RECEIVED

2008 APR 11 2 PM 1 53

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

f = [1	$\Pi = 22$
3	ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
4	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
5	priffs. Blooms. Gis
6	IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED
7	BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:
8	APPLICATION OF PARALLEL PETROLEUM CASE NO. 13960
9	CORPORATION FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, CHAVES COUNTY, NEW MEXICO
10	
11	
12	
13	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
14	EXAMINER HEARING
15	
16	BEFORE: DAVID K. BROOKS, Jr., Legal Examiner WILLIAM V. JONES, Jr., Technical Examiner
17	WILLIAM V. JUNES, DI., TECHNICAL EXAMINET
18	April 3, 2008
19	Santa Fe, New Mexico
20	This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, DAVID K. BROOKS, Jr., Legal
21	Examiner, and WILLIAM V. JONES, Jr., Technical Examiner, on Thursday, April 3, 2008, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and
22	Natural Resources Department, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.
23	REPORTED BY: JOYCE D. CALVERT, P-03
24	Paul Baca Court Reporters 500 Fourth Street, NW, Suite 105
25	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

```
Page 2
 1
                                   INDEX
 2
 3
     April 3, 2008
     Examiner Hearing
 4
     CASE NO. 13960
 5
 6
     Appearing for Parallel Petroleum Corporation:
 7
            JIM BRUCE, ESQ.
            P O Box 1056
 8
            Santa Fe, New Mexico 87545
 9
10
                                                                  PAGE
     APPLICANT'S EXHIBITS 1 - 2 ADMITTED
                                                                    5
11
12
                                                                    6
     REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

- 1 EXAMINER JONES: Let's call Case 13960, Application
- of Parallel Petroleum Corporation for Compulsory Pooling,
- 3 Chaves County, New Mexico.
- 4 Call for appearances.
- 5 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of Santa Fe,
- 6 representing the applicant. I am submitting this case by
- 7 affidavit, also.
- 8 EXAMINER JONES: Any other appearances?
- 9 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, this well unit was pooled
- 10 by Order No. R12799 last summer. And I guess you were the
- 11 examiner. After the pooling, which was -- actually,
- 12 Ms. Munds-Dry was the attorney for Parallel in that case --
- 13 there arose some title issues, and I re-filed this matter
- 14 because we were seeking to force-pool Chesapeake, which Holland
- 15 & Hart represents at times. So I was asked to take over the
- 16 pooling.
- We did file this application quite some time ago.
- 18 And Parallel continued negotiating and came to terms with
- 19 Chesapeake. But, in the interim, the old pooling order
- 20 expired, and there was one person left to pool because they
- 21 hadn't commenced the well in a timely matter. And that person
- 22 is the New Mexico Department of Transportation.
- 23 Submitted is Exhibit 1 as the affidavit of the
- 24 landman pretty much reiterating everything that he testified to
- 25 in the original hearing in this matter. They are seeking to

- 1 force-pool a Wolfcamp gas test. The unit is in the south half
- of Section 9, 15 South 25 East. If you look at the land plat
- 3 attached to the affidavit, you can see that the surface
- 4 location is actually adjoining Section 8, but the penetration
- 5 point in the Wolfcamp and the rest of the well bore is at an
- 6 orthodox location.
- 7 Attachment B to the exhibit is a listing of various
- 8 mineral and working interest owners. Again, the only party
- 9 being force-pooled is the Highway Commission, which owns about
- 10 3.75 percent of the working interest -- unleased working
- 11 interest in the well unit as a result of owning a right-of-way
- 12 and fee crossing the land.
- 13 EXAMINER JONES: Okay.
- MR. BRUCE: And the problem is they don't have any
- 15 procedures to approve oil and gas leases at this point. I
- 16 believe they're trying to, but they've been force-pooled
- 17 numerous times and just don't have anything in the process for
- 18 leasing their interest.
- 19 MR. BROOKS: They have somebody working on studying
- 20 it. They came by and talked to us.
- MR. BRUCE: Yes, they do, a Mr. Katmac.
- 22 And Exhibit 2 is my Affidavit of Notice to a notice
- 23 to the Department of Transportation. And they did receive
- 24 actual notice. So I would move the admission of Exhibit 1 and
- 25 2, and that this matter be taken under advisement foregoing is

The Part of Case No.

heard by me an

DATE

Evaminer