STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR A COMPLIANCE ORDER AGAINST NORTHSTAR OIL AND GAS

CASE NO. 13,920 de novo

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

COMMISSION HEARING

BEFORE: MARK E. FESMIRE, CHAIRMAN JAMI BAILEY, COMMISSIONER

October 11th, 2007

Santa Fe, New Mexico

RECEIVED

This matter came on for hearing before the Oil Conservation Commission, MARK E. FESMIRE, Chairman, on Thursday, October 11th, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

I N D E X

October 11th, 2007 Commission Hearing

Commission Hearing CASE NO. 13,920	
	PAGE
EXHIBITS	3
APPEARANCES	4
OPENING STATEMENTS:	
By Ms. MacQuesten	6
By Mr. Bruce	7
DIVISION WITNESS:	
<pre>CHARLIE T. PERRIN (District Supervisor, Aztec District Office, District 3, NMOCD)</pre>	
Direct Examination by Ms. MacQuesten	10 35
Cross-Examination by Mr. Bruce Examination by Chairman Fesmire	36
NORTHSTAR WITNESS:	
JOHN C. CORBETT (Geologist;	
President, Northstar Oil and Gas)	2.0
Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce Cross-Examination by Ms. MacQuesten	38 54
Redirect Examination by Mr. Bruce	62
Examination by Commissioner Bailey	63
Examination by Chairman Fesmire	65
CLOSING STATEMENTS:	
By Ms. MacQuesten By Mr. Bruce	69 7 1
by MI. Bluce	/1
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	79
* * *	

	EXHIBITS	
Division	Identified	Admitted
Exhibit	1 9	34
Exhibit		34
Exhibit	-	34
Exhibit	4 11	
Exhibit	5 13	
Exhibit	6 13	34
Exhibit	7 14	34
Exhibit		
Exhibit	-	
EXUIDIC	9 14	34
Exhibit	10 15	34
Exhibit		34
Exhibit	12 16	34
Exhibit		34
Exhibit		
Exhibit	15 19	34
Exhibit	16 20	34
Exhibit		
Exhibit		34
EXHIBIC	10 22	34
Exhibit	19 23	34
	* * *	
Northstar	Identified	Admitted
		50
Exhibit		53
Exhibit		53
Exhibit	1B 44, 45	53
Exhibit	1C 44, 45	53
Exhibit	•	53
Exhibit		53
Exhibit		53
Exhibit		53
Exhibit	4 51	53
Exhibit	5 48	53
	* * *	

APPEARANCES

FOR THE COMMISSION:

CHERYL BADA
Assistant General Counsel
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR THE DIVISION:

GAIL MacQUESTEN
Deputy General Counsel
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR NORTHSTAR OIL AND GAS:

JAMES G. BRUCE Attorney at Law P.O. Box 1056 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

* * *

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 9:21 a.m.: 2 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: And the last case before the 3 Commission is Case Number 13,920, the Application of the 4 New Mexico Oil Conservation Division for a compliance order 5 6 against Northstar Oil and Gas. Is Mr. Bruce still with his client outside? MS. MacQUESTEN: I've seen him in the building, 8 but I haven't seen him outside the Commission hearing room. 9 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Well, just to be fair, we'll 10 go find him before we start the hearing. 11 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Are we off the record? 12 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: No, we're still on the record. 13 MS. MacQUESTEN: So you won't entertain a motion 14 for a default judgment then? 15 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: While we're waiting for Mr. 16 17 Bruce, we'll go ahead and go off the record. (Off the record) 18 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: At this time we'll go back on 19 the record. The Commission has called Case Number 13,920, 20 the Application of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 21 for a compliance order against Northstar Oil and Gas. 22 23 At this time the Commission will hear the 24 appearance of the attorneys. 25 MS. MacQUESTEN: Mr. Chairman, Gail MacQuesten

appearing for the Oil Conservation Division. I have one 1 2 witness. Mr. Bruce? 3 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: MR. BRUCE: Mr. Chairman, Jim Bruce representing 4 Northstar Oil and Gas. I also have one witness. 5 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: At this time will the 6 7 witnesses stand to be sworn? 8 (Thereupon, the witnesses were sworn.) 9 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Ms. MacQuesten, do you have an opening statement? 10 11 MS. MacQUESTEN: Yes, I do. 12 This is a compliance case regarding two inactive wells operated by Northstar Oil and Gas. The OCD filed the 13 Application in this case in April, 2007. At that time the 14 15 wells were in violation of the inactive well rule, Rule 201, because they had been inactive since 1991 and were 16 17 neither plugged and abandoned nor on approved temporary abandonment status. 18 19 The Application sought an order requiring 20 Northstar to bring the wells into compliance by a date 21 certain. The Application also sought penalties. 22 The case was heard on June 21st, 2007, and the 23 order was issued July 5th, 2007. The order required Northstar to return the wells to compliance by September 24

5th, 2007, and then post a \$10,000 penalty for the

violation of Rule 201.

Northstar has now plugged the wellbores of both wells. It did not quite meet the September 5th deadline, but the wellbores are plugged.

Northstar has asked for de novo review seeking release from the penalties. The focus of the case today will be on why the OCD believes that the \$10,000 penalty assessed in the original order is appropriate and why we are asking the Commission to assess the same penalty amount.

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Mr. Bruce?

MR. BRUCE: I think I'll leave most of mine for a brief closing and I would let my witness explain most of it.

But yes, we are seeking relief from the \$10,000 penalty. We believe that the wells have been taken care of as well as others that were once owned by Northstar Oil and Gas, and there would be no deterrence effect for the \$10,000 penalty, and we will -- I will let my witness explain the reason for that.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Ms. MacQuesten, are you ready with your witness?

MR. BRUCE: Before we begin, Mr. Examiner, I was never provided with a copy of the Division's exhibits.

MS. MacQUESTEN: I'm sorry, Mr. Bruce, they 1 should have come to you with the prehearing statement. 2 MR. BRUCE: I never received them, so... Thanks. 3 I received the prehearing statement, but that was 4 it. 5 MS. MacQUESTEN: And just for your information, 6 Mr. Bruce, it's the same packet of exhibits that we had at 7 the original hearing, with the addition of the transcript 8 9 from the hearing. 10 MR. BRUCE: Okay, and I was not representing Mr. -- I mean Northstar at that time, so -- anyway... 11 12 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Are you making an objection to --13 MR. BRUCE: Seeing as they could probably 14 question Mr. Perrin about everything that's in the exhibit 15 package, or Mr. Corbett, my witness, I'm not going to make 16 17 that. CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay, we appreciate you doing 18 We'll find out why you didn't get a copy of the 19 that. exhibits. 20 MS. MacQUESTEN: Mr. Chairman, just to make a 21 point, if I had been told at any point in time that he had 22 not received the exhibits, I would have been happy to 23 24 provide them. I didn't even realize it until 25 MR. BRUCE:

1	yesterday.
2	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Mr. Bruce has been very busy
3	lately. I know because he's in my office.
4	Ms. MacQuesten, you can continue.
5	MS. MacQUESTEN: All right, there should be the
6	evidence packet in front of you.
7	The first exhibit is the affidavit of notice and
8	publication from the original case.
9	The second exhibit is the affidavit of Dorothy
10	Phillips showing the financial assurance information from
11	the original case.
12	And the third exhibit is a printout of the
13	corporation's inquiry screen from the PRC website. I'm
14	asking that you take administrative notice of this
15	printout. It shows the status of Northstar Oil and Gas as
16	inactive, and it shows John Corbett as the registered agent
17	and president and director of the corporation.
18	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Administrative notice of the
19	Division records will be taken.
20	MS. MacQUESTEN: With that, I would call Mr.
21	Charlie Perrin.
22	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Mr. Perrin, you realize that
23	you've been previously sworn in this case?
24	MR. PERRIN: Yes, I do.
25	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Proceed, Ms. MacQuesten.

CHARLIE T. PERRIN, 1 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 2 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 BY MS. MacQUESTEN: 5 Would you please state your name for the record? 6 Q. Charlie Perrin. Α. 8 Q. And by whom are you employed? The New Mexico Oil Conservation Division. 9 Α. 10 Q. What is your title? I'm a District Supervisor. 11 Α. Do your duties as District Supervisor include 12 Q. managing enforcement of OCD's inactive well rules? 1.3 Yes, ma'am, they do. 14 Α. 15 Are the wells at issue in this hearing, the 0. Barbara Number 1 and the Davie Number 1, located in the 16 17 Aztec District where you are the supervisor? Yes, ma'am, they are. 18 Α. 19 Q. Have you reviewed the well files for the two 20 wells at issue in this hearing? 21 Α. I have. 22 And are you familiar with the efforts of the Q. 23 Aztec District to obtain compliance from Northstar as to inactive wells? 24 25 Α. Yes, ma'am, I am.

Would you please look at what has been marked as Q. 1 OCD Exhibit Number 4? Is this the well list for Northstar? 2 Α. Yes, ma'am, it is. 3 And is this list kept by the OCD in the normal 4 0. course of business and available to the public on our 5 website? 6 Yes, ma'am. 7 Α. Are the two wells listed on this well list the 8 Q. 9 wells at issue in today's hearing? 10 Α. Yes, ma'am. Are those the only two wells Northstar currently 11 Q. operates in New Mexico? 12 Yes, ma'am. 13 Α. Does the well list identify the last date of 14 Q. reported production or injection for those wells? 15 Α. It does. 16 17 Q. And what date is that? July, 1991, for both wells. 18 Α. 19 At the time of the Division hearing in June of Q. 20 2007, was either well plugged and abandoned? No, ma'am. 21 Α. 22 Was either well on approved temporary abandonment Q. 23 status? 24 No, ma'am. Α.

