STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 14,024

APPLICATION OF OGX RESOURCES, LLC, FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: DAVID K. BROOKS, Jr., Hearing Examiner

November 29th, 2007

Santa Fe, New Mexico

REB 29 PI

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, DAVID K. BROOKS, J., Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, November 29th, 2007, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources

Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Secretary's

Conference Room, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner,

Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

INDEX

November 29th, 2007 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 14,024

PAGE

APPEARANCES

3

APPLICANT'S WITNESS:

MIKE GADDY (Landman)

Direct Examination by Ms. Munds-Dry 5 Examination by Examiner Brooks 17

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

22

* * *

EXHIBITS

Applicant's	Identified	Admitted
Exhibit 1	7	17
Exhibit 2	8	17
Exhibit 3	11	17
Exhibit 4	15	17
Exhibit 5	16	17
Exhibit 6	17	17

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE APPLICANT:

HOLLAND & HART, L.L.P., and CAMPBELL & CARR 110 N. Guadalupe, Suite 1 P.O. Box 2208 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208 By: OCEAN MUNDS-DRY

* * *

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 2 11:15 a.m.: EXAMINER BROOKS: Call Case Number 14,00- -- I'm 3 sorry, 14,024, Application of OGX Resources, LLC, for 4 compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. 5 6 Call for appearances. 7 MS. MUNDS-DRY: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. It's 8 still morning. My name is Ocean Munds-Dry. I'm here representing OGX Resources, Inc., this morning, and I have 9 one witness. 10 EXAMINER BROOKS: Will the witness state your 11 12 name for the record, please? MR. GADDY: Mike Gaddy. 13 EXAMINER BROOKS: Witness will please be sworn. 14 15 (Thereupon, the witness was sworn.) 16 MS. MUNDS-DRY: Mr. Examiner, before I begin my direct of Mr. Gaddy, I have a procedural issue to bring to 17 your attention. 18 19 Yesterday when Mr. Gaddy and I were preparing 20 this case, we realized that Exhibit A to our Application, we had inadvertently left some interest owners off of the 21 Exhibit A to the Application. 22 Mr. Gaddy was already here, so what we'd like to 23 24 ask you today with your permission is, if we allow Mr. 25 Gaddy to testify today, understanding that we need to amend

our Application.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

We'd then ask that the record remain open, and we continue the case for a month to allow us to make that correction and provide proper notice according to Division rules.

But as I mentioned, Mr. Gaddy is here, so we would like with your permission for him to be allowed to testify and get, you know, his efforts to obtain voluntary participation on the record. And then if he needs to come back, we can do that. Since we're here, we'd like to proceed.

EXAMINER BROOKS: That would be acceptable.

MS. MUNDS-DRY: Thank you, Mr. Brooks.

MIKE GADDY,

the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon his oath, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. MUNDS-DRY:

- Q. Would you please state your name for the record?
- 20 A. Mike Gaddy.
 - Q. And by whom are you employed, and what's your position?
 - A. OGX Resources, I'm a landman.
- Q. Have you previously testified before the
- 25 | Division?

1	A. I have.
2	Q. And were your credentials as a petroleum landman
3	made a matter of record at that time?
4	A. Yes, they were.
5	Q. Are you familiar with the Application filed in
6	this case?
7	A. I am.
8	Q. Are you familiar with the status of the lands
9	that are the subject of this Application?
10	A. Yes, I am.
11	MS. MUNDS-DRY: Mr. Examiner, we would tender Mr.
12	Gaddy as an expert in petroleum land matters.
13	EXAMINER BROOKS: So qualified.
14	Q. (By Ms. Munds-Dry) Mr. Gaddy, would you briefly
15	state what OGX seeks with this Application?
16	A. We're seeking an order pooling all mineral
17	interests from the surface to the base of the Morrow
18	formation. That would be in the east half of Section 34,
19	22 South, 27 East, New Mexico Eddy County, New Mexico.
20	Q. What is the name of the well that we're seeking
21	to dedicate to this spacing unit?
22	A. Weems Number 1.
23	Q. And do you know the footage location where that
24	well would be located?
25	A. Yes, that would be at a surface location of 1073

feet from the north line and 1501 feet from the east line,
Unit B, to a bottomhole location of 660 feet from the north
line and 660 feet from the east line of Section 34.

- Q. Mr. Gaddy, I'll ask you to put both Exhibit
 Number 1 and Exhibit Number 2 in front of you and identify
 both of these exhibits for Mr. Brooks.
- A. Okay. Exhibit Number 1 is a map showing the east half of Section 34, on the left-hand side. The map in the middle shows the east half of the northeast quarter of Section 34, which is the town of Otis, and the list to the east -- to the right side is a listing of the mineral owners in the east half of 34, giving those mineral owners that are under oil and gas lease and those mineral owners that are currently unleased and we're seeking to be force pooled.
- Q. Mr. Gaddy, going back to the first box on the left-hand side here that shows the spacing unit, is the well identified on the -- the position of the well identified on the spacing unit?
 - A. Yes, it is.

