	2/-1/00 flearing	
		Page 2
	INDEX	:
2		PAGE
3	CASE NO. 14046	4
4	EXHIBITS 1 - 8 ADMITTED	8
5	CASE NO. 14063	9
6	EXHIBITS 1 - 3 ADMITTED	10
7	CASE NO. 14083 EXHIBITS 1 - 3 ADMITTED	10 11
9	CD CE NO. 14004	1 1
10	CASE NO. 14084 EXHIBITS 1 - 3 ADMITTED	11 12
11	CASE NO. 14085	12
12	EXHIBITS 1 - 3 ADMITTED	13
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
24		
25		
45		
į.		

- 1 EXAMINER JONES: These Cimarex cases, can we combine
- 2 them all?
- 3 MR. BRUCE: You know, that might not -- I do have
- 4 separate sets of exhibits, but it might be best to combine them
- 5 because I was going to start out with a plat showing what is
- 6 being pooled and --
- 7 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. For purposes of the
- 8 transcript, let's combine Cases 14046, 14063, 14083, 14084,
- 9 14085. And let's call all of those aforementioned cases at
- 10 this time. All of those cases are for a non-standard oil
- 11 spacing and proration unit and compulsory pooling in Lea
- 12 County, New Mexico. Call for appearances.
- 13 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, in all cases, Jim Bruce of
- 14 Santa Fe. I am submitting them all by affidavit.
- 15 Mr. Examiner, maybe the best thing to do would be to
- 16 go to the last exhibit, which is marked Exhibit 7, and go to
- 17 Attachment B to Exhibit 7. It's a structure map but it also
- 18 shows a number of well units.
- The wells that are being pooled here in these five
- 20 cases are the Humboldt 6 State Com #1 and then, to the south,
- 21 the Antero 12 Fee #5, the Missouri Unit 13 Fee #5, the Sunshine
- 22 #1 and the Redcloud 13 Fee #2. The wells on the north end, the
- 23 Pyramid # 1 and the Harvard 6 Fee #2, were previously pooled by
- 24 the Division. And you were the Hearing Examiner when we
- 25 presented live testimony on the Pyramid Well #1, which included

- 1 both land and geologic testimony.
- 2 I'm starting off with the Humboldt well, which is
- 3 Case No. 14046. As you can see on this plat, it's comprised of
- 4 two tracts. And I'll get into this in a minute. The northwest
- 5 southwest quarter and the southwest quarter of the southwest
- 6 quarter, which is a State tract. The other tract is a fee
- 7 tract. The reason I mention the Pyramid is that the land
- 8 ownership in the Pyramid well is the same as in the Harvard 6
- 9 Fee #2. And it's the same in the northwest quarter, southwest
- 10 quarter of Section 6, being part of the Humboldt 6 State Com #1
- 11 well in which there are just a bundle of them there. There are
- 12 about a 150 interest owners in that large fee tract.
- 13 If you would go back to the top of the pile, Exhibit
- 14 No. 1. Cimarex does seek to force pool the west half,
- 15 southwest quarter as to the Wolfcamp formation as discussed in
- 16 Exhibit 7. They anticipate the entire 80 acres being
- 17 productive and they seek a non-standard unit, which the
- 18 Division has required Cimarex to do in order to force pool the
- 19 interest owners.
- 20 If you'll rummage through -- keep on going through
- 21 Exhibit 1 to Attachment A, which is a well plat. And right
- 22 behind that is a Midland Map Company plat highlighting the well
- 23 units and also identifying the offset operators. The State
- 24 lease covers the entire south half, the south half of
- 25 Section 6. And I'll present a little data later. That's owned