What is the current status of these two wells?

25

Q.

- They are currently plugged. The Davie 1 1 Α. wellbore, plugging completion was September 6th, '07, and 2 the wellbore for the Barbara was finished 10-9-07. 3 And 10-9-07 was Tuesday of this week? 4 0. Α. Yes, ma'am. 5 6
 - Are these wells considered plugged and released? Q.
- No, ma'am, they're not. 7 Α.

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

- What does Northstar still need to do to have the 0. wells plugged and released?
- They have to clean up the locations. I received Α. a report yesterday, there's still power pole, pipeline risers, some junk scattered, sucker rod, oil tank, fence, some stained soil and anchors still on site.
- 0. How much time do they have to clean up the site 14 under OCD Rules? 15
 - Α. They have 12 months.
 - Q. So the wells currently are now in compliance with Rule 201 until that 12-month period expires?
 - Yes, ma'am, they are. Α.
 - And as long as they clean up the sites by 12 months, they will have complied with 201?
 - Yes, ma'am. Α.
- Are you familiar with the efforts of the District 23 Q. 24 Office to bring these two wells into compliance?
- 25 Α. Yes, ma'am, I am.

Now you say they've been inactive since 1991. 1 Q. When did Northstar become operator of the wells? 2 January of -- December of 1997. 3 Α. At that time the wells had been inactive for six 4 0. 5 years? 6 Α. Yes, ma'am. 7 Let's begin with the District's compliance 0. efforts after Northstar became operator. Please look at 8 what has been marked as Exhibit Number 5. Can you tell me 9 what this document is? 10 11 Α. Yes, ma'am, this is a letter dated March 31st, 12 1999, from Inspector Bruce Martin to John Corbett, Northstar, telling him that the Davie 1 is out of 13 compliance with Rule 201 and telling him to bring the well 14 15 into compliance in 30 days. And is Exhibit 6 a similar letter, also dated 16 0. March 31, 1999, regarding the Barbara 1 well? 17 18 Α. Yes, ma'am, it is. Did you review the well files to determine if 19 Q. 20 Northstar took any action on the two wells in response to the March 31, 1991, letters? 21 22 Yes, ma'am, I did. Α. 23 0. What did you find? 24 Sundry notices filed by Northstar on two wells Α.

dated 14th, 1999, indicate an intent to perform remedial

work. 1 Are Exhibits 7, 8 and 9 copies of those sundry 2 Q. notices? 3 Yes, ma'am, they are. 4 Α. Do the sundries indicate when Northstar intended 5 0. to perform the remedial work? 6 7 Α. Yes, ma'am, the May 14th, 1999, showed operations 8 are expected to begin within 90 days but depend on negotiations with landowners. 9 10 0. Okay. And when you're talking operations to begin with 90 days, that's the statement on Exhibits 7 and 11 8? 12 Yes, ma'am, it is. 13 Α. 14 0. What representations were made in Exhibit Number 9? 15 Exhibit Number 9 said landowner negotiations have 16 Α. 17 progressed to the point where operation may proceed, work on this well will commence within 30 days. 18 19 0. And what is the date on that sundry notice? 20 Α. That was signed by Mr. Corbett on 5-14, 1999. was received in my office January 18th, 2000. 21 22 Q. According to these sundry notices, what was 23 Northstar's intent regarding the wells? Was it intending

to plug them, produce them or TA them?

Return them to production.

24

25

Α.

0. Do the well files for the two wells contain any 1 subsequent reports showing that the intended remedial work 2 3 was ever performed? No, ma'am. 4 Did the OCD have further written correspondence 5 0. with Northstar regarding the need for compliance on these 6 7 two wells? Yes, ma'am. 8 Α. Can you turn to Exhibit Number 10, please? 9 Q. you identify this document? 10 This is a letter dated December 17th, 1999, from 11 myself requiring the operator to bring the Barbara 1 well 12 13 into compliance within 30 days. Could you turn to Exhibit 11, please? What is 14 0. this document? 15 This is a letter from Mr. Corbett at Northstar to 16 Frank Chavez with OCD regarding the work on the Barbara 1. 17 It's dated February 25th, 2000. 18 Does Mr. Corbett say anything about the work 19 Q. being done on the Barbara 1 well? 20 Yes, ma'am. 21 Α. 22 And what does he say? Q. He says the fishing job and workover were being 23 24 scheduled at this time.

And "at this time" would be -- ?

25

0.

- A. As per the -- It says in here, as per their sundry approved January 20th.
 - Q. Of 2000?

- A. Of 2000, yes, ma'am.
- Q. From February of 2000 when Mr. Corbett sent this letter until October of this year when Northstar finally plugged the well, did Northstar do any fishing or workovers on the Barbara 1?
 - A. We have no report to indicate so, no, ma'am.
- Q. Do you have any report indicating any work on the wellbore of the Barbara 1 after February 20th until the plugging in 2007?
 - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Would you turn to Exhibit 12, please? Can you identify this document?
- A. Yes, ma'am, this is a letter from Northstar to Frank Chavez.
- Q. What is the date on the letter?
- A. The letter is dated September 5th, 2000.
- Q. And what is Mr. Corbett responding to in this letter?
- A. It says, It was recently brought to my attention that the attached letter from your office to mine was never acknowledged. Please accept my sincere apologies for not having returned this promptly.

What is he referring to when he talks about the Q. 1 attached letter? 2 In May of 2000, letters went to all the operators Α. 3 in the State of New Mexico about their inactive wells. 4 asked for the operators to identify ownership of each of 5 their wells and the current status of those wells. 6 By returning -- Is that letter the attachment to 7 Q. 8 Exhibit Number 12? 9 Α. Yes, ma'am, it is. 10 Q. By returning the attachment, was Mr. Corbett acknowledging that the two wells at issue in this hearing 11 were shut in at that time? 12 Yes, ma'am, the record indicates. 13 Α. If you could look at the very last page of Q. 14 Exhibit 12, there's a list of wells. Is this the list of 15 inactive wells --16 17 Yes, ma'am, it is. Α. -- with Northstar's plans for each well? 18 0. 19 Α. Yes, ma'am. 20 According to this list, what were Northstar's Q. plans for the Barbara 1 and the Davie 1, the wells at issue 21 today? 22 23 Return to production of both wells. It shows a Α. projected completion date of fourth quarter, 2000. 24

Would you turn to what's been marked as Exhibit

25

Q.

13, please? Can you identify this document?

Yes, ma'am, this is a letter from Frank Chavez to Α. It is in response to Mr. Corbett's letter. Ιt Northstar. says, Please -- It says, The wells on the revised list attached to this letter have not shown production for in excess of one year. Based on the information in the Division's files and databases, these wells are not in compliance with the Division's rules and regulations and the New Mexico Oil and Gas Act. Wells must be brought into compliance. Please submit this information to the Aztec District office 30 days of the date of the letter.

This letter is dated October 31st, 2000.

- All right. So Mr. Chavez as seeking additional Q. information on the schedule for compliance?
 - Yes, ma'am, he was. Α.
- Was this letter sent certified mail? Q.
- 17 Α. Yes, ma'am.
- Did the OCD receive a return receipt from Mr. 18 Q.
- 19 Corbett?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 20 Α. Yes, ma'am, we did.
- And is that receipt attached to Exhibit 13? 21 Q.
- Yes, ma'am, it is. 22 Α.
- 23 Does Mr. Chavez's list of wells, which is on the Q. back of the first page, identify the wells that he's asking 24
- 25 for information on?

Yes, ma'am, it does. 1 Α. And does that list include the Barbara 1 and the 2 0. 3 Davie 1? Yes, ma'am, it does. 4 Α. Does the well file contain any response from 5 0. Northstar to Mr. Chavez's letter? 6 7 Α. No, ma'am. 8 Could you turn to what's been marked as Exhibit Q. 14, please? Can you identify this document? 9 10 Α. This is a letter from Mr. Corbett to Frank 11 Chavez, gives general plans for the wells. And what is the date of this letter? 12 0. 13 The date is January 29th, 2002. Α. 14 So this was over a year after Mr. Chavez's Q. 15 request for a schedule? 16 Α. Yes, ma'am, it is. 17 Does this letter provide specific information Q. 18 about when the wells will be returned to compliance? 19 Α. Yes, ma'am, it says that Northstar has planned to 20 abandon several inactive wells by March of this year. 21 Q. Could you turn Exhibit 15, please, and identify 22 this document? 23 This is a letter from myself to Northstar, April Α. 24 11th, 2002, asking for the current status, indicating 25 enforcement action will be taken.

Was this letter sent certified mail? Q. 1 Yes, ma'am, it was. 2 Α. Did the OCD receive a return receipt from Mr. 3 Q. Corbett? 4 Yes, ma'am, we did. 5 Α. And is that receipt attached to Exhibit 15? 6 Q. Yes, ma'am, it is. Α. 8 Q. Did the OCD receive a written response from Mr. Corbett? 9 No, ma'am. 10 Α. Would you turn to what's been marked as Exhibit 11 Q. 16, please? What is this document? 12 This is a letter from myself to Northstar dated Α. 13 May 13th, 2005, telling Northstar to bring both wells into 14 15 compliance by September 1st, 2005. Now the address on this letter is in 16 17 Pennsylvania. Do you recall where you got this address? Yes, ma'am, I had to call around and check with Α. 18 19 some local vendors to be able to locate an address for Mr. 20 Corbett. 21 Q. Did Mr. Corbett respond to this letter? No, ma'am. 22 Α. 23 And just to point out, is this a letter that we Q. used to provide notice of the hearing and did receive a 24 25 green return receipt card from Mr. Corbett on?

