## COMPARISON OF BTEX STANDARDS OF CONCENTRATIONS FOR NEW MEXICO GROUNDWATER AND DRINKING WATER BENCON MONTH CREEK CANADA O LINGS IN EVAPORATION

## WITH BENSON-MONTIN-GREER CANADA OJITOS UNIT EVAPORATION POND

	BTEX Standards for Groundwater New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission * (Parts per billion)	BTEX Standards of New Mexico Drinking Water Bureau ** (Parts per billion)	B-M-G Canada Ojitos Unit Evaporation Pond *** (Parts per billion)
Benzene	10	5	0.48
Toluene	750	1000	1.25
Ethylbenzene	e 750	700	ND ****
Xylenes	620	1000	1.29

- \* Reference Part 3-103 of the New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission regulations, as amended through November 25, 1988.
- \*\* These maximum levels apply to community and non-transient, non-community water systems (reference Drinking Water Regulations, Title 20 Chapter 7, Part 1, Section 203-C.
- \*\*\* Samples taken May 1, 1995 after several days since the last load was introduced to the pond and before substantial amount of dehydrator pit water had been brought to the pond.
- \*\*\*\* Not detected.

Before the
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
Santa Fe, New Mexico
Case No. 11143 Exhibit No. 5
Submitted By:
Hearing Date:



## **PURGEABLE AROMATICS**

## **Benson Montin & Greer**

Project ID:

NA

Report Date:

05/03/95

Sample ID:

**Evaporation Pond** 

Date Sampled:

05/01/95

Lab ID:

0944

Date Received:

05/02/95

Sample Matrix:

Water

Date Analyzed:

05/02/95

Preservative: Condition:

Cool Intact

Target Analyte	Concentration (ug/L)	Detection Limit (ug/L)
Benzene	0.48	0.20
Toluene	1.25	0.20
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.20
m,p-Xylenes	0.85	0.40
o-Xylene	0.44	0.20

	tomber in a large transfer a figure to the	ati ing pangalah kalamatan ji kati
Total RTEY	2.20	
וטומוטונא	3,20	ay ay an mashiri sagara 11 sagar
		그는 사람들은 그 사람들은 그가 뭐

ND - Analyte not detected at the stated detection limit.

**Quality Control:** 

Surrogate

Percent Recovery

**Acceptance Limits** 

Trifluorotoluene

105

88 - 110%

Bromofluorobenzene

93

86 - 115%

Reference:

Method 602.2, Purgeable Aromatics; Federal Register, Vol. 49, No. 209,

Oct. 1984.

Comments:

Review