OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 12,948

APPLICATION OF THE NEW MEXICO OIL

CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR AN ORDER

REQUIRING KC RESOURCES, INC., TO

PROPERLY PLUG FOUR WELLS, IMPOSING CIVIL)

PENALTIES IN EVENT OF FAILURE TO COMPLY,)

AUTHORIZING THE DIVISION TO PLUG SAID

WELLS IN DEFAULT OF COMPLIANCE BY THE

OPERATOR, AND ORDERING A FORFEITURE OF

APPLICABLE SECURITY, LEA COUNTY,

NEW MEXICO

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner

October 24th, 2002

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, October 24th, 2002, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

01 UUNDERMAIDA 2: 22

	E X H I B I T S		
Applicant's	Identified	Admitted	
Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2 Exhibit 3	17 11 11	19 16 16	
Exhibit 4 Exhibit 5 Exhibit 6	12 7 14	16 8 16	
Exhibit 7 Exhibit 8 Exhibit 9	14 13 15	16 16 16	
Exhibit 10 Exhibit 11	15 15	16 16	
	* * *		
KC Resources	Identified	Admitted	
Exhibit A	26	26	
	* * *		

	ЕХНІВІТЅ		
Applicant's	Identified	Admitted	
Exhibit 1	17		
Exhibit 2	11	16	
Exhibit 3	11	16	
Exhibit 4	12	16	
Exhibit 5	7	8	
Exhibit 6	14	16	
Exhibit 7	14	16	
Exhibit 8	13	16	
Exhibit 9	15	16	
Exhibit 10	15	16	
Exhibit 11	15	16	
	* * *		
KC Resources	Identified	Admitted	
Exhibit A	26	26	
	* * *		

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

DAVID K. BROOKS
Attorney at Law
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
Assistant General Counsel
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR KC RESOURCES, INCORPORATED:

MILLER, STRATVERT and TORGERSON, P.A. 150 Washington
Suite 300
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
By: J. SCOTT HALL

* * *

ALSO PRESENT:

WILLIAM V. JONES, JR.
Petroleum Engineer
New Mexico Oil Conservation Division
1220 South Saint Francis Drive
Santa Fe, NM 87505

* * *

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 11:43 a.m.: 2 3 4 EXAMINER STOGNER: This hearing will come to 5 order. 6 I'll call Case Number 12,948 which is the 7 Application of the OCD for an order requiring KC resources, 8 Inc., to properly plug four wells in Lea County, New 9 Mexico. 10 I'll call for appearances. 11 MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, Scott Hall, Miller 12 Stratvert Torgerson law firm, Santa Fe, appearing on behalf 13 of KC Resources, Incorporated. 14 EXAMINER STOGNER: Any others? 15 MR. BROOKS: David Brooks, Energy, Minerals and 16 Natural Resources Department of the State of New Mexico for 17 the Oil Conservation Division. 18 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. Mr. Brooks, do you have 19 20 any witnesses today? MR. BROOKS: I have two witnesses. 21 MR. HALL: And the record should reflect that 22 23 James Spillane, KC Resources in California, is appearing telephonically. 24 25 Will you be calling him as a EXAMINER STOGNER:

1	witness?
2	MR. HALL: I reserve the right to do so.
3	EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. What I'm going to do,
4	I'm going to swear in the witnesses as they testify, so
5	we'll do this one at a time.
6	Mr. Brooks, who's your first witness?
7	MR. BROOKS: Dorothy Phillips will be our first
8	witness.
9	EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, Dorothy, would you stand
10	since you're here, would you stand to be sworn?
11	(Thereupon, Dorothy Phillips was sworn.)
12	MR. BROOKS: Now, I don't know if you can hear
13	her, but I don't think it really matters since her
14	testimony relates to a formal matter. It's only necessary
15	that the Examiner hear her.
16	The purpose of her testimony is to examine the
17	bond.
18	DOROTHY L. PHILLIPS,
19	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
20	her oath, was examined and testified as follows:
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. BROOKS:
23	Q. I will ask you to look at the KC Resources file,
24	Ms. Phillips
25	Well, I guess I need to go through the formal

1	portion.
2	State your name for the record, please.
3	A. My name is Dorothy Phillips.
4	Q. And by whom are you employed?
5	A. By the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division.
6	Q. And in what capacity?
7	A. I'm the plugging bond administrator.
8	Q. I will show you what has been marked as OCD
9	Exhibit Number 5 and ask you to identify it.
10	A. It is a \$50,000 blanket plugging bond for KC
11	Resources, Inc.
12	Q. And who is the surety?
13	A. The surety is Frontier Insurance Company.
14	Q. Okay, you have in front of you the plugging bond
15	file that you maintain for KC Resources for the Oil
16	Conservation Division?
17	A. That's correct.
18	Q. Would you look at the plugging bond original that
19	appears in your file and compare it, to tell us if it's the
20	same as Exhibit Number 5?
21	A. Yes, sir, it is.
22	MR. BROOKS: Thank you very much. And that
23	concludes my examination of this witness.
24	Well, I tender Exhibit Number 5 into evidence.
25	MR. HALL: No objection.

```
EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibit Number 5 will be
 1
     admitted into evidence.
 2
               MR. BROOKS: Pass the witness.
 3
               MR. HALL: I have no questions.
 4
 5
               EXAMINER STOGNER: I have no questions of Ms.
     Phillips.
 6
 7
                                        The rest -- This will
               MR. BROOKS: Very good.
     take us on till 12:00, and I know you need to be upstairs
 8
     to cover the phones, so we'll excuse you if that's
 9
10
     acceptable to the Examiner.
               EXAMINER STOGNER:
                                  That will be acceptable.
11
     Thank you, Ms. Phillips.
12
13
               THE WITNESS: Leave this here?
               MR. BROOKS: Yeah, leave the files here.
                                                          Thank
14
15
     you.
               THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
16
17
               EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, Mr. Brooks?
               MR. BROOKS: Call Billy Prichard.
18
               MR. PRICHARD: Yes.
19
               EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. Let the record reflect
20
     that Billy Prichard will be appearing over the telephone.
21
22
               MR. BROOKS: He has not been sworn, has he?
23
               EXAMINER STOGNER: No, he has not been sworn.
     I'll let you do that at this time, Steve.
24
               (Thereupon, Billy Prichard was sworn.)
25
```

1 EXAMINER STOGNER: You may proceed, Mr. Brooks. 2 BILLY PRICHARD (Present by Telephone), the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 3 4 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BROOKS: 6 7 Okay, Mr. Prichard, we'll call your attention to 0. the exhibit stack that was sent to you by fax, Exhibits 1 8 9 through 11, inclusive. 10 Α. Yes, sir. MR. BROOKS: There you go, copies of the 11 12 exhibits. 13 EXAMINER STOGNER: For the record, do you want to 14 identify Mr. Prichard? 15 MR. BROOKS: Oh, yes. EXAMINER STOGNER: Or am I jumping on your --16 17 (By Mr. Brooks) State your name, please. Q. My name is Billy Prichard. I'm a compliance 18 Α. officer in District 1. 19 20 For whom? Q. 21 NMOCD. Α. 22 Okay. And what are your duties in that position? Q. 23 To monitor well statuses, to monitor P-and-A's of Α. 24 nonactive wells, to test wells for the UIC program. 25 Have you previously testified before the Division 0.

as an expert on wells?

- A. No, I have not.
- Q. Okay, could you briefly state your training and experience in well inspection?
- A. Yes, I worked ten years for Texas Drilling
 Company as a driller and a tool pusher. I worked for 20
 years for Amoco Production Company as a drilling foreman
 and production foreman, and I have worked for four years
 for the NMOCD.
- Q. And are you acquainted with the wells that are the subject of this hearing that are operated by KC Resources?
- A. Yes, I am.
- MR. BROOKS: We tender Mr. Prichard as an expert well inspector.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Any objection, Mr. Hall?

MR. HALL: Well, I don't necessarily recognize that area of expertise anywhere, but we have no objection to his testimony.

EXAMINER STOGNER: We will recognize -- the Division will recognize Mr. Billy Prichard as a practical oil and gas man.

