1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
3	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
4	
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED
6	BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING: CASE NO. 14159
7	APPLICATION OF PARALLEL PETROLEUM
8	CORPORATION FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, CHAVES COUNTY, NEW MEXICO
9	
10	ORICINAL
11	
12	
13	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
14	EXAMINER HEARING
15	
16	BEFORE: DAVID K. BROOKS, Legal Examiner
17	WILLIAM V. JONES, Technical Examiner TERRY WARNELL, Technical Examiner
18	
19	August 7, 2008
20	Santa Fe, New Mexico
21	This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, DAVID K. BROOKS, Legal Examiner,
22	WILLIAM V. JONES, Technical Examiner, and TERRY WARNELL, Technical Examiner, on Thursday, August 7, 2008, at the
23	New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.
24	REPORTED BY: JOYCE D. CALVERT, P-03
25	Paul Baca Court Reporters 500 Fourth Street, NW, Suite 105 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

INDEX Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 14159 PAGE APPEARANCES APPLICANT'S WITNESSES: AARON MYERS DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BRUCE EXAMINATION BY MR. JONES EXAMINATION BY MR. BROOKS APPLICANT'S EXHIBITS 1 through 7 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

1	
1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	FOR THE APPLICANT:
4	James G. Bruce, Esq. ATTORNEY AT LAW
5	P.O. Box 1056 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 MR. JONES: Let's call Case No. 14159, Application of 2 Parallel Petroleum Corporation for Compulsory Pooling, Chaves 3 County, New Mexico. 4 Call for appearances. 5 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of Santa Fe, 6 representing the applicant. I have one witness, the same Mr. Myers. And if the record could reflect that he was 7 8 previously sworn and qualified. 9 MR. JONES: Mr. Myers has already been sworn in the 10 previous case. 11 AARON MYERS 12 after having been first duly sworn under oath, 13 was questioned and testified as follows: 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. BRUCE: 16 Q. Mr. Myers, could you -- in this case, could you 17 identify Exhibit 1 and describe what Parallel seeks in this 18 application? 19 Exhibit 1, again, is a locator map showing our Α. 20 drilling location located, actually, in the NE/4 of the NR/4 of 21 Section 5, and then drilling eastward with a bottom hole location in the NE/4, NE/4 of Section 4, the N/2 of Section 4. 22 23 Q. And is this, again, a horizontal Wolfcamp well? 24 Α. Yes, sir, it is. 25 Q. And in this are of the Wolfcamp is gas bearing,

1 is it not? 2 Yes, it is. Α. 3 And referring to Exhibit 2, what is the name of Q. the well? 4 5 A. Exhibit 2, the name of the well is the Read Quiet 1525-4 Fed Com No. 1 well. 6 7 Q. And, again, the C-104 attached as page 2 sets 8 forth the surface location penetration point and bottom hole 9 location? A. Yes, it does. 10 11 Q. Are you using essentially the same well pad as 12 for the prior well? 13 A. Yes, we are. 14 Q. But the penetration point will be at an orthodox qas well location; is that correct? 15 16 A. Yes, it will. We'll be drilling down and then 17 horizontally drilling eastward to make a penetration point in the N/2 of Section 4. 18 19 Q. Referring you to Exhibit 3, what is the working interest ownership in the well? 20 21 A. Exhibit 3 outlines Parallel's aggregate working 22 interest in this well as a little over 89 percent, 89.083 23 percent to be certain. 24 Q. And who on this list do you seek to pool? 25 A. Starting with the S/2 of the NW/4, the first

person in line there would be Francis Moots and/or the unknown heirs/devisees of Frances Moots. We were never able to locate a valid address for Frances Moots. She kind of fell out of title, you know, way back. I want to say 50, 60 years ago. And she is still listed on our title opinion as the mineral owner.