- Q. Is that marked on the map here, Weems, and it shows the surface location and the bottomhole location?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay, and then turning to the far right-hand corner where it shows the interests, I see that you've

color-coded them to match the map, or the town of Otis here in the middle; is that --

Yes, that's correct. Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 0. Now are all of the interest owners that you've included here on this right-hand column shown on this map?
- They're shown on both the map in the middle and Α. the map on the left-hand side.
 - Okay, and Exhibit Number 2, what does this show? 0.
- That's a listing of, again, all the mineral owners, along with those owners that are under one gas lease to OGX and those owners that are currently unleased. It also gives a date that certified letters were sent, and a date that certified letters were received.
- 0. Now let's turn to your efforts to obtain voluntary participation of the interest owners. And we have two categories, I believe, Mr. Gaddy, so I'd like to talk about them separately.
 - Right. Α.
- Let's first talk about your efforts to locate the unknown interest owners --
 - Α. Okay.
- -- that you're seeking to pool in this Application.
- 24 What first is -- if you could explain for Mr. 25 Brooks, what is your process? When you have an unknown

interest owner, what is your process for trying to locate those interest owners?

- A. Well, we go through phone databases, county records, and also we utilize the Internet, some page subscription sites, ancestry.com, et cetera.
- Q. So you look at both county records and probate records, if need be?
 - A. Correct.

Q. Let's look at the specific interests that we're seeking to pool here today. And Mr. Brooks, these are the interest owners that are listed on our Exhibit A in the Application.

Tell us your efforts to locate the heirs of A.J. and Minnie May Crawford.

A. I actually have a title opinion on this, on the acreage which is represented here, and in our opinion it's stated as the heirs of A.J. and Minnie May Crawford and lists these heirs subject to their probates.

And we've looked at the probates. There's no address information, no Social Security numbers, just a listing of really possible heirs, not even indicating whether it's all the heirs or not.

We went through a process. Without any last address information or without any Social Security numbers, it's real hard for us to pull any meaningful information,

especially when some of these owners, potential owners, are female and it gives their maiden name. So we don't even know whether we have maiden name or a full name.

So we've been unable to contact the heirs of A.J. and Minnie May Crawford in this regard.

- Q. How about Otis Farmers Gin Company, Inc.? What efforts did you undertake to try to locate this entity?
- A. Well, we actually found that they were disincorporated many years ago. We looked for the board of directors, and we have been unable to find any information relating to -- we know that it's a dissolved corporation, but we don't know -- we haven't been able to contact the board of directors, and so at this point in time we've advertised that we're looking for, you know, the Otis Gin board of directors but have not made any progress in finding them.
- Q. And now let's turn to the unknown heirs of Kathleen O'Connor.
- A. Kathleen O'Connor, this interest originated with Perry O'Connor who died intestate. And from that point in time, based on percent distribution, we've indicated that two children, two of Perry O'Connor's children, Mike O'Connor and Ronal O'Connor would own 37.5 percent each, with the heirs of Perry's wife, Kathleen O'Connor, owning the other 25 percent.

We've been in contact with Kathleen O'Connor's -and we have worked on this for over a year with the
O'Connors, trying to track down Kathleen O'Connor. We then
-- we've been in touch with her executor. There are some
issues relating to this title that can only be worked out
with the two brothers.

And so at this point in time we don't know the heirs of Kathleen O'Connor, other than a sister who's also deceased in California, and we can't find her husband.

We do have Ronal O'Connor under lease now, and we plan on Mike O'Connor leasing.

But as far as -- if Perry O'Connor's will is not probated, we're going to have to assume that we're going to have to work under the estate of Kathleen O'Connor in this regard.

Q. Okay, Mr. Gaddy, let's then turn to those interest owners that are known to you, and let's discuss your efforts to seek their voluntary participation.

If you'll please turn to Exhibit Number 3 and identify this for Mr. Brooks.

A. This is a list of certified letters that we sent to individuals that we do have address information for and have not had verbal contact with. But not always the case. We have had verbal contact at some point, but have been unable to acquire an oil and gas lease.

Since these certified letters have gone out,
however -- and this has happened in the last couple of days
-- we have had some success with people deciding to lease.
We don't have executed oil and gas leases at this point, so
I can go over that on an individual basis if you want me
to.