Q. (By Mr. Brooks) Very good. Back to where I was. We'll call your attention to the Exhibits 1 through 11 that were faxed to you, and Exhibit Number 1 is an affidavit

which I will offer separately, so we'll go on to Exhibit 1 2 Number 2 --Yes, sir. 3 Α. -- and Exhibits 2, 3 and 4 appear to be very 4 ο. Could you tell us what those exhibits are? similar. 5 Yes, these are just NMOCD forms that are filed by 6 Α. 7 KC Resources for gas transportation, you know, oil operators -- oil transporters, and as such. 8 And at the period of time that these forms are 9 0. dated, which is 1994, was this same form used to change the 10 designated operator for a well? 11 Yes, it was. 12 Α. And do each of these forms, Exhibits 2, 3 and 4, 13 Q. reflect a change of operator? 14 15 Α. Yes, they do. 16 0. And who is the operator who assumed operations of 17 these wells? 18 Α. KC Resources. 19 Q. And what wells, for the record, what wells do these -- Each of these exhibits, identify the exhibit by 20 21 number and tell us what well it relates to. 22 Α. Yes, I can. Exhibit 3 is for the New Mexico "BH" 23 State NCT-1 Well Number 2. Exhibit 2 is for the New Mexico "BH" State NCT-1 24

Well Number 1.

- Q. Let's see, Exhibit 2 is for Well Number 1, correct? Or did I staple them in the wrong order when I sent them to you?
 - A. Well, David, they could be in the wrong order.
- Q. Okay, that's right, because the cover pages were not attached on the fax --
- A. Yes.

- Q. -- obviously. Okay, go ahead.
- A. Okay, and let me see if I can find -- We're looking for Exhibit 3?
- Q. Well, I thought we had identified 2 and 3, we were looking for 4.
 - A. Okay, just a minute.
 - Okay, and Exhibit 4 is for the New Mexico "BH" State NCT Well Number 4.
 - Q. Okay. Now, also as the subject of this hearing as filed was the New Mexico "BB" State NCT-1 Well Number 2. However, when I prepared for this hearing yesterday I was unable to find the file in Santa Fe for that well. And so since I wasn't able to get the documentation prepared for that in time for this hearing, I guess we'll just drop that well from this hearing, and -- KC has got some other wells. We may be back with them, with another group sometime, and we'll try to cover that well.
 - Okay, there was one other well in this NCT group

which was on production. I believe that was the --1 2 Α. "BH" NCT Number 3. 3 Q. Yeah, the NCT-1 Well Number 3 was not included in this proceeding, although you'll see references to it in 4 some of the documentations. That well is on production. 5 But that well was not included in the Application? 6 In that same section, township and range, yes. 7 Α. Right. Okay, Exhibit Number 5 has already been 8 ο. identified by another witness, so I will now call your 9 attention to Exhibits 6, 7 and 8. Well, Exhibit 6 and 7 --10 I'll have to speak Exhibits 6 and 7 and Exhibit 8 11 separately, because Exhibit 8 has your signature on it, so 12 first I'll ask you about Exhibit 8. Do you recognize 13 Exhibit 8? 14 15 Α. Yes, I do. It's a letter I wrote to KC 16 Resources. 17 Q. And does that letter include coverage of these 18 same three wells we've been talking about? 19 Α. Yes, it does. And what is the date of that letter? 20 ο. 21 Α. May 5th of 2000. 22 0. And does that letter call the attention of KC 23 Resources to the inactive status of these wells? Yes, it does. 24 Α.

25

Q.

And does it request that they address that issue?

- 14 Α. Yes, it does. 1 Okay. Exhibits 6 and 7 do not have your 2 Q. signature on them, so I will ask you about Exhibits Number 3 6 and 7. Are those exhibits from a file that's maintained 4 by you in the District Office in Hobbs? 5 Yes, it is. These letters were both in the well Α. 6 7 file. And are you familiar with Jerry Sexton's 8 0. 9 signature? Yes, I am. 10 Α. And is that his signature on Exhibit 6 and 7? 11 Q. 12 Α. Yes, it is. And for the record, who was Jerry Sexton? 13 Q. He was the District Supervisor for District 1 14 Α. when these two letters were written in 1995. 15 Okay. And do each of these letters refer to 16 Q. these -- at least some of these wells, I'm not sure they're 17 all and every one of them, but do each of these letters 18 refer to some of these wells and call attention to their 19 20 being in inactive status? Α. 21
 - Yes, they do, it addresses all of the wells.
 - Okay. Was anything done by KC Resources to Q. address the inactive status of these wells in response to Exhibits 6, 7 and 8?
 - Not that you can tell at the moment. Α.

22

23

24

- Q. And are all of these wells -- Are the three wells we're concerned with here, the NCT -- the "BH" State NCT-1 Numbers 1, 2 and 4, are those wells still inactive at this time?
 - A. Yes, they are.

