

J. SCOTT HALL

Cell:

(505) 670-7362 RECEIVED ()(D)

Email: shall@montand.com

Reply To: Santa Fe Office www.montand.com

2010 FEB 12 P 3: 47

February 12, 2010

Ms. Florene Davidson New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1220 S. St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, NM 87505 Hand Delivered

Re: NMOCD Case No. 14365 (De Novo): Application of COG Operating for Designation of a Non-Standard Spacing Unit and for Compulsory Pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico

NMOCD Case No. 14366: Application of COG Operating for Designation of a Non-Standard Spacing Unit and for Compulsory Pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico

NMOCD Case No. 14323 (De Novo): Application of Chesapeake Energy Corporation for Cancellation of a Permit to Drill Issued to COG Operating LLC, Eddy County, New Mexico

NMOCD Case No. 14382: Application of Chesapeake Energy Corporation for Cancellation of a Permit to Drill Issued to COG Operating LLC, Eddy County, New Mexico

Dear Ms. Davidson:

Enclosed for filing is the original and six copies of COG Operating LLC's Reply Pursuant to its Motion to Stay or Continue Pending Completion of a Related Rulemaking.

Thank you

REPLY TO:

325 Paseo de Peralta Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 Telephone (505) 982-3873 • Fax (505) 982-4289

Post Office Box 2307 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2307 6301 Indian School Road NE, Suite 400 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87110 Telephone (505) 884-4200 • Fax (505) 888-8929

Post Office Box 36210 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87176-6210 Ms. Florene Davidson February 12, 2010 Page 2

Very truly yours,

Karen Williams

Assistant to J. Scott Hall

Karn Willin

JSH:kw

cc: Earl DeBrine, Esq.

W. Thomas Kellahin, Esq.

James Bruce, Esq.

00162277

STATE OF NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES () OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

2010 FFR 12 P 3: 47

APPLICATION OF CHESAPEAKE ENERGY CORPORATION FOR CANCELLATION OF A PERMIT TO DRILL ISSUED TO COG OPERATING LLC, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

DE NOVO CASE NO. 14323

APPLICATION OF COG OPERATING LLC FOR DESIGNATION OF A NON-STANDARD SPACING UNIT AND FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

DE NOVO CASE NO. 14365

APPLICATION OF COG OPERATING LLC FOR DESIGNATION OF A NON-STANDARD SPACING UNIT AND FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

CASE NO. 14366

APPLICATION OF CHESAPEAKE ENERGY CORPORATION FOR CANCELLATION OF A PERMIT TO DRILL ISSUED TO COG OPERATING LLC, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

CASE NO. 14382

COG OPERATING LLC'S REPLY PURSUANT TO ITS MOTION TO STAY OR CONTINUE PENDING COMPLETION OF A RELATED RULEMAKING

COG Operating LLC, ("COG"), by and through its undersigned attorneys, Montgomery & Andrews, P.A., submits this reply pursuant to its motion that a hearing on the merits be stayed or continued pending the completion of a related rulemaking proceeding which will address the merits of Chesapeake's Applications.

The rhetorical tone of Chesapeake's Response to COG's motion has turned hostile and that is truly unfortunate. However, Chesapeake's pleading serves to remind us that, unlike the vertical well it drilled in Case No. 13492¹, the only activity to occur here is pre-drilling permitting by the BLM.

.

¹ The KF "4" State Well No. 1.

Chesapeake has not made application for its own APD's (or had them denied). Neither has it alleged that its correlative rights are threatened or that waste will occur. These omissions, too, remind us that Chesapeake does not seek real relief, only an advisory opinion.

Yet, while tersely insisting on punitive sanctions for what it contends are improper certifications by COG's permitting staff, Chesapeake concedes in the same Response that the applicability of the certification language on the Division's C-102 forms to horizontal drilling projects is an *unresolved issue*.

Chesapeake's admission is seen at page 5 of its Response:

.....

The only remaining question that is different from a vertical wellbore, is whether the operator of a horizontal wellbore, at the time it files its APD, must also have an interest is [sic] each of the four 40-acre tracts to be included it the 160-acre non-standard unit. COG admitted that it had no such interest. While the certification appears to have been written with vertical wellbores in mind, it seems reasonable to apply the certification to horizontal wellbores by interpretation that the operator must have an interest in any tract penetrated by a horizontal wellbore. If not, then a horizontal wellbore APD violates the activity that the Commission was seeking to prevent when it amended the certification contained on the Division Form C-109 [sic] in a case involving a vertical wellbore.

Again, it is clear that this is a matter best suited for resolution by way of a well-reasoned, industry-supported rulemaking proceeding, a process that Chesapeake acknowledges is currently under way. Contrary to what Chesapeake represents, nowhere does the *Johnson v. New Mexico Oil Conservation Comm'n.*² case support the proposition that the Commission has a duty to resolve this matter by way of an adjudicatory proceeding. Rather, New Mexico case law squarely establishes that this agency has the requisite discretion to answer Chesapeake's question by the rulemaking process. "The decision to make new law through rulemaking or adjudication is one that lies primarily in the informed discretion of the administrative agency." *Hobbs Gas Co. v. New Mexico Public Service Com'n*, 115 N.M. 678, 858 P.2d 54 (1993) (citing *SEC v. Chenery*)

² 127 N.M. 120, 978 P.2d 327 (1999)

Corp., 332 U.S. 194, 67 S.Ct. 1575, 91 L.Ed. 1995 [1947]). Chesapeake has brought forward no countervailing authority on this point.

Wherefore, COG Operating LLC *now requests alternative relief*: (1) the entry of an order staying or continuing Chesapeake's Applications in Cases 14323 and 14382, or (2) dismissal of these two cases in view of the forthcoming rulemaking proceeding, in accordance with the proper exercise of the Commission's discretion.

Respectfully submitted,

MONTGOMERY & ANDREWS, P.A.

By:

J. Scott Hall

Attorneys for COG Operating LLC.

Post Office Box 2307

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2307

(505) 982-3873

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was e-mailed to counsel of record on the 12th day of February, 2010 as follows:

W. Thomas Kellahin Kellahin & Kellahin 706 Gonzales Road Santa Fe, NM 87501 tkellahin@comcast.net James Bruce, Esq. P. O. Box 1056 Santa Fe, NM 87504 jamesbruc@aol.com

Earl E. Debrine Jr., Esq. Modrall, Sperling, Roehl, Harris & Sisk, PA P.O. Box 2168 Albuquerque, NM 87103-2168 edebrine@modrall.com

1. Scott Hall

00162106