Q. Let's talk generally first -- I mean, maybe Mr. Brooks may have follow-up questions at the end, but let's talk generally.

After you sent out the certified mail to all these interest owners, what if any follow-up contact did you have with these interest owners?

- A. We followed up -- we try to follow up with all the interest owners that we had phone numbers for. But when we didn't, we didn't have any ability to do that.
- Q. Okay. And there are a few situations here that we wanted to point out to the Examiner for his information and background.

You have listed on here the Bureau of Reclamation as having an interest. What's their story?

A. The Bureau of Reclamation, they have through a quitclaim deed assigned their interest to the Carlsbad Irrigation District. They don't recognize that they have an interest.

However, we did have title work done on these

interests prior -- or early in the process, and the attorney that we've utilized has said that the Bureau of Reclamation still owns that interest because of an invalid description.

In that City of Otis you have to describe it with the City of Otis information, including the information of the attached plat that's on file in the County.

The Bureau of Reclamation didn't do that. They assigned out this same acreage in quarter-quarters, not in -- so we have a description disparity here, which I suppose could be cured by the Bureau of Reclamation. But at this point in time that's the situation. We have a lease from the CID. It covers that interest, and that's where we stand.

Q. How about Devon Energy?

A. Devon had, until September 24th, a 20-year contract, first right of refusal with Burlington-Santa Fe, and that 20-year agreement ended this year.

We contacted Burlington, and we also contacted the representatives of Devon to try to figure out how we went about leasing Burlington.

Burlington told us that we needed to do business with them, that they no longer did business through Devon.

The process before was, if you wanted an oil and gas lease, you went through Devon. They approached Burlington, and in

essence it was a first-right-of-refusal sort of scenario.

They acted as a middleman.

What has complicated this is what Burlington has told me. They say that the last day of their 20-year contract, that Devon requested an oil and gas lease covering all of Burlington's acreage in Eddy County.

So they believe that there's something happening there, but they feel that they have the right to sign an oil and gas lease with OGX, and we have signed one.

But we're putting Devon on notice because of this situation which we don't have all the details, that we're planning a well.

- Q. So based on those understandings, you've decided basically to be safe and include them in case it does turn out that they have an interest?
- A. Right, we haven't seen the agreement between Devon and Santa Fe and Burl- -- or Burlington, and so we want to just be safe and include them, even though they don't have an oil and gas lease with Burlington.
- Q. Mr. Gaddy, in your opinion have you made a good faith effort to attempt voluntary participation of all these interest owners in this well?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. What is the primary objective of this well?
- A. The Morrow formation.

1	Q. Would you please turn to Exhibit Number 4 and
2	identify that for Mr. Brooks?
3	A. 3, 2
4	Q. I think it's right there on your last page.
5	A 4, yes. This is an AFE.
6	Q. What are the dryhole and completed well costs set
7	out in the AFE?
8	A. The dryhole costs are set out here, \$2,785,975,
9	and completed well costs are \$3,306,725.
10	Q. And was this AFE sent along with the certified
11	letter to all the interest owners?
12	A. Yes, it was.
13	Q. Please turn to Are these costs in line with
14	what has been charged by other operators in the area for
15	similar wells, or by OGX?
16	A. I wouldn't be As for the wells we participate
17	with other operators, yes.
18	As far as the daily drilling rate and the
19	producing and well rate, we have Well, I'm getting
20	ahead of you here.
21	Were you talking about the
22	Q. Just strictly the AFE, the costs outlined in the
23	AFE?
24	A. Sure, I think they are.
25	Q. Then let's turn to that, since you mention that,

16 1 and what is Exhibit Number 5? It's the COPAS, Exhibit C. Α. 2 And have you made an estimate of overhead and 3 0. administrative costs while drilling this well and then 4 while producing? 5 Α. Correct. 6 And what are the drilling rates and the producing 7 0. rates that OGX is requesting? 8 The drilling rate is \$6000 a month, and producing 9 rate is \$600 a month. 10 Q. Do you recommend that these figures be 11 incorporated into any order that results from this hearing? 12 13 Α. Yes, I do. Does OGX request that in accordance with Division 14 Q. Rules, the maximum charge for risk of 200-percent penalty 15 be imposed on each interest owner who has not volunteered 16 to commit their interest to this well? 17 Yes, we do. 18 A. And does OGX seek to be designated operator of 19 Q. this well? 20 Yes, we do. 21 Α. 22

Q. In your opinion, will granting of this

Application be in the best interest of conservation, the

prevention of waste and the protection of correlative

rights?

