MILLER, STRATVERT & TORGERSON, P.A.

LAW OFFICES

RANNE B. MILLER ALAN C. TORGERSON ALICE TOMLINSON LORENZ GREGORY W. CHASE ALAN KONRAD LYMAN G. SANDY STEPHEN M. WILLIAMS STEPHAN M. VIDMAR ROBERT C. GUTIERREZ SETH V. BINGHAM MICHAEL H. HOSES JAMES B. COLLINS TIMOTHY R. BRIGGS RUDOLPH LUCERO DEAN G. CONSTANTINE DEBORAH A. SOLOVE GARY L. GORDON LAWRENCE R. WHITE SHARON P. GROSS VIRGINIA ANDERMAN MARTE D. LIGHTSTONE JOHN R. FUNK J. SCOTT HALL THOMAS R MACK TERRI L. SAUER

JOEL T. NEWTON JUDITH K. NAKAMURA THOMAS M. DOMME DAVID H. THOMAS III C. BRIAN CHARLTON RUTH O. PREGENZER JEFFREY E. JONES MANUEL L'ARRIETA RALPH WM. RICHARDS LEONARD D. SANCHEZ ROBIN A. GOBLE ALISON I. ARIAS JAMES R. WOOD DANA M. KYLE KIRK R. ALLEN RUTH M. FUESS JAMES B. GREEN KYLE M. FINCH CYNDIA, MADRID H. BROOK LASKEY KATHERINE W. HALL KENNETH B. BACA FRED SCHILLER MICHAEL I. GARCIA

ALBUQUERQUE, N.M.

500 MARQUETTE N.W., SUITE 1100 POST OFFICE BOX 25687 ALBUQUEROUE, N.M. 87125-0687 TELEPHONE: (505) 842-1950 FAX: (505) 243-4408

FARMINGTON, N.M.

300 WEST ARRINGTON POST OFFICE BOX 869 FARMINGTON, N.M. 87499-0869 TELEPHONE: (505) 326-4521 FAX: (505) 325-5474

November 5, 1997

LAS CRUCES, N.M.

500 SOUTH MAIN, SUITE 600 POST OFFICE BOX 1209 LAS CRUCES, N.M. 88004-1209 TELEPHONE: (505) 523-2481 FAX: (505) 526-2215

SANTA FE, N.M.

ISO WASHINGTON AVENUE, SUITE 300 POST OFFICE BOX 1986 SANTA FE, N.M. 87501-1986 TELEPHONE: (505) 989-9614 FAX: (505) 989-9857

PLEASE REPLY TO SANTA FE

WILLIAM K. STRATVERT, COUNSEL PAUL W. ROBINSON, COUNSEL

W. Thomas Kellahin, Esq. Kellahin & Kellahin Post Office Box 2265 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2265

ŧ į

BY HAND DELIVERY

Re: NMOCD Case No.s 11808 and 11809 (De Novo); Application of Burlington Resources Oil and Gas Company For Compulsory Pooling, San Juan County, New Mexico

Dear Tom:

I have received the Burlington Response to Total Minatome Corporation's Second Motion For Stay. In this regard, I believe it is incumbent on counsel and the parties to make a good faith effort to compromise their differences on a particular matter rather than ask the Division or Commission to settle each and every dispute that may arise during the course of a proceeding. Accordingly, please regard this as Total's invitation to Burlington to effect an interim compromise of the election participation/well data confidentiality issue.

The present dispute may be briefly summarized as thus: On the one hand, Total wishes to exercise its right under the compulsory pooling orders to avoid the statutory risk penalty. At the same time, Total wishes to have access to the requested well data. On the other hand, Burlington wishes to preserve the confidentiality of certain well data and this particular concern constituted the basis for Burlington's rejection of Total's payment of its share of estimated well costs. If I correctly understand Burlington's position, as represented in the Response, particularly at page 5, the only reason Burlington rejected Total's payment is because Total is not a signatory to a confidentiality agreement. In this regard, it should be noted that until now, we have never been



W. Thomas Kellahin, Esq. November 5, 1997 Page 2

asked to execute a confidentiality agreement.

A common-sense solution to this particular dispute is obvious: Total will agree to execute an agreement protecting the confidentiality of the data it has requested from Burlington. By so doing, Burlington's concerns over the data are obviated and Total's payment of well costs under the pooling order should be rendered a non-issue. Accordingly, if Burlington will stipulate that Total's payment of its share of estimated well costs was both proper and timely and is not an issue in contention in this proceeding, then Total will agree to be bound by the terms of an acceptable confidentiality agreement approved by an order entered by the Commission or the Division Director.

To facilitate the prompt resolution of this particular matter, I have prepared the enclosed original of a proposed Stipulation And Agreement Governing the Confidentiality Certain Information for your review.

Thank you for your consideration of this proposal. Please let me hear from you before the end of business tomorrow.

Very truly yours,

MILLER, STRATVERT & TORGERSON, P.A.

1. Juny dall

J. Scott Hall, Esq.

cc: Wm. J. LeMay, Director, NMOCD
Lynn Hebert, Esq. NMOCC Counsel
Rand Carroll, Esq. NMOCD Counsel
Norman Inman, Esq. Total Minatome Corporation
J.E. Gallegos, Esq.

: