BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa re, New Mexico November 19, 1975

EXAMINER HEARING

The hearing called by the Oil Conserva-) tion Commission on its own motion to further consider the subject matter of Case 5377.

CASE 5403

BEFORE: Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

APPEARANCES

For the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission: William F. Carr, Esq. Legal Counsel for the Commission State Land Office Building Santa Fe, New Mexico

For Anadarko Production

W. Thomas Kellahin, Esq. KELLAHIN & FOX Attorneys at Law 500 Don Gaspar Santa Fe, New Mexico

Irley Bonnette, Esq. Anadarko Production Company Houston, Texas

No CHARL

D	2	
Page		

APPEARANCES CONTINUED

2 For Skelly Oil Company:

Chester E. Blodget, Esq. Senior Attorney Skelly Oil Company P. O. Box 1650 Tulsa, Oklahoma

W. Thomas Kellahin, Esq. KELLAHIN & FOX Attorneys at Law 500 Don Gaspar Santa Fe, New Mexico

For Agua, Inc.:

8

10

11

12

13 14 15

16

17 18

19

25

James T. Jennings, Esq.
JENNINGS, CHRISTY & COPPLE
Attorneys at Law
1012 Security National Bank Bldg.
Roswell, New Mexico

sid morrish reporting service Grams Court Reporting Service 13.5 Calle Mells, No. 122, Sants Fe, New Merico 87501 Phone 1505 983-9212

INDEX

Page LESLIE A. CLEMENTS Direct Examination by Mr. Carr Cross Examination by Mr. Nutter 22 Cross Examination by Mr. Blodget 30 Cross Examination by Mr. Ramey 33 Cross Examination by Mr. Kellahin 34 Recross Examination by Mr. Blodget 36 Redirect Examination by Mr. Carr 38 Cross Examination by Mr. Jennings 39 O. V. STUCKEY Direct Examination by Mr. Blodget 42 Cross Examination by Mr. Nutter 48 DAN KERNAGHAN Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin 54 Cross Examination by Mr. Nutter 56 Cross Examination by Mr. Jennings 57 W. G. ABBOTT 13 Direct Examination by Mr. Jennings 58 Cross Examination by Mr. Nutter 64 Cross Examination by Mr. Ramey 65 15 EXHIBIT INDEX 16 Page OCC Exhibit No. One, Letter 22 OCC Exhibit No. Two, Letter 22 OCC Exhibit No. Three, Letter 18 22 OCC Exhibit No. Four, Letter 22 OCC Exhibit No. Five, Letter 22 Shelly Exhibit No. One, Performance Curve 54 Skelly Exhibit No. Two, Performance Curve 54 21 Anadarko Exhibit No. One, Tabulation 56 22 23

sid morrish reporting service Grand Court Reputing Service Grand Court Reputing Service 835 Calls Malu, No. 123, Santa Fe, New Merico 87501

25

id morrish reporting service
Court Reports Service
the Maju, No. 122, Stat Fe, New Mexico 875

R

13

14

15

17

21 22 MR. NUTTER: We will call Case Number 5403.

MR. CARR: Case 5403 in the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to further consider the subject matter of Case No. 5377.

MR. NUTTER: Okay, before we get into 5403, I would like to very briefly for the sake of the record review the history in this Case.

Case Number 5377 was originally called by the Commission and heard on December 3rd, 1974 at which time evidence concerning apparent leakage of water from deeper formations into more shallow formations and also at the surface of the ground, probably the result of either water flooding and/or salt water disposal had occurred and the Commission considered banning of injection in the area that was described as being the south four tiers of sections in Township 22 South, Range 37 East, and the northernmost two tiers of Sections in Township 23 South, Range 37 East. After hearing this Case Order No. R-4936 was entered on December 5th, 1974, which placed certain restrictions on water injections, both for waterflooding and disposal purposes and the Case was ordered to be heard again at a later date. Case 5403 was then called and heard by the Examiner on January 22nd, 1975 to consider the subject matter of Case Number 5377 and Order Number R-5003 was entered April 29th, 1975 which defined certain problem areas and problem wells and ordered that

certain remedial action be taken. It further ordered that certain restrictions be continued on the waterflood injection rates and also on the disposal rates. It ordered casing bradenhead surveys be taken and other things, and ordered that a lot of this work be accomplished within six months after the entry of the Order.

It further ordered that this Case would be reopened at a Hearing in November of 1975, and that's where we are today.

At this point I will call for appearances in Case Number 5403, reopened.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ CARR: I'm William F. Carr appearing for the Commission and I have one witness to be sworn.

MR. NUTTER: Any other appearances?

MR. KELLAHIN: Tom Kellahin of Kellahin and Fox.

Santa Fe, New Mexico appearing on behalf of Anadarko Production

Company in association with Irley Bonnette, a member of the

Texas bar, and Skelly Oil Commpany in association with

Mr. Chester Blodget, member of the Texas and Oklahoma bar. Mr.

Blodget will present his case for Skelly.

MR. JENNINGS: James T. Jennings of Jennings, Christy and Copple appearing on behalf of Agua.

MR. NUTTER: Mr. Kellahin and Mr. Jennings, do you plan to have witnesses?

MR. JENNINGS: I have one witness, Mr. Abbott, if

sid morrish reporting service General Cour Reporting Service Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 Phone (505) 982-9212

possible.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. NUTTER: Okay, would all the witnesses please stand and be sworn at the same time?

(THEREUPON, the witnesses were duly sworn.)

MR. NUTTER: Mr. Carr, would you proceed, please?

LESLIE A. CLEMENTS

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. CARR:

- Ω Will you state your name, position and place of residence, please?
- A. Leslie A. Clements, Oil and Gas Inspector for the State of New Mexico, Oil Conservation Commission, District One, Hobbs, New Mexico.
- ${\mathfrak Q}.$ Does District One include that part of Lea County that is involved in this Case?
 - A. Yes, sir, it does.
- Q Do your duties as Deputy Oil and Gas Inspector include supervising compliance with the Oil Conservation Commission Orders Numbers R-4936 and Number R-5003?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q What generally do those orders require?
 - A. It required the perforating and the squeezing

17

18

19

23

of some thirty-eight wells, and bringing the cement up above the Queen formation to a depth not less than thirtyone hundred feet from the surface; the reentry and replugging of some six wells; and recompletion of one well.

MR. CARR: Mr. Examiner, I think for purposes of 6 having a complete record in this Case it would be wise if 7 the Commission would incorporate the records of Case 5377 and the record in the first Hearing in Case 5403, and I so move.

MR. NUTTER: Are there any objections to incorpora-10 tion of the records? If not the record in Case Number 5377 11 and the record of the first hearing of Case Number 5403 on 12 January 22, 1975 will be incorporated in the record of 13 Case Number 5403 today.

- (Mr. Carr continuing.) Mr. Clements, you have 15 stated that you supervised efforts to comply with the provisions of the previous order, is that correct?
 - Yes, sir.
 - Have you kept notes on the effort?
 - Yes, sir. À.
- Will you refer to these notes and review well-by-20 well what progress has been made towards compliance with 21 these previous orders? 22
 - I have a summary here that I would like to read and then later on I would like to read into the record the depths of the cement that we have of the wells that we

sid morrish reporting service
Ceneral Court Reporting Service
Call Mgis, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
Phone (505) 982-9212

13

16

17

19

20

22

have worked over.

In summary of this Case the Commission witnessed the following: The perforating and squeezing of the wells as outlined in Paragraph One, Pages Nine and Ten; Paragraph Number Two, Page Ten; and Pargraph Number Three, Page Eleven of Order R-5003. A total of thirty-eight wells were witnessed. A tabulation of the top of the cement will be presented later.

The plugging and abandonment of six wells was also witnessed and the recompletion of one well was witnessed. The wells that were plugged and abandoned will also be named later in this testimony.

This Order also required a quarterly bradenhead survey to be conducted on all wells in Sections 13 through 36 of Township 22 South, Range 37 East, and Sections 1 through 12 of Township 23 South, Range 37 East.

The first survey was conducted in August of this year and the second survey is presently underway at this time. This survey encompassed five hundred and forty wells, and twenty-nine producing companies.

As a result of these tests, thirty-two wells were found to have pressure on either the surface or intermediate casing. As of this date fourteen wells have been worked over and six are under further evaluation pending future bradenhead surveys, leaving a total of twelve wells that the

sid morrish reporting service General Court Reporting Service 5 Calle Mgia, No. 121, Santa Fe, New Mexico 8751 Phone (505) 982-9212 2

3

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

Commission has not had any communication on.

The other provisions of this Order restricts the amount of water being disposed of in this area. Three disposal wells were involved in the Order. They are: Skelly's Eunice TP Well Number One, located in Unit L of Section 27, Township 22 South, Range 37 East and it is limited to fifteen hundred barrels per day disposal rate; Armer's Gulf State Salt Water Disposal Well Number One located in Unit M of Section 2, Township 23 South, Range 37 East, and is limited to three huhndred and fifty barrels daily disposal rate; and Agua's Salt Water Disposal Well Number H-35 located in Unit H of Section 35, Township 22 South, Range 37 East and was limited to fifty-five hundred barrels per day disposal rate. This well has been since shut in by a letter from the Commission dated August 22nd, 1975, and at this time Agua, Inc. received temporary permission to dispose of the water that would have been disposed of in H-35 in their SWD Well Number C-2 located in Unit C of Section 2, Township 22 South, Range 37 East, approximately five miles north of H-35.

Also pending at this time is Case 5592 which covers a permanent substitution for Well H-35.

At this time I would like to read into the record the companies and their wells and the top of the cement after the required work was done.

15

16

17

18

20

23

24

 $\,$ I'm going to read from this directive from $\,$ Order 5003 which I have written the tops of the cement on.

Amerda-Hess Walden Number 1 located in Unit K of Section 15, Township 22 South, Range 37 East. The top of the cement was at twenty-nine, oh, six.

Amerada-Hess Walden Number 2 located in Unit K of Section 15, Township 22 South, Range 37 East. The top of the cement was at twenty-four, seventy-five.

Amerada-Hess Walden Number 3 located in Unit N of Section 15, Township 22 South, Range 37 East. The top of the cement was at nineteen, forty.

Amerada-Hess Walden Number 6 located in Unit M of Section 15, Township 22 South, Range 37 East. The top of the cement was at twenty-nine, nineteen.

Amerada-Hess Wood Number 5 located in Unit B of Section 22 South, Range 37 East. The top of the cement was located at twenty-four thirty.

Amerada-Hess Wood Number 9 located in Unit G of Section 22, Township 22 South, Range 37 East. The top of the cement was located at twenty-five fifty.

Amerada-Hess Wood Number 10 located in Unit H of Section 22, Township 22 South, Range 37 East. The top of the cement is located at eighteen ten.

Cleary Production Company Parks Number 7 located in Unit K of Section 13, Township 22 South, Range 37 East.

| morrish reporting service | Gracel Court Reporting Service | Gracel Court Reporting Service | 87501 | Mats, No. | 123, Service | 87501 | Photo 1001 983-9312

2

11

1.

13

14

15

16

17

18

10

20

21

The top of the cement was located at twenty-three, ninety-five.

Cleary Production Company Parks Number 8 located in Unit J of Section 14, Township 22 South, Range 37 East. The top of the cement was located at twenty-four hundred feet.

Cleary Production Company Parks Number 9 located in Unit N, Section 14, Township 22 South, Range 37 East. The top of the cement was located at twenty-two eighty.

Coquina Oil Corpo: ation Baker Number 1 located in Unit B of Section 26, Township 22 South, Range 37 East. The top of the cement was located at twenty-five, twenty-six.

Exxon Company, USA Paddock Unit Number 98 located in Unit H of Section 15, Township 22 South, Range 37 East, plugged and abandoned.

Oulf Oil Corporation Cole Number 5 located in Unit O of Section 16, Township 22 South, Range 37 East. The top of the cement one hundred feet.

John H. Hendrix Cossatot F Number 1-C, Section 23, Township 22 South, Range 37 East. A bond log was run on this well and the top of the cement was found to be twenty-eight, ninety.

Samedan Oil Corporation Parks Well Number 3, located in Unit P of Section 14, Township 22 South, Range 37 East. The top of the cement was found to be at twenty-eight hundred feet.

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Samedan Oil Corporation Parks Number 4, Unit I, located in Section 14, Township 22 South, Range 37 East. The top of the cement, fifteen hundred feet.

Leslie, if it is all right, I think we can note that all of these are in Township 22 South, Range 37 East and not have to repeat them.

Samedan Oil Corporation Parks Number 50, Section 14. Top of the cement was at twenty-two hundred feet.

Skelly Oil Company Baker A Number 5 located in 10 Unit E of Section 26. Top of the cement was at sixteen, fifty-five.

Skelly Oil Company Baker Number 9, Unit N of Section 22. The cement circulated.

Skelly Oil Company Baker Number 10, Unit A, Section 27, the top of the cement twenty-seven, ten.

Skelly Oil Company Baker Woll Number 11 located in Unit B of Section 27, cement circulated.

Skelly Oil Company Baker C Number 1 located in Unit A of Section 26, cement circulated.

Sohio Petroleum Company Walden Number 3 located in Unit F of Section 15. The cement was found at nineteen forty. Sohio Petroleum Company Walden Number 4-E located in Unit E of Section 15. The top of the cement was found at twenty-seven sixty.

Sohio Petroleum Company Walden Number 5 located in

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

?3 24 Unit E of Section 15. The top of the cement was at twentynine, fifty-five.

Texas Pacific Oil Company Incorporated Danglade

Number 1 located in Unit F of Section 13. Top of the gement
was found at twenty-nine, forty.

Texas Pacific Oil Company Incorporated Walden

Number 3 located in Unit C of Section 15. The top of the

cement was found at twenty-three, twenty-five.

Texas Pacific Oil Company Incorporated Walden

Number 4 located in Unit C of Section 15. The top of the

cement was found at twenty-one, forty.

Texas Pacific Oil Company Incorporated Boyd

Number 1 located in Unit G of Section 23, plugged and abandoned

Texas Pacific Oil Company Incorporated Boyd

Number 2-Y located in Unit H of Section 23, the top of the

cement at thirty, forty.

Texas Pacific Oil Company Incorporated Boyd

Number 3-A in Section 23. The top of the cement was located
at twenty-nine forty.

Texas Pacific Oil Company Incorporated Boyd

Number 5 located in Unit B of Section 23. The top of the
cement was located at thirty-forty.

Texas Pacific Oil Company Incorporated Cary
Number 7 located in Unit F of Section 22. The top of
the cement was located at twenty-five, oh, five.

sid morrish reporting service Grand Court Reporting Service 135 Cale Networks 150 (2018 Networks 1505) 150 (2018 Networks

10

12

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

Texas Pacific Oil Company Incorporated located in Unit L of Section 22, cement circulated.

The following wells had three strings of casing in them and these were perforated and the cement was brought back up into the intermediate string: Samedan Oil Corporation Boyd Number 1, Unit J of Section 23, top of the cement at twenty-one hundred feet. Skelly Oil Company Baker A Number 1 located in Unit D of Section 26, top of the cement at twenty-eight, fifty.

MR. NUTTER: I missed the top of the cement on that Samedan Boyd Number 1, what was that again?

A Twenty-one hundred feet.

MR. NUTTER: Even?

A Yes, sir.

MR. NUTTER: Okay, you didn't give a report on the Wilbanks well?

A No, sir.

MR. NUTTER: Okay.

A The following workover was witnessed and this well was recompleted by running a five-inch liner. I might add that this company also removed a drilling rig on this well that they might have proper handling facilities.

The Skelly Oil Company Penrose Λ Unit Well Number 3 located in Unit I, Section 33. The Unit was recompleted by running a five-inch liner.

6

13

16

17

18

20

21

22

24

Skelly Oil Company Fenrose A Unit Well Number 14 located in Unit C of Section 3.

- Q. (Mr. Carr continuing.) You are now talking about wells located in Township 23 South?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q Range 37 East?
- A. Township 23 South, Range 37 East was plugged and abandoned.

Skelly Oil Company Penrose A Unit Well Number 23 located in Section F of Section 3, cement circulated.

Penrose A Unit, Well Number 46 located in Unit B of Section 9. Cement was found at twenty-four, seventy.

Skelly Oil Company Penrose A Well Number 48 located in Unit H of Section 9, the top of the cement at nineteen, ten.

Samedan Oil Corporation Boyd Number 2 J, they were directed to reenter this well and replug it, and they reentered this well -- this well is located in Unit J of Section 23 of Township 22 South, Range 37 East. This well was reentered and re-perforated and cement was brought to the height of twenty-two hundred and fifty feet and they now are evaluating the feasibility of recompleting this well and possibly repluse. Boyd Number 1 which I previously gave you on the other page.

Skelly Oil Company H. O. Sims Well Number 16

15

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

2

located in Unit M of Section 34 was plugged and abandoned properly.

- Leslie, this is again, what Township and range?
- Township 22 South, Range 37 East.

Skelly Oil Company Sims C Number 1 located in Unit N of Section 3, Township 23 South, Range 37 East, was reentered and properly plugged and abandoned.

I would like to continue on, if I may, in my 9 summary work here. This leaves a total of three wells that 10 have not met the Commission's requirement under Order R-5003. 11 They are: Armer Oil Company's Keohane Well Number 1 located 12 in Unit I of Section 26, Township 22 South, Range 37 East.

MR. NUTTER: Okay, what was the requirement for 14 work on that?

- They were to perforate the production string and 16 bring the cement to thirty-one hundred feet or less.
 - (Mr. Carr continuing.) Do you have a status report on that well?
 - Yes, I received a phone call regarding this well on Monday morning from Mr. Clarence Dunnhoffer who said that they would move with all diligence to run a cement bond log and if the cement was not high enough they would comply with Order R-5003 by bringing the cement above thirty-one hundred feet.
 - What other wells are not complied with?

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

۱	higher t	han	thi
,	Q.	Do	yo
3	Α.	Υe	s,
7	Kenyon,	supe	rin
3	aware, t	his	is
9	until Th	ursc	lay
0	letter a	ıt hi	is h
1	being in	ı vic	olat
2	me that	they	/ Wo

Okay. Bruce A. Wilbanks Baker Number 2 located in Unit letter A of Section 26, Township 22 South, Range 37 The well was also to be perforated and cement brought rty-one hundred feet.

- u have a status report?
- sir, I was informed last night by Mr. Aubrey tendent for Mr. Wilbanks that he was not Mr. Wilbanks was not aware of Order R-5003 or Friday of last week when he received a nome from Mr. Gary Sexton informing him of ion of Order R-5003. Mr. Kenyon also informed ould begin workover operations immediately.
 - Now, what was the third well?
- The third well was a well that was to be reentered and replugged in accordance with Order R-5003, being the Wolfson Oil Company Boyd Number 1 located in Unit N of Section 3, Township 23 South, Range 37 East.

MR. NUTTER: Wait a minute, what's the name of that well and where is it located?

Wolfson Oil Company Boyd Number 1 located in Unit L, I'm sorry, of Section 23, I'm sorry again.

MR. NUTTER: Okay, it's the Boyd 1 in L of 23?

- Right, 23, 23, 37.
- (Mr. Carr continuing.) Now, Mr. Clements, would you refer to the letter which I have just handed you marked

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

29

21

22

23

24 25 Oil Conservation Commission Exhibit Two and read that into the record, please?

From Wolfson Oil Producers, Dallas Texas, addressed to the Oil Conservation Commission, P. O. Box 2088, Santa Fe, New Mexico, 87501, attention Mr. Joe D. Ramey. (Reading.) Dear Joe: In line with our telephone conversation this date regarding the plugging of the above-described well, I'm enclosing our progress report showing we reached a total depth of slightly over four hundred feet before giving up the hole. Due to junk in the hole such as pieces of wire, wood and iron, we were unable to penetrate any farther, therefore, we set a ten-sack plug and marker. Inasmuch as we were unable to get into the cut off eight and five-eighths casing at five hundred feet we don't feel that it would be economically or mechanically feasible for us to attempt any deeper penetration With best personal regards, I remain, yours very truly, Sam Wolfson. (End of reading.)

- Do you have any other information concerning this well?
- We have here a work record of work done from March 30th, 1968 to April 5th, 1968 as to work being done on this well.
 - Q And that is attached to Exhibit Two?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q In your opinion, Mr. Clements, does this evidence

17

20

21

22

24

25

a good faith effort to comply with the provisions of Order Number R-5003?

- A. No, sir, not under the present situation it does not.
- Okay. I would like you, just for purposes of the record, to identify what has been marked as Oil Conservation Commission Exhibit One? What is this, Mr. Clements?
- Letter from the Oil Conservation Commission to Agua, Incorporated, dated August 22nd, 1975, directing that the disposal well H-35 be shut in.
- Q And is this the letter you referred to in your previous testimony?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q I now hand you letters which have been marked Oil Conservation Commission Exhibits Three, Four and Five and explain what these are to the Examiner?
- A These are three letters to the three companies that I have mentioned that have not complied with Order R-5003, being Armer Oil Company, Bruce A. Wilbanks, and Wolfson Oil Company.
 - Q What do these letters state in general terms?
- A. In general terms that they are in violation of Order Number R-5003 and they are subject to a thousand dollar a day fine for not having this work done.
 - Q. Do you have a recommendation to make to the Examiner

11

12

18

20

22 23

24

at this time concerning what action should be taken concerning these wells?

Yes, sir, I do. I think that in regard to these three wells that have not met the requirements of this Order that it would be my recommendation that prompt punitive action be taken by the Commission until these requirements are met.

Q. As you discussed the salt water disposal wells in this area, how many were there, three?

- Yes, sir.
- And they were what wells?

They are the Armer Gulf State Salt Water Disposal Well Number 1 located in Unit M of Section 2, Township 23 South Range 37 East; and Skelly's Eunice DP Well Number 1 located in Unit L of Section 27, Township 22, Range 37 East; and Agua's Salt Water Disposal Well H-35 located in Unit H of 17 Section 35, Township 22 South, Range 37 East.

And Mr. Clements, you indicated that the Agua well is shut in?

- Yes, sir.
- Do you have a recommendation to the Examiner concerning injection in the other salt water disposal wells?
- Yes, sir, I think that the amount of water that is being disposed of under this Order should be made permanently.
 - Do you have a recommendation to the Examiner

sid morrish reporting service Graval Court Reporting Service 825 Calle Meja, No. 172, 25ana Fe, New Mexico. 87501 Phone (305) 983-9212

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

concerning injection rates for secondary recovery purposes?

At this time and due to the amount of information that we have, I would recommend that they remain the same until further bradenhead surveys are conducted.

- Q I believe the previous Order set out certain recommendations concerning quarterly casing surveys?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. What is your recommendation concerning them?
- A I recommend that they be continued as they are at the present time.
 - Q. And how is that?
 - A They are on a quarterly basis.
 - Q How long would that continue?
- A Up for a period of one year and we are now in the middle of the second quarter.
 - And that includes previous?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q And at the end of a one-year period what is your recommendation?
- A. That we evaluate the information we have and see whether we may want to continue them on a quarterly basis.
- Q Is it you intention to provide any procedure
 whereby they could go to another time period for these tests?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And what would that be?

8

9

11

12

13

15

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	A.		They	could	approach	the	Secretary-Director	and
ask	for	a	bianr	ual s	urvey.			

- Q Could this also be accomplished by authorizing the district supervisor to authorize this change?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you have anything further to add to your testimony at this time?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q Are Exhibits O: e through Five true and correct copies of correspondence from the official file of the Oil Conservation Commission?
 - A Yes, sir.

MR. CARR: Mr. Examiner, at this time I would offer Oil Conservation Commission Exhibits One through Five.

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{MR}}$.$ NUTTER: Exhibits One through Five will be admitted into evidence.

MR. CARR: I have nothing further at this time.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. NUTTER:

Q. Mr. Clements, I might have missed it, but when you started off on the first group of wells under Order Number One on Page Nine of the Order, I think you started off with the Amerada-Hess Walden Number One and you came back later to the Armer well, but I don't think you ever

6 7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

mentioned that Atlantic Richfield one.

- I'm sorry, Mr. Nutter, I do not have -- there was a temperature survey filed by Atlantic Richfield on this Boyd Number 2 and I do not have a copy of it. I understand it is here in the Santa Fe office or that they originally had their cement higher than thirty-one hundred feet.
 - Originally?
 - Yes, sir.
- All of those other wells that are covered in Order One, you gave us a top of the cement or that the well had been PSA, or that cement had been circulated? 11
 - Yes, sir.
 - Now, were each of these the result of a recement job?
 - Yes, sir.
 - With the exception of that one you say that a bond log had been run on the Hendrix Cossatot Well and the cement top was found to be at twenty-eight, ninety?
 - Yes, that is true.
 - And now you are saying that there is a temperature survey supposedly on file that shows that the original cement top on the Boyd Well, Arco's Boyd Well, would be above thirty-one hundred feet also?
 - Yes, sir.
 - What was that depth, you said, supposed to show?