On this letter, no, ma'am, that's 17. Did I get A. 1 out of order? 2 Well, let me just check, because we -- To your 3 Q. knowledge, Mr. Corbett is now in Pennsylvania; is that 4 right? 5 Α. Yes, ma'am. 6 MS. MacQUESTEN: Yes, if the Commission will 7 check Exhibit Number 1, our affidavit of notice and 8 publication uses that address and did receive a green 9 10 return receipt card from Mr. Corbett at that address. (By Ms. MacQuesten) Could you turn to what's Q. 11 been marked as Exhibit 17, please, and tell us what this 12 document is? 13 This is a letter I sent to our attorney 14 Α. requesting that Mr. Corbett be called to hearing. 15 What is the date on this letter? Q. 16 This is September 26th, 2006. 17 Α. Was a copy of this letter sent to Mr. Corbett? 18 Q. 19 Α. Yes, ma'am. 20 Q. Why? Α. I was hoping that it would have him move forward 21 22 and bring his wells into compliance before we brought it to hearing. 23

Q.

about the need for compliance?

24

25

Have you had telephone contact with Mr. Corbett

Yes, ma'am, I have. 1 Α. Could you turn to what's been marked as Exhibit 2 Q. 3 18, please? Yes, ma'am. 4 Α. What is this? 5 ο. This is excerpts from a spreadsheet kept of phone 6 Α. 7 contacts with operators regarding the inactive well 8 program. 9 0. Is the contact information regarding Northstar at 10 the center of the page? Yes, ma'am, it is. 11 Α. 12 Could you summarize for us your telephone contact 0. with Northstar regarding these wells? 13 Yes, ma'am, there's a letter to me before -- a 14 Α. letter sent to him requesting a letter before 2-1-2002, on 15 16 1-24-2002, telling him to be in compliance in 90 days. 17 wasn't happy and he requested to talk to Frank Chavez. That's in regard to one of the letters. 18 19 9-16-2002, I visited with John Corbett about 20 compliance. 5-6-06, he said he has a buyer and will be 21 22 transferring soon. When I talked with him in January of 23 2007, said we'll be in auction early February. I advised him to talk to the attorneys that requested the wells to be 24

called to hearing before that time.

1	Q. Looking at the correspondence that we've	he correspondence that we've
2	introduced and the telephone log, OCD has been in contact	ephone log, OCD has been in contact
3	with Northstar regarding the need to bring these two wells	ng the need to bring these two wells
4	into compliance since March of 1999?	March of 1999?
5	A. Yes, ma'am, we have.	we have.
6	Q. Now the OCD did file this case, this compliance	did file this case, this compliance
7	action, in on April 24th of 2007, and there is a copy of	24th of 2007, and there is a copy of
8	the Application itself in Exhibit Number 1 for the	in Exhibit Number 1 for the
9	Commission.	
10	When was it heard by the Division Hearing	heard by the Division Hearing
11	Examiner?	
12	A. June 21st, 2007.	007.
13	Q. Did you participate in that hearing?	icipate in that hearing?
14	A. Yes, ma'am, I did.	I did.
15	Q. Did you testify and were you present for Mr.	ify and were you present for Mr.
16	Corbett's testimony?	
17	A. Yes, ma'am.	
18	Q. At the Division Hearing did Mr. Corbett make	ion Hearing did Mr. Corbett make
L9	representations regarding his plans for these two wells?	ing his plans for these two wells?
20	A. Yes, ma'am.	
21	Q. Is Exhibit 19 a transcript of that hearing?	a transcript of that hearing?
22	A. Yes, ma'am, it is.	it is.
23	Q. if you could turn to page 25 of the transcript,	turn to page 25 of the transcript,
24	could you tell us what Mr. Corbett's This is a page of	Mr. Corbett's This is a page of

Mr. Corbett's testimony at the Division Hearing?

Yes, ma'am, it is. 1 Α. And what representations did Mr. Corbett make at 2 Q. the hearing regarding the -- bringing the wells into 3 compliance? 4 That he had the financial capabilities of doing 5 Α. it quickly, that he had sundries with him at that time and 6 7 that he was ready to move forward, that he had talked to a plugging contractor and had them on standby. 8 And if you look at line 18 on page 25, does he 9 0. 10 make a representation as to when that plugging is going to 11 take place? 12 Α. Yes, ma'am. He says, We can plug them in the

- next few weeks.
- If you turn to the next page, page 26, does he Q. make a specific representation regarding the Barbara Number 1?
 - Yes, ma'am, he does. Α.
 - And what is that? Q.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- He says he's going to try to sell it. We go Α. forward and plug first the Davie, and then a company were to say that they were interested in the Barbara and were willing to accept the financial responsibility for that. So he was talking about selling it.
- In your contacts with Mr. Corbett over the years, was he aware that there was another option for bringing the

wells into compliance, that he could put them on approved temporary abandonment status?

A. Yes, ma'am, he was.

- Q. What's involved in putting a well on approved temporary abandonment status?
- A. Well, we set an isolating device within 50 foot of the perforations and pressure test the casing to prove it has integrity, so it's not a threat to fresh water or crossflow formations -- crossflow or contamination of formations.
- Q. What is the policy of the Aztec District regarding how long a well can be placed on approved temporary abandonment status?
 - A. We require the wells be tested to 500 p.s.i. If they hold that 500 p.s.i. for 30 minutes, we grant a five-year temporary abandonment period.
 - Q. Can that period be renewed?
- A. Yes, ma'am, it can.
- Q. Do they need to have another mechanical integrity test to renew it?
 - A. Yes, ma'am, they do.
 - Q. So it's possible to put a well on approved temporary abandonment status if it passes a mechanical integrity test every five years?
 - A. Yes, ma'am, it's one of the ways that the rules

allows for an operator to maintain a wellbore that they think has potential future value. They temporary abandon it, which assures that there's protection of life, health, environment, the formations are protected, isolated and segregated.

- Q. Now these wells have been inactive since 1991?
- A. Yes, ma'am, they have.

- Q. Are you aware if any mechanical integrity tests have ever been performed on these wells?
- A. In reviewing the well file I have not seen any indication they had been temporary abandoned at all.
- Q. If they had been placed on temporary abandonment status when they first reached one year and 90 days, which under Rule 201 is the amount of time a well can be inactive without being TA'd, how many TAs should these wells have had -- I mean, how many MIT tests should they have had?
 - A. It should be on its fourth.
- Q. And as far as you're aware, they have never been tested?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. I want to ask you about the penalty the OCD is requesting in this case. When the Application was filed, the written Application, did the Application itself state what penalty was being sought?
 - A. Yes, ma'am, it asked for not less than \$2000.

- Q. At the hearing before the Division Examiner, how much did you ask for?
 - A. I asked for a penalty of \$10,000.
 - Q. And did the hearing order impose that \$10,000 penalty?
 - A. Yes, ma'am, it did.

- Q. Why did you increase the request from not less than \$2000 to \$10,000?
- A. The Oil and Gas Act allows us to issue a \$1000per-day penalty. That would be \$3.65 million. We could
 have issued a penalty of \$1000 a month and been \$120,000.

 Mr. Corbett worked from 1997 to 2002, and he brought 12 of
 the 14 wells to compliance, so we had two wells. Over the
 next five years nothing was done for the wellbore
 protection.

I asked that the \$10,000 penalty be there. It's \$1000 per year, per well. So it's basically \$5000 per well penalty. If we don't issue a penalty, some type of -- an operator that works to be in compliance and spends their time and effort to be in compliance, to work within the Rules and Regulations see this as an advantage. If they don't have to be in compliance, then they're not going to spend their money to be in compliance; they're going to sit back and hold their money. If we don't penalize them, all we're doing is encouraging a stalling effect.

So I asked for the penalty, I asked for what I 1 2 thought was an extremely fair penalty. It's not even 10 percent of \$1000 a month for the period that it was out of 3 compliance. 4 Now just so that I understand, the five years 5 0. that you're talking about are 2002 to 2007? 6 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007. Α. And why those years and not the years before? 8 Q. There was work done on other wells. 9 Α. effort to work with the operator, we allow them to do that. 10 But when an operator stops any type of wellbore work and 11 12 then it becomes an issue -- a threat to the environment, 13 and that's our concern. 14 Q. And during the five years at issue that you're 15 asking for penalties for, these were the only two wells that Northstar had? 16 17 Α. Yes, ma'am. And they didn't do any work on the wellbores? Q. 18 No, ma'am. 19 Α. 20 If you could turn to one of Northstar's exhibits, Q. 21 please, and it is their Exhibit Number 2 --22 Α. Yes, ma'am. -- and it's actually more than half of the way 23 Q. 24 through the packet of exhibits, is this the one-page

exhibit titled Disposition of Northstar Wells?

