STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

JUL 2 4 2003

CASE NO. 13,061

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY) THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE) PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:) Oil Conservation Division

APPLICATION OF THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION THROUGH THE ENVIRONMENTAL BUREAU CHIEF FOR AN ORDER DETERMINING THE RESPONSIBLE PARTY OR PARTIES AND ORDERING THE RESPONSIBLE PARTY OR PARTIES TO COMPLETE AND PERFORM AN ABATEMENT PLAN PURSUANT TO OCD RULE 19, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: WILLIAM V. JONES, JR., Hearing Examiner

July 15th, 2003

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, WILLIAM V. JONES, JR., Hearing Examiner, on Tuesday, July 15th, 2003, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

INDEX

July 15th, 2003 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 13,061

PAGE

APPEARANCES	3
STATEMENT BY MR. BROOKS	5
STATEMENT BY MR. PADILLA	7
STATEMENT BY MR. LARSON	9
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	11

* * *

2

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

CHERYL BADA Assistant General Counsel Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department 2040 South Pacheco Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

R

DAVID K. BROOKS, JR. Attorney at Law Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department Assistant General Counsel 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR SMITH AND MARRS:

PADILLA LAW FIRM, P.A. 1512 South St. Francis Drive P.O. Box 2523 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2523 By: ERNEST L. PADILLA

FOR CHAPARRAL ENERGY, L.L.C.:

HINKLE, HENSLEY, SHANOR & MARTIN, L.L.P. 218 Montezuma P.O. Box 2068 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504 By: GARY W. LARSON

* * *

3

_	4
1	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
2	9:40 a.m.:
3	EXAMINER JONES: Okay, let's call to order Docket
4	Number 21-03, and my name is William Jones, I'm be the
5	Hearing Examiner today, and my attorney is Cheryl Bada.
6	So with that, we'll call the first case, which is
7	the only case in this hearing, is Case 13,061, Application
8	of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division through the
9	Environmental Bureau Chief for an order determining the
10	responsible party or parties and ordering the responsible
11	party or parties to complete and perform an abatement plan
12	pursuant to OCD Rule 19, Lea County, New Mexico.
13	Call for appearances in this case.
14	MR. BROOKS: Mr. Examiner, I'm David Brooks,
15	Assistant General Counsel, Energy, Minerals and Natural
16	Resources Department of the State of New Mexico, for the
17	Oil Conservation Division.
18	EXAMINER JONES: Any other appearances?
19	MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, my name is Ernest
20	Padilla. I'm attorney for Smith and Marrs in this case.
21	EXAMINER JONES: Other appearances?
22	MR. LARSON: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. My
23	name's Gary Larson. I'm appearing on behalf of Chaparral
24	Energy, L.L.C.
25	EXAMINER JONES: Any witnesses in this case?

MR. BROOKS: Well, each of us has witnesses. Ι 1 believe that in view of the fact that we have reached an 2 agreement that it will not be necessary to swear the 3 witnesses, and indeed one of the parties has requested that 4 it be stated on the record after the settlement agreement 5 is read that the witnesses are excused, and I have no 6 7 objection to that, although it's probably not necessary since they haven't been sworn. 8 The parties have entered into settlement 9 discussions this morning, and we propose at this point to 10 settle this matter upon the following terms. 11 Now, Mr. Padilla, what is the exact and correct name of your client? 12 13 I want to be sure to get that on the record. 14 MR. PADILLA: Smith and Marrs, Inc. 15 MR. BROOKS: There is some correspondence in the file referring to another entity which I believe is not 16 17 involved in this proceeding. 18 Okay, Smith and Marrs, Inc., which I will 19 hereafter refer to as Smith and Marrs, will be primarily liable -- I won't use the word "liable" -- will be the 20 21 primary responsible party as to the Phase 1 abatement of 22 the pollution allegedly arising from the South Langlie-Jal Unit and as to the Phase 2 abatement, which may be shown to 23 24 be necessary by reason of pollution arising from the South 25 Langlie-Jal Unit, if any, when the Phase 1 is completed.

1	Smith and Marrs will have 60 days from the date
2	of entry of an order to file the Stage 1 abatement plan.
3	MR. PADILLA: Report.
4	MR. BROOKS: Report, I'm sorry. The plan has
5	already been filed. To file the Stage 1 report.
6	In the event that Smith and Marrs is not able to
7	resolve the excess issues by agreement with the surface
8	owner, they will pursue litigation in good faith to obtain
9	access and will communicate with OCD and enable OCD to
10	appear in that litigation, should it choose to do so.
11	Chaparral is absolved from responsibility to the
12	extent that Smith and Marrs performs, but only to that
13	extent. OCD and Chaparral agree to disagree on whether or
14	not Well, let's put it differently. As to the Stage 1
15	abatement plan, Chaparral agrees that they may be
16	designated as a responsible party for the Stage 1 abatement
17	plan in the event or that they will be designated as a
18	responsible party for the Stage 1 abatement plan if Smith
19	and Marrs fails to perform, if and to the extent that Smith
20	and Marrs fails to perform.
21	Chaparral and OCD agree to disagree on the extent
22	of Chaparral's responsibility for the Phase 2 abatement
23	plan, and in the event a Phase 2 abatement plan becomes
24	necessary, it is not and Phase 2 obligations are not
25	performed by Smith and Marrs, then the parties as between

OCD and Chaparral will return to the status quo, as they
were before today.