11

12

16

17

23

24

25

- A. He didn't tell me, he just said it was above thirty-one hundred feet.
- Q. Have they got a copy of their original temperature survey?
 - A. I have not seen it.
 - Q. But you don't have it in your well file in Hobbs?
 - A. No, sir, I sure don't.
 - Q. Did you look in the Santa Fc files?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q So that covers all of the wells in that Number One?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q. When we get to that Order Number Two then, we've got two wells listed there which were supposed to be recemented and both of them were recemented, is that correct?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q. Then we get to Order Three and it covers five wells altogether in which remedial work was supposed to be taken and in which they were supposed to eliminate an existing casing leak or bradenhead water flow. Now you mentioned that one of them had been recompleted with a five-inch liner, one had been P&A, one had cement circulated, one has cement top at twenty-four seventy, the other at nineteen, ten?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Does the work on each of those four comply with the

19

20

first sentence in Order Three?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q That the existing casing leak or break in that water flow has been eliminated?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Now we get to Item Four which calls for the plugging of four wells, two wells have been plugged. That would be the two Skelly wells?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q Samedan has reentered theirs and is considering maybe putting it back on production and plugging the well which was mentioned in Order Number Two instead?
 - A Yes, sir, that is correct.
- Q And Wolfson is covered by that letter that you had, that Exhibit?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Okay. Now we get to Order Number Five. What about this Interstate Petroleum Corporation-J. S. Clower well?
- * This well was reentered and it is replugged at the proper time. I mean, I don't remember the time. I will have to look back on my notes is what I'm trying to say and we've got the bottom plug set at seven hundred and fifty feet on it.
 - Q But the well was reentered, cleaned out as far as

sid morrish reporting service Grand Corni Reporting Service Cote Mgir No. 121, Sant Fe. New McKey 87501 Physic (503) 982-9212

6

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

possible and replugged?

- A. Yes, sir. I would say that a concerted effort was made to get to TD on this well.
 - 9 Who worked on that well?
- A Armer was actually supervised the work, but Skelly, as I understand, split half the bill.
 - They participated in the work?
 - A Yes, sir.
- ${\bf q}$ That is the one that is known as the old R. D. Sims water well?
 - A Yes, sir, that's right.
- Q Okay. Now, you have conducted one quarterly survey, is that right?
- * Yes, sir. We are now presently in the midst of another one.
- Q And what does the Order call for, that the Secretary-Director can change these surveys to a semi-annual basis after four of these guarterlies are taken, is that right?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - And you are just now starting your second quarter?
 - A Yes, sir, that is correct.
- Q Okay, now referring to these three disposal wells in Orders Eleven, Twelve and Thirteen, they are given a disposal allowable, one of them is no longer in use, but the other two would have a disposal allowable. Are they

10

11

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

staying within their disposal allowable?

- Yes, sir, they are.
- Okay. Now what about Order Number Fourteen there that Skelly Oil Company until further order of the Commission continue to produce the LPG Well, do you have any knowledge as to what Skelly is doing there?
- Yes, sir, they are continuing to produce this well. I have that information somewhere if I can just find it. Last week they run a shut-in test on it and shut it in for -- let me find that information.

MR. NUTTER: Maybe Mr. Blodgett would testify as 12 to that. Did you plan to go into that? MR. BLODGET: Not really.

- Okay. The well was shut in last week, shut in for fifteen minutes, and it pressured up to three hundred pounds in fifteen minutes. It is presently flowing at a rate of some hundred and thirty-seven barrels of water per day.
- (Mr. Nutter continuing.) That's the old LPG storage well?
 - Yes, sir. A.
 - And it is still making water?
 - Yes, sir.
 - MR. BLODGET: Is that volume down?
- No, it is not.
 - Q. (Mr. Nutter continuing.) How about the pressure,

you say it was shut in and built up to three hundred pounds in fifteen minutes?

A. Yes, sir.

2

6

10

12

13

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

- When the states in the first started, what have of pressures were they getting on that well?
- A. Of course, again I would have to go back to some other information, Dan, but this was an LPG storage well and Mr. Shahan informed me that normally LPG wells have a very small amount of pressure on it and they went out some morning and discovered eight or nine hundred pounds on this well which is close to blowing the overburden off and they got real panicky and the well was full of LPG at the time and they did recover directly a hundred percent of their LPG product out of this well. We then directed them to keep this well open and not load it up with this pressure and I'm sure that this fifteen minute shut in was kind of hair raising. I really don't know what the pressure should be on it, on an LPG well, not much, however.
- Okay, now Orders Fifteen, Sixteen, Seventeen, Eighteen, Nineteen and Twenty all relate to the waterflood projects in the area and the volumes of water that would be injected?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. I think that under Orders Fifteen and Sixteen that two floods were permitted to inject a volume of water equal

sid morrish reporting service
Grand Court Reporting Service
825 Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 8750
Phone (503) 982-9212

to the reservoir voidage, and that one project in which considerable work had already been accomplished was permitted to inject a volume of water equal to one hundred and fifty percent of the reservoir voidage?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Then later in the order it provided that the supervisor could raise the injection rate for those that were held to actual reservoir voidage up to a hundred and fifty percent. Do you know if all three floods in this area are injecting at the rate of one hundred and fifty percent of voidage or what is their injection ratio, do you know?

A. No, sir, I do not. I have seen this information, but they are complying with this letter requirement.

Q Do you have any recommendation as to, if the Commission enters an order on the reopening of this Case today, do you have any recommendation as to whether the Case should be reopened again later or just an open-end order, or what?

A I think it should be possibly an open-ended order. I think it would be my recommendation that we continue on with the injection volumes as they are now until we can get further data through bradenhead surveys and actually ascertain if this flow of water is going to decrease in these wells such as the Skelly LPG well and certain other wells that we are now having problems with.

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

22

Ç.	Until we	have asco	ertained,	though, w	hether t	he
remedial	work has l	been succe	essful and	d some of	these ot	her
problems	have been	eliminat	ed, do you	ı recommen	d that w	ve continu
the brade	enhead sur	vey and p	ossibly re	eopen this	thing 1	later?

- A Yes, sir.
- would a year be adequate?
- A I think so, that would be just fine.
- Q Do you think that over all you have received a considerable amount of cooperation from the operators?
 - A Yes, sir, I certainly do.
 - Q And they have been diligent in their efforts?
 - A Yes, sir.

MR. NUTTER: Okay, any further questions of

Mr. Clements? He may be excused.

MR. BLODGET: I would like to ask one since he brought up the salt dome problem.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. BLODGET:

- To your knowledge, is there anyone else that has a well drawing salt water out of the salt dome?
 - A Mo, sir. Are you speaking specifically of LPG wells?
- 9 Yes, sir, well, the LPG or any other kind of wells that are getting water out of that particular salt formation?
 - A There are indications, yez, that there is some

sir. That is being drained out of that --Yes, sir, however, not in the amount that Skelly is

water coming in basically this area or through the salt, yes,

- Well, now, if this salt formation is pretty well pressured up with water and all of these wells that have been drilled through it and properly cemented and so forth, and then if there was just one well which actually this well is in a big cavern, is it not?
 - Yes, sir.

getting.

- And if this is the only well, drawing well from this formation it is going to take a long time to relieve the pressure in that particular salt formation, is it not?
 - I would think so, yes, sir.
- Now, if all the wells in the area had all of the remedial work that had been recommended done, and there was no other place except the Skelly LPG Well that this water was escaping, how could that injure anybody elses well, I mean into the operations of this area?
 - In other words, would you please --
- What I meant, if all of these wells that have been drilled through this salt formation are properly protected, and even if the Skelly well was allowed either to be plugged and abandoned or just kept pumping, why would

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

24

there be a necessity to continue the one hundred and fifty or the voidage rate or the one hundred and fifty percent factor through the waterfloods?

A. As I say, we still have problem wells that have got this flow water and I'm just not real sure that we have enough data to increase this at the present time. I think we should run some more bradenhead surveys and see that these things are as they should be. We have only run one and partially another one so far, and we are still encountering some pressures in this area where the intermediate or salt strings are set.

- Q And when you encounter that then what do you do then?
- * We try to get them to work the well over and relieve this problem by the use of cement.
 - Have you been successful with every operator?
- A In some cases, yes, sir, I would say ninety-nine percent of the time we have, yes, sir. We occasionally will perforate into a well that will have a flow of water that we cannot shut off.
- Q Wouldn't the fact that you are running periodic tests now give you notice within a reasonable time to where if there is a break through somewhere and that it is discovered fairly soon it can be remedied within a reasonable time without doing a great deal of damage?