- 29 Yes, ma'am, it is. It's fifth from the end. 1 Α. What does this chart show? 2 Q. This chart indicates which well, the well number, 3 Α. and the disposition of the Northstar wells and the dates. 4 And there are 14 wells listed? 5 0. Yes, ma'am. 6 Α. 7 And the disposition column shows how each well Q. was returned to compliance, if it was returned to 8 compliance? 9 10 Α. Yes, ma'am. And the final column shows the date that that 11 Q. 12 compliance was achieved? 13 Α. Yes, ma'am. 14 Q. Have you checked OCD records to see whether OCD records agree with the representations made in this chart? 15 Yes, ma'am, I have. Α. 16 And do they agree? 17 Q. 18 Α. Yes, ma'am. What does the chart tell us about Northstar's 19 0. 20 activities on its wells from 1997 to 2002, the period you're not asking for penalties on? 21 That they brought 12 of the 14 wells into 22 Α. 23 compliance.
 - STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR

And what does it tell us about Northstar's

(505) 989-9317

activity from 2002 until it plugged the wells under the

24

25

0.

plugging order? 1 That there was no activity on this site on the 2 Α. wellbore. 3 And the penalty you're recommending would 4 ο. represent \$1000 per well, per year, from the point in time 5 when Northstar stopped work on the well? 6 Yes, ma'am, that is correct. Α. Have you reviewed the other exhibits that 8 ο. Northstar provided with their prehearing statement? 9 Yes, ma'am, I have. 10 Α. Do some of those exhibits refer to Northstar's 11 0. 12 efforts to sell or transfer their wells? Α. Yes, ma'am, they do. 13 Q. Should the Commission consider that activity in 14 determining what penalty to impose? 15 If the -- No, ma'am, they should not. Α. 16 17 operator had been in compliance and the wells would have been temporarily abandoned, he could have performed this 18 while being in compliance with the Rules and Regulations. 19 Does attempting to transfer the wells protect the 20 0. environment the same way a temporary abandonment would have 21 protected the environment? 22 No, ma'am, it does not. 23 Α. Now the wellbores of these two wells have been 24 Q.

25

plugged now?

- A. Yes, ma'am, they have.
 - Q. And the wells are in compliance now?
- A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. Should the Commission reduce the \$10,000 penalty to recognize that Northstar has now brought the wells into compliance?
 - A. Absolutely not.
 - Q. Why not?
- A. Five years that Northstar set back and didn't do anything, they didn't respond to us, they didn't bring -They had three choices: They could have chose to produce it, chose to temporary abandon or chose to plug it. What they chose to do was ignore the compliance with the Rules and the Regulations.
- No, I ask that you not reduce the penalty, I ask that you, in effect, at least administer -- or require the \$10,000 penalty.
- Q. To your knowledge, has leaving these wells inactive from 1991 to 2007 caused any harm to the environment?
- A. I have no way of knowing that until an issue comes up, somebody drills a water well in that area and has contamination. There's no way for us to know until that instance comes up or that even takes place.
 - Q. If -- So we can't know for sure?

- A. Yes, ma'am, that's correct.
- Q. But if we assume -- assume for the moment that Northstar could prove that there's no environmental damage caused by leaving these wells inactive. Would you still be asking for a penalty?
 - A. Yes, ma'am, I would.
 - Q. Why?

A. I think it's important that we reward the operators that are doing good, hard work in keep- -- in working within the compliance of Rules and Regulations protecting the environment.

I think when we have an operator that sits back and elects not to keep his wells in compliance, especially when it has to do with the protection of the environment, I think we're setting a very bad example. All we're doing is encouraging operators to use the stall tactic: Well, let's just stall and do nothing until they call us to hearing. When they call us to hearing then we'll do something, but until that time we can use that money -- we can save that money, use that money someplace else.

- Q. If the OCD only imposed penalties when actual harm was proved, what effect would that have on the OCD's ability to prevent harm from happening?
- A. That would completely do away with our duties.

 We wouldn't be able to do that. We would spending all our

time, spending our money, on remediation and not using anything to protect for the future.

The purpose of temporary abandonment is to protect for the future while the operator is allowed to have time to move forward and do other things, be it try to sell it, hold on for a different play, however they want to maintain the wellbore. It protects the state, it protects the citizens of the state, it protects the environment from any causes.

- Q. Now Mr. Perrin, how long have you been working on trying to obtain compliance under the inactive well rule in the Aztec District?
 - A. Since 2000.

- Q. And that includes not only your time as District Supervisor, but before you were District Supervisor; is that right?
 - A. Yes, ma'am, it does.
- Q. In your experience in trying to obtain compliance from operators on the inactive well rule, if the Commission imposes a lower penalty than the Division imposed, what message would that send to the operators?
- A. That would encourage the operators not to comply.

 It would show that we don't enforce our own rules, and an operator is allowed to -- actually encouraged to not comply with the rules. They would sit back and say, Okay, but we

don't have to do anything because we can move forward, we can go through the hearing process, and then we can spend the money because it's not going to be -- there's not going to be any effect.

I think that we should encourage the operators to work within the Rules and Regulation. And to let them know to do otherwise is not good. It's not what we're here for, it's not what we represent. I think we need to show the operators that protection of life, health and the environment is important. I think we need to show them that stalling or ignoring the rules is not going to be rewarded by the State in discounted penalties if they wait and go through an appeal process.

- Q. If Northstar had brought the wells into compliance at any point in time when the OCD was sending letters to Northstar requesting compliance, before we filed the Application for hearing, would we be talking about penalties?
 - A. No, ma'am, we would not.
- MS. MacQUESTEN: I move to admit OCD Exhibits 1 through 19.
- 22 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Any objection, Mr. Bruce?
- MR. BRUCE: No objection.
- 24 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: OCD Exhibits 1 through 19 will 25 be admitted to the record.

MS. MacQUESTEN: I have no more questions of Mr. 1 Perrin at this time. 2 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Mr. Bruce? 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. BRUCE: 5 Mr. Perrin, have you determined -- have you 6 Q. looked at water wells in the area of these two wells? 7 8 Α. No, sir, I have not. You don't know how many freshwater wells there Q. 9 are within a mile or two miles of these wells? 10 No, ma'am, we have -- no, sir, we have a very 11 Α. large district. I have not done that. 12 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: He's going to have to quit 13 wearing a skirt. 14 15 (Laughter) MR. BRUCE: My hair's not long enough. 16 (By Mr. Bruce) And so you couldn't tell me how 17 Q. many other active, inactive or plugged and abandoned water 18 19 wells -- or, oil and gas wells there are within a mile or 20 two of any water wells in this area? No, sir, I can't. I can tell you that we've had 21 Α. complaints of water well issues, and we've gone out and 22 23 conducted operations where we have tested wells and where we have identified that wells have contributed to issues. 24 But we don't know that until somebody contacts us and 25

1	brings up that issue.
2	Q. But are you talking in the immediate area of I
3	think these wells are both in
4	A in the Kirtland area.
5	Q in Have you had any complaints in Section 2
6	of 29-15 or Section 12 of 29-15?
7	A. I not according to my memory.
8	MR. BRUCE: Okay, that's all I have, Mr.
9	Chairman.
10	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Redirect, Ms. MacQuesten?
11	MS. MacQUESTEN: No, thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Commissioner?
13	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: No questions.
14	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: I've got a couple of quick
15	questions, Mr. Perrin.
16	EXAMINATION
17	BY CHAIRMAN FESMIRE:
18	Q. The plugging reports that are filed with the OCD,
19	did you get a chance to review those on these two wells?
20	A. In the notice of intent to plug?
21	Q. Yes.
22	A. Yes, I did.
23	Q. And then the sundry filed after that, did you
24	A. No, sir, I have not.
25	Q. Okay. You said you asked for a fine of at least

1	\$10,000. Are there grounds for a fine greater than
2	\$10,000?
3	A. Well, yes, sir, but I didn't go into it.
4	I mean, sundries should have been filed
5	indicating the work that was done in 2001 on the fishing
6	job. There's some paperwork issues, but I chose not to go
7	into that.
8	Q. Okay. Was there anything in the records that
9	indicated that the Davie and the Barbara had had casing
10	problems in the past?
11	A. Not that I saw in a quick review.
12	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: And I don't think I have
13	any further questions of this witness.
14	Anything that you need to address, Ms.
15	MacQuesten?
16	MS. MacQUESTEN: Nothing else, thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Mr. Bruce, anything else of
L8	this witness?
L9	MR. BRUCE: Nothing further of Mr. Perrin.
20	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay. Mr. Perrin, thank you
21	very much.
22	Mr. Bruce, did you want to go into an opening
23	statement at this time, or
24	MR. BRUCE: I think I'd rather just question my
25	witness. I think it will become apparent when

JOHN C. CORBETT, 1 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 2 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. BRUCE: 5 Would you please state your name for the record? 6 Q. 7 Α. I'm John Corbett. And where do you currently reside? 8 Q. I live in Pennsylvania, Sewickley, Pennsylvania. 9 10 Q. The address the OCD used for notice purposes was 11 correct, was it not? That was correct, right. 12 A. 13 By -- at least by former profession, what are Q. 14 you? 15 I was, until 2004, active in the oil and gas Α. industry. I was the president of Northstar Oil and Gas and 16 17 a petroleum geologist. 18 Q. And before Northstar, did you work for private 19 companies as a private --20 I worked for two companies before forming 21 Northstar. 22 Q. Okay. And when approximately was Northstar 23 created? 1996. 24 Α. 25 Q. And you are the principal of Northstar?

Northstar is a C corp., but I am the sole owner 1 Α. 2 of the company. And is it fair to say that at this point 3 0. Northstar has been inactive, more or less, for a few years? 4 That is fair. 5 Α. And you did attend the original hearing on this 6 Q. matter regarding the plugging of the two wells? 7 Yes, I did. 8 Α. And at this point do you seek a reduction or an 9 0. elimination of the \$10,000 penalty? 10 I do seek a reduction or elimination, yes. Α. 11 And at this point have the two wells been plugged 12 0. 13 and abandoned? Α. The two wells have been plugged, and there are 14 15 ongoing operations to restore the surface. Okay. And so you agree with Mr. Perrin's 16 0. statement? 17 I do. 18 Α. And there has been a lot of correspondence in 19 0. this matter, but have you always respected the 20 professionalism of the Division in handling these matters? 21 I have. Α. 22 Now with respect to the history -- Mr. Chairman, 23 I -- excuse me. As Mr. Perrin pointed out on the stand, 24 25 these exhibits, when I got them, I didn't have time to go

through them with my client, so we are probably going to go through them out of order, and I apologize for that. I didn't do a very good job of numbering them.