OCD will not seek any penalties based on what has 3 occurred prior to today, and if Smith and Marrs fails to 4 5 perform, however, OCD will seek penalties for their failure 6 to perform. If Smith and Marrs fails to perform, OCD will 7 notify Chaparral and give them a time deadline by which to 8 perform and will pursue penalties against Chaparral only in 9 the event Chaparral then and thereafter fails to perform. 10 I believe that -- And the undersigned, or the --11 I, as counsel for OCD, will undertake to prepare a proposed

11 1, as counsel for OCD, will undertake to prepare a proposed 12 order which will be entered as an agreed order if it's 13 agreed to by all parties. Of course, in the unlikely event 14 that we're unable to reach an agreement on the text, then 15 we may be back before your Honor, but hopefully that will 16 not occur. When we get an agreed order, which hopefully 17 will be within the next week, I will submit that order to 18 you and you can submit it through the system.

19Any Counsel want to add anything?20EXAMINER JONES: Mr. Padilla?

21 MR. PADILLA: The only thing that I have to add 22 is that the 60-day deadline for filing this Phase 1 report 23 can be extended for good cause, and I think that was what 24 we agreed. And there may be some delays associated with 25 equipment or lab reports and that kind of thing, but we

> STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

7

would have to request an extension before the expiration of
60 days.

Secondly, I believe Mr. Brooks said that if we, 3 Smith and Marrs, were required to get injunctive relief in 4 5 Lea County against the surface owner, we definitely want 6 the OCD to participate. It does not have to be a party, 7 but we want some participation by the OCD in order to do -and I think Mr. Brooks' wording of, should it choose to do 8 9 so -- we want more participation from the OCD. We want a lawyer there saying -- supporting our Application for 10 11 injunctive relief.

12 MR. BROOKS: Well, I'm hesitant to commit 13 anything that involves the OCD spending money without the 14 consent of the Director, so I will say the OCD will do what 15 it can under those circumstances. Believe me, the OCD wants that to happen and we will not be remiss. But what 16 we can actually do, you know, it's very difficult for me to 17 make that kind of commitment without going through the 18 process here. 19

I guess that's good enough. But I also forgot to mention that on the record we want to state that Smith and Marrs has not caused any pollution out there in the time that it's been there, so I needed to say that for the record.

25

EXAMINER JONES: Okay, this Phase 1, does it

	2
1	define when Phase 1 will be over and when Phase 2 will
2	if Phase 2 is necessary, it would start?
3	MR. BROOKS: We believe that's defined by the
4	regulations
5	EXAMINER JONES: Okay.
6	MR. BROOKS: Mr. Examiner, that I think the
7	parties all have an understanding of what a Phase 1
8	abatement plan is versus what a Phase 2 abatement plan is.
9	EXAMINER JONES: Okay. And who is the surface
10	owner?
11	MR. BROOKS: His name is Clay Osborn, as I
12	understand it. I'm not sure exactly what does he own
13	I'm not sure exactly what he owns, whether he owns all
14	parts of the unit or just some part of the unit, but he is
15	the one with whom there have been negotiations in the past,
16	which have been unsuccessful.
17	EXAMINER JONES: How do you spell his last name?
18	MR. BROOKS: O-s-b-o-r-n-e?
19	MR. OLSON: Just "n".
20	MR. BROOKS: No "e"? Okay.
21	EXAMINER JONES: Okay, Mr. Larson?
22	MR. LARSON: I believe Mr. Brooks has accurately
23	stated the terms of the agreement that we've all come to.
24	Late in the day yesterday, we negotiated a resolution of
25	our issues with Smith and Marrs, which put us in a position

to jointly come to Mr. Brooks and offer a settlement, and 1 we were able to reach an agreement that is acceptable to 2 all the parties. 3 I appreciate Mr. Brooks also making the record 4 5 that Chaparral is not waiving any future right to contest issues relating to its being a responsible party for Phase 6 7 2, because as we stated in the prehearing statement, Chaparral has not been responsible for any groundwater 8 contamination at the South Langlie-Jal Unit, and I think we 9 10 will be able to enter into a stipulated order that resolves all the issues in the Application. 11 12 EXAMINER JONES: Okay, thank you. 13 Ms. Bada, do you have --14 MS. BADA: I have no questions. 15 EXAMINER JONES: Anything further in this case? MR. BROOKS: 16 No, sir. So with that, we will take Case 17 EXAMINER JONES: 18 13,061 under advisement, and Docket Number 21-03 is 19 adjourned. 20 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at t an harter certin the first 1. . . a comple e record of the process 21 9:52 a.m.) ime Examiner hearing of Case N 22 * * * * 70% heard by ma on 7/15 影響的時代的 23 Conservation Division 24 25

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) ss. COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL July 15th, 2003.

STEVEN T. BRENNER CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2006