7

1

2

3

4

5

6

Q. And who else do you seek to pool?

Α. Moving down that list, we seek to pool Mary M. 8 Eicker who was the original mineral interest owner under this 9 tract. And we're also seeking to pool the unknown heirs and 10 11 devisees of Mary M. Eicker. Going down that list further, we 12 have Jessie Elizabeth Trotter, who we have ascertained to be the daughter of Mary Eicker. And listed after Mrs. Trotter is 13 14 Chesapeake Energy Corporation, who did take the lease from 15 Jessie Elizabeth Trotter, the heir of Mary M. Eicker.

Q. Okay. So you would seek to force-pool Frances Moots and Mary Eicker and/or their unknown heirs, and then Chesapeake Energy?

19

20

A. Yes, we would.

Q. What is Exhibit 4?

A. Exhibit 4 is a copy of our well proposal that was
sent to Chesapeake Energy Corporation in Oklahoma City.

23 Q. And have you received any response to this 24 letter?

25

A. Yes. They did sign for the letter, and we have

1 talked back and forth to Chesapeake a few times. We're still 2 waiting on an answer from them as to how they would like to 3 proceed. In all likelihood, what we expect to see happen is Chesapeake to sign an operating agreement. They have done that 4 5 in the past on some other wells around the area. And again, the status of Frances Moots? 6 Ο. Frances Moots, like I said, you know, by 7 Α. investigating the oil and gas records in Chaves County, we were 8 9 never able to ascertain a valid address for Mrs. Moots. 10 Q. And again, you did internet searches and other 11 types of searches to try to locate her? 12 Α. Yes, sir, we did. I did notice on Exhibit 3, there is a Charles 13 Ο. 14 Thomas Moots. I presume they are relatives of some type? A. Yes, they are. And I know that we are working 15 16 with the other Moots heirs trying to get this resolved. We've 17 still got the requirements on our title opinion, and that's 18 kind of what we have to base everything on until we can get an 19 okay from our title opinion attorney. Would you please identify Exhibit 5 -- let's take 20 Ο. In your opinion, has Parallel made a good faith 21 a step back. 22 effort either to locate the unlocatable, currently unlocatable, interest owners or to obtain the voluntary joinder of 23 24 Chesapeake in this well? 25 A. Yes, we have.

Would you identify Exhibit 5 and discuss the cost 1 Ο. 2 of the well according to your records? A. Exhibit 5 is Parallel's authority for 3 expenditure, which did go out with the letter attached to 4 Exhibit No. 4. It outlines our total cost for this well --5 total estimated cost of \$2,317,500 for the well. 6 Q. And again, is this cost in line with the cost of 7 other wells drilled in this depth in this area of New Mexico? 8 9 A. Yes, sir, it is. 10 Do you request that Parallel be appointed Ο. operator of the well? 11 12 A. At the present time, yes, we would. Do you have a recommendation as to the overhead 13 Ο. 14 rates? A. Again, we would recommend overhead rates of \$4500 15 16 a month drilling and \$450 per month producing. 17 Q. Are these amounts equivalent to those normally 18 charged by operators in this area for wells of this depth? 19 A. Yes, sir, they are. 20 Ο. Do you request that this rate be adjusted periodically as provided by the COPAS accounting procedure? 21 22 A. Yes, I would. And does Parallel request a maximum cost plus 23 0. 24 200 percent penalty against any non-consenting interest owners? 25 A. At the present time, we would.

Was Chesapeake notified of this hearing? 1 Q. 2 Α. Yes, sir, they were. And is that reflected in my Affidavit of Notice 3 0. marked Exhibit 6? 4 5 A. Yes, it is. Was Ms. Moots and the potential unknown heirs of ο. 6 Mary Eicker, were they notified of this hearing by publication? 7 A. No. Yes, they were. Okay. Yeah. I'm sorry. 8 9 I'm looking at the wrong exhibit. Q. And is Exhibit 7 a copy of notice that was 1011 published in the Roswell newspaper? 12 A. Yes, sir, it is. 13 MR. BRUCE: And again, Mr. Examiner, I request 14 permission to submit the original Affidavit of Publication when 15 I receive it in a day or two from the Roswell newspaper. MR. BROOKS: Sounds good. 16 17 Q. (By Mr. Bruce): Were Exhibits 1 through 7 18 prepared by you or under your supervision or compiled from company business records? 19 20 A. Yes, they were. 21 And in your opinion, is the granting of this Q. 22 application in the interest of conservation and the prevention of waste? 23 24 A. Yes, it is. 25 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I move the admission of