12

17

18

20

	A.	ľ	still	think	that	we	have	the	situ	ation	prob	abl
that	if	the	pressu	ire had	d dis	sip	ated,	I w	ould	say,	yes,	but
i.t h	as i	not (dissipa	ated.								

- Well, if you remedy the problem you find in all of these other wells it is going to take that Skelly well a long time to dissipate the pressure from that particular salt formation, isn't it?
 - It may possibly do it, yes, sir.

MR. BLODGET: I have no further questions.

MR. NUTTER: Does anyone else have any questions 11 of the witness? Mr. Ramey?

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. RAMEY:

- Mr. Clements, in the second bradenhead survey, have you witnessed any increases in pressure over what you found in the first?
 - Yes, sir, in one case.
 - Do you have those figures in your head or --
- Yes, sir, that would be where they would be, and I would say approximately from five hundred and fifty pounds to six hundred and seventy pounds in one well, being T P's shut in or temporarily abandoned well over east of H-35 there. It is a Sims well. I don't remember the number of it offhand.

15

16

17

18

21

23

24

 Ω . Have you witnessed any decrease in pressure on some of them?

A Yes, sir, I certainly have.

MR. RAMEY: Thank you, that's all.

MR. NUTTER: Mr. Kellahin.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. KELLAHIN:

- Q. What is the current order affecting the Anadarko Langley Mattox Penrose Unit, what are they limited to in their injection rates on that waterflood?
- A Like I say I have been out working personally with these wells but I haven't checked the C-120's and I really don't know. Which one is it?

MR. RAMEY: What is the order?

- A One hundred percent, isn't it? I believe it is one hundred percent.
- Q (Mr. Kellahin continuing.) I believe the order requires Anadarko to limit their injection to one hundred percent of the withdrawal. Is that still your recommendation now or are you willing to recommend that that be increased to one hundred and fifty percent?
- A No, sir. My recommendation is that it stay at one hundred percent.
 - Q Based on what facts would you continue with that

gid morrish reporting service Geneal Court Spanish Senar 5 Calle Mejal 122, Santa Fe, New Merico 875/ Phore (505) 982-9212

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

rate?

A. We have a well that a certain company has spent some forty thousand dollars offsetting some of Anadarko's wells attempting to squeeze off and they cannot get it squeezed off.

- Q. Which well is that?
- A. Texas Pacific Oil Company, Incorporated, Elliott B-20 Number 1.

MR. RAMEY: Are these other wells offsetting Anadarko's flood?

A. They are just scattered at random, actually from one end of the field to the other, however, some of them are at random.

Have you located it, I might find it here.

- Q (Mr. Kellahin continuing.) In Section 20?
- A I don't know, I can look and see. Elliott B-20

 Number 1 located in Unit H of Section 20, Township 22 South,

 Range 37 East.
 - Q Is that in Section 20?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q Is that named in one of these Commission orders?
- A No, sir, this is one of the wells that we found had pressure by the bradenhead survey.
 - Q Subsequently you have discovered some problems?
 - A. Yes, sir.

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

22

Are there any other wells that are directly affected by the Anadarko waterflood?

I don't know, I have a list here. I'm sure that some of them are in close proximity. I haven't plotted them out on the map to really know.

MR. KELLAHIN: No further questions.

RECROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. BLODGET:

Are there any wells in the south area that have not been taken care of, the necessary remedial action been taken?

I can just only go through these letters that I wrote and the ones that we heard from, I can tell you offhand that I believe Continental has some offsetting you over there, being the State JJ-36 and Number 1 located in Unit G of 36, 22, 37; and the Stevens B Number 19 located in Unit O of 7, 23, 37, and so far we have only received an intent that they are going to do this work.

It's my understanding that the injection rate for both the Penrose λ and Penrose B Units is at one hundred and fifty percent at this time, if all of the wells are taken care of with all of the necessary remedial work in the south area, would you still recommend that the injection rate there be kept at one hundred and fifty?

sid morrish reporting service General Court Reporting Service 815 Calle Mqis. No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico. 87501 Phone (503) 982-9212

8

10

13

16

17

18 19

A. Yes, sir, I sure would until further evaluation of these bradenhead surveys are done.

MR. BLODGET: No further questions.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ NUTTER: Any further questions of Mr. Clements? He may be excused.

MR. JENNINGS: Will he be available this afternoon if we might need to question him after other testimony?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ NUTTER: Yes, he will be in the Hearing for the rest of the day.

(THEREUPON, the witness was excused.)

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ NUTTER: Did you have anything further at this time, Mr. Carr?

MR. CARR: I have nothing further.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ NUTTER: At this time then we will recess the Hearing until one-thirty.

(THEREUPON, the Hearing was in recess.)

:..

sid morrish reporting service construction 123, Santa Court Reporting Service 87501 UB Mdis, No. 1723, Santa Fe, New Meckey 87501 UB Mdis, No. 1723, Santa Research 1723, Santa R

AFTERNOON SESSION

MR. NUTTER: The Hearing will come to order, please.

MR. CARR: I would like to recall Mr. Clements for one question.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$ NUTTER: Mr. Clements, will you resume the stand and you are still under oath.

MR. CLEMENTS: Yes, sir.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. CARR:

10

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

flow?

Mr. Clements, do you have a recommendation to make to the Examiner concerning Agua, Inc. salt water disposal well H-35?

A Yes, sir, I would like to go on record to having this well to be permanently shut in, and all water flowed back that will possibly come back and when the pressure is dissipated that it be plugged and abandoned.

MR. NUTTER: To flow back as long as it would

A. Yes, sir.

MR. NUTTER: And at that point plug it?

A. Yes, sir.

MR. NUTTER: Are there any questions of the witness?

MR. JENNINGS: Yes, sir.

MR. NUTTER: Mr. Jennings.

eld morrish reporting service Grew Cornel Cornel Cornel Cornel Cornel Cornel Cornel Service STS01 (Subsection 1982) 1982-1983

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. JENNINGS:

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22 23

- Q. Would you please state your reason for your recommendation, Mr. Clements?
 - A. I do not think that it can be properly repaired.
 - Q Wherein does the fault lie?
 - A I don't know.
- Q Were you present at any tests that were made on this well?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - When were the tests made and what did they reflect?
- A It reflected that you had communication with the surface.
 - Q Which test was that?
- A The last bradenhead survey. I'll have to look it up here. I have it here somewhere. Let's see, it was run in August on H-35, it had eight hundred and twenty-five pounds on the surface with water flow.
- Q Have you been present when eny later tests were run?
- A Yes, sir, we run a temperature survey and an injectivity profile.
 - Q What did that reflect?
- A It indicated that you had a water movement around fifteen hundred feet.

reporting service
1 Reputing Servic
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
(05) 982-9212

MR. ABBOTT: Where?

A. Around fifteen hundred feet.

MR. ABBOTT: Where was the flow?

A. Sir?

2

5 6

7

8

õ

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

MR. ABBOTT: Where was the flow?

A. At fifteen hundred feet up.

MR. ABBOTT: Up?

A. Yes, sir.

MR. ABBOTT: There is no flow inside the casing?

A This is outside of the casing.

MR. NUTTER: Mr. Abbott, if you would defer your

questioning to your attorney, please?

Q (Mr. Jennings continuing.) I believe you stated that the flow was outside of the casing?

A Yes, sir.

A How was this determined?

A By temperature tools.

Q Who performed the test?

A I believe Western did.

0 And they indicated that there was communication?

A. No, sir, they did not, the temperature tool did.

Q Where was that indicated, fifteen hundred feet?

A. Yes, sir.

Q Outside the casing?

A. Yes, sir.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

O In your opinion is there any way that this can be remedied?

- No, sir, short of flooding the well.
 (THEREUPON, a discussion was held off the record.
- Q. (Mr. Jennings continuing.) My understanding is that the water is coming in, is that in the salt?
 - A. I don't know, I think it's above the salt.
 - Q. Above the salt?
- A. I'm just speaking offhand, I really don't know, I would have to look at the log.
- Q And the test, you are saying it is coming in at fourteen or fifteen hundred feet?
- A. Yes, sir, it is coming in next to the casing and flowing up.
- Q Do you have any idea as to the source of the water?
 - A No, sir, I certainly don't.
- Q Do you have any other situations in the pool or in the area we're talking about where this is happening?
 - A We have had some previously.
 - Q Were they able to remedy them?
- A In some cases, yes, sir.
 - Well, we've spoken about all but three cases, do remember those cases where it was not remedied?