But let's go to your Exhibit 2 first, Mr.

Corbett, and maybe just tell me a little bit about -- you formed Northstar for what purpose, and maybe a little bit of the history of the acquisition and disposition of these wells, except for the last two, and I'll get into that later.

A. Northstar was formed by myself. Essentially I acquired -- in 1996, the company that I was working for announced the sale of our division, and so I formed my own company then, and that is Northstar.

To build the company, I began to accumulate -- I acquired other people's plugging liabilities, wells that they had had shut in. It's a fairly common practice. A well that a bigger company can't make money on, someone like myself can by operating and doing all of the regulatory, and fortunately I could do the geology as well. So I did that.

This Exhibit 2, the disposition of the wells, is also a bit of a history of the company then, as I picked up wells from other operators and returned them to production.

There were wells, of course, that needed to be plugged and abandoned, and as I was financially able to do

that I did that, at the same time reinvesting what money I could into returning other wells to production.

- Q. And were any of the wells that you bought producing at the time you bought them, or were most of them inactive?
- A. I think in the history of the company I probably acquired two wells that were selling the oil or gas at the time that I bought them.
 - Q. Okay.

- A. I might note, too, that I started -- this exhibit reflects a list from the State's exhibits in the earlier hearing. Had I gone back to the inception of Northstar -- and I took the liberty of tacking two wells onto the bottom of this that aren't on their 12-well list from 2000. There were other wells even prior to that, that I picked up that were not producing and I returned to production.
 - Q. Or plugged and abandoned?
- A. Right.
- Q. Okay. Now you took care of a number of these wells, and at the time you were residing in Farmington; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, I was.
- Q. And when the Division started writing -- and there are a number of letters -- but you did put a number of wells back into compliance?

- A. Yes, I did, in response to their letters and as a part of the normal course of business, I brought all of these wells back into compliance.

 Q. Okay. And then you said Northstar and you were active in the business until what, approximately two
 - A. 2004, I moved my family to Pennsylvania and began
 -- effectively ended my career as a petroleum producer. I
 returned to school --
 - O. And --

thousand --

- A. -- and at that point, then, I didn't have any business owning production, and so I negotiated the sale of all of my wells.
 - O. Other than the Davie and the Barbara?
- A. In fact, the Davie and the Barbara were included with the rest of the wells that were to be sold, and the rest of these have been sold, were sold in 2004. Through a quirk in the negotiations, a third party was involved, and these wells were dropped out at the tag end of the negotiations, in closing the deal.
- Q. Okay. So these wells fell through the cracks on the sale of Northstar's wells?
 - A. That's right, the Davie and the Barbara fell out.
- Q. And you said -- and so in essence for the last three years you have not been active in the oil and gas

business?

A. To say that I haven't been active is perhaps not quite accurate. I can appreciate Mr. Perrin's view that there has not been work done on this. I cannot refute that. But I have been working, as Exhibit -- the attachments to Exhibit 1 are there to demonstrate, taking leases, I've negotiated with several people regarding the sale.

I think that these wellbores had a value that would have probably paid the maximum fine that Mr. Perrin mentioned.

- Q. Okay, and let's go into that in a minute. But at this point, Northstar has no -- you have no intention to reactive Northstar and become active in the oil and gas business in the State of New Mexico?
 - A. Absolutely not, no.
- Q. Okay. Well then, let's move on at this point to Exhibit 1. And I don't think you need to -- I think you've run through most of this, but is this just a general outline of activity with respect to the two wells at issue today?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And then if you'd refer to Exhibit 1A, you did mention that you were trying to sell these wells. Does Exhibit 1A contain a package of various correspondence,

e-mails, et cetera, in your efforts to sell these wells?

- A. Exhibit 1A is exactly that. These are records that, as we were preparing for this hearing, I had available to me. We'll get into this later, I'm sure, but my well files were not in my possession at the time that I put this together, and so there was more correspondence.
 - Q. Okay.

- A. This is a sampling.
- Q. So when I suggested you were inactive in the business, you were active in trying to sell your assets -- the remaining assets you had?
 - A. I was.
 - O. And what do Exhibits 1B and 1C reflect?
- A. 1B and 1C are assignments. Mr. Perrin noted that I had said in the earlier hearing that I still held out hope that the Barbara might be traded or sold, and while this particular individual was not in view at that time I said that because I was trying to be forthcoming, that I would enter into these negotiations if a buyer appeared.

If you -- to get the history of this, Exhibit 1D is an invoice, and you need not concern yourself with it except on the second page the work description of -- concludes with, They began to dig up lines, got stopped by well owner. I assure you that was not me. Another gentleman appeared and said, Well, these are my wells.

He'd been talking with the land and mineral owner and wanted to work on the wells.

I said I am absolutely in favor of that, the workover company contacted me and said there's this man that wants your well. Great, I called him. We worked out the terms, and then this assignment and bill of sale conveys the wells to that man.

- Q. Okay. So in other words, you continued your efforts to sell the wells, and the Exhibit 1D is from the service company -- they were out working on the site to -- in assistance of plugging and abandoning the well?
- A. As Mr. Perrin noted, I had said earlier that I thought September 5 was a reasonable time to have these wells plugged. We were going forward under that assumption. And as this company was preparing the location for the rig that was to come out on the next day or within that week, the man appeared and said that he wanted to buy the wells.
 - Q. So the service company had to stop at that point?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And so then you talked with that gentleman, and was the result the assignments marked as 1B and 1C?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Now -- And you informed Mr. Perrin of these assignments, did you not?

- 46 1 Α. Yes, I did. 2 0. And Mr. Perrin, I think -- of course, Mr. Perrin can speak for himself -- he didn't have any problem with 3 4 this as long as bonding was put in place and the wells were 5 brought back into compliance? I think in our conversation Mr. Perrin said as Α. 6 7 long as long as the wells were in compliance he was 8 satisfied. But to the best of your knowledge, could Mr. 9 0. Gustin, the assignee, ever obtain the bonding to satisfy 10 the Division? 11 To the best of my knowledge, he could not, or --12 13 could not.
 - Q. Okay, so two things: You continued to be liable for the plugging and abandonment of the wells?
 - A. That's correct.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And you were slowed down somewhat, because during -- you were hoping Mr. Gustin would take over liability for at least some of these wells?
- A. This cost us time, and it has cost me money, in that the money to pay the vendors to plug the wells is on loan to me at a price.
 - Q. And what is Exhibit 1E, Mr. Corbett?
- A. This is an agreement between the New Mexico Oil

 Conservation Division and Mr. Gustin. When he was assigned

the wells I believe that he was contacted by the OCD. I know that he was in contact with the OCD, but he was given this agreement that gives him a given amount of time to bring the wells into compliance from the time that the ownership and operatorship is effected into him.

- Q. It gave him about three months' time to bring the wells into compliance?
 - A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. And -- but he was unable to do so, so you continued -- at that point then you resumed your activities to plug and abandon the wells?
- A. I did. As soon as I was informed that he wasn't going to be able to assume the operatorship of the wells, I called the plugging company. And they were, in fact, at that point already aware that this deal had come apart and had rescheduled the wells.
- Q. You haven't taken a reassignment of the leases, but you did continue to P-and-A the wells?
 - A. That's correct.
- MR. BRUCE: Mr. Chairman, could I approach the witness?
- 22 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: You may, sir.
- 23 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Chairman, I apologize both to you 24 and Ms. MacQuesten. I just received these yesterday from 25 Mr. Corbett, and this concerns the Barber well, the final

-- well, not the final work, but the final plugging work.

Not the surface work on the Barber well.

1.1

- Q. (By Mr. Bruce) But if I could just have Mr. Corbett identify what this shows?
- A. This is a tower report from A-Plus Well Service, the company that was contracted and has plugged and abandoned -- plugged both of the wells. And this is for the Barbara Number 1. Our Exhibit Number 2 shows that the well was waiting on a rig. That rig arrived, and the well was plugged according to these reports.
- Q. And as Mr. Perrin said, there does need to be some surface work to be accomplished at this point?
- A. That's correct. There is a small amount of oil in the tanks on both wells. Those tanks have their integrity, and I'm told that they can be sold, but I've also been in contact with the crude purchaser in that area, and they are simply strapped and cannot get a truck out to pick up a small amount of oil from a couple of wells. But that's -- we're waiting on that.
- Q. And in relation to this, what has been the approximate total cost to plug and abandon the Davie and the Barbara wells, if you can just give a ballpark figure?
- A. Well, I think this shows for the -- Well, are you asking about the report, or simply what is it going to --
 - Q. Just a ballpark figure?

- A. The Davie is going to be about \$26,000. The Barbara will be comparable to that. There will be the salvage of the tanks, some tubing, but then there's additional surface work. They'll come out very, very close to \$50,000 for both wells.
 - Q. And that's kind of what you estimated at the original hearing in this matter?
 - A. That is what I estimated, yes.
 - Q. And even though Northstar is a corporation, did you personally incur that liability to plug and abandon the wells?
 - A. The loan was made to me as an individual, yes.
- Q. And approximately when did you buy the Barbara and Davie wells?
 - A. That was in 1997.
- Q. Are you aware of any casing problems with these wells?
- A. I'm not. I would tell you regarding the groundwater concern that the surface casing was set on these to 300-and-some feet, and cemented to surface. The long strings were both cemented back to surface, and of course the wells have now been plugged to surface.
- Q. Okay. And then just a couple of final matters.

