25 MR. BRUCE: I would just ask that the order

> STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

1	provide that it be located at an orthodox location in
2	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Unorthodox, orthodox?
3	MR. BRUCE: Orthodox.
4	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Orthodox.
5	MR. BRUCE: Yeah. Hopefully the re-entry will be
6	successful. If not, then we would have to choose a second
7	location, so the footage would be uncertain at this point.
8	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. Okay, what are the
9	overhead rates?
10	MR. BRUCE: \$7330 per month for a drilling well
11	and \$767 per month for
12	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: \$767
13	MR. BRUCE: a producing well.
14	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. At this point Case
15	Number 13,824 and 13,825 will be continued to the next
16	Examiner Hearing, to enable that they can provide some
17	advertisement in these cases.
18	And this concludes these two cases.
19	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
20	10:30 a.m.)
21	* * *
22	I do hereby cartify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner bearing of forest in
23	the Examinar hearing of Case No 138 21
24	Jun Kill 2 13825
25	Oll Conservation Division, Examiner

10

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

ss.

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL December 3rd, 2006.

ence

STEVEN T. BRENNER CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2010