RANDOLPH M. RICHARDSON

DIL AND GAS LAND AND UNIT CONSULTANT

P. D. BOX 2423

RDSWELL, NEW MEXICO 88202-2423

March 6, 1996

OFFICE 505 622-8801

M. S.

HOME 505 622-7985

In Re: Docket No. 6-96,
March 7, 1996,
Case No. 11464, Penwell
Energy Application for
Pool Creation, Rules, etc.

Mr. Bill LeMay Oil Conservation Division 2040 S. Pacheco Santa Fe, NM 87505

Dear Mr. LeMay,

On behalf of a client who owns substantial mineral interest under the NEWNEW Sec. 7, T-22-S, R-26-E, NMPM, I strongly protest the captioned application of Penwell for 80 acre spacing covering the Wanew Sec. 7.

In this instance if 80 acre spacing is granted, the units should be "lay down", NaNEz and SanEz.

The Examiner should carefully examine a Topo map of the area and it will be noted that the Waney Sec. 7 is on top of a rather flat hill, but that the Eaney Sec. 7 is on the steeply sloping side of the hill.

If the Wane's is approved, the Eine's will probably not get drilled due to location costs, but will be drained by wells on the Wane's.

If 80 acre spacing is approved, the units should be, as mentioned, NNNE, and SNNE, This would enable fee owners in the NEANE, to share in production and would protect their correlative rights and prevent waste. It would also be much cheaper and economical for the operators to avoid high location costs by having to drill on the side of a hill.

Penwell has also staked their Rifleman well on the SWANEL which is on the same 80 acre Unit they are seeking your approval on. There is much more to this case than meets the eye and although I cannot be in Santa Fe for the hearing, I do respectfully request that the examiner carefully consider the foregoing and make an effort to protect the rights of the Fee owners under the NEANEL Sec. 7.

R. M. Richardson

P. S. Should also consider 40 acre spacing instead of 80s.

2mR