 If you would look at your Exhibits 3 and 4, what is Exhibit

 3?

A. Well, let's see -- Oh, this is simply the price of crude. And in reflecting on the history of these wells, it has some bearing.

I acquired the wells in '97, and if I could digress for just a moment, the wells were shut in in 1991, is when they last produced, as the State has said. My understanding is that in that -- for a period of time, that these were orphan wells, that there was no operator of record available for the two wellbores. I came to own them and have not ever tried to make a secret of my whereabouts from the state or my responsibility for the wellbores.

I was paying -- the choice of the -- had to call some -- Mr. Perrin said that he needed to call some vendors in 2005. I know that in 2004 when I sold the rest of my properties, the operator's records were exchanged, and that was done according to the State's Rules. And so the OCD was, in the end of 2004, aware of my living in Pennsylvania.

But then to get back to your question, I came to own the wells in '97. You can see from Exhibit 2 that I was working on other wells, as well as some wells right around here. In 2002, when pressed by the State I said we will return the Barbara to production, and so we went out and did that. And it's a -- the operational aspect of it could be involved, but it ended up with tubing stuck in the

wellbore.

What is significant about that is -- first of all, that what was proposed to me as a fishing job was a very expensive proposition. And so I rigged down, and so that let me calculate on this and see if there's not a better way to go about it.

The tubing that was stuck in the well extends -- did you say 50 feet with -- Mr. Perrin?

MR. PERRIN: Yes, for the --

THE WITNESS: For an MIT, a mechanical integrity test. The tubing actually extended up above that, and so to run the MIT was going to mean pulling tubing back and doing that fishing job and getting the tubing out. It was going to be more involved than a typical MIT.

Back to the exhibit. I operated these wells and other wells, plugging some, returning some to production, and then in 2004 negotiated the sale. And in the beginning of 2005 found that I owned these two wellbores that had slipped through the cracks of the sale.

I have included with our -- Well, maybe I have not, in the exhibits. There was some prospect information that was simply a part of my efforts to sell the well. I see you have a cross-section there.

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: This is Exhibit 4 on the -
Q. (By Mr. Bruce) Yeah, Exhibit 4. And what is the

purpose of showing that exhibit, Mr. Corbett?

A. The purpose of that is simply to demonstrate that there was still considerable oil and gas remaining in the ground. There was an offset well that had produced 45,000 barrels from the Gallup in a zone that was never completed in the Barbara.

45,000 barrels at these later prices -- we're turning now to these exhibits, Exhibit 3 -- here, I have them -- has substantial value, not only to an operator but to the State. There are resources there that have essentially gone now to waste because the well is plugged and abandoned.

- Q. And even with the increasing prices, after 2005 you were essentially out of the business, so you had no desire to go do the rework yourself?
 - A. I had no desire, and I have no cash flow.
- Q. And finally, you did mention you have now changed careers, and has that also resulted in a reduction in your income?
- A. It is a radical reduction in my income while I'm in school and will be a substantial reduction in my income in May when I finish. My intention was never to not plug these wells. I have, from the time that I was not able to sell them, tried to be responsible for them. And what came about was not that I lacked the will to plug the wells.

53 Financially I have made arrangements now to borrow the 1 money to plug the wells, and that will equate to the 2 plugging bond, to our request regarding the fine. 3 Now one thing that Mr. Perrin said, that 4 sometimes -- or if operators are not made to comply, they 5 -- whether it's a TA or a P-and-A, they could use that 6 money someplace else. Did you use that money someplace 7 else, other than to pursue your current occupation? 8 Health insurance. I was not -- have not 9 reinvested, certainly, since 2004 in oil and gas. I own no 10 other oil and gas wells, I operate no other oil and gas 11 wells, I have no working interests, and I sold those when I 12 went back to school for exactly this reason, because this 13 14 sort of thing can be -- financially, it can wipe out an individual. 15 And were Exhibits 1 through 5 either prepared by 16 you, under your supervision, or compiled from Northstar's 17 business records? 18 Yes, they were. 19 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Chairman, I'd move the admission 20 of Northstar Exhibits 1 through 5. 21 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Ms. MacQuesten, any --22 MS. MacQUESTEN: No objections. 23

will be admitted to the record.

24

25

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Northstar Exhibits 1 through 5

And I pass the witness, Mr. Chairman. MR. BRUCE: 1 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Ms. MacQuesten? 2 Thank you. 3 MS. MacQUESTEN: CROSS-EXAMINATION 4 BY MS. MacQUESTEN: 5 Mr. Corbett, when Northstar acquired its wells, 0. 6 as I understand, most of those wells were inactive at the 7 time of acquisition. 8 That's correct. And was Northstar aware of its responsibilities 0. 10 to either return those wells to compliance or plug and 11 abandon those wells? 12 13 Α. We were. And assumed that responsibility when it became 14 0. operator of record of those wells? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Do you agree with the testimony Mr. Perrin has 17 Q. given that although Northstar did considerable work on 18 returning wells to compliance up to 2002, but after 2002 it 19 did not do work on the wellbores of the Barbara or the 20 Davie well until the plugging? 21 Α. It is, I think, a matter of record that between 22 2002 until 2007 I did not have a rig on those wells. 23 24 doesn't mean that I wasn't working on other wells, it 25 doesn't mean I wasn't working on those wells in some other

way that didn't involve a rig.

- Q. By working on them in a way that didn't involve a rig, do you mean you were trying to transfer those wells to another operator?
- A. Or taking leases. We did work on the locations so that they would be safe, maintaining fences and --
- Q. So you performed some work on the surface of the leases?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Is it accurate to say that your focus after 2002 was to try to transfer those wells to another operator?
- A. After two thousand and -- the beginning of 2004, it was my intent, and I think that the correspondence that I attached shows that we tried to sell those beginning in '04. In '02 we were working on those wells with some aspirations of our own -- on other wells, not those two wells.
- Q. And in -- Was it in 2004 that you were able to transfer the majority of your wells to another operator?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. If you could look at your Northstar Exhibit

 Number 1 that shows the activity on the Barbara and Davie

 wells, I see an entry for 11 of 2004, Sale concluded with

 Davie and Barbara omitted.
 - A. That's correct.

Is that when the bulk of the sales transactions Q. 1 took place? 2 The rest of the wells were sold at that point. 3 Α. And you testified that the Barbara and Davie Q. 4 wells fell through the cracks and weren't part of that 5 sale? 6 7 Α. That's correct. But in January of 2005, the next entry is 8 Q. 9 Northstar negotiating to sell the two wellbores. Are those two wellbores the Davie and Barbara? 10 11 Α. That's correct. So is they fell through the cracks, you were 12 Q. aware within two months that they had fallen through the 13 cracks and that we needed to take some further action on 14 those? 15 I was immediately aware, and more than a little 16 17 unhappy at the closing of the sale, the rest of the 18 properties. And I began then, promptly after that, talking with the people that might be interested in these two 19 20 wellbores. Okay. So you were aware that you were still 21 Q. operator of record of these two wells and responsible for 22 them? 23 Yes, I was. 24

25

Q.

In your testimony, you described beginning work

on the wells, and a person showed up at the site saying that they were either his wells or he was interested in those wells?

- A. He claimed to own the well.
- Q. Okay. And your description of that activity, all of that activity occurred after the plugging order was issued from the Division hearing?
 - A. After the initial hearing, that's correct.
- Q. If I could switch gears on you here just briefly, if you could turn to Exhibit Number 3, please, this is your chart showing the price of crude oil?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. As I'm reading this chart, it appears that the prices were relatively low from 1997 to 2002. Would you agree with that?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And prices started to rise after 2002?
- 18 A. They did.
 - Q. Now most of the wells -- well, all of the wells that you were able to return to production or plug and abandon, all of that activity happened before the end of 2002; is that right?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. When the prices were low you were able to return 12 wells to compliance?

- A. I should note that -- for instance, these Dome federal wells, the Campbell and the Gass well, are all gas wells, "gas" with one s. They are -- And so they were not so affected. But that's correct.
- Q. Have the prices for gas generally been rising also?
 - A. They have.

- Q. So after 2002, until the time when you plugged the Barbara and the Davie, that's when the prices were going up; is that right?
- A. The prices -- Well, I think the graph shows fairly clearly that, particularly beginning in 2004 when oil went through \$30, the prices have gone up.
- Q. And it's during that time period that you weren't able to return the wells to compliance or transfer them to another operator?
- A. From 2004 I was not able to find a buyer for the wells, and I didn't return the wells to compliance. I was -- Well, I didn't have the cash flow after 2004, because I wasn't working as a petroleum geologist to do this work.
- Q. In looking at the documents that you provided in Exhibit 1A -- and these are documents -- if I'm reading them correctly, these are documents that track some of your activities in trying to transfer the wells?

