STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT .

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

BRUCE KING GOVERNOR LARRY KEHOE SECRETARY

December 9, 1982

POST OFFICE BOX 2088 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501 (505) 827-2434

Mr. Thomas Kellahin Kellahin & Kellahin Attorneys at Law Post Office Box 1769 Santa Fe, New Mexico Re: C

CASE NO. 7730 ORDER NO. R-7149

Applicant:

C & K Petroleum, Inc.

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Yours very truly, JOE D. RAMEY Director

JDR/fd

Copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCC x Artesia OCC x Aztec OCC

Other Owen Lopez, Bruce Pope

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE NO. 7730 Order No. R-7149

APPLICATION OF C & K PETROLEUM FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on November 29, 1982, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission."

NGW, on this 8th day of December, 1982, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony and the exhibits and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, C & K Petroleum, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Pennsylvanian formation underlying the S/2 SW/4 of Section 27, Township 16 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Casey-Strawn Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.

(3) That the applicant has the right to drill and proposes to drill a well at a standard location thereon.

(4) That there are interest owners in the proposed proration unit who have not agreed to pool their interests.

(5) That Texaco Inc. appeared in opposition proposing as an alternative the formation of a standard 80-acre oil proration unit comprising the E/2 SW/4 of said Section 27.

(6) That the geological evidence presented in this case demonstrated:

Case No. 7730 Order No. R-7149

- (a) that both proposed proration units are wholly underlain by porous intervals within the Strawn formation;
- (b) that a well drilled in the N/2 E/2 SW/4 of said Section 27 encountered Strawn porosity below a water-oil contact and was not capable of commercial production therefrom;
- (c) that the water-oil contact and that portion of the Strawn formation which should be capable of commercial production lies to the South of said well; and,
 - (d) that the proration unit proposed by the applicant in this case more nearly fits the area presumed to be productive from the Strawn formation in the SW/4 of said Section 27 than the alternative unit described in Finding No. (5) above.

(7) That given said geologic findings, a proration unit as proposed by the applicant provides for the maximum protection of the correlative rights of the various interest owners in this case.

(8) That to avoid the drilling of unnecessary wells, to protect correlative rights, and to afford to the owner of each interest in said unit the opportunity to recover or receive without unnecessary expense his just and fair share of the oil and gas in said pool, the subject application should be approved by pooling all mineral interests, whatever they may be, within said unit.

(9) That the applicant should be designated the operator of the subject well and unit.

(10) That any non-consenting working interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production.

(11) That any non-consenting working interest owner who does not pay his share of estimated well costs should have withheld from production his share of the reasonable well costs plus an additional 200 percent thereof as a reasonable charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well. -3-Case No. 7730 Order No. R-7149

(12) That any non-consenting interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to object to the actual well costs but that actual well costs should be adopted as the reasonable well costs in the absence of such objection.

(13) That following determination of reasonable well costs, any non-consenting working interest owner who has paid his share of estimated costs should pay to the operator any amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and should receive from the operator any amount that paid estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs.

(14) That \$4180.00 per month while drilling and \$423.00 per month while producing should be fixed as reasonable charges for supervision (combined fixed rates); that the operator should be authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of such supervision charges attributable to each nonconsenting working interest, and in addition thereto, the operator should be authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of actual expenditures required for operating the subject well, not in excess of what are reasonable, attributable to each non-consenting working interest.

(15) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason should be placed in escrow to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership.

(16) That upon the failure of the operator of said pooled unit to commence drilling of the well to which said unit is dedicated on or before March 1, 1983, the order pooling said unit should become null and void and of no effect whatsoever.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That all mineral interests, whatever they may be, in the Pennsylvanian formation underlying the S/2 SW/4 of Section 27, Township 16 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Casey-Strawn Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, are hereby pooled to form a standard 80-acre oil spacing and proration unit to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon.

PROVIDED HOWEVER, that the operator of said unit shall commence the drilling of said well on or before the first day of March, 1983, and shall thereafter continue the drilling of said well with due diligence to a depth sufficient to test the Strawn formation; -4-Case No. 7730 Order No. R-7149

<u>PROVIDED FURTHER</u>, that in the event said operator does not commence the drilling of said well on or before the first day of March, 1983, Order (1) of this order shall be null and void and of no effect whatsoever, unless said operator obtains a time extension from the Division for good cause shown.

PROVIDED FURTHER, that should said well not be drilled to completion, or abandonment, within 120 days after commencement thereof, said operator shall appear before the Division Director and show cause why Order (1) of this order should not be rescinded.

(2) That C & K Petroleum is hereby designated the operator of the subject well and unit.

(3) That after the effective date of this order and within 90 days prior to commencing said well, the operator shall furnish the Division and each known working interest owner in the subject unit an itemized schedule of estimated well costs.

(4) That within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him, any non-consenting working interest owner shall have the right to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production, and that any such owner who pays his share of estimated well costs as provided above shall remain liable for operating costs but shall not be liable for risk charges.

(5) That the operator shall furnish the Division and each known working interest owner an itemized schedule of actual well costs within 90 days following completion of the well; that if no objection to the actual well costs is received by the Division and the Division has not objected within 45 days following receipt of said schedule, the actual well costs shall be the reasonable well costs; provided however, that if there is an objection to actual well costs within said 45-day period the Division will determine reasonable well costs after public notice and hearing.

(6) That within 60 days following determination of reasonable well costs, any non-consenting working interest owner who has paid his share of estimated costs in advance as provided above shall pay to the operator his pro rata share of the amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and shall receive from the operator his pro rata share of the amount that estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs. -5-Case No. 7730 Order No. R-7149

(7) That the operator is hereby authorized to withhold the following costs and charges from production:

- (A) The pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each non-consenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him.
- (B) As a charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well, 200 percent of the pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each non-consenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him.

(8) That the operator shall distribute said costs and charges withheld from production to the parties who advanced the well costs.

(9) That \$4180.00 per month while drilling and \$423.00 per month while producing are hereby fixed as reasonable charges for supervision (combined fixed rates); that the operator is hereby authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of such supervision charges attributable to each nonconsenting working interest, and in addition thereto, the operator is hereby authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of actual expenditures required for operating such well, not in excess of what are reasonable, attributable to each non-consenting working interest.

(10) That any unsevered mineral interest shall be considered a seven-eighths (7/8) working interest and a one-eighth (1/8) royalty interest for the purpose of allocating costs and charges under the terms of this order.

(11) That any well costs or charges which are to be paid out of production shall be withheld only from the working interest's share of production, and no costs or charges shall be withheld from production attributable to royalty interests.

(12) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason shall immediately be placed in escrow in Lea County, New Mexico, to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership; -6-Case No. 7730 Order No. R-7149

SEAL

fđ/

that the operator shall notify the Division of the name and address of said escrow agent within 30 days from the date of first deposit with said escrow agent.

(13) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, Lew Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

> STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

ALEX J. ARMIJO, Member

Ed Killy ED KELLEY, Member

ome JOE D. NAMEY, Member & Secretary