- 1 by Discovery Exploration.
- All the offsets to the north and to the south,
- 3 southwest are Cimarex'. In Section 1, the offsets are -- it
- 4 shows to be AmeriCo, which is correct in the south half, and
- 5 shows to be platinum in the north half. Actually that is now
- 6 Saber Resources. And I'll present some data on that in a
- 7 minute. We were -- have been required to notify the offsets
- 8 and I'm just pointing out that is the offset interest owners --
- 9 or I should say offset operators.
- 10 Exhibit B lists the parties being pooled and
- 11 everybody who's highlighted, as I said, in the Pyramid well,
- 12 which I mentioned we presented evidence about a number of
- 13 un-locatable people. And everybody highlighted in yellow on
- 14 this Exhibit Attachment B is un-locatable, even though their
- 15 addresses -- prior testimony submitted in the Pyramid hearing
- shows that mailings to them came back as undeliverable.
- So there are only about had a half a dozen people who
- 18 had, or who we thought had, valid addresses. It's actually
- 19 fewer people than that.
- 20 EXAMINER JONES: Okay.
- 21 MR. BRUCE: And, as I will show later, those people
- 22 were noticed. The proposal letters are in this package as
- 23 Attachment C. If you'll run back to Attachment D, it lists
- 24 every owner in the well unit. And because it is two tracts,
- 25 the Division required that we notified everybody in the well

- 1 unit. And Exhibit D lists the first number of pages -- I guess
- 2 the pages aren't numbered -- lists all the interest owners in
- 3 the northwest quarter, southwest quarter. That's about ten
- 4 pages of exhibits there. And we did give notice to everyone
- 5 one of the non-standard unit portion of the application, even
- 6 if they were leased.
- 7 Exhibit E is simply information from the State Land
- 8 Office web site which shows that the south half, south half of
- 9 Section 6 is a state leased -- that interest is committed to
- 10 the well, but it's a lease owned by Discovery Exploration.
- 11 Notice was also given to the Land Office and Discovery
- 12 Exploration of this application.
- 13 Exhibit F is the final attachment. It's an AFE for
- 14 the well. It's about a \$3.5-million-dollar well.
- 15 Exhibit 2 is the notice that was mailed to certain
- 16 interest owners who we thought we had valid addresses for. The
- 17 only ones we thought we had valid addresses for, as you can
- 18 see, most of them came back regardless. Of the people who were
- 19 mailed notice, of which there are six or seven of them, only
- 20 two of them actually picked up their letters.
- 21 And then we did get a new address for Virginia
- 22 Gaussa, and we did notify her. So actually there were three
- 23 people who received written notice of this application, people
- 24 being pooled only.
- Exhibit 3 is an Affidavit of Notice to the leased

- 1 parties who were given notice of the non-standard unit
- 2 application. As you can see, there's quite a few of them.
- 3 Most of them did receive written notice, as the landman
- 4 testified in the prior case. These addresses were taken off
- 5 the leases themselves and should have been valid but they
- 6 weren't always valid.
- And, as a result of a number of these people being
- 8 un-locatable or having bad addresses, I did two publications of
- 9 notice. Exhibits 5 and 6 are affidavits published in the Hobbs
- 10 newspaper. And I believe that everybody who did not receive
- 11 notice via Exhibits 2 or 3 are named in Exhibits 5 and 6. I
- 12 believe they are.
- I checked that, and I -- I will check that again and
- 14 let you know in writing. But I checked it two days ago and I
- 15 thought I had every name, but there were so many of them, I
- 16 wasn't quite sure.
- 17 MR. BROOKS: That is kind of tedious work. We'll let
- 18 Mr. Jones do that.
- 19 EXAMINER JONES: That's my job.
- MR. BRUCE: Finally, as to notice to offsets,
- 21 Exhibit 4 is written notice given to offset operators AmeriCo
- 22 Energy and Saber Resources.
- 23 Exhibit 8 is simply the information from the
- 24 Division's web site which shows that Platinum Exploration,
- 25 which is listed out of Midland, there was a change of operator

- 1 to Saber Resources. So Saber is the proper Wolfcamp offset
- 2 operator of the north half of Section 1.
- With that, I move the admission of Exhibits 1 through
- 4 8. This one is more confusing than the rest because of the
- 5 large number of people involved. But certainly I believe
- 6 everybody has been notified in this case. And in the other
- 7 cases there should be no issue.
- 8 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. This is Case No. 14086?
- 9 MR. BRUCE: Correct.
- 10 EXAMINER JONES: We will admit Exhibits 1 through 8.
- MR. BROOKS: This is Wolfcamp oil?
- MR. BRUCE: Wolfcamp oil.
- MR. BROOKS: Is that on 40-acre spacing?
- MR. BRUCE: That's correct.
- 15 MR. BROOKS: So what you're doing here is combining
- 16 two adjacent spacings?
- 17 MR. BRUCE: That's correct. On all of the subsequent
- 18 matters, Mr. Examiner, the well units are comprised of one
- 19 single fee tract, each well unit, so I didn't give notice to
- 20 the leased parties, only to the unleased parties.
- MR. BROOKS: One other question: On the offsets
- 22 where the applicant is the operator -- Cimarex -- are those
- 23 100 percent owned by Cimarex?
- MR. BRUCE: The --
- MR. BROOKS: Are they common ownership with the