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

77 73 74

25

time.

A. These were done even prior to the issuing of this Order, back when we run a temperature and profile injection -- temperature survey on all of the Skellys and Anadarko's injection wells some eighteen months ago approximately.

MR. JENNINGS: I believe that's all at this

MR. NUTTER: Any further questions of the witness? He may be excused.

(THEREUPON, the witness was excused.)

MR. NUTTER: Do you have anything further, Mr. Carr?

MR. CARR: Nothing further.

MR. NUTTER: Who is going to call the next witness?

Mr. Kellahin? Are you going to present a case or are you

just representing Mr. Blodget and Mr. Bonnette here?

MR. KELLAHIN: I'm going to put on some testimony for Anadarko.

MR. NUTTER: Do you want to do that at this time, or Mr. Blodget?

O. V. STUCKEY

colled as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BLODGET:

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

15

17

18

10

20

21

22

23

24

25

	Q.	Would	you	please	state	your	name,	bу	whom	you	are
	employed	and in	what	t capac:	ity?						
I	1					_					

- A. My name is O. V. Stuckey, I'm employed by Skelly
 Oil Company as a production engineering specialist in Midland,
 Texas.
- Q Now you have testified at both of the previous

 Hearings concerning the subject matters of this particular

 Hearing, have you not?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q And what is your responsibility as far as the Penrose A and B Units are concerned?
- A I have production engineering responsibilities for these two Units.
- A You have testified, as you mentioned, before this Commission and your qualifications have been accepted?
 - A Yes, sir.

MR. BLODGET: Is that right?

MR. MUTTER: Yes, he's qualified.

- @ (Mr. Blodget continuing.) As far as you know, have all of the tests and remedial work called for in Order Number R-5003 been completed on both the Penrose A and B Units?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - And approximately when were they all completed?
- A The bulk of this work was completed in March. We completed the work on one well in August.

9

13

14

17

19

20

22

	Ù	Λnd	now	to	2.on	r	know:	ledge	nan	the	Commis	ssion	rut	3
the	second	set	of	tes	its	on	the	well	and	com	lated	them	in	the
two	Units?													

- \mathbb{A} It is my understanding that these two Units, they have been run.
- Now at the time of the first Order in this matter, the injection rate was cut back to voidage, is that right?
 - A. That is correct on the Penrose A Unit.
- Q All right, then after the remedial work had been done and administrative approval was granted in accordance with Order Number R-5003 to increase the injection rates in the Penrose A to a hundred and fifty percent, is that correct?
 - A. I believe so.
- Now, what has happened to the production from the Penrose A Unit, first, say while Skelly was only injecting a hundred percent, or voidage, and then what has happened since they started to inject a hundred and fifty percent of the voidage, what has happened to the production?
 - A. It has been declining throughout that entire period.
- Q. Have you prepared an exhibit which amounts to a graph showing the decline curves?
 - A. Yes, sir, we have.
- Q I call your attention to what has been marked as Exhibt One, would you identify and explain that please?
 - A. Exhibit One was the Unit's performance curve of

16

18

19

20

23

the Skelly Penrose A Unit which indicates on a daily basis, by months, the water injection, water production, oil production, gas-oil ratio performance of this Unit from a period of 1965 through September 1975.

- Now, can you explain whether or not there is any difference in the oil production during the period where we were just injecting the amount of water amounting to voidage as compared to the period where we jumped to a hundred and fifty percent of voidage:
- Immediately prior to the period of curtailment of water injection, we were producing approximately fourteen hundred and seventy-five barrels of oil per day. This took 13 a drastic decrease at the end of January 1975, and approximatel the last two months has been approximately nine hundred barrels of oil per day.
 - Now, what is that difference, about five hundred barrels a day?
 - Approximately five hundred and seventy-five barrels a day.
 - And in your opinion what would be needed in order to increase the production, or at least keep the production of oil constant on this Unit?
 - In my opinion it would require an increase in the water injection volume to either stabilize or increase production rates.

O. In other words, you are of the opinion that the restrictions on injections as far as the Penrose A Unit is concerned should be removed?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q what do you base your opinion, and why do you think the restrictions should be removed?
- water-flow problem in th.; thirty-six section area, we were actively participating as chairman of the south study area, we have diligently pursue; all indications of any water injection loss and are continuing to monitor and take care of any well problems that we have located or that have been located through the efforts of the Commission and we feel like that with this work that we are doing that we have the problem pretty well under control in the south end, to the best of our knowledge and really the only way that you can tell is to try a little higher injection and see if there is anything that we have missed by continually monitoring and gradually increasing your rate and try to get this oil bank moving again to try to get the production back to what it should be.
- Q Now you heard some testimony as far as bradenhead tests are concerned and they felt that we needed to run some tests periodically, do you have any comment on that?
 - A. That was our recommendation for the Skelly leases

sid morrish reporting service
General Court Reporting Service
S Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico. 87501

involved in this area, we supported that position for the south area and for this entire Unit, and we are actually participating in it and we are preparing any well as soon as we see any indication that it has any casing leak or water flow problems. We did, either as a result of the first bradenhead survey or in between that due to field operations, locate two casing leaks in the Skelly Penrose B Unit which is Well Number 41 located in Unit C, Section 9, Township 23 South, Range 37 East. Also Well Number 43 located in Unit A, Section 8, Township 23 South, Range 37 East. Leaks in the upper nine hundred feet were located in these two wells, they were repaired and the wells returned to productions and we performed this on a routine manner, similar to what we do on all the other leases there whether they are within this water-flow area or not.

Q Do you have any opinion as to whether or not the Commission should include in any order that comes out of this Hearing, that there should be a provision to the effect that the Commission can grant administratively increases or decreases of water injection rates depending on evidence that comes forth during whatever period of time they are going to continue this case?

A. I would recommend that some provision be made in the order to provide for an increase in the injection as soon as possible following any surveys or any type of

14

16

17

18

20

21

23

25

examinations that the Commission might feel necessary to satisfy themselves about any aspect of this problem. I feel that we should make some provision to increase this over a period of time or without a lengthy process of coming back for an additional Hearing because of the effect of the production in the waterflood area.

I think that all of the operators in this area recognize the problems and are attempting to clear them out, and we would like to get on with the business as soon as feasible, as soon as we have completed the corrective measures and we feel like we have completed the corrective measures in the south area.

MR. BLODGET: I'll pass the witness.

MR. NUTTER: Are there any questions of Mr. Stuckey?

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. NUTTER:

Q Mr. Stuckey, you mentioned Well Numbers 41 and 43 down there in Sections 8 and 9, what occurred there that caused you to take remedial action on those wells?

A One well went off because of subsurface pump repair and they put another unit on the well and started repairs and it showed up pressure on the bradenhead so the foreman notified us of it and we got set up to make the casing repairs and get the well back on production, but

18

10

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

it was a routine pulling operation.

Now it is your contention that as long as we have these quarterly bradenhead surveys and maybe semi-annual bradenhead surveys later on that the Commission should permit injection rates to resume to unlimited volumes and then in the event that a survey would show a problem well, fix that well and then continue the injection rates, or just what?

Really the reason for restricting the injection rates is to get this water-flow problem in the area under control, and that was the reason the study committee was set up, to try to set up criteria for handling this problem and recommend means of monitoring the wells and the subsequent work, and I feel like that as we perform the work if we locate any problems we set up periodic surveys to locate any changes or any additional developments. Really I think we need to proceed to put this area, as soon as we can eliminate the water-flow 16 17 problems, all known problems there, then we should gradually increase injecting them as the Commission provided for in this Order 5003, recognized that there was a need to gradually increase the production back to the point where we can sustain the productive capacity of these units, and I feel like we do need to increase this back to regain our productive rate and to proceed with the waterflood operations on these units.

> Q. Now, Mr. Stuckey, I think that everyone agrees that

at the time of the original hearing and at the first hearing of this case, the evidence showed the formations above the Queen formations had been charged with water and this water was under considerable pressure, at least in certain areas of the sub-area. Until all of that pressure has dissipated does it make sense to increase the injection rates in the event that there may be some well that we have overlooked and haven't been able to properly seal the channel from the Queen formation or the San Andres formation to the upper formations that were artifically charged?