- Q. And in your opinion as a well inspector, do these wells need to be plugged for protection of the environment, prevention of waste and the protection of correlative rights?
 - A. Yes, they do.
- Q. Have you prepared plugging procedures for those wells?
- A. Yes, I have.
 - Q. Would you look at Exhibits 9, 10 and 11?
- A. Yes, these are plugging procedures prepared by me for those wells.
- Q. And for the record, state which well each refers to.
- A. Okay, Exhibit 9 refers to the "BH" State NCT

 Number 1, Exhibit 10 is to the "BH" State NCT Number 2, and

 Exhibit 11 is for the "BH" State NCT-1 Number 4.
 - Q. Okay. If each of these wells was plugged in accordance with Exhibits 9, 10 and 11, in your opinion as a well inspector, would they be properly plugged and abandoned in accordance with OCD Rule 202?

1	A. Yes, they would.
2	MR. BROOKS: Mr. Examiner, we'll tender into
3	evidence Exhibits 2 through 4 inclusive and Exhibits 6
4	through 11 inclusive.
5	EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibits 1 through 4 or 2
6	through 4?
7	MR. BROOKS: Two through 4. One is the
8	affidavit.
9	EXAMINER STOGNER: 2 through 4, and what was
10	the others?
11	MR. BROOKS: Six through 11.
12	EXAMINER STOGNER: 6 through 11 will be
13	admitted into evidence if there's no objection.
14	MR. HALL: No objection.
15	MR. BROOKS: Pass the witness.
16	MR. HALL: No questions.
17	EXAMINER STOGNER: Thank you, Mr. Hall.
18	EXAMINATION
19	BY EXAMINER STOGNER:
20	Q. Mr. Prichard, where are these wells located in
21	reference to a city or a town?
22	A. They're approximately 25 miles west of Tatum, New
23	Mexico on Highway 380, and then approximately 14 miles
24	south.
25	Q. Okay, if these wells aren't properly plugged and

17 abandoned, what could be in jeopardy? 1 Well the groundwater could be in jeopardy out 2 Α. there in that area. 3 Is there equipment on the surface at this time? 4 Q. Yes, there are tanks, two different tank 5 A. batteries. Of course, the disposal well is not in this 6 hearing, but the battery for the producing wells that are 7 in the hearing right now would need to be cleaned up. 8 Are there any pits or anything out there on the 9 0. surface? 10 No, there isn't. 11 Α. EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. I have no other 12 questions of Mr. Prichard at this time. 13 MR. BROOKS: Very good. I would like, then, to 14 offer into evidence OCD Exhibit Number 1, which is the 15 affidavit of Ms. Jane Prouty, to which are attached 16 printouts that she prepared of production reports with 17 regard to these wells. 18 19 It actually appears that production has been reported from the NCT Number 4, so I may ask -- I really 20 was not aware of that until I looked at this exhibit, Mr. 21 Examiner. May I recall Billy Prichard --22

EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Prichard are you still

EXAMINER STOGNER: Please do.

MR. BROOKS: -- to ask questions?

23

24

there? 1 2 THE WITNESS: Yes, I am. FURTHER EXAMINATION 3 4 BY MR. BROOKS: Mr. Prichard, the reports prepared by Ms. Prouty 5 6 indicate that there was production of small amounts of oil 7 during 2001 and on up through 6 of 2002 from the "BH" State NCT-1 Number 4, API Number 00110. Were you aware of that? 8 9 Yes, I was. And I have examined the well, and it must be an error in reporting. The well is incapable of 10 11 producing anything. 12 And for what period of time has it been incapable 13 of producing? Α. I've only been with the OCD four years, so I know 14 that it's only been incapable for four years. 15 But its status has not changed -- Has its status 16 17 changed at any time in that four years? 18 Α. No, it has not. 19 MR. BROOKS: Very good, thank you. Pass the 20 witness again. **EXAMINATION** 21 BY MR. HALL: 22 23 Mr. Prichard, could you briefly tell us the basis Q. of your determination that the Number 4 well is in capable 24 of producing further? 25