A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. I'm looking particularly at a document towards the end of Exhibit 1A, and it's a letter dated October 6th, 2006. It's about the fourth page from the back. And is this a letter sent to a prospective buyer of the Barbara and Davie wells?
- A. Yes, it is.
 - Q. And at that time were you offering to sell them for \$20,000 and a 2.5-percent overriding royalty interest in future production?
 - A. I had put a price, an asking price, in the letter because I was concerned that if I offered to give them away they would look like no one wanted them. Yes, I did put a price in the letter.
- Q. Okay. Was it after this letter that you put the wells up for auction?
 - A. Yes, it was.
 - Q. Did you receive any bids in the auction?
- 19 A. No, I didn't.
- Q. When you made your arrangement with the gentleman
 who appeared at the well site -- Was that Mr. Gustin?
- 22 A. Yes, it was.
- Q. You entered into an assignment to him of these two wells?
 - A. Yes, I assigned -- well, it's Exhibit 1B.

And what were the terms of that assignment? 1 Q. What 2 did you receive in exchange for the wells? In fact, I was simply assigning the wells to him. 3 Α. He claimed that he had a rig, that he was operating 4 5 production already, he was bonded and was capable of 6 operating these wells. 7 Q. So your hope was that he would take over as 8 operator of record and you would be relieved of the responsibility, he would then have wells that he may be 9 able to produce? 10 Right, I thought there was value in those. 11 was in it for me was that I would have avoided the \$50,000 12 plugging cost. 13 But no -- There was no sales price for the 14 Q. Sure. wells, you were simply turning them over? 15 I was assigning them, yes. 16 Α. So although prices are relatively high these days 17 Q. and you believe these wells have potential, you were not 18 able to find a buyer for them at any price? 19 That's true. 20 You referenced -- one of your exhibits is a copy 21 0. of an agreed compliance order that was entered into between 22 the Oil Conservation Division and a company, DB&G Gas and 23 oil? 24

That is Mr. Gustin's production company.

25

Α.

And is it your understanding that Mr. Gustin's 1 0. 2 production company at one point intended to become operator 3 of record and take over these wells? Α. That's correct. And that agreed compliance order, if you were to 5 Q. 6 read the provisions of it, would only become effective if 7 he did become operator of record of those wells? Of course. 8 Α. And you are aware that he never did become 9 Q. 10 operator of record? I'm aware of that. 11 Α. All right, so there's no confusion that --12 Q. Absolutely. 13 Α. -- he was? 14 o. And just to be perfectly clear, all of the 15 16 activity that you described about plugging these wells and 17 the arrangements with Mr. Gustin that didn't work out, all of that happened after the plugging order was issued? 18 Α. That's correct. 19 So all that activity, all the confusion over who 20

- Q. So all that activity, all the confusion over who might operate the wells, the delay in getting the plugging done, all of that involves a time period that is not covered by the \$10,000 penalty that Mr. Perrin is asking for; is that right?
 - A. That's, as I understand it, correct.

21

22

23

24

MS. MacQUESTEN: Thank you, those are all the questions I have.

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Redirect?

MR. BRUCE: Just a couple.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BRUCE:

Q. Ms. MacQuesten was questioning you about the first auction was in December, '06 -- or '04, I think, or maybe January, '05 -- and then there was a subsequent auction February of '07, and -- when the second two wells were put up.

Did you have to take -- after the first sale, did you have to take -- You mentioned you were engaged in leasing activity. Did certain new leases have to be taken so that you could properly sell the Barbara well?

- A. That's correct. In -- Well, before I did my first work on the Barbara in 2000, I had to go out and take leases so that I could produce the well. Again in 2006, with -- and in fact, beginning before that if you look at the correspondence, Terry Moores was the landman, and there are e-mails back and forth between Mr. Moores and myself -- we had to take leases in order to sell the wells.
- Q. And that's reflected, in one instance anyway, in Exhibit 1B, the assignment to Mr. Gustin where it lists four leases, and they're all dated in mid-2006, correct?

1	A. That's correct.
2	Q. That's all.
3	A. Oh
4	Q. Go ahead, Mr. Corbett.
5	A. Well, the wells were of course, production
6	ceased in '91 and the leases lapsed under their own terms.
7	And so when I ended up then owning the wells in '97, there
8	were no leases. And so I had to go and take all new leases
9	in order to do the work that I did. That's all.
10	MR. BRUCE: Okay, thank you. I have nothing
11	further, Mr. Chairman.
12	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Ms. MacQuesten, any recross on
13	those issues?
14	MS. MacQUESTEN: No, thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Commissioner Bailey?
16	EXAMINATION
17	BY COMMISSIONER BAILEY:
18	Q. You talked about going back to school and
19	finishing up in May. What are you getting a degree Is
20	it an advanced degree that you're getting?
21	A. It's a master's I am in a seminary. My
22	earning potential is not rosy. I've not discussed that
23	because I don't see what I have done as appropriate
24	stewardship. I think that it is it obscures a witness
	·

called to do.

But that is, in fact, the case, I am in a seminary and will finish in 2008, in May.

- Q. I'm concerned about the surface restoration on these wells, because I see your contracts for plugging; I don't see any contract for cleaning up the surface, removing the tanks, removing all of the other material that's still there on the surface. How is that being handled?
- A. You're wise and insightful to see that. I will tell you that I have borrowed \$50,000 to pay the cost of plugging and abandoning these wells. When I finish in the end of May -- well, in fact, when I finish plugging the wells, the loan comes due this December, and the only money that I will have to repay that is with the plugging bond that will be \$50,000 and is released when the wells are in compliance, when they're properly plugged and abandoned. So Mr. Perrin has some leverage with me still.
- Q. But the release of the plugging bond cannot be used for restoration of the surface, though, can it?
- A. It can. When the bond is released, when the wells are plugged and abandoned to Mr. Perrin's satisfaction, the bond is released. It is a \$50,000 cash bond that goes back to Northstar Oil and Gas, who is me, and I will use that money, then, to repay the bank for the

1 loan to plug and abandon the wells and then find the Secretary of the State of New Mexico and see what has to be 2 done to put a company to bed. Northstar will have no 3 further actions. 4 That's all I have. 5 0. Did I make that clear? 6 That there's no possibility of having that 7 0. surface restored within the next year, is what I got out of 8 9 that. I'm sorry, what I was trying to say is that the 10 Α. 11 surface restoration has to occur by December in order for Mr. Perrin to say we'll now release your bond. 12 Okay. 13 Q. Once that happens, then I can repay my loan, 14 which does come due in December. So that is my motivation. 15 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Okay, thank you. 16 **EXAMINATION** 17 18 BY CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Mr. Corbett, you mentioned -- Was it 2004 when 19 Q. you re-entered the Barbara and found the tubing stuck? 20 It was 2000. 21 Α. And it was stuck relatively shallow, if I 22 0. understood. Correct? 23 We -- the plugging operations -- well, the tubing 24 Α.

was not stuck I left hanging in the wellbore and we -- it

was at -- it was at 3500 feet, approximately, that the 1 tubing had parted. It was stuck -- There was about 1000 2 feet of tubing stuck in the well. 3 Now was it stuck or had it parted and 0. Okay. 4 fallen down? 5 No, in fact there was an anchor that would not 6 Α. 7 release, and we pulled the tubing apart trying to get that to release. 8 Okay. Do you know why the anchor wouldn't 9 Q. release? 10 It could have -- Well, I really don't I don't. 11 Α. The records that I had -- I believe -- well, I have 12 13 records that that is where the anchor was, but don't know. Is there any -- When you went in and plugged the 14 0. well, did you get down past that anchor? 15 No, the plugging was done by setting a retainer 16 above the top of the fish and then pumping cement -- a 17 volume to fill to the perforations. 18 Okay, so the perforations were above -- I guess I Q. 19 don't --20 Α. No --21 -- understand. 22 Q. -- the perforations were around 4500 feet, the 23 anchor at about 4300 feet, the top of the tubing at around 24

3300 feet. So about 1000 feet of tubing above the

1 perforations, 800 feet above the anchor, which wouldn't 2 release. So you set above the tubing with the retainer, 3 0. stabbed into the retainer, and pumped enough cement to fill 4 5 for the cement back to the retainer? That's correct. 6 Α. From the bottom back to the retainer. 7 Q. So I guess you're getting to -- you're getting to 8 my concern here. Is there any indication that it was a 9 casing problem that caused the anchor to become stuck? 10 The tubing that came out was not in bad shape. Α. 11 wish that I knew why it had parted, but -- in fact, I sold 12 13 the tubing yesterday, and it was -- it inspected well. 14 So it was either a problem with the anchor itself Q. or the casing had collapsed around the anchor? 15 Those are the possibilities. 16 Which would indicate that the casing, at 17 Q. least the deep casing, might be having a problem? 18 There could be a cement problem there. 19 Α. I can appreciate that concern. I can tell you that the anchor 20 had been sitting probably since about 1983, so 17 years. 21 Some water production with the DOL. 22 You heard Mr. Perrin's testimony that, you 23 Q. Okay. know, if we were to just absolutely calculate this penalty 24

it could amount to \$3.6 million. Is that --

1	A. I heard that.
2	Q. And that he has reduced it to \$10,000. That
3	seems pretty reasonable to me. Why should we reduce it
4	further?
5	A. Well, because I don't have \$10,000, of course.
6	Beyond that, this penalty doesn't send a message to the
7	industry because I'm out of the industry. It doesn't send
8	a message to Northstar Oil and Gas because Northstar Oil
9	and Gas is out of the production business. It creates a
10	financial burden at a time when I am perhaps least able to
11	stand that.
12	If you were to say, You have a \$10,000 fine
13	beginning in May of 2008, over a year, I might be able to
14	work that off. The fact of it is that right now that's
15	I have borrowed to the extent of my ability to plug and
16	abandon the wells.
17	Q. So the only collateral you've got is that bond,
18	and you're going to have to cash the bond to pay the loan
19	to
20	A. (Nods)
21	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: I think that's all I have.
22	Any further direct, Mr. Bruce?
23	MR. BRUCE: I have no further questions of the
24	witness. I have about a 30-second statement at the end.
25	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Ms. MacQuesten?