23

24

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And finally, is OGX Exhibit Number 6 an affidavit
3	of publication as required by Division Rules?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And Mr. Brooks, you might note that following
6	your good advice, we've included those unknown interest
7	owners in the advertisement.
8	Were Exhibits 1 through 6 either prepared by you
9	or under your supervision?
10	A. Yes.
11	MS. MUNDS-DRY: Mr. Brooks, with that we would
12	move the admission of Exhibits Number 1 through 6 into
13	evidence.
14	EXAMINER BROOKS: Exhibits 1 through 6 will be
15	admitted.
16	MS. MUNDS-DRY: And I have nothing further for
17	Mr. Gaddy.
18	EXAMINATION
19	BY EXAMINER BROOKS:
20	Q. Okay. Mr. Gaddis $[sic]$, I believe you said this
21	surface location and bottomhole location were different.
22	At the top of the AFE the location is given as 1073 from
23	the north line and 1501 from the east line of Section 34.
24	Now is that the surface location?
25	A. Yes.

1	Q. Ok	ay. And what is the bottomhole location?
2	A. It	's 660 from the north and east.
3	Q. Sa	me section.
4	A. Ye	s.
5	Q. Yo	u're requesting to pool from the surface to the
6	base of the	Morrow. Is that for a 320-acre unit only?
7	A. Th	at is correct, east half of 34.
8	Q. No	w the list, Exhibit 2, the column Force Pool,
9	do you have	a number for everybody that you're in that
10	column for e	veryone you're now attempting to force pool?
11	A. Th	at is correct.
12	Q. An	d this is a complete list, right?
13	A. Th	is is a complete list.
14	Q. No	w where was it that some people were left off?
15	MS	. MUNDS-DRY: Mr. Brooks, I believe I can
16	answer.	
17	Th	at's on our Exhibit A to our Application.
18	EX	AMINER BROOKS: Okay, so Exhibit A to the
19	Application	does not state all of the potential Now what
20	about the af	fidavit of publication?
21	MS	. MUNDS-DRY: That we only included the unknown
22	interest own	ers in the affidavit of publication
23	EX	AMINER BROOKS: Okay.
24	MS	. MUNDS-DRY: which I don't think we would
25	include the	you know, those interest owners who were

1	known anyway in that
2	EXAMINER BROOKS: Right. The You included in
3	the affidavit of publication everyone that you intended to
4	include, correct?
5	MS. MUNDS-DRY: No
6	EXAMINER BROOKS: Or
7	MS. MUNDS-DRY: only those lost interest
8	owners are in the publication.
9	EXAMINER BROOKS: Well, I understand that, but
10	you have asked to continue this case because some people
11	were not properly noticed; is that correct?
12	MS. MUNDS-DRY: Yes, sir.
13	EXAMINER BROOKS: And are those people unknown,
14	or are they people whose addresses are known, but they were
15	not
16	MS. MUNDS-DRY: Those people, their addresses are
17	known.
18	EXAMINER BROOKS: They're unknown?
19	MS. MUNDS-DRY: They are known.
20	THE WITNESS: No, they're known.
21	EXAMINER BROOKS: They are known.
22	Ms. MUNDS-DRY: Uh-huh.
23	EXAMINER BROOKS: So you will be mailing
24	MS. MUNDS-DRY: So we will mail them a notice.
25	EXAMINER BROOKS: notice to them?

1	MS. MUNDS-DRY: Uh-huh.
2	EXAMINER BROOKS: But you have not mailed notice
3	to them as of now?
4	MS. MUNDS-DRY: We have not.
5	EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay.
6	THE WITNESS: Certified letters have gone out.
7	EXAMINER BROOKS: I think I understand the
8	situation.
9	MS. MUNDS-DRY: You're ahead of me, then.
10	EXAMINER BROOKS: I assume that this large
11	exhibit would be the official one for the record, and you
12	handed did you hand the court reporter other copies of
13	the exhibits?
14	MS. MUNDS-DRY: Yes.
15	EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. Do you want this one
16	back, then?
17	Because we would have to fold it to put it
18	THE WITNESS: You can have a small one or a large
19	one.
20	MS. MUNDS-DRY: It's up to you, we can
21	EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay, I've got the small one,
22	so I will return the large one to you.
23	Is there nothing further?
24	MS. MUNDS-DRY: Nothing further.
25	EXAMINER BROOKS: Case Number 14,024 will be

```
continued for the purpose of supplementing the record until
 1
     January 10th, 2008.
 2
 3
                 MS. MUNDS-DRY:
                                   Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
                 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
 4
 5
      11:37 a.m.)
 6
 7
 8
 9
                                          I we hereby certify that the foregoing is
                                         , a complete record of the proceedings to
10
                                          the Examiner hearing of Case No. 14024
                                          heard by me on 11-29-07
11
12
                                            Oil Conservation Division
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL February 27th, 2008.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2010