	The state of the s
1	A. Well, there's no flow lines, nothing connected to
2	it, so it has no place to produce to.
3	Q. Is there any pump on location?
4	A. No, there is not.
5	EXAMINER STOGNER: Has there been evidence of a
6	pump?
7	THE WITNESS: No, there sure hasn't been, not in
8	the last four years.
9	EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. If there's nothing
10	further, Mr. Prichard may be excused.
11	MR. BROOKS: Okay, we'll tender Exhibit Number 1,
12	the affidavit of Ms. Prouty into evidence.
13	EXAMINER STOGNER: But please stay on the phone,
14	Mr. Prichard.
15	Exhibit Number 1, if there's no objection
16	MR. HALL: No objection.
17	EXAMINER STOGNER: will be admitted into
18	evidence at this time.
19	MR. BROOKS: Very good, the Division rests.
20	EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Hall?
21	MR. HALL: Mr. Spillane, if I could ask you a few
22	questions
23	MR. SPILLANE: Sure.
24	MR. HALL: first of all, for the record
25	EXAMINER STOGNER: Do we need to swear him in?

	20
1	MR. HALL: Yes, let's do that.
2	EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, I'm going to have you
. 3	sworn in, Mr. Spillane.
4	MR. SPILLANE: All right.
5	(Thereupon, James Spillane was sworn.)
6	<u>JAMES SPILLANE</u> (Present by Telephone),
7	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
8	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. HALL:
11	Q. For the record, state your name.
12	A. James Spillane.
13	Q. And where do you live, Mr. Spillane?
14	A. I live in Cardiff, California, C-a-r-d-i-f-f.
15	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
16	A. I'm an employee of Crystal River Oil and Gas, and
17	I do work for KC Resources as well.
18	Q. Do you have any technical background, education?
19	A. My degree is in finance. I'm our land and
20	marketing manager.
21	Q. Can you tell us the relationship between KC
22	Resources and Crystal River?
23	A. KC Resources acts as our agent/operator for
24	Crystal River Oil and Gas.
25	Q. All right. Would you briefly discuss with us,

Mr. Spillane, the plans of KC or Crystal River to place the three wells that are the subject of this hearing back onto production or to bring them back into compliance with the Division's Rules?

A. Well, currently our plans are to enter into -- I guess actually the well is not part of this, the "BB" NCT Well Number 2 -- to examine whether we can put it back on production as an Atoka gas well. If we cannot, then that well will be used as a saltwater disposal well.

And the reason that we said that one first is that based on the offset production of companies like Paladin, in order to really effectively produce the Devonian that is there, you're lifting high quantities of water, and it would require a service well, a saltwater disposal well, to be utilized in order to make this an effective program.

So either the "BB" State will be put into disposal service, or either the 1, 2 or 4 will be put in disposal, probably not the Number 2 since that appears to be the highest on the structure, based on the geology information that I received, and offsets the Paladin EE -- ECC Number 1 well. So more than likely that well will be put on as a producing well.

As soon as we're able to identify the service usability of the "BB" State Number 2 well, we intend to

test the "BH" Number 2 in order to see if that does have the same capabilities as the offsetting ECC Number 1 well from Paladin. If so, then that well will be placed on production and we will utilize either the "BB" Number 2 or the "BH" 1 or 4 well as a service well.

Following that, we will then utilize -- we'll go back into the "BH" 1 and 4 well and recomplete those to the Devonian and/or surface, depending on what works. That is why we've asked for the continuance in this case, is in order to evaluate the wellbore usability, how exactly we're doing this. We do see that the opportunity is there, and the need and the requirements are there as well.

So in short, that's why you've only got the C-103 supplied for the "BB" Number 2 and the "BH" Number 2, is because the usability of those two wellbores will determine our actions, whether we use those wellbores or ultimately come in here and do plug these four, depending -- you know, if none of this works, well then certainly we would do so.

- Q. Does KC have any plans to run any casing integrity tests on these wellbores?
- A. Well, certainly, we'd have to pressure up on all these things. Regardless of the use of them, we would have to ensure that we have casing integrity throughout the total depth.

I believe that there have been fluid tests, fluid

level tests on the -- well, I know they were on the Number 3. Certainly we can do, you know, mechanical integrity tests, if need be, in order to ensure that there's no water There haven't been any casing leaks, to my knowledge, but we can certainly do that testing if that gives the Natural Resources Department the comfort it needs to allow us the time to go through here. I think that can be done.

Q. Based on what we know about the condition of the wells and based on the Division's witness's testimony, is there any reason to believe that there is a current threat to freshwater resources in the area of these wells?