MS. MacQUESTEN: No questions of the witness. 1 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Commissioner? 2 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: No. 3 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay. Thank you very much, Mr. Corbett. 5 Ms. MacQuesten, do you have a close? MS. MacQUESTEN: I do, and I'd like to start by 7 saying this is a difficult case, in part because Mr. 8 Corbett is a very nice man and he has dealt very 9 courteously with the OCD throughout this. It has just been 10 frustrating for us, not getting compliance, but all his 11 dealings with us have been courteous. 12 13 And in seeking a penalty in this case it is not that the OCD is trying to say that Mr. Corbett is a bad man 14 or a dishonorable man or any of those things, it is to 15 maintain the integrity of our enforcement efforts. 16 You see, the point of this case is to decide what 17 the OCD can do to achieve compliance. And Mr. Corbett is 18 correct that this case won't serve as a deterrent to 19 Northstar because Northstar is not going to be in business 20 in New Mexico anymore, it doesn't need a deterrent. 21 The reason we're pursuing this, though, is that 22 imposing a penalty in this case will be a deterrent to 23 other operators. 24

25

If I understand Northstar's position in this

case, it is that they were able to eventually return the wells to compliance, and the OCD cannot show that there was environmental harm caused by that, so there should be a reduced penalty or no penalty. It's really the no-harm, no-foul theory of enforcement.

The reason the OCD cannot accept that as an enforcement theory is that it tells the operator, You don't have to take action to come into compliance when the OCD writes letters to you, you don't have to take action when the OCD calls, you don't have to take action when the OCD calls, you don't have to take action when the OCD files a compliance case against you. The key thing is only when the OCD gets a hearing order against you. Then you need to take action before it gets to the Commission, because then you can say I'm in compliance, please don't assess a penalty against me.

We simply do not have the resources as an enforcement agency to go to hearing on every case to get an order of compliance in every case in order to get the operators to take action.

As Mr. Perrin pointed out in his testimony, the major point of Rule 201 is to ensure that the environment is protected, that hydrocarbons don't move from one zone to another. The way we try to assure protection of the environment and protection of the zones is to require that the well, if it's inactive for a period of time, be placed

on temporary abandonment status or plugged.

In this case, the no-harm, no-foul idea of penalties would be that we can chase after the operator from 1991 to 2007, with no activity on the well, no mechanical integrity tests, but then if it's brought into compliance there is no downside.

If that is the standard, there's no incentive for any operator to take action. And it's unfortunate that Mr. Corbett is caught up in this case, but the fact of the matter is that this case presents a very clear picture of the problem that we have if we cannot take enforcement action against operators.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Mr. Bruce?

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Chairman, Ms. MacQuesten said that this is an unusual case, and I'd agree. As an aside, about 12 or 14 years ago I was sitting around my office thinking, Boy, all these cases at the Division have become routine, and I wonder what kind of future I have. And since then, everything has been unusual.

(Laughter)

MR. BRUCE: And I don't mean to make light of this situation, but I think the Commissioners themselves could look at their dockets over the last few years and see how unusual the cases have become. And I think it's just

part of the changing industry that things have become more complicated.

With respect to the penalty, I think there's two aspects to the penalty. You know, one is punishment for past wrongdoing, and the second is deterrence.

Certainly, Mr. Corbett acknowledged he didn't take care of this as he would have hoped in a timely manner.

As to deterrence, because Northstar and Mr.

Corbett personally are no longer active in the business, we don't think there's any deterrent value in a penalty at this point. The wells have been plugged and abandoned, and I think anything regarding environmental issues at this point is pure speculation. And so we think the wells are properly plugged and abandoned and that surface work will be done and there should be no problem.

And we're not saying this is a no-harm, no-foul. We understand the State's, the Division's interest in enforcing their own rules. But at this point, really the only punishment is to personally punish Mr. Corbett, and so we would ask at the least a substantial reduction in the penalty. And I would simply refer you — That has been done before, not by the Commission but by the Division, and I would refer you to Order R-11,761-A, where a penalty, a plugging penalty — or failure, I should say, to plug

penalty was reduced, and that is what Northstar and Mr. 1 2 Corbett request. Thank you. 3 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Mr. Corbett, I do have a 4 5 question. How much has it cost you to plug the wells already, out of the \$50,000 that you --6 7 MR. CORBETT: I've probably spent \$26,000. CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: \$26,000. And then how much do 8 you have to expend on the surface restoration? 9 MR. CORBETT: I note that that doesn't include 10 payment, I don't yet have an invoice for the Barbara --11 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: The last two days? 12 MR. CORBETT: -- the tower reports, the surface 13 work. There may be another -- well, there could be \$4000 14 or \$5000 more on the Barbara, maybe \$1000 net of salvage of 15 the tank on the Davie. 16 17 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Chairman, if I might, Exhibit 5, I think if you look at the second page of Exhibit 5 for the 18 Barbara well, down at the bottom it does have a cumulate to 19 date of approximately \$23,000. That is for the Barbara. 20 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay, and so that and the 21 difference of the prior cumulative cost is about what you 22 -- what we should add to this \$26,000? Or is that 23 24 \$26,000 --I would say -- Yeah, the \$26,000 25 MR. CORBETT:

does not include that \$23,000. So we're at \$49,000. 1 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Oh, so that's all new costs? 2 MR. CORBETT: Yes. Yeah, I have not been 3 invoiced for this -- the Barbara plugging amount. 4 5 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay. So if you've got \$50,000 coming from the bond, you've got \$49,000 that 6 7 you've already expended and have to repay back, and you've got \$5500 in surface remediation costs coming, you're going 8 to exceed the \$50,000, aren't you? 9 10 MR. CORBETT: It is possible. 11 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Are you prepared to do that? MR. CORBETT: If it happens I will negotiate. 12 fact, I really believe that this can be done at \$50,000. 13 I'm working with vendors whom I have known for a long time, 14 and if it were necessary they would probably take a payment 15 16 from me. 17 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Anything further, Ms. MacQuesten? 18 19 MS. MacQUESTEN: No, thank you. 20 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Anything further, Mr. Bruce? 21 MR. BRUCE: No, sir. CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: At this time I think we'll go 22 into executive session to discuss our options and would 23 accept a motion from the only Commission member present to 24 25 do that.

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: I second. 1 And all those in favor? 2 3 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Aye. CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Aye. 4 Let the record reflect that the Commission has 5 moved to go into executive session to determine their 6 7 options in the case. 8 (Off the record at 11:02 a.m.) 9 (The following proceedings had at 11:10 a.m.) 10 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Let the record reflect that at 11:10 the Oil Conservation Commission came out of executive 11 12 session, went back into public session, that during the executive session we did nothing but discuss the outcome 13 14 and the evidence presented in Cause Number 13,920. 15 And this is a -- Mr. Bruce, this is a very 16 difficult case because Ms. MacQuesten makes some very good, 17 valid arguments, and in just a minute we're going to move to adopt an order that basically upholds the \$10,000 18 19 penalty. But at the time that Mr. Corbett is to pay that penalty will be the date prior to or concurrent with the 20 release of his bond, and that penalty will be reduced by 21 22 any actual expenses incurred in the restoration of the 23 surface on the two locations. So we're upholding the \$10,000 penalty, allowing 24 25 him a credit for the actual amounts expended to do the

surface restoration, and staying the payment date to the 1 date that his bond is actually released. 2 And do I hear a motion to that effect? 3 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: I move that that order be 4 5 drafted up by our counsel. CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: I second. 6 7 All those in favor? COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Aye. 8 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Aye. 9 10 Let the record reflect that the Commission has adopted that as their decision, that counsel Bada will 11 draft the order, for which she is real excited, and that we 12 will take up the signing of that order at the next 13 14 Commission meeting. Thank you, Commissioners. 15 MR. BRUCE: 16 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Is there any further business 17 before the Commission? 18 MS. MacQUESTEN: No, sir. CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: At this time the Chair would 19 20 entertain --MS. BADA: I have one. 21 22 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Oh, I'm sorry. 23 MS. BADA: -- I do have one question. have any deadline for -- do you want to make the -- it will 24 either have to be due at the time of the release of the 25

1	bond, or the date by which surface restoration and cleanup
2	would have to be done under the Rules, so that you have
3	some finite time
4	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Surface restoration and
5	plugging will have to be accomplished prior to the
6	MR. BRUCE: Prior to the
7	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: release of the bond.
8	MR. BRUCE: release of the bond, correct.
9	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Yeah. And was that December,
10	'07 or '08?
11	MR. BRUCE: This December.
12	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: This December.
13	MR. BRUCE: The payment comes I think the
14	testimony was, and the loan comes due this December.
15	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay. And like I said, it is
16	clear that the OCD will not release the bond until after he
17	has paid the difference. And if he wants to spend \$10,000
18	or more on the restoration, that's up to him.
19	MR. BRUCE: Then he's free to do so.
20	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Yes, sir. Okay?
21	MR. BRUCE: I will verify that date for
22	Commission counsel, but I'm 99-percent sure that's when it
23	I'll get an actual due date for the loan, and obviously
24	the Commission will have a date.
25	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Any further business before

```
the Commission?
 1
                Then the Commission will stand adjourned until
 2
     its next meeting.
 3
 4
                Thank you.
                (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
 5
 6
     11:13 a.m.)
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL October 12th, 2007.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2010