- 1 proposed units?
- 2 MR. BRUCE: The -- what I -- let me look at this.
- 3 When you look at the -- for these next four cases, except in
- 4 one case, the offset operators are Cimarex. The only people
- 5 who would have an interest in the offset other than Cimarex are
- 6 the parties being force pooled.
- 7 MR. BROOKS: Okay. So there aren't any other working
- 8 interest owners or unleased owners in any of the offset tracts
- 9 other than Cimarex and the parties that own an interest in the
- 10 proposed units?
- MR. BRUCE: Correct, correct.
- MR. BROOKS: Okay. Thank you.
- 13 EXAMINER JONES: I thought maybe only those who are
- 14 operators.
- MR. BROOKS: Well, but so are Cimarex.
- 16 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. So they don't have to drill
- 17 down to the --
- 18 MR. BROOKS: Yeah. Then they have to drill down,
- 19 where Cimarex is the operator.
- MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, next is Case 14063, which
- 21 is for the Missouri Unit 13 Fee Well #5. Exhibits 1, 2 and 3
- 22 are submitted. Again, Exhibit 3 is the affidavit of the
- 23 geologist discussing this prospect. Exhibit 1 is the affidavit
- 24 of the landman. Only two parties are being pooled, being
- 25 Sidney Roger Davis and Occidental Permian. The well unit is

- 1 the west half, northeast quarter of Section 13. If you go back
- 2 to the Midland Map Company plat, just reinforcing what I just
- 3 told Mr. Brooks, all of Exhibit 13 is a single fee tract with
- 4 common ownership. And the only unleased parties are Mr. Davis
- 5 and Oxy. Exhibit -- I mean, excuse me -- Section 12 there are
- 6 two fee tracts.
- But, again, ownership through these is fairly common.
- 8 In both the east half of Section 12 and west half of
- 9 Section 12, the only unleased mineral rights is Mr. Davis.
- 10 Mr. Davis did receive notice of this application, so he's aware
- 11 of it. And notice of this hearing was given to Mr. Davis and
- 12 Mr. -- and to Occidental. They both did receive actual notice
- of this case. So I move the admission of Exhibits 1 through 3
- 14 in this case.
- 15 EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 1 through 3 will be
- 16 admitted.
- 17 MR. BRUCE: Next is Case 14083. And this is the
- 18 Antero 12 Fee Well #5. The only interest owner being pooled is
- 19 Mr. Sidney Roger Davis, again. It's a very small interest.
- 20 Exhibit 1 is the affidavit of the landman and sets forth the
- 21 information required by the Division land plat well proposal
- 22 AFE. As I just testified, the only offsets are Cimarex, and
- 23 they have been given notice. Exhibit 2 is the affidavit of the
- 24 notice to Mr. Davis. He did receive actual notice. And
- 25 Exhibit 3 is the affidavit of the geologist.

- 1 I move the admission of Exhibits 1 through 3.
- 2 EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 1 through 3 will be
- 3 admitted. This is in Case No. 14083.
- 4 MR. BROOKS: Again, Cimarex operates all the offsets,
- 5 right?
- 6 MR. BRUCE: Right.
- 7 MR. BROOKS: And there are no other working interest
- 8 owners in the offsets?
- 9 MR. BRUCE: No, sir. The next is Case 14084, Mr.
- 10 Examiner, which is the Redcloud Well #2. Again, Exhibit 1 is
- 11 the affidavit of the landman. The well unit is the east half,
- 12 southwest quarter of Section 13. Cimarex is the only offset
- 13 operator to the north and south. To the west, Lynx Petroleum
- 14 is the offset operator. Actually, they don't offset this well.
- 15 Proposal letters are to include the AFE. Exhibit 2
- 16 is the Affidavit of Notice to Mr. Davis and Occidental. They
- 17 did receive actual notice. And Exhibit 3 is the affidavit of
- 18 the geologist in discussing this well. Mr. Brooks, to the
- 19 south in Section 24, that land is -- there are no unleased
- 20 working interest owners --
- MR. BROOKS: Okay.
- 22 MR. BRUCE: -- other than Cimarex is the sole working
- 23 interest owner. I hand wrote on one. It says, "shot interest,
- 24 they leased it on behalf of Cimarex," so it's actually Cimarex.
- MR. BROOKS: Very good.