- A. No, there's been no indication that we've seen that there's any current threat or problem with wellbore integrity.
- Q. Does KC have a schedule for implementing the work, the evaluation of these wells, in the near future?
- A. We believe we'll be on the "BB" Number 2 well within the next 30 days. My engineer -- You know, I've got a pumper that's in the area, I've got some engineering background, also does the Paladin. I've got an engineer coming into that area. The one I was going to bring in had some medical problems, but he'll be back available within the next couple of weeks.

So we really believe that we can move on to the

"BB" Number 2 well within the next -- days and begin operations to see the usability of that well. And then after that we move to the "BH", and then following that, the other wells. That was why we asked for a six-month continuance, because we're -- we were looking at these four wells, trying to -- you know, it will take that long to determine everything we're doing.

- Q. All right. If KC Resources is prevented from evaluating these wells and placing them back on production to the extent they can be placed back into production, do you believe there's a likelihood that the waste of hydrocarbon resources would result?
- A. Yes, we do. I mean, looking at the offset production that's available, we're basically looking at 40 or 50 barrels a day from each of these -- well, I guess actually somewhere between 30 and 50, depending on what well you're at, plus a little bit of gas, plus the possibility of the Atoka zone on the "BB" Number 2.

We're looking at a significant amount of resources that will be lost to us, whether they get drained by offset operators -- that's possible to some degree, some of those would be developed, but certainly not by us -- and the very direct likelihood that based on this new program that others at Paladin and others have started, that if we don't do this we would lose a significant amount.

- All right. Does KC Resources request that the 1 Q. Division hold in abeyance the entry of any order in this 2 case until it's had a chance to work with the Division to 3 restore these wells to production and further evaluate 4 them? 5 That is what we're requesting here, yes. 6 Α. MR. HALL: I have nothing further. Pass the 7 8 witness. 9 EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. BROOKS:
 - Q. Mr. Spillane, do you know the production status -- are you personally familiar with the production status of the "BH" NCT-1 Well Number 4?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. I see the reports that are filed, much as you see them. I'm not sure in that particular case. My production analyst had left us, we've got a new one that's working, and I believe that he filed that Number 4 earlier this year. It may have been a tank -- I had a number of tank bottoms pulled and some things that were done, so it may have been a tank that was pulled on that or allocated to that.
- Q. But you're not familiar with the wells in the field?
- A. No, my pumper Shane Ferguson would be the most intimately familiar with those.

0. Very good. You sent to me a letter dated October 2 23rd, 2002, which was yesterday, which you faxed to me, correct? 3 That's correct. 4 Α. And you had attached to that two C-103s, one for 5 6 the "BB" State NCT-1 Number 2 and the other for the "BH" 7 State NCT-1 Well Number 2? That's correct. 8 Α. Okay. I have a copy of that which I've marked as 9 Q. an exhibit to tender into evidence -- not to tender into 10 11 evidence unless you want to tender it into evidence, but because I promised to you yesterday that I would make it 12 available for the Examiner. I assume that your counsel can 13 agree to the authenticity of it for the purposes of putting 14 it in the record; is that correct? 15 16 That's correct. Α. MR. HALL: We'd move the admission of Exhibit A, 17 Mr. Examiner. 18 19 EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibit A will be admitted into evidence at this time. 20 21 MR. BROOKS: No objection. 22 Okay, pass the witness. 23 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, you broke up there for a second. 24

EXAMINER STOGNER: Well, he passed the witness.

It's my turn to ask you some questions, Mr. Spillane.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Again, my name is Michael Stogner.

EXAMINATION

BY EXAMINER STOGNER:

- Q. What has kept KC from doing anything with these wells until this time?
- A. Well, I've, you know, not been here since Jan- -Anyway, I started here in January, 2001. So as far as any
 -- you know, any real directions or where I can go prior to
 that, it would have to be someone else.

From what I can see based on what's gone on is that we were unsure as to how to properly develop these leases. Of course, the Well Number 3 is there in that same section, and we were producing it. The question was, really, how to develop this.

Once Paladin had come in -- and they, of course, had acquired the "BB" NCT Well Number 1 wellbore as a disposal well and then came in and developed their EE -- their ECC Number 1, where they're doing this, this newer completion where they're cutting off the casing at 3500 feet, installing a sub pump and bringing all this oil and water up, you know, when you look at the idea that you're going to be moving 3000 or 4000 barrels of water to get 30

or 40 barrels of oil from a wellbore that was cased and had all those other things going with it, that was a very challenging economic scenario.

