STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG.
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
27 April 1983

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

The hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion for an order creating, abolishing, redesignating, and extending certain pools in McKinley, Rio Arriba, San Juan, and Sandoval Counties, New Mexico. CASE 7859

BEFORE: Richard L. Stamets, Examiner

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

A P P E A R A N C E S

For the Oil Conservation Division: W. Perry Pearce, Esq.
Legal Counsel to the Division
State Land Office Bldg.
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

For the Applicant:

I N D E X

MR. STAMETS: Call next Case Number 7859, in the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion for an order creating, abolishing, redesignating, and extending certain pools in McKinley, Rio Arriba, San Juan, Sandoval Counties, New Mexico.

MR. PEARCE: May it please the Examiner, I am W. Perry Pearce, appearing in this matter on behalf of New Mexico Oil Conservation Divison.

I have one witness to be sworn.

(Witness sworn.)

JEFF EDMISTER,

being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. PEARCE:

Q. Thank you, sir. For the record would you please state your name, by whom you're employed, and in what position?

A. Yeah. My name is Jeff Edmister. I'm employed as a geologist for the Oil Conservation Division in Aztec District III.

Q. And, Mr. Edmister, in preparation for this case have you reviewed the docket of this case and the exhibit to be introduced as Exhibit One and noted any substantial differences between those two?

A. We have one difference in the docket and that is in pargraph (b). The name of the pool has one letter missing from it. They have Cabesto Gallup in the advertisement -- or in the docket, and it should be Cabresto. There should be an "R" between the "B" and the "E".

Q. There is presently an "R" between the "T" and the "O". Should that "R" be there?

A. Yes, that "R" should be there also.

MR. STAMETS: Cabrestro?

A. That's it, Cabrestro.

MR. QUINTANA: It means rope.

Q. As I understand it, Mr. Edmister, did that error occur in both the docket and the legal advertisement of this case?

A. Yes, it did.

MR. STAMETS: It's certainly an insignificant error.

Q. The record will reflect that that change has been requested.

Do you know of any other differences between

the two documents?

A. I know of no other differences between the docket, advertisement, and exhibit.

MR. PEARCE: Mr. Examiner, I have nothing further in this case.

We'd move the admission of Exhibit One to this proceeding.

MR. STAMETS: The exhibit will be admitted.

Anybody like to say anything else?

Any questions? The witness is excused

If there is nothing further, this case is taken under advisement, and the hearing is adjourned.

(Hearing concluded.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. _____, heard by me on _____ 19___.

_____, Examiner
Oil Conservation Division

SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R.
Rt. 1 Box 193-B
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
Phone (505) 455-7409

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG.
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
27 April 1983

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

The hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion for an order creating, abolishing, redesignating, and extending certain pools in McKinley, Rio Arriba, San Juan, and Sandoval Counties, New Mexico.

CASE 7859

BEFORE: Richard L. Stamets, Examiner

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

A P P E A R A N C E S

For the Oil Conservation Division: W. Perry Pearce, Esq.
Legal Counsel to the Division
State Land Office Bldg.
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

For the Applicant:

I N D E X

MR. STAMETS: Call next Case Number 7859, in the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion for an order creating, abolishing, redesignating, and extending certain pools in McKinley, Rio Arriba, San Juan, Sandoval Counties, New Mexico.

MR. PEARCE: May it please the Examiner, I am W. Perry Pearce, appearing in this matter on behalf of New Mexico Oil Conservation Divison.

I have one witness to be sworn.

(Witness sworn.)

JEFF EDMISTER,

being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. PEARCE:

Q. Thank you, sir. For the record would you please state your name, by whom you're employed, and in what position?

A. Yeah. My name is Jeff Edmister. I'm employed as a geologist for the Oil Conservation Division in Aztec District III.

Q. And, Mr. Edmister, in preparation for this case have you reviewed the docket of this case and the exhibit to be introduced as Exhibit One and noted any substantial differences between those two?

A. We have one difference in the docket and that is in pargraph (b). The name of the pool has one letter missing from it. They have Cabesto Gallup in the advertisement -- or in the docket, and it should be Cabresto. There should be an "R" between the "B" and the "E".

Q. There is presently an "R" between the "T" and the "O". Should that "R" be there?

A. Yes, that "R" should be there also.

MR. STAMETS: Cabrestro?

A. That's it, Cabrestro.

MR. QUINTANA: It means rope.

Q. As I understand it, Mr. Edmister, did that error occur in both the docket and the legal advertisement of this case?

A. Yes, it did.

MR. STAMETS: It's certainly an insignificant error.

Q. The record will reflect that that change has been requested.

Do you know of any other differences between

the two documents?

A. I know of no other differences between the docket, advertisement, and exhibit.

MR. PEARCE: Mr. Examiner, I have nothing further in this case.

We'd move the admission of Exhibit One to this proceeding.

MR. STAMETS: The exhibit will be admitted.

Anybody like to say anything else?

Any questions? The witness is excused

If there is nothing further, this case is taken under advisement, and the hearing is adjourned.

(Hearing concluded.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 7859, heard by me on 11-27 19 83.

Richard L. Stamets, Examiner
Oil Conservation Division

SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R.
Rt. 1 Box 193-B
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
Phone (505) 455-7409