1	INDEX		Page 2
2		Page	
3	APPLICANT'S EXHIBITS	3 *	
4	Exhibit 1	3	
5	Exhibit 2	5	
6			
7	COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	9	
8			
9			
10			:
11	APPEARANCES		
12			
13	FOR THE APPLICANT: JAMES BRUCE, ESQ.		
14	Attorney at Law P. O. Box 1056		
15	Santa Fe, NM 87504	1	
16			
17			
18			
19			!
20			
21			
22			
24			
25			

- 1 HEARING EXAMINER: At this time we'll call Case
- 2 No. 14307, the Application of Mewbourne Oil Company for
- 3 compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico.
- 4 Call for appearances.
- 5 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of Santa Fe
- 6 representing the applicant. This matter is being
- 7 submitted by affidavit.
- 8 HEARING EXAMINER: Very good. You may proceed.
- 9 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Brooks, Exhibit 1 is the
- 10 statement of Paul Haden, the landman for Mewbourne.
- 11 Mewbourne seeks to force pool the west half of Section 9,
- 12 20 South, 25 East.
- This proposal is for a reentry of the First
- 14 National Bank A Well No. 1, which is located in the
- 15 southwest quarter of the southwest quarter of Section 9.
- 16 It is a Morrow test.
- 17 The only party being force pooled is
- 18 Kaiser-Francis Oil Company which owns a 50 percent
- 19 interest in the southwest quarter. So there is only a 25
- 20 percent interest in the west half well unit. The overhead
- 21 rates are \$7,000 and \$700.
- 22 If you'll turn back to the second page of
- 23 Attachment A, Mr. Examiner, you'll see a split of the
- 24 working interest ownership. This actually shows all of
- 25 Section 9.

- If you'll look at the west half of Section 9,
- 2 Mewbourne Oil Company and the other parties listed own 100
- 3 percent of the operating rights in the northwest quarter.
- 4 They are all subject to the JOA.
- In the southwest quarter, it's owned one-half by
- 6 Kaiser-Francis Oil Company, and one-half by KCS Resources,
- 7 Inc. KCS Resources, Inc, has signed a JOA with Mewbourne
- 8 Oil Company.
- 9 I e-mailed you about this matter a day or two
- 10 ago, and I don't know if you had a chance to review that
- 11 e-mail.
- 12 HEARING EXAMINER: Was this the one where you
- 13 were raising the question about whether or not the
- 14 operator under the joint operating agreement would have
- 15 standing to bring a compulsory pooling proceeding?
- MR. BRUCE: That is correct.
- 17 HEARING EXAMINER: I can see why there is a
- 18 question about it. And I'm not aware whether the
- 19 Commission has ever addressed that issue or not.
- 20 MR. BRUCE: I just bring that out so you can --
- 21 If Mewbourne can as operator force pool the interests,
- then it would be also seeking to force pool 40 acre and
- 23 160 acres units. If not, it will only be seeking to force
- 24 pool 320 acres.
- 25 HEARING EXAMINER: I do know that we have

- 1 allowed an operating subsidiary where a different
- 2 subsidiary of the same corporation owned the working --
- 3 was the actual working interest owner, and the operating
- 4 subsidiary did not have a working interest.
- 5 And we have allowed the operating subsidiary to
- 6 be the moving party in force pooling. So that would seem
- 7 to be precedent for it. But I'm not aware of whether the
- 8 Division has ever addressed that specific issue.
- 9 MR. BRUCE: Okay. But I raise that just so you
- 10 can decide in the order what to do.
- 11 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
- MR. BRUCE: Attachment B is simply the
- 13 correspondence, letters, e-mail, et cetera, between
- 14 Mewbourne Oil Company and Kaiser-Francis discussing this
- 15 matter fairly continuously over the last couple or three
- 16 months.
- 17 And discussions are ongoing, but they have not
- 18 been able to reach agreement at this point.
- 19 Attachment C is an AFE.
- 20 HEARING EXAMINER: You said Kaiser-Francis is
- 21 the only party being pooled?
- MR. BRUCE: That is correct. Attachment C is
- 23 the AFE for the reentry, which is slightly over one
- 24 million dollars.
- 25 And Exhibit 2 is the affidavit of notice showing

- 1 that Kaiser-Francis did receive actual notice of the
- 2 pooling application.
- 3 HEARING EXAMINER: Now, you said this is a
- 4 reentry and you're asking surface to the base of the
- 5 Morrow. I take it the Morrow is the objective?
- 6 MR. BRUCE: It is the primary objective.
- 7 HEARING EXAMINER: Is it a shallow well that
- 8 they're reentering?
- 9 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I believe this well
- 10 actually -- I think they may be deepening it slightly, but
- 11 it was a fairly deep well. I forget the exact depth that
- 12 it tested. The TD was approximately 9,500 feet. And if
- 13 you look at the AFE, they planned to go down just slightly
- 14 deeper, to 9,600 feet.
- 15 HEARING EXAMINER: Now this ownership breakdown
- 16 that you provided here, is that valid for all depths?
- MR. BRUCE: With respect to Kaiser-Francis, they
- 18 would own 25 percent in 320 acre zones and 50 percent in
- 19 the southwest quarter.
- 20 HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah. Okay.
- 21 MR. BRUCE: Notice of this hearing was also sent
- 22 to Forest Oil Permian Corporation, but they have since
- 23 joined in the well, and therefore, they are not being
- 24 pooled.
- 25 But I would move the admission of Exhibits 1 and

	Page 8
1	
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) ss.
3	COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)
4	
5	
6	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
7	
8	I, PEGGY A. SEDILLO, Certified Court
9	Reporter of the firm Paul Baca Professional
10	Court Reporters do hereby certify that the
11	foregoing transcript is a complete and accurate
12	record of said proceedings as the same were
13	recorded by me or under my supervision.
14	Dated at Albuquerque, New Mexico this
15	25th day of April, 2009.
16	
17	
18	
19	Que a Call
20	PEGGY A. SEDILLO, CCR NO. 88
21	License Expires 12/31/09
22	
23	
24	
25	