Parallel's Exhibits 1 through 7. 1 MR. JONES: Exhibits 1 through 7 will be admitted. 2 [Applicant's Exhibits 1 through 7 admitted into 3 evidence.1 4 MR. BRUCE: And I have no further questions of the 5 6 witness. 7 EXAMINATION BY MR. JONES: 8 Do you know who to contact at Chesapeake? 9 Ο. Yes. I've talked to them back and forth, and 10 Α. 11 we're still working on trying to figure out what we're going to 12 do with them. My dealing with Chesapeake is you'll get a hold 13 of somebody and then you're not going to hear from them for two or three weeks, but that's just, you know, sometimes we get 14 15 that busy as well. But I think, regarding Chesapeake, that we're pretty 16 17 certain we'll have an operating agreement or something worked out. They wanted to sell us a bunch of acreage and this was 18 19 included in it. But they've really only got about 400 acres 20 that we'd be interested in buying. And they wanted to sell 21 us -- I want to say upwards of 20 or 24,000 acres, just kind of scattered all over a map. I was like, I don't think we want to 22 buy that, because I don't know what we would do with it since 23 you guys are having a hard time figuring out what you want to 24 25 do with it.

Okay. I don't have any other questions. 1 MR. JONES: EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. BROOKS: 3 Q. Okay. Chesapeake is the lessee? They are the 4 5 oil and gas lease? Do they have the entire 3/16 interest in that tract? 6 7 A. Yes, they do. They took the oil and gas lease from Jessie Elizabeth Trotter, who is, by all accounts, the 8 9 most probable heir of Mary M. Eicker. O. Okay. So the title is Mary L. Eicker and Jessie 10 11 Elizabeth Trotter is the lessor and Chesapeake is the lessee? 12 A. Yes, sir. 13 In the case of the Moots interest, you don't have Q. 14 any idea who those people are, right? 15 Α. That's a common problem we run into where you'll find somebody named of record but there's no address of record 16 17 in anything that you come across, so you're kind of left with, 18 you know, using the biggest paintbrush you can. O. I'm aware of the issue. 19 20 Α. Yeah. Then you'll be putting that interest in suspense 21 Ο. 22 in any case? 23 Yes, sir, we will. Α. 24 MR. BROOKS: That's all I have. 25 MR. BRUCE: I have nothing further in this matter.

1 2 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 3 I, JOYCE D. CALVERT, Provisional Court Reporter for 4 the State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that I reported the 5 6 foregoing proceedings in stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript of those 7 8 proceedings and was reduced to printed form under my direct 9 supervision. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by nor 10 11 related to any of the parties or attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in the final disposition of this 12 13 proceeding. 14 DATED this 7th of August, 2008. 15 16 17 18 Joner Calino 19 20 21 JOYCE D. CALVERT New Mexico P-03 22 7/31/09 License Expires: 23 24 25

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) 2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO) 3 I, JOYCE D. CALVERT, a New Mexico Provisional Reporter, working under the direction and direct supervision of 4 Paul Baca, New Mexico CCR License Number 112, hereby certify 5 that I reported the attached proceedings; that pages numbered 1-12 inclusive, are a true and correct transcript of my 6 stenographic notes. On the date I reported these proceedings, I was the holder of Provisional License Number P-03. 7 Dated at Albuquerque, New Mexico, 7th day of August, 2008. 8 9 10 Joyce D. Calvert Provisional License #P-03 11 License Expires: 7/31/09 12 13 Paul Riag 14 15 16 Paul Baca, RPR Certified Court Reporter #112 17 License Expires: 12/31/08 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25