STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISON FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF CHEVRON USA, INC. FOR APPROVAL OF A PILOT PROJECT FOR THE PURPOSE OF DETERMINING PROPER WELL DENSITY REQUIREMENT FOR WELLS IN THE **BASIN-FRUITLAND COAL GAS POOL** RIO ARRIBA, SAN JUAN, MCKINLEY AND SANDOVAL COUNTIES, NEW MEXICO

CASE NO. 1399

PRE-HEARING STATEMENT

This pre-hearing statement is submitted by the ConocoPhillips Company ("ConocoPhillips") as required by the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division.

APPEARENCES OF THE PARTIES

APPLICANT

ATTORNEY

Chevron USA, Inc.

William F. Carr, Esq.

OPPONENTS

ATTORNEY

ConocoPhillips Company P. O. Box 4289 Farmington, New Mexico 87499-4289 Attn: Alan Alexander

706 Gonzales Road Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

W. Thomas Kellahin

505-982-4285

505-326-9757

Fax: 505-982-2047

Devon Energy Corporation

James Bruce, Esq.

Koch Exploration Company, LLC

J. Scott Hall, Esq.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

OPPONENT:

ConocoPhillips is opposed to Chevron's application and will request that the Division enter an order denying this proposed pilot project for reasons that include the following:

- (1) The Division's Fruitland Coalbed Methane Study Committee is the appropriate method for studying and determining the proper well density for the Basin Fruitland Coal-Gas Pool, including choosing locations for meaningful pilot project areas.
- (2) Chevron's proposed pilot project is in the Low Productivity Area of the pool immediately adjacent to the High Productivity Area and is therefore neither suitable nor representative of an appropriate pilot area;
- (3) Chevron's proposed pilot project does not contain a "buffer" or a protective well location setback and therefore will adversely affect correlative rights;
- (4) Chevron's proposed pilot project is self serving because it appears to contains all of Chevron's coal-gas acreage and if approved will allow Chevron, under the guise of a pilot, to increase well density for its own gain and will not benefit any other operators or interest owners;
- (5) Chevron's proposed pilot project appears to be an excuse for rate acceleration rather than a legitimate science project to study ways to increase ultimate recovery.
- (6) Chevron has refused to supply bottom hole pressures from their recently drilled wells in the proposed pilot area, which pressures are necessary for proper evaluation of the pilot project.
- (7) Chevron has refused to verify the bottom hole location of the proposed pilot wells, the location of which are necessary for evaluation of drainage and correlative rights determinations.

PROPOSED EVIDENCE

APPLICANT

WITNESSES EST. TIME EST. EXHIBITS

Jennifer Queen (Petroleum Engineer)

20 Min.

5 exhibits

Michael Sturdy (Petroleum Geologist)

20 Min.

4 exhibits

PROCEDURAL MATTERS

None at this time.

KELLAHIN & KELLAHIN

W. Thomas Kellahin Kellahin & Kellahin 706 Gonzales Road

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Phone 505-982-4285 505-982-2047 Fax

E-mail: tkellahin@comcast.net