- A Well, I feel like we have pursued any known problem well there.
- You heard Mr. Clements state under oath, didn't you, that he has one well that has already shown an increase in pressure and it is just one of the few wells that he has taken the second bradenhead survey on, so in some areas apparently the pressure is still going up, how would you account for that?
- A I'm not familiar with the particular wells that he has testified that on, and I cannot account for it, but it is my understanding that this well that he was talking about is in the north area rather than the south area.
- Okay, now, Skelly operates two floods, the Penrose A and the Penrose B Units and then you have this Exhibit One on the Penrose Skelly A Unit, did you have a similar exhibit

on the B or has the performance been similar to that on the \mathbf{A} ?

- A. We have one on the Penrose B.
- 0. It was on the decline on the injection anyway apparently, wasn't it, or on the production?
- A. This Penrose B Unit is quite a bit further down the line. It has been in flood much longer, and it is much more advanced on the flood and it is not nearly as sensitive to rate changes as the A.
- And your water injection had been going down on this for several years anyway, hadn't it?
 - A Yes.
- Q Now, back to the Penrose A Unit, Exhibit Number
 One, I note that the high rate of production was in the month
 of October 1974 and that is when you had that fourteen hundred
 and seventy-five barrels per day, I think, average production,
 is that it?
- * No, sir, that high rate was following four frac jobs on that unit and which caused this immediate kick, but four seventy-five would cover the period from about August to January, the end of January of '75 -- from August of '74 -- discounting the kick we got immediately following the stimulation jobs.
- Q The production declined following the stimulation jobs, production declined prior to any decrease in injection

sid morrish reporting service Garnal Court Reporting Service Calle Meja, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico. 8750 Phone (505) 982-2212

17

18

22

24

rates, is that it?

A. We received this kick following this stimulation and then declined down to about the average rate.

Q. Do you think it is possible that this waterflood would have shown a decline of production over the last twelve months had there not been a decrease in injection rates, is it time for a normal decline in the waterflood production?

A. No, sir, we anticipated a further increase in this period due to planned infield drilling and stuff, we feel that we are pretty well at the peak there, but we had plans for some additional infield drilling. We performed some infield drilling, added four wells in '74 and we were evaluating that work and had some additional work planned in '75 on that which we deferred because of the restricted injection rate.

- Q Would the infield wells be producers or would you have infield injection wells also?
 - A They would be producers only.
- Q Under normal conditions if you do infield drilling for production wells do you have to have an increase in your injection rates in the existing injection wells?
 - A Yes, sir, we do.
- So this would call for an increase in injection rates if you had gone through with that infield drilling program?
 - A We had added an injection pump at the plant and

13

16

17

18

20

22

increased our injection in July of '74 based on this planned infield drilling.

- Q. Well, now, in the event that you did resume your infield drilling program and put more wells on production this automatically allows you to put more water in, doesn't it because of the voidage formula that is applicable. I mean, the more you produce the more you can inject, can't you?
- A. Well, the three successful infield wells that we had in our prior program showed the most drastic drop from this injection restriction.
- Q. They showed the most drastic drop in production after injection was restricted?
 - A Right.
- Q Couldn't that have been just a normal decline, maybe you had gotten into an area that had primary production and it wasn't very long lived?
 - A Not in our opinion, it was basined in.
- Q The decline was solely due to the decline in injection rates, in your opinion?
- A Well, it had an abnormal decline. We feel like it is based soley on the injection restrictions.
 - Q I see.

MR. NUTTER: Are there any further questions of Mr. Stuckey?

MR. BLODGET: I have just one.

81d morrish reporting service
Greed Curry Reputed Service
15 Cule Mgit, No. 122, Sante Fe, New Mexico 87501
Phote (302) 982-9112

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Were Exhibits One and Two prepared by you or under your supervision?

THE WITNESS: They were.

MR. NUTTER: Skelly Exhibits One and Two will be admitted into evidence.

Any further questions of Mr. Stuckey? He may be excused.

(THEREUPON, the witness was excused.)

 ${\tt MR.\ NUTTER:}\ {\tt Do\ you\ have\ any\ further\ witnesses,}$

Mr. Blodget?

MR. BLODGET: No, sir.

MR. NUTTER: Mr. Kellahin?

DAN KERNAGHAN

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KELLAHIN:

- Q Please state your name, by whom you are employed and in what capacity?
- A My name is Dan Kernaghan, I'm employed by Anadarko Production Company as Divsision Evaluation Engineer.
- A Have you previously testified before the Commission and had your qualifications as an expert witness accepted and made a matter of record?

sid morrish reporting service General Court Reporting Service Calle Majo, 122, Sants Fe, New Mexico 87501 Phone (5103) 982-9212

11

12

14

15

16

20

21

23

			_
Α.	Yesı	Ι	have.

- Q. Are you familiar with the facts surrounding this particular Hearing?
 - A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Does Anadarko Production Company operate the Langley Mattox Penrose Sand Unit waterflood project?
 - A. We do.
- Q. And has Anadarko completed all of the Oil Conservation Commission ordered surveys and done all of the remedial work indicated by those surveys?
 - A Yes, we have.
- Q Have you prepared a tabulation of those surveys and the results of that remedial work?
 - A I have.
 - Q Is that marked as Exhibit Number One for Anadarko?
- A Yes, it is. Let me point out on this Exhibit one well, our injection well 35-2 has not been surveyed, it has a leak in the casing at around the casing flaps and there is a hole in there around fifteen hundred feet. We squeezed it a number of times and do not have a successful squeeze job. The well is not in use, it will be surveyed prior to the time that we put it in use. This is the lone exception to all of our wells being surveyed and like I said, this well is not in use at the present time.
 - Q Do you have any other comments about the Exhibit?

sid morrish reporting service General Court Reporting Service 825 Calle Mgia, No. 122, Sarta Fe, New Mexico 87501 Phone (505) 982-9212

A. No.

2

3

5

6

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23 24

25

Q What has been the effect, Mr. Kernaghan, of the curtailment of injection upon Anadarko's production?

A. The rate of decline on our flood has decreased substantially. We are witnessing an overall loss in ultimate secondary recovery.

Q Was Exhibit One prepared by you directly or under your direction and supervision?

A. Yes, it was.

MR. KELLAHIN: If the Examiner please, we move the introduction of Exhibit Number One.

MR. NUTTER: Exhibit One will be admitted into evidence.

MR. KELLAHIN: That concludes our examin. Lion.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. NUTTER:

O Mr. Kernaghan, do you have any tabulation or other evidence of this decline of production in your waterflood project as experienced?

A Well, yes.

Q. I think you said the rate of decline has increased?

A. Yes.

Q Has the project already peaked out?

A. The project had peaked and was declining prior to thi

Q But the decline was steeper?

A. That's right. We can send you the data if you would like to see it.

Q. What was the percentage rate of decline prior to the curtailment of injection rates?

A. I would hate to quote that figure. We are talking about a big project that is making in the neighborhood of thirty thousand to forty thousand barrels a month. The rates of decline are on the order of two or three percent a month, but small changes make a big difference. In magnitude we are talking about something that's probably twice the rate now that it was earlier, three percent versus one-and-a-half, four percent versus two. I can tabulate this and supply you with the information.

Q. Would you do that please?

MR. NUTTER: Are there any further questions of

Mr. Kernaghan? Mr. Jenning?

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. JENNINGS:

 $\mathfrak Q$ What is your average injection pressure, Mr. Kernaghan?

A. It's on the order of fifteen hundred pounds.

Q Okay.

MR. NUTTER: If there are ro further questions the

witness may be excused.

THEREUPON. t

(THEREUPON, the witness was excused.)

in the property of the property of the party of the property of the property of the property of the property of

MR. NUTTER: Would you call your witness, Mr.

Jennings?

W. G. ABBOTT

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. JENNINGS:

- Q Would you state your name and occupation, please, six
- A. W. G. Abbott, manager of Agua at Hobbs, New Mexico.
- Q You are the same W. G. Abbott who testified earlier today in cause number 5592 and had your qualifications accepted?
 - A Yes, sir.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ JENNINGS: Are the witness's qualifications acceptable?

MR. NUTTER: Yes, the are.

