<u> </u>	
1	Page 1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
3	THE MACRICE OF THE HEADING CALLED
4	IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED  BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION FOR  THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:
5	APPLICATION OF THE NEW MEXICO OIL Case No. 14055
6	CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR A COMPLIANCE ORDER
7	AGAINST C&D MANAGEMENT COMPANY, D/B/A FREEDOM VENTURES COMPANY, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO
8	
9	Case No. 14116: Continued to July 8, 2010
10	Case No. (13.812) Case No. 14365; Case No. 14366; Case No. 14323; Case No. 14382; Case No. 14418: Continued to June 17, 2010
11	
12	
13	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
14	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS:  COMMISSIONER HEARING  BEFORE: MARK E. FESMIRE, Chairman  JAMI BAILEY, Commissioner
15	BEFORE: MARK E. FESMIRE, Chairman $\omega$
16	BEFORE: MARK E. FESMIRE, Chairman  JAMI BAILEY, Commissioner  WILLIAM C. OLSON, Commissioner
17	May 20, 2010 Santa Fe, New Mexico
18	Santa re, New Mexico
19	This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission, MARK E. FESMIRE, Chairman, on Thursday, May 20, 2010, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.
20	
21	
22	
23	DEDODUED DV. Jaggueline D. Luien. COD #01
24	REPORTED BY: Jacqueline R. Lujan, CCR #91 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters
25	500 Fourth Street, N.W., Suite 105 Albuquerque, NM 87103 505-843-9241

- 1 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Mr. Padilla, if you'd
- 2 be so kind as to warn your client, and we'll be looking
- 3 for that.
- 4 MR. PADILLA: All right.
- 5 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Anything else in this
- 6 case?
- 7 MR. SWAZO: Nothing else.
- 8 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Thank you very much.
- 9 The next cause is Case 13812 the application
- 10 of Coleman Oil & Gas for the rescission of De Novo Order
- 11 R-12820-A, in San Juan County, New Mexico. This case has
- 12 been continued to June 17th, 2010.
- The next cause before the Commission is Case
- 14 Number 14365, the application of COG Operating, LLC, for
- 15 designation of a non-standard spacing unit and for
- 16 compulsory pooling in Eddy County, New Mexico. That case
- 17 has also been continued to the June 17th hearing.
- The next case is Case Number 14366, the
- 19 application of COG Operating, LLC, for designation of
- 20 non-standard spacing unit, unorthodox well location, and
- 21 for compulsory pooling in Eddy County, New Mexico. That
- 22 case has also been continued to the June 17th hearing.
- The next cause before the Commission is Case
- Number 14323, the application of Chesapeake Energy
- 25 Corporation for cancellation of a permit to drill issued

- 1 to COG Operating, LLC, in Eddy County, New Mexico, also
- 2 continued to June 17th, 2010.
- The next case is Case Number 14382, the
- 4 application of Chesapeake Energy Corporation for
- 5 cancellation of a permit to drill issued to COG Operating
- 6 in Eddy County, New Mexico. This case has also been
- 7 continued to June 17th.
- And the last case before the Commission is
- 9 Case Number 14418, the application of Cimarex Energy
- 10 Company for a non-standard oil spacing and proration unit
- 11 and compulsory pooling in Eddy County, New Mexico, also
- 12 continued to the June 17th, 2010, Commission meeting.
- There is one other scheduling issue that the
- 14 Commission probably needs to consider. Counsel, we had a
- 15 request to expedite a hearing on an issue involving the
- 16 pit rule. I have not scheduled it. I would like to ask
- 17 the Commission their opinion of it. Is that acceptable?
- 18 MS. BADA: You can. But I think, given
- 19 your notice requirement, that happening before your June
- 20 hearing is not very likely.
- 21 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: We have an issue.
- 22 Williams Energy needs to drill a water disposal well, and
- 23 they would like to do it in an area where they cannot dig
- 24 a pit. Their issue is that the pit rule allows them, as
- 25 long as it's not a leased facility, that they can dig

- 1 that pit someplace else and dispose of the waste there
- 2 under the rules where it would qualify, depth of water
- 3 and things like that.
- It's a pretty important issue, because it
- 5 considers some of the things in the pit rule that might
- be counter to what the Commission believes the pit rule
- 7 says, but it is an arguable position. It might take a
- 8 couple of days. Williams would like to expedite it,
- 9 because they don't anticipate getting through the winter
- 10 with enough water disposal facilities in the northwest
- 11 and would like to get this drilled.
- Does anybody have any feeling about whether or
- 13 not we can get it done before the 17th? I kind of told
- 14 them the same thing Counsel told us.
- 15 COMMISSIONER OLSON: The 17th of June?
- 16 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: June.
- 17 COMMISSIONER OLSON: There's no way I can
- 18 do that.
- 19 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: That moots out your
- 20 response, doesn't it?
- 21 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Yeah. Early July is
- 22 the next one, but they are scheduled for the June 17th
- 23 hearing.
- 24 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: They are currently
- 25 scheduled for the June 17th hearing.