The scenario that Paladin has come up with here in the area of the open hole, of the high-efficiency sub pumps, of a local disposal has provided a window of opportunity and probably expertise and knowledge that really wasn't identified until recently. And now that we see that they've been able to make this work and the possibility now that we can take these under-utilized wellbores and be in a situation where we can be producing anywhere from 100 to 200 barrels of oil a day and some gas, that these become extremely economically viable to us. But that's really what's changed.

- Q. Now, you heard some testimony about some production being reported on a well that's not possible to produce. Can you --
- A. Well, the testimony I gave on that is, I was not sure when Mr. Prichard was testifying -- I know he testified that there was no pumps, but Mr. Prichard, what I wasn't sure of is if there was some tankage that was there. We did have some tank bottoms and we did have some oil that was sold, that was out there, if I remember right, six months or a year ago or so, I did have several tanks pulled off, our bottoms pulled and some oil sold from that area.

So it may have been from that when that occurred, and of course because that sale occurred then, that would be reported as an oil sale.

But you know, it's possible it was a mistake in the reporting. But I -- You know, that person isn't here. Generally they were pretty good, so normally he would have filed a sales ticket, would have generated the report.

EXAMINER STOGNER: I have no other questions of Mr. Spillane at this time.

Any redirect?

MR. HALL: No, Mr. Examiner. What we can do is try to follow up and supplement the record with any evidence we have that might correspond with the C-115 production data that was in Exhibit Number 2, see if that's valid data.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Anything further, gentlemen?

MR. BROOKS: Yes, Mr. Examiner, I would ask you
to take administrative notice that Frontier Insurance
Company received the correspondence in this case and that
there is a return receipt from Frontier Insurance Company
in the Court's jacket.

EXAMINER STOGNER: The record will so show.

MR. BROOKS: And I would like to make a statement with regard to matters raised by Mr. Spillane.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Please.

(505) 989-9317

MR. BROOKS: The reason the OCD did not agree to 1 2 a continuance to allow KC Resources to put these wells back on production was because of the length of time that had 3 4 passed and the fact that they had been noticed first in 5 1995 and again in 2000 and had not addressed these wells. If the Examiner is disposed to allow them an 6 7 opportunity to put these wells back on production, what we 8 would request is that an order go ahead and be entered and give them a specified period of time in which either to 9 10 restore these wells to production or to plug them, and in the event they fail to do so, that after that period of 11 12 time has expired, the Division can proceed to plug these 13 wells if necessary. 14 EXAMINER STOGNER: Do you have a particular amount of time? 15 MR. BROOKS: We'd leave that to your discretion. 16 17 I believe they had requested six months. I have not talked 18 to my client about this, so I would not make any recommendation. 19 20 EXAMINER STOGNER: Normally what is usually 21 given? Thirty days? 22 MR. BROOKS: Thirty days to plug is when a routine plugging case... 23 24 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. Anything further? MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, if such an order is 25

entered by the Division, we request that it also include a 1 provision that would allow us to come back, petition for 2 extensions of time on the six-month deadline, if the 3 4 evidence supporting the request warrants. 5 EXAMINER STOGNER: So noted. If there's nothing further, then I'm prepared to 6 7 take this case under advisement. And with that, I shall do Now, if you all want to talk, I'll leave the room. 8 But as far as I'm concerned, this case has been taken under 9 advisement and we stand adjourned until -- what, 1:30? 10 MR. BROOKS: My problem here is, I don't believe 11 Dorothy will be back till 2:00, and we've got six more 12 cases to present. They're all uncontested, so they ought 13 to go pretty fast, but I'll leave it to the Examiner. 14 Ιt certainly is in your discretion what to do. But Dorothy's 15 lunch is scheduled at one o'clock, because she covers the 16 phone while Florene's at lunch. 17 EXAMINER STOGNER: I'll tell you what, let's --18 19 Okay, let's recess until two o'clock. MR. BROOKS: Okay, thank you. 20 EXAMINER STOGNER: And I'll leave the room, and 21 if you all need to talk, why, you all can do that. 22 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at 23 I do hereby certify that the foregoing is 24 12:20 p.m.) a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 12948. 25

heard by mg og 24 October 2002

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL November 6th, 2002.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2006