Q (Mr. Jennings continuing.) Mr. Abbott, after you received what has been marked as Commission's Exhibit Two in this Case, which is a letter dated August 22nd, 1975 from Mr. Ramey requesting you or ordering you to cease injection into your H-35 well on September 26th, 1975 at eight A.M., what did you do?

sid morrish reporting service Granel Curry Reporting Service 125 Calle Meju, No. 123, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 Phone (503) 987-9212

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

Sid morrish reporting service Grand Court Reporting Stacks \$23 (**Ile Main, Par. 172; State For New Moxico, 8750

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

23 22

24

25

Well, what prompted this was the quarterly pressure test which was run under the supervision of the Conservation Commission and they ran a pressure test August the fourteenth at which time they ordered us to open up the surface pipe on our disposal well. If you remember, our H-35 has ninc-andfive-eighths inch pipe set at eleven hundred and eighty feet with the cement circulated and the seven-inch pipe is at thirty-nine, seventy-five and the calculated top of the cement is estimated at twenty-four hundred feet. So on August 14th, we opened up the surface pipe valve and I think at that time -- I don't have the pressure, but I understand it was six hundred plus psi, and when they opened it up there was some air or gas and then a flow of salt water. salt water -- a test was run by John Runyon from the Commission on the salt water and it was estimated to be saturated salt water evidently coming from the salt section. It was a hundred and eighty-eight thousand, eight hundred and sixty parts per million chloride.

On the twentieth, I don't know why there is a delay, but a sample of our injected water was taken from our storage tank at the same location and that test showed that the chlorides were thirty-two thousand, six hundred and sixty parts per million.

We had previously had an analysis run on our disposal water and the chlorides were thirty-four thousand

13

18

20

21

22 23 parts per million and that was run in November of '74.

Then we had run a tracer survey. By the way, a previous tracer survey was run on December 8th, 1974 and on this first survey since we were disposing in the well we had to shut the well down for just a short time, but we ran the radioactive survey and ninety-two percent of the water was going in a zone in the open-hole section below into the San Andres and eight percent was shown to go out right at the shoe, at the seven-inch open-hole section.

- Just to keep the matter straight, I don't want to interrupt you, but what was the date of the survey?
 - That was December 8, 1974.

So then after the well had been shut down by order then we came back October 16th, 1975 and man another tracer survey and also a temperature survey. Mr. Clements witnessed 16 this tracer survey and there again it showed that ninety-two percent of the water was going up the wellbore, the bottom of the casing, and there is a mistake on this log. They show ten percent of the casing shoe, which obviously must be eight percent. But then under the direction of Mr. Clements he wanted us to open up the surface pipe which has pressure on it, we ran a temperature survey. [Well, I have run hundreds of temperature surveys and I don't think this was a good temperature survey in that it was run inside the tubing and you are going through the tubing and then the tubing casing

aid morrish reporting service

Connel Control Reporting Service
825 Calle Maja, No. 123, Santa Fe, New Mexico 8750
Physics (503) 98-59212

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

annulus and then recording temperature change outside of the seven-inch pipe and the flow wasn't great enough to indicate much, but it did show there was possible fluid coming in. Now, that's in at fourteen hundred and fifty feet. Now, the flow was into the wellbore outside of the seven-inch pipe, which is obvious it is the salt section and this whole area is pressured up and the flow is through the salt section and it came into our wellbore and up the outside of the seven-inch pipe into the surface pipe which is why we have the pressure on it. So to give me more of an idea of what flow we would have in that surface pipe I had my field man go out there this month, the twelfth of this month and he ran the following test: The surface pipe had five hundred and twenty pounds on it. The tubing had eleven hundred and fifty pounds. Now you understand that this well has been shut in since September 19th and we have been bleeding back the tubing somewhat but we are limited on how much we can bleed back because we don't have any place to dispose of it. Eventually when we get another disposal well we can bleed the pressure back and pull the tubing and do any remedial work on this flow which is outside of the seven-inch pipe.

And so this test, eleven-fifty A.M., casing was five, twenty, tubing eleven, fifty. Removed tap-hole plug and surface casing gauge, connected two-inch suction hose to sixty-barrel truck at top of valve, connected hose to a

22

24

surface line and opened valve completely. Approximately onefourth of a stream of water back flowed at first, then it
started to pulsate small amounts back to the tank. At eleventwenty-five A.M. seven barrels of water flowed back and the
water was barely returning. The pressure was zero and they
put the pressure gauge on, closed the well in at eleven-twentyfive. Immediately the pressure jumped to two hundred and
twenty-five pounds and at the end of two hours the pressure
was four hundred and fifty pounds, which indicates that there
is pressure in the salt section. It indicates to me that there
is no communication within our wellbore at our H-35 well.

Any flow into the salt section is from an external source, which could be anything. It could be the pressures that are recorded in the salt section on the whole area, or a lot of the area, which the operators are repairing now, but we feel that the well has no communication and we weren't going to bring it up at this time, but I will bring it up since it was recommended that they shut in the well, that we be allowed to repair the well when we are able to and resume a limited injection into the well. I say limited, of approximately a thousand barrels a day.

Q Well, you have heard Mr. Clements' testimony. In your opinion is it possible to shut off this flow that is coming from the salt?

A Yes, perforate it.

0. Is this in effect what they have done in the other wells?

A. Yes.

2

3

- Q. Could it be done in the same manner, or how would it be accomplished?
- A. Yes, as soon as the pressure is let off our well so we can pull the tubing then we can perforate the seven-inch and circulate cement behind the seven-inch up into the surface pipe at eleven hundred and seventy feet, eleven, eighty feet.
- Q I assume from your testimony that you have found that the statement which was made in the August 22nd letter to the effect that there was communication between the tubing and the casing in the well, that situation does not exist?
 - A No, we couldn't find it.
- Q What further recommendations, if any, do you have to make to the Commission in light of the problems that you are encountering in injecting water, not only in this well, but other wells in the area?
- A Well, the disposed water has to be disposed of at some place and we think the San Andres is as good a place as any, but I think the Commission should continue on with their program of monitoring the wells for any pressures. I'm not too sure that it is necessary to squeeze off some of this pressure that is showing up on the surface pipe, especially when you have a long surface pipe string. I think

sid morrish reporting service Grand Court Reporting Scrike Grand Court Reporting Scrike Media, No. 122, Statt Fe, New Mexico 87501 Phone (505) 982-9112

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

you would gain more by observing those pressures rather than squeezing them off or doing a bradenhead squeeze and then losing the information at that particular well. Now this goes for our well and other producing wells in the area.

Q. And you are ready, willing, and able to squeeze off the zone if and when you can and get the well in condition?

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. NUTTER:

Right.

- Q. Mr. Abbott, right now will this H-35 well flow back?
- A. We haven't taken a rate, Dan, it would probably flow back one hundred to two hundred barrels an hour. We have to put a choke on it to flow it back.
- Q Have you ever taken a pressure on the tubing, does it have a present shut-in tubing pressure?
 - A Yes.
 - Q How much is that?
- L It's eleven hundred and eighty pounds, I think it was, eleven fifty.
- Q And your surface casing runs at about five hundred pounds of pressure, I think you said?
 - A Yes, right.
- MR. NUTTER: Are there any further questions of Mr. Abbott?

Mr. Ramey?

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. RAMEY:

Mr. Abbott, you are reasonably familiar with the area around your H-35 well?

Right.

Could you say definitely that injecting water into the San Andres at the H-35, say within a two-mile radius, that water is going to stay in the San Andres?

You're right, we don't know if it will stay in the San Andres. It could migrate to any well that is improperly cemented or improperly plugged and get from the San Andres up to the salt section and move through the salt section.

MR. RAMEY: Thank you.

MR. NUTTER: Are there any questions of the witness? You may be excused.

(THEREUPON, the witness was excused.)

MR. NUTTER: Do you have anything further, Mr.

20 Jennings?

MR. JENNINGS: No, sir, not today.

MR. NUTTER: Do you have anything further, Mr. Carr?

MR. CARR: No, sir.

MR. NUTTER: Mr. Blodget?

MR. BLODGET: No, sir.

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

22

23 24

MR. NUTTER: Mr. Kellahin?

MR. KELLAHIN: If the Examiner please, Anadarko has no objection to the Oil Conservation Commission recommendations to continue the present restrictions on injection in the disposal wells until such time as there is further evidence that the various problems in that area have been remedied.

MR. NUTTER: Does anyone else have anything they wish to offer in Case Number 5403?

We will take the Case under advisement and recess the Hearing for fifteen minutes.

(THEREUPON, the Hearing was in recess.)

sid morrish reporting service Greek Comment of Service State Maju. No. 122, Sant Fr. New Morino 87501 Phose (502) 983-5712

Pa	ce		67		

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, SIDNEY F. MORRISH, a court reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me, and the same is a true and correct record of the said proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

Sidney F. Morrish, Court Reporter

a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 5.403.

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission

sid morrish reporting service General Court Reporting Service 825 Calle Meja, No. 122, Sana Fe, New Mexico 87501