- 1 MR. BRUCE: With that I move the admission of
- 2 Exhibits 1 through 3.
- 3 EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 1 through 3 will be
- 4 admitted. And this is Case No. 14084.
- 5 MR. BRUCE: Next is Case No. 14085. Once again,
- 6 Exhibit 1 is the affidavit of the landman. If you turn to
- 7 Attachment A, the land plat, the well unit is the west half,
- 8 southwest quarter of Section 13. Cimarex offsets to the north
- 9 and south. To the west, Lynx Petroleum is the operator in the
- 10 Wolfcamp. There are existing Wolfcamp wells on that fee lease,
- 11 which covers the south half, northeast quarter and the
- 12 southeast quarter, among other acreage.
- 13 Mr. Brooks, I just noticed I may have not given
- 14 notice to the offset in Section 23. And so, in this case, it
- should probably be continued for four weeks.
- 16 Exhibit 2 is the Affidavit of Notice to -- again, the
- 17 only unleased owners are Mr. Davis and Occidental Permian.
- 18 They were given notice and received actual notice via
- 19 Exhibit 2.
- 20 Exhibit 3 is my notice to Lynx Operating Company.
- 21 And they did receive notice, and I have spoken with them.
- 22 And then Exhibit 4 is the affidavit of the geologist,
- 23 which you've seen before containing the geologic information.
- 24 Mr. Examiner, I could ask that the case be continued
- 25 just for two weeks? I had thought Section 33 was farmed out to

- 1 Cimarex, but I'm not sure of that. If not, I will give notice
 - 2 to that offset.
 - 3 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. If you have to give notice,
 - 4 will that mean it needs to go through another two weeks?
 - 5 MR. BRUCE: It'll probably have to go for another two
 - 6 weeks, but --
 - 7 EXAMINER JONES: Meanwhile, we'll only continue it
- 8 to--
- 9 MR. BRUCE: I can verify if whether or not there is
- 10 indeed an offset or whether it is Cimarex.
- 11 EXAMINER JONES: So in Case No. 14085, we'll continue
- 12 it to March the 7th.
- MR. BROOKS: March the 6th, I believe it is.
- MR. BRUCE: 6th.
- MR. BROOKS: You know, that's what the schedule says
- 16 March 7 and March 21, but that's got to be wrong because those
- 17 are Fridays.
- 18 MR. BRUCE: On the docket it says March 6th and
- 19 March 20th. With that I move the admission of Exhibits 1
- 20 through 3 in this matter.
- 21 EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 1 through 3 will be
- 22 admitted in Case No. 14085 and the case will be continued to
- 23 March 6th.
- 24 [Hearing concluded for hereby caclify that the foregoing is

a complete record of the proceedings in

25 the Examiner hearing of Case heard by me on 2/2/108

Oil Conser/Janon Division

	Page 15
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
2	COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)
3	
4	I, JOYCE D. CALVERT, a New Mexico Provisional Reporter, working under the direction and direct supervision of
5	Paul Baca, New Mexico CCR License Number 112, hereby certify that I reported the attached proceedings; that pages numbered
6	1-13 inclusive, are a true and correct transcript of my stenographic notes. On the date I reported these proceedings,
7	I was the holder of Provisional License Number P-03. Dated at Albuquerque, New Mexico, this 27th day of
8	February, 2008.
9	- Joyce Calvert
10	Joyce D. Calvert
11	Provisional License #P-03 License Expires: 7/31/08
12	Hicense Expiles. 7/31/00
13	
14	PANL Bacq
15	
16	Paul Baca, RPR Certified Court Reporter #112
17	License Expires: 12/31/08
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	