- 1 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Let's call Case No. 14091,
- 2 Application for Purvis compulsory pooling, Lea County, New
- 3 Mexico. Call for appearances.
- 4 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of Santa Fe
- 5 representing the applicant. I am submitting this one by
- 6 affidavit, also.
- 7 Mr. Examiner, if I may -- just to start off with, I'm
- 8 handing you a transcript of Case No. 13392. This well -- there
- 9 was prior forced pooling on this well fairly recently, and I'm
- 10 just giving you the transcript so you have that handy to look
- 11 at.
- The well in this matter, applicant seeks an order
- 13 pooling all mineral interests in the Devonian formation only
- 14 underlying the east half, northeast quarter of Section 34, 12S,
- 15 37E. It is an 80-acre spacing unit in the Southwest
- 16 Gladiola-Devonian Pool.
- 17 I believe -- I think you've heard this case -- no.
- 18 Yes. It was by both of you previously. Applicant is going to
- 19 re-enter this well, which is currently producing from the
- 20 Wolfcamp, and make a completion attempt in the Devonian.
- 21 Evidence was submitted by a landman in the prior case. There
- 22 are -- I forget exactly how many, but there are -- there is a
- 23 listing. There are dozens and dozens and dozens of interest
- 24 owners. We force pooled a number of them, originally. Certain
- 25 parties had indicated that they would join in the well. There

- 1 were a lots of leases with a few clauses in them, so the
- 2 Devonian became unleased as to a number of mineral interests.
- 3 And so applicant had as set forth in the landman's testimony,
- 4 attempted to either have people ratify their leases or join as
- 5 working interest owners. And we thought we had force pooled
- 6 everyone, but there were certain people who said they were
- 7 going to join in the well, but then have not committed their
- 8 interest to JOA or signed any ratifications. And today we're
- 9 cleaning out. There were eight parties who we had to do
- 10 cleanup after they failed to sign or send in their money for
- 11 forced pooling.
- 12 Exhibit 1 is an affidavit from the landman. Again,
- 13 with the -- showing the Exhibit A as simply the well location
- 14 plat. Exhibit B lists the eight people being force pooled. As
- 15 part of Exhibit B there is a listing of all interest owners.
- 16 The last two pages of Attachment B is a listing of all interest
- 17 owners in this well giving their interest; and that is from
- 18 Division order files.
- 19 And Exhibit C, the proposal letters. And Exhibit D
- 20 is AFE that were previously submitted in the prior case. As I
- 21 said, this was simply to clean up certain interest owners who
- 22 failed to follow through on their ratifications. Notice was
- 23 given to the eighth interest owner -- I think it's the eight --
- 24 interest owners at their addresses and the green cards are
- 25 attached. Yes. There are eight interest owners.

- 1 And so everybody did receive notice of the
- 2 application -- actual notice of the application. One thing I
- 3 would ask is that since we had the re-pool it, the current
- 4 order, which is R-5372A, requires that the operations be
- 5 commenced by March 31. Just because a little additional time
- 6 may be needed because of this pool, we ask that the
- 7 commencement deadline be extended somewhat. I don't think it
- 8 needs a lengthy extension, but -- and with that I move the
- 9 admission of Exhibits 1 and 2.
- 10 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Exhibits 1 and 2 will be
- 11 admitted. Okay. Mr. Brooks probably understands all this, but
- 12 it sounds like the committed parties, that they thought were
- 13 committed before, were not specifically included in the listing
- of the people that were being pooled; is that correct? And
- then they didn't follow through with their commitments?
- MR. BRUCE: Correct.
- 17 EXAMINER JONES: But doesn't our -- doesn't our
- 18 Compulsory Pooling Order take care of those parties?
- 19 MR. BRUCE: Well, we didn't notify them the first
- 20 go-around.
- 21 EXAMINER JONES: Okay.
- MR. BRUCE: And I think we specifically listed the
- 23 parties who were being pooled. And these eight owners were not
- 24 in that prior listing.
- 25 MR. BROOKS: The